Glaciation's topographic control on Holocene erosion at the eastern edge of the Alps

Author(s):
Dixon, Jean L.; von Blanckenburg, Friedhelm; Stüwe, Kurt; Christl, Marcus

Publication Date:
2016

Permanent Link:
https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000125418

Originally published in:
Earth Surface Dynamics 4(4), http://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-4-895-2016

Rights / License:
Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported
Glaciation’s topographic control on Holocene erosion at the eastern edge of the Alps

Jean L. Dixon1,2, Friedhelm von Blanckenburg1,3, Kurt Stüwe4, and Marcus Christl5

1German Research Centre for Geosciences GFZ, Potsdam, Germany
2Department of Earth Sciences and the Institute on Ecosystems, Montana State University, Bozeman, MT, USA
3Department of Geosciences, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany
4Institute of Earth Sciences, University of Graz, Graz, Austria
5Laboratory for Ion Beam Physics, ETH Zürich, Zürich, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Jean L. Dixon (jean.dixon@montana.edu)

Received: 19 May 2016 – Published in Earth Surf. Dynam. Discuss.: 3 June 2016
Revised: 2 November 2016 – Accepted: 12 November 2016 – Published: 22 December 2016

Abstract. What is the influence of glacial processes in driving erosion and uplift across the European Alps? It has largely been argued that repeated erosion and glaciation sustain isostatic uplift and topography in a decaying orogen. But some parts of the Alps may still be actively uplifting via deep lithospheric processes. We add insight to this debate by isolating the role of post-glacial topographic forcing on erosion rates. To do this, we quantify the topographic signature of past glaciation on millennial-scale erosion rates in previously glaciated and unglaciated catchments at the easternmost edge of the Austrian Alps. Newly measured catchment-wide erosion rates, determined from cosmogenic 10Be in river-borne quartz, correlate with basin relief and mean slope. GIS-derived slope–elevation and slope–area distributions across catchments provide clear topographic indicators of the degree of glacial preconditioning, which further correlates with erosion rates. Erosion rates in the easternmost, non-glaciated basins range from 40 to 150 mm ky⁻¹ and likely reflect underlying tectonic forcings in this region, which have previously been attributed to recent (post 5 Ma) uplift. By contrast, erosion rates in previously glaciated catchments range from 170 to 240 mm ky⁻¹ and reflect the erosional response to local topographic preconditioning by repeated glaciations. Together, these data suggest that Holocene erosion across the Eastern Alps is strongly shaped by the local topography relict from previous glaciations. Broader, landscape-wide forcings, such as the widely debated deep mantle-driven or isostatically driven uplift, result in lesser controls on both topography and erosion rates in this region. Comparing our data to previously published erosion rates across the Alps, we show that post-glacial erosion rates vary across more than 2 orders of magnitude. This high variation in post-glacial erosion may reflect combined effects of direct tectonic and modern climatic forcings but is strongly overprinted by past glacial climate and its topographic legacy.

1 Introduction

The climatic control on erosion in mountain belts remains a longstanding and active debate in geomorphology. Some of this debate has focused on whether spatial gradients in precipitation can be invoked to drive gradients in erosion or whether these rates are more strongly controlled by their tectonic setting (e.g., Burbank et al., 2003). While some studies have argued for modern precipitation controls on erosion (e.g., Bookhagen et al., 2005), climate’s imprints via glacial processes are widely recognized to significantly alter a landscape. For example, abrasion and plucking of bedrock by overlying glacial ice widens and deepens valleys (Brocklehurst and Whipple, 2002). Glacial erosion may increase mountain relief and cause isostatic uplift of rocks (e.g., Champagnac et al., 2007; Molnar and England, 1990). Through an erosional “buzzsaw”, glaciers have been suggested to set the limit on mountain range height and relief.
Post-glacially, rivers export unconsolidated sediments stored in basins (Hinderer, 2001; Hoffmann et al., 2007; Wittmann et al., 2016), and steep glacial headwalls and valley sides undergo accelerated hillslope erosion. The resulting post-glacial sediments can become effective tools for rivers to rapidly incise their beds (Jansen et al., 2011). Glacial processes significantly alter landscapes and therefore leave a lasting topographic legacy that influences erosion, relief, and possibly uplift tens of thousands of years after glacial retreat (e.g., Salcher et al., 2014). Together, these processes and observations may suggest that glacial forcings are the dominant control on landscape evolution in modern mid- and high-latitude mountain belts.

Notwithstanding the clear topographic and erosional effects that glacial processes imprint in the landscape, there has been notable pushback on the idea that climate via glaciation is the dominant driver of erosion in diverse mountain belts. For example, not all glaciers are efficient eroders, and glaciers frozen to their base may instead protect bedrock from erosion in high topography (Thomson et al., 2010). Even across glacial–interglacial time periods, fluvial incision may outpace glacial erosion in valley bottoms (Montgomery and Korup, 2011). Furthermore, global compilations of erosion rates across multiple temporal scales show similar erosion rates by glaciers and rivers, and these data suggest that tectonics likely controls erosion rates over millennial and longer timescales regardless of glacial history (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009).

This debate regarding climate’s influence on mountain belt evolution has been especially active for the European Alps, where both glacial and tectonic forces have been invoked as principle drivers of erosion and uplift (Cederbom et al., 2004; Fox et al., 2015; Mey et al., 2016). Wittmann et al. (2007) and Champagnac et al. (2007) noted that millennial-scale erosion rates vary with – and may exceed – modern uplift rates in the central Alps and that correlations between topography, uplift, and erosion suggest that glacial and post-glacial erosion alone may explain rates of uplift in the region via isostasy. Norton et al. (2010a, 2011) further argued that glaciation drives uplift, based on the observation that river knickpoints are highly correlated with previous glacial cover and glacial equilibrium line altitudes. However, it has also been suggested that ongoing collision and active convergence in the Eastern Alps may either primarily drive uplift (Hergarten et al., 2010) or significantly contribute to changes in relief across the Cenozoic (Legrain et al., 2014). In the eastern portion of the range, accelerated rates of river incision and hillslope erosion since 5 Ma have been suggested to record late Tertiary uplift (Legrain et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2010). These relatively local observations have been coupled with landscape evolution models to suggest that the Alps as a whole are not a decaying orogen as a glacial driver of uplift and erosion may suggest but instead a young mountain range still experiencing tectonic rejuvenation (Hergarten et al., 2010; Robl et al., 2015).

Here, we add insight to the debate on the role of glaciers in driving Holocene Alpine erosion, by quantifying landscape morphology and 10Be-derived denudation rates (hereafter called erosion rates) in both unglaciated and previously glaciated basins of the far Eastern Alps. We find that the past glacial history exerts a stronger control on erosion rates across the Eastern Alps than previously invoked tectonic forcings.

2 Approach

2.1 Study site

Our study region lies in the easternmost region of the European Alps (Fig. 1), composing the Styrian as well as several intramontane basins and adjacent massifs that make up the Alpine uplands: the Lavanttal Alps (including Gleinalpe and Koralpe), the Schladminger Tauern, the Seckauer Tauern, and Pohorje in Slovenia (Fig. 2a). The Styrian Basin (part of the Pannonian Basin) was a shallow marine basin throughout much of the Miocene, becoming brackish and finally freshwater during basin inversion, which commenced around 10 Ma (Bada et al., 2001; Cloetingh et al., 2006). These kilometer-thick Miocene sediments now underlie a gentle hilly terrain that has uplifted some 300 m above sea level in the last 7 My (e.g., Legrain et al., 2014). The upland regions of adjacent massifs are made up of high-grade metamorphic rocks, with local limestone in the range north of the basin.

Our study region is unique as the only part of the Alps in which unglaciated and formerly glaciated mountainous catchments can be found in immediate proximity. During the glacialation periods of the past million years, only the western portion of the study region was pervasively glaciated (Fig. 1). East of the contiguous Alpine ice cap, only isolated cirque glaciers occurred at elevations above 2000 m, for example in the summit region of the Koralpe range. In unglaciated portions of our study area, previous geomorphic work has recognized two distinct landscape morphologies: a low-gradient, low-relief upland region and a higher-gradient, higher-relief region downstream of river knickpoints (Legrain et al., 2014; Robl et al., 2008). Millennial erosion rates from small basins within these regions correlate with slope and the degree of incision (Legrain et al., 2015). These two morphologies are interpreted as representing the relict and incising portions of a landscape responding to incision initiated at ~4 Ma (Wagner et al., 2010). The timing of incision coincides with the inversion and uplift of the Styrian and northern Molasse basins. No work thus far has compared erosion rates in the previously glaciated and unglaciated portions of this landscape.
Figure 1. (a) The study area lies in the easternmost region of the European Alps, with sites located across both Austria and northern Slovenia. (b) Catchment outlines and sampling points for our 26 river sand samples, used to measure millennial-scale erosion rates across both previously glaciated and unglaciated catchments. Extent of Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) ice is shown by the blue shaded area. The boundary between the crystalline bedrock of the Alps and the Miocene sedimentary basin (Styrian Basin) follows roughly the 300–500 m elevation contour marked by the yellow color tones.

2.2 Deriving erosion rates from in situ produced cosmogenic $^{10}$Be

Use of the cosmogenic nuclide $^{10}$Be in river sand is now standard for quantifying rates of erosion over millennial timescales in diverse landscapes (Granger and Schaller, 2014; Portenga and Bierman, 2011; von Blanckenburg, 2005). Cosmic ray bombardment of Earth’s surface produces these nuclides in situ, and their concentrations reflect the time that minerals spend within the upper few meters of Earth’s surface. $^{10}$Be concentrations in quartz collected from river sands reflect erosion rates spatially integrated across the basin. We sampled 26 rivers in the Eastern Alps of Austria and Slovenia for cosmogenic $^{10}$Be analysis, targeting both previously glaciated and unglaciated catchments across the region (Tables 1–2). Sand was collected from channel bottoms and active channel bars, integrating along ~20 m reaches at each river location. Samples were oven-dried and sieved to extract the 250–500 µm size fraction. In addition to the 250–500 µm fraction, three samples were also sieved at 500–800 µm, so that we could check for
Table 1. Data from cosmogenic nuclide analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Size fraction (μm)</th>
<th>Long. (°E)</th>
<th>Lat. (°N)</th>
<th>Sample size (mm)</th>
<th>Qtz. 10Be conc. a (× 10⁶ atoms g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Snow shielding factor b</th>
<th>Topographic shielding factor b</th>
<th>Production rate c (atoms g⁻¹ year⁻¹)</th>
<th>Denudation rate (mm ky⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bistrizna</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>15.133</td>
<td>46.613</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>30.2 10.87 ± 0.89 0.93 0.99</td>
<td>9.58 69.2 ± 6.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feistritzbach</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>15.133</td>
<td>46.613</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>30.2 11.74 ± 0.87 0.93 0.99</td>
<td>9.58 64.0 ± 5.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glinbach</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>15.133</td>
<td>46.613</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>30.2 12.51 ± 0.87 0.93 0.99</td>
<td>10.46 86.3 ± 6.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingering</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>15.133</td>
<td>46.613</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>30.2 13.07 ± 0.87 0.93 0.99</td>
<td>10.46 85.8 ± 5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kleinolk</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>15.133</td>
<td>46.613</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>30.2 13.54 ± 0.87 0.93 0.99</td>
<td>14.50 94.3 ± 5.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Be concentrations measured at ETH Zürich in June 2010 and 2011. Results normalized to Nishizumi et al. (2007) 07KNSSTD standard, corrected for average of six chemical processing blanks (10Be/Be = 2.72 ± 2.21 × 10⁻⁶; μ ± SD). a Snow shielding calculated from annual Swiss snow data (Auer, 2003). Topographic shielding calculated from 10m digital elevation models (DEMs).

b Per-pixel production rates calculated for quartz-bearing lithologies following scaling laws of Dunai (2000), Schaller et al. (2002), and Braucher et al. (2003) for nucleonic and muonic interactions. Based on compilation of sea level, high-latitude production rates of 4.0 atoms g⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Phillips et al., 2016) and assuming that negative and fast muons compose 1.2 and 0.65% of total production rates, respectively (Braucher et al., 2003). Mean catchment production rates include both topographic and snow-shielding correction factors.
Table 2. Catchment denudation rates and morphometrics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Glacial history</th>
<th>Catchment area (km²)</th>
<th>Avg. slope (°)</th>
<th>Avg. slope (°)</th>
<th>Mean elevation (m)</th>
<th>Basin area &gt; 35° (%)</th>
<th>Mean local relief (m)</th>
<th>Erosion rate (mm ky⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gleinalpe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feistrichbach</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>74.8</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1322</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>69.3 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gleinbach</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>80.9</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>1243</td>
<td>3 %</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>86.3 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Koralpe</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bistrica</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>141.2</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>1181</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>1136</td>
<td>69.2 ± 6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassnitz1</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>39.2 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassnitz3</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>56.7 ± 3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavant1</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>234.5</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>1450</td>
<td>94.3 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavant2</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>952.3</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>1029</td>
<td>77.4 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mürz Valley</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mooskogel</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>985</td>
<td>6 %</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>103.7 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratten</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>1198</td>
<td>81.1 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanz</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>99.9 ± 9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thörl</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>321.1</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>1157</td>
<td>118.2 ± 19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thörl-qtz</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>(163.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veitsch</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>2 %</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>151.8 ± 16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veitsch-qtz</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>(63.3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pohorje</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohorje1</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1102</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>948</td>
<td>77.2 ± 7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pohorje2</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>897</td>
<td>98.1 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velka</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>1 %</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>95.8 ± 6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Schladminger Tauern</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kleinsolk</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>117.8</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>37 %</td>
<td>1779</td>
<td>172.5 ± 16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obertal</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>33 %</td>
<td>1793</td>
<td>199 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SaintNico</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>1792</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>1789</td>
<td>237.5 ± 17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Untertal</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>1834</td>
<td>39 %</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>178.1 ± 15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seckauer Tauern</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingering</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td>16 %</td>
<td>1670</td>
<td>94.3 ± 5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krug</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>1606</td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>1574</td>
<td>76.5 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rottenmann</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>25 %</td>
<td>1654</td>
<td>200.1 ± 15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seckauer</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>1437</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1362</td>
<td>71.3 ± 4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triebental</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>1590</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>1587</td>
<td>228.7 ± 21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Styrian Basin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pickel</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>101.6 ± 8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiefing</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>114.3 ± 8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Catchments defined as previously glaciated (G), partially glaciated (P), or unglaciated (U) in the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). Partially glaciated catchments were ice covered only in uppermost regions of the catchment. Mean catchment slopes are calculated from both 10 m digital elevation models (DEMs) and 80 m 1 arcsec DEMs. Mean local relief calculated using a 5 km moving window. Thörl and Veitsch catchments contained significant non-quartz-bearing lithologies. Area of quartz bearing regions shown in parentheses.
3 Results

$^{10}$Be-derived erosion rates vary from 39 to 238 mm ky$^{-1}$ across our study catchments of the Eastern Alps. Catchment-wide erosion rates generally show distinct patterns based on their geographic setting (Fig. 2a, b; Tables 1–2). Rates across Gleinalpe and Koralpe range from 39 to 94 mm ky$^{-1}$. The erosion rates measured in catchments entirely within the Styrian Basin (101–114 mm ky$^{-1}$; Fig. 1) are notably higher than the rates within the adjacent Koralpe range. Streams in these lowland-basin catchments of the Styrian Basin drain largely unconsolidated sediments of Miocene age that form low-relief hillslopes. Tributaries of the Mürz River valley in the northeast exhibit a broad range in erosion, from 81 to 151 mm ky$^{-1}$. Catchment erosion rates in the Schladminger and Seckauer Tauern range from 71 to 238 mm ky$^{-1}$. The highest rates in this region (>170 mm ky$^{-1}$) correspond to basins that lie within the range of the Last Glacial Maximum ice and reflect the region that was previously glaciated (see Fig. 1). Within the Seckauer Tauern, at the edge of Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) ice, several basins were only partially glaciated (Fig. 2), such that only small portions of the catchment (uppermost elevations) show evidence of glacial impact. Measured erosion rates in these catchments are similar to unglaciated rates in other portions of the study area.

The broad regional differences in basin erosion rates are complemented by relationships between these rates and the topographic form of the basins (Fig. 2a, b). Mean basin slope generally increases with mean elevation (Fig. 3a; $r^2 = 0.64$, $p < 0.001$). This increase in slope is partially controlled by landscape-scale patterns. If local slopes were variable on a small spatial scale, then analysis of 10 and 80 m gridded data would likely result in notable differences (e.g., Zhang and Montgomery, 1994).

2.3 Digital terrain analysis

Catchment topography was analyzed using two digital elevation models: 10 m gridded data available from the Austrian Geological Survey (BEV, http://www.austrianmap.at/) and 3 arcsec ($\sim 80$ m in this region) gridded data from the Global Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM). Terrain attributes, stream networks, and catchment extents were extracted in ArcGIS on both sets of gridded data. Catchments were delineated upstream of sample points (Table 2). Several catchments lay within Slovenia and outside the extent of the Austrian 10 m data. Table 2 provides basin-wide terrain attributes, including a comparison of variables extracted from 80 and 10 m digital elevation models. Though the scale of these digital elevation models (DEMs) is very different, the resulting topographic metrics are quite similar, with only a slight lowering of average slopes in the coarser data. This similarity highlights the fact that local slopes are largely controlled by landscape-scale patterns. If local slopes were variable on a small spatial scale, then analysis of 10 and 80 m gridded data would likely result in notable differences (e.g., Zhang and Montgomery, 1994).
Catchments in the Schladminger Tauern and northern parts of the Seckauer Tauern were glaciated in the Pleistocene (Fig. 2a). These catchments exhibit the most rapid erosion rates across the study area (Fig. 4a; 170–230 mm ky⁻¹), and have higher average slopes than non-glaciated and only partly glaciated basins (Fig. 4b–d). Hillslope gradients of unglaciated and partially glaciated basins tend to be normally distributed about mean and modal slopes that range widely from ~5 to 25° (Fig. 4c). In comparison, previously glaciated basins exhibit higher mean and modal slopes >25° with a negative skew towards low values. Furthermore, we find that these two domains also show clear distributions of slope with elevation. By segmenting each catchment into distinct elevation bins between 50 m contours, we determined the relationship between mean slope angle and mean elevation within the bins (Fig. 5). Dissimilar patterns emerge in how slope varies with elevation within previously glaciated and non-glaciated catchments. For example, high-gradient hillslopes within the non-glaciated basins tend to occur at the upper portions of these basins, well above the mean elevation. However, the steepest hillslopes of glacially sculpted basins are found at elevations well below the mean (~1500 m elevation compared to average elevations of ~1800 m).

4 Discussion

4.1 Topographic controls on erosion rates

Correlations between ¹⁰Be-derived erosion rates and mean catchment slope (Fig. 2b) are consistent with trends previously observed across other diverse mountain ranges (e.g., Cyr et al., 2010; Ouimet et al., 2009), such that erosion rates increase nonlinearly with mean catchment slope. This nonlinear relationship may result either from the dominance of threshold-driven landsliding in controlling erosion across the range (e.g., Montgomery and Dietrich, 1994) or from nonlinear diffusive transport (Roering et al., 2001). Either of these erosional mechanisms may result in a similar form to the nonlinear relationships between erosion rates and slope (e.g., DiBiase et al., 2010). Considering that both erosion rates and catchment mean slope correlate with the proportion of the catchment that exceeds 35° (Fig. 2b) and that these steep slopes generally are void of soil cover, it is likely that local slopes >35° within catchments undergoing erosion rates of ~200 mm ky⁻¹ correspond to thresholds for soil cover in this landscape.

Though we find a general trend of increasing erosion rates with basin slope, this pattern is largely shown by two unique clusters of data: unglaciated basins that exhibit low erosion rates and low to moderate slopes and previously glaciated basins with high slopes and erosion rates. Within each of these domains, the erosion-rate–slope relationships are less clear. Furthermore, it is surprising that several basins at the lowest elevations in the Styrian Basin to the south erode at faster rates than catchments in the middle uplands of the Koralpe range (Figs. 2, 4). These slightly higher erosion rates at low elevation have previously been linked to both weaker lithologies and tectonic transience in the Koralpe range, such that a wave of incision and erosion propagating upslope has accelerated erosion but not yet reached upper relict landscapes. Legrain et al. (2015) mapped the transition between incising and upland relict hillslopes and found that erosion rates in small basins (<1 km²) across Koralpe correlate with
the fraction of the catchment below transient propagating knickpoints. Catchment morphology and erosion rates within these small basins show greater variability at mid-to-low elevations than the larger basins studied here, and reflect the local topographic and erosional response of hillslopes to transient river incision (Legrain et al., 2014; Robl et al., 2008). Higher rates in the Styrian Basin compared to the uplands of Koralpe therefore likely reflect this erosional response to river incision and tectonic processes across the range rather than lithologic differences. This local-scale topographic variability and transience attributed to tectonics is likely only reflected in the high rates in the Styrian Basin and is not otherwise strongly reflected in the large basins studied in this paper, which we believe integrate spatially across this variability.

4.2 Glacial legacies and their influence on Holocene erosion in the Eastern Alps

We hypothesize that topography–erosion relationships reflect the control of glacial legacies on mountain erosion in this Alpine system. Indeed, we find that the fastest eroding catchments were previously eroded in the Pleistocene and have higher averaged slopes than unglaciated ones (Fig. 2). However, basin average slope angles only provide limited proof of concept since we find a wide range of mean values across both unglaciated and glaciated basins. The distribution of slopes within each catchment provides an added topographic fingerprint of past glaciation (Fig. 3). Mean slopes tend to be greater at low elevations than high elevations in the faster eroding, glacially sculpted catchments. This detailed distribution of slope and elevation within glaciated basins is not distinct from the general trend of increasing mean basin slope with mean basin elevation across the study area (Fig. 3a). The distribution of slope by elevation within
basins (Fig. 5) therefore represents a local signal not reflective of the larger regional trend, and we consider it a fingerprint of past glacial sculpting, consistent with characteristic slope–elevation curves and relief in glacial and non-glacial catchments (Robl et al., 2015; van der Beek and Bourbon, 2008). Considering that these previously glaciated basins erode at rates roughly 3 times faster than average non-glaciated basins, this slope distribution similarly provides a predictive tool for erosion rates (Fig. 5).

Importantly, past glaciation may have other impacts on measured erosion rates that must also be considered. $^{10}\text{Be}$-derived rates presented in this study are calculated assuming erosion has been constant for sufficient time for the landscape surface to attain steady-state $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations. This assumption may not be correct when erosion rates have been variable over the integration time of $^{10}\text{Be}$ accumulation or if the surface has been zeroed by deep erosion, as is likely the case for previously glaciated areas. Furthermore, this assumption may result in nontrivial overestimation in calculated erosion rates, especially in slowly eroding terrain (Glotzbach et al., 2013; Norton et al., 2010b; Wittmann et al., 2007). Using non-steady-state calculations from Lal (1991) and assuming that $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations at the surface began to accumulate only after deglaciation at 15 ka would result in as much as a 9% difference in calculated erosion rates from the steady-state rates presented in Table 1 (based on steady-state erosion rates for glaciated basins of 172–203 mm ky$^{-1}$).

This steady-state assumption therefore results in a nontrivial but still relatively small bias to calculated erosion rates; considering that our glaciated basins erode roughly a factor-of-2 times faster than non-glaciated basins and up to a factor-of-5 times faster than background erosion rates near 40 mm ky$^{-1}$.

Another complication of measuring $^{10}\text{Be}$-derived denudation rates in complex previously glaciated terrain results from the potential that glacial erosion products, potentially remobilized from storage in moraines or flood plains, will have inherited $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations associated with preglacial times. This may occur if glaciers have incompletely zeroed surface concentrations via shallow erosion (Delunel et al., 2014) or if glacial advance overrode soils and later incorporated them into glacially eroded sediments (Wittmann et al., 2007). In this case, $^{10}\text{Be}$ concentrations may instead underestimate erosion rates, though this effect should be the largest in currently glaciated or recently glaciated catchments. A final complication may arise due to the fact that previously glaciated catchments may contain high-altitude, low-gradient areas such as cirque valleys. If these portions of the landscape did not deliver sediment, perhaps because it was trapped in cirque lakes, then they should be excluded from calculated production rates. Hence catchment-wide production rates would decrease and so would denudation rates. This could result in erosion rates in glacially conditioned catchments being lower than calculated.

4.3 Competing controls on Holocene erosion rates

We find compelling evidence of topographic control on erosion; however, other competing hypotheses may explain some of the range of erosion rates found across the region. For example, other climatic controls such as precipitation rates have been invoked to explain fast erosion rates in high peaks of the Alps (Anders et al., 2010). In the Western and Italian Alps, several lines of evidence were used to suggest that post-glacial climates drive the bulk of exhumation and erosion in the region. Multiple studies have suggested that temperature-driven frost-cracking processes likely control Holocene erosion rates, based on correlations between elevation and erosion rates (Delunel et al., 2010; Savi et al., 2015). It might be hypothesized that the intensity of frost-cracking processes is (or was) greatest in our previously glaciated catchments, thus potentially explaining the distribution of erosion rates. Across our study basins, catchment mean slope and elevation are correlated (Fig. 3a); however, elevation poorly correlates with the fraction of steep (> 35°) slopes, notably in the rapidly eroding, previously glaciated basins where the abundance of steep topography varies widely despite similar mean basin elevations. Therefore, the elevational proxy for frost cracking does not correspond to topographic indicators of rapid erosion in our study area. Furthermore, we find large differences in erosion rates in basins of the same elevation (Table 2). While frost cracking may enhance erosion at Alpine sites, it does not appear
to explain the patterns and variability in erosion rates across our catchments. Furthermore, mean annual precipitation is likely a poor indicator of erosion in our unglaciated catchments since areas of the Mürz Valley that display the highest non-glacial erosion rates tend to be drier than more slowly eroding portions of the Koralpe range (BMLFUW, 2007).

Our measured hillslope erosion rates in the Eastern Alps may also be driven by rock uplift and river incision across the region. Previous work has suggested that glaciation during the LGM may drive a Holocene erosional response across the Alps and thereby enhance uplift (Wittmann et al., 2007). Providing a mechanism to engineer this link, Norton et al. (2010a) used observations of correlated river knickpoints and LGM equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs) to suggest that the topographic imprint of glacial erosion leads to increased river incision post-glacially, which in turn strengthens the positive feedback between rock uplift and erosion. Could this same mechanism be invoked to explain high erosion rates in our previously glaciated catchments? If catchment erosion were driven by increased river incision, then we would expect steeper stream gradients in rapidly eroding catchments. Legrain et al. (2015) observed correlations between higher normalized stream steepness indices and erosion rates within the Koralpe region of our study area but only within small non-glaciated catchments. Therefore, evidence of incision-driven hillslope erosion was found only in the absence of glacial forcings. This finding led Legrain et al. (2015) to suggest that tectonic uplift in the Eastern Austrian Alps could reasonably explain both 500 m of relief change and a factor-of-3 spatial variation in Holocene erosion rates. The scale of uplift (encompassing both the Pannonian Basin and entire eastern end of the Alps) may reflect deep-seated lithospheric processes (Legrain et al., 2015), and seismic anisotropy suggests slab detachment could provide the tectonic mechanism for surface uplift in this Eastern region (Qorbani et al., 2015).

Erosional response to rock uplift may explain local erosional differences within the non-glaciated catchments studied here. For example, following Legrain’s model, low-elevation catchments in the Styrian Basin lie within the incised region below river knickpoints, while higher-elevation catchments in Koralpe with lower erosion rates include significant portions of “relict terrain”. Importantly, this surface uplift mechanism cannot similarly account for erosional differences between glaciated and non-glaciated basins. The glaciated basins studied here would fall within the “relict landscape” region mapped by Legrain et al. (2015) as above river knickpoints, and therefore our high erosion rates do not correlate with the area below knickpoints. Furthermore, if uplift drove erosion in these basins, then we would expect to see higher area-normalized stream gradients in more rapidly eroding catchments reflecting the enhanced river incisional response. Figure 6 shows local hillslope gradients within each catchment, binned by accumulation area, the upslope and upstream contributing area for all points within the basin. While mean basin slopes are generally higher in more rapidly eroding glaciated catchments, these higher gradients occur only at the uppermost portions of the catchments – in small upslope accumulation areas less than $10^4$ m$^2$ that are within the hillslope domain. By comparison, local stream gradients in glaciated and non-glaciated basins are similar in the larger contribution areas (approaching $10^3$ m$^2$) that reflect the fluvial domain. The variability in within-basin slope seen only in low contributing areas indicates that the morphological differences within our large catchments studied here are driven by processes solely within the hillslope domain. The lack of evidence of incision-driven erosion further supports our conclusions that topographic forcings, and not rock uplift, are largely responsible for the patterns in erosion we observe here.

4.4 Erosion and topography across the Alpine range

While post-glacial topography largely explains the range of erosion rates found at the far end of the Eastern Alps, we note that these measured erosion rates are still significantly lower than measurements across other regions of the Alps (Fig. 7a). The highest rates measured in our study region are amongst
Figure 7. (a) Published erosion rates across the European Alps range from ∼40 to 2100 mm ky⁻¹, recorded by over 100 cosmogenic samples from nine studies that report both mean catchment slope and erosion rates. Published erosion rates were rescaled to a consistent sea level, high-latitude production rate of 4.0 atoms g⁻¹ year⁻¹. Symbol size reflects erosion rate, and symbol color reflects past glacial history (red: previously unglaciated; blue: previously glaciated). (b) Across the range, these rates vary only weakly but significantly with mean basin slope (linear fit $r^2 = 0.23$; exponential fit $r^2 = 0.34$). (c) Compiled erosion rates plotted against sample longitude (data provided in the Supplement). Symbols for individual samples are color-coded as in panel (b) but with slight transparency so as to increase visibility of average erosion rates binned by 1° latitude (grey ovals). The y axis error bars reflect standard deviation (standard error is smaller than symbols). Despite variations in surface uplift, precipitation, and other potential controlling variables, we find little systematic east-to-west variation in average Holocene erosion rates across the range. Only rates in our easternmost study region appear to vary significantly from other portions of the Alpine range.
the lowest measured across the Alpine range. Compiling previously reported cosmogenic $^{10}$Be-derived rates across the Alps, we find that mean basin slope and Holocene erosion rates are generally weakly correlated (linear regression $r^2 = 0.26, p < 0.001$), providing limited predictive power for assessing erosion patterns (Fig. 7b) on an orogen scale. A lack of correlation is not surprising at high mean slope angles and rapid erosion rates since erosional processes become nonlinear approaching threshold slope angles (e.g., DiBiase et al., 2010). Poor correlations between most topographic metrics and Alpine erosion rates have been noted before (e.g., Norton et al., 2011; Salcher et al., 2014; Wittmann et al., 2007). Complexities in lithologic variation can partially explain the high scatter in erosion rates at steep gradients (e.g., Norton et al., 2011) since rock strength and fracturing may control slope thresholds. Weaker lithologies often correspond to low hillslope gradients (e.g., Norton et al., 2011) and normalized stream steepness indices (Sternai et al., 2012) in the absence of other controls. Despite some lithologic influence, orogen-scale controls on Holocene denudation rates have remained relatively elusive.

We might expect Holocene erosion to reflect uplift or rates of long-term exhumation across the range. In the central Alps, some of the observable modern rock uplift has been attributed to a combination of an isostatic response to Holocene erosion (Champagnac et al., 2007; Wittmann et al., 2007) and ice melting (Barletta et al., 2006). Though some dispute this latter mechanism as a driver of modern rock uplift (e.g., Persaud and Pfeiffer, 2004), recent flexural models based on glacial ice thickness suggest that glacial isostatic adjustment primarily explains the magnitude and patterns of modern uplift (Mey et al., 2016). Long-term exhumation rates from thermochronometric ages have been partially attributed to deep tectonic processes that increased during the Cenozoic (Cederbom et al., 2011), possibly due to slab detachment focused primarily in the west (Baran et al., 2014; Fox et al., 2014, 2015) but also potentially observable in the Eastern Alps (Qorbani et al., 2015). Short-term rates of uplift and erosion and modern topographic metrics appear to poorly or only partially reflect this broad tectonic signal (Koons, 2009; Norton et al., 2011; Vernon et al., 2009), though along-orogen tectonic differences cannot be ruled out as contributing to the variation in erosion rates (Baran et al., 2014). However, on an orogen scale and with the exception of the far Eastern Alps where erosion rates are low, average erosion rates vary little with longitude across the range despite high local variability (Fig. 7c).

Climate variability should also be considered in controlling erosion on an orogen scale. Precipitation patterns vary across the range, with highest LGM precipitation occurring on the northern slopes of the Alps and decreasing to the south and east (Florineth and Schlüchter, 2000). Modern precipitation varies from $\sim 400$ to $> 3000$ mm yr$^{-1}$ across the orogen, also generally decreasing to the east, and small-scale variations in topography have a pronounced effect on local patterns (Isotta et al., 2014). This means that precipitation varies on both large and small scales across the orogen. There is reason to believe that modern precipitation gradients should control Holocene erosion and sediment transport by influencing the discharge of sediment out of a basin, controlling landslide thresholds, and by influencing the magnitude of river incision. While similar relationships have been observed across other mountain ranges (e.g., Bookhagen et al., 2005), explicit links between modern precipitation and post-glacial hillslope erosion remain elusive in the Alps (Bennett et al., 2013; Schlunegger and Norton, 2013). However, multiple lines of evidence, including data presented here, suggest that paleoclimate may instead have a greater and lasting imprint on landscape topography and erosion. Anders et al. (2010) found that precipitation is inversely correlated with the elevation of cirque floors in portions of the Swiss Alps, suggesting a climate-driven glacial buzzsaw across the region. Furthermore, glacial erosion during the Pleistocene resulted in notable increases in valley-scale topographic relief (Sternai et al., 2012; Valla et al., 2011). Because these glacially driven topographic legacies persist to the modern day, we propose that modern hillslope response to glacial history can partly explain local-scale variability in erosion rates. Though focused locally in the Eastern Alps, our new erosion rates and topographic analysis add weight to an increasingly compelling argument that local Holocene denudation rates across the Alps, which often poorly reflect other broader tectonic and climatic controls, are overprinted by the local topographic legacy of glacial sculpting. It is not yet clear whether the topographic legacy and its influence on Holocene erosion directly reflects the local magnitude of past glaciation (e.g., LGM ice thickness) or perhaps whether erosional and morphometric variability in previously glaciated portions of the Western and central Alps is especially variable since modern hillslope response to deglaciation may be considered transient.

5 Conclusions

Our study provides multiple lines of evidence that Holocene erosion in the Eastern Austrian Alps is driven by glacial legacies that set local topographic forcing and hillslope morphology. Previous work in the region established that deep-seated tectonic processes could explain almost a factor-of-3 variation in erosion rates in unglaciated terrain (49–137 mm ky$^{-1}$; Legrain et al., 2015). Post-glacial topographic forcings account for an additional doubling over invoked tectonic forcings (resulting in erosion rates averaging 200 mm ky$^{-1}$ and up to $\sim 240$ mm ky$^{-1}$ in previously glaciated basins). Considering that glaciers occupied uplands which are not yet reached by river knickpoints, this glacial forcing is far in excess of background erosion rates inferred to be pre-Miocene (49 mm ky$^{-1}$; Legrain et al., 2015). Therefore, despite evidence of young uplift across the eastern extent of the range,
glacial processes still dominate the erosion signal, with deeper tectonic forcings likely observable only in the absence of strong local topographic forcings. Our new data suggest that post-glacial topographic forcing can account for a 4–5-fold increase over background hillslope erosion rates in the absence of tectonic forcings. Comparison with erosion rates across the Alpine range shows that these glacially enhanced rates are still among the lowest measured across the Alpine orogen and that combined complexities in tectonic forcings (e.g., Wagner et al., 2010), modern and past climatic forcings (e.g., Anders et al., 2010), and transient erosional response to inherited topographic legacies (this study) must all be considered to understand controls on Alpine Holocene erosion.

6 Data availability

Tables in this paper provide raw data, and the supplement accessible here provides all compiled data used from other sources.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/esurf-4-895-2016-supplement.
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