Measuring the Vibrational Density of States of Nanocrystal-Based Thin Films with Inelastic X-ray Scattering

Journal Article

Author(s):

Yazdani, Nuri ; Nguyen-Thanh, Tra; Yarema, Maksym ; Lin, Weyde ; Gao, Ramon; Yarema, Olesya ; Bosak, Alexey; Wood, Vanessa

Publication date:

2018-04-05

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000258150>

Rights / license:

[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)

Originally published in:

The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 9(7), <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpclett.8b00409>

Measuring the Vibrational Density of States of Nanocrystal-Based Thin Films with Inelastic X- Ray Scattering

*Nuri Yazdani,¹ Tra Nguyen-Thanh,² Maksym Yarema,¹ Weyde M M Lin,¹ Ramon Gao,¹
Olesya Yarema,¹ Alexey Bosak,² Vanessa Wood¹*

1. Department of Information Technology and Electrical Engineering, ETH Zurich, Zurich,
8092 Switzerland

2. European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 71, Avenue des Martyrs, F-38000 Grenoble,
France

Abstract

Knowledge of the vibrational structure of a semiconductor is essential for explaining its optical and electronic properties and enabling optimized materials selection for optoelectronic devices. However, measurement of the vibrational density of states of nanomaterials is challenging. Here, using the example of colloidal nanocrystals (quantum dots) we show that the vibrational density of states of nanomaterials can be accurately and efficiently measured with inelastic x-ray scattering (IXS). Using IXS, we report the first experimental measurements of the vibrational density of states for lead sulfide nanocrystals with different halide-ion terminations and for CsPbBr₃ perovskite nanocrystals. IXS findings are supported with *ab-initio* molecular dynamics simulations, which provide insight into the origin of the measured vibrational structure and the effect of nanocrystal surface. Our findings highlight the advantages of IXS compared to other methods for measuring the vibrational density of states of nanocrystals such as inelastic neutron scattering and Raman scattering.

Table of Contents Figure:

Keywords: vibrational structure, phonon density of states, perovskite nanocrystals, chalcogenide nanocrystals, surface termination, inelastic x-ray scattering

To fully explain the optical and electronic properties of a semiconductor, it is critical to understand its nuclear dynamics, such as the vibrational density of states $g(E)$, particularly for nanomaterials, where charge carrier energy gain/loss,^{1–7} and transport^{8–12}, are driven by coupling of the nuclear and electronic degrees of freedom. In colloiddally synthesized nanocrystals (NCs), coupling to vibrations can explain experimentally observed large thermal broadening,^{13,14} fast carrier cooling,⁴ and efficient non-radiative recombination across large energy gaps.³

In this work, we show that synchrotron-based inelastic x-ray scattering (IXS) is a powerful way to determine the vibrational structure of nanomaterials, using NCs as an exemplary material. We begin with an overview of methods for measuring vibrational structure and then validate the IXS approach and highlight its specific advantages by assessing PbS NCs terminated with ethanedithiol ligands, which have previously been extensively studied experimentally using INS³ and Raman techniques as well as *ab-initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) calculations.⁴ We then use IXS to perform the first experimental measurements of the vibrational density of states of PbS NCs with different halide terminations and of CsPbBr₃ perovskite NCs.

In general, vibrational structure of a material can be investigated experimentally by using a charge neutral probe (i.e., photons or neutrons) to modify the vibrational state of the sample through scattering or absorption. Absorption based techniques such as infrared spectroscopy and time resolved pump-probe techniques (e.g. time resolved pump-probe x-ray diffraction,^{15,16} three-pulse photon echo peak shift¹⁷) can be used to investigate specific vibrations (1) through direct excitation of the vibration through absorption, or (2) indirect excitation of vibrations through their coupling to an optically driven electronic transition.¹⁶

Inelastic scattering of photons or neutrons (**Figure 1a**) has the advantage over absorption-based optical approaches in that the scattering intensity is independent of the dipole moment of the vibration and depends in a relatively straightforward manner on the scattering cross-section of

the photons or neutrons with the atoms involved in the vibrations, facilitating the characterization of the entire vibrational spectrum.^{18–20}

Both inelastic neutron scattering (INS)²¹ and Raman spectroscopy^{22–28} have been used in the characterization of the vibrational structure of nanomaterials, however both have intrinsic limitations.

Inelastic neutron scattering (INS) is often considered the gold standard for probing nuclear dynamics of crystals because neutrons have kinetic energies and momentum comparable to those of typical lattice vibrations¹⁸ and has been previously used to study NCs solids,³ INS for NC-based thin films is challenging. First, due to the relatively low flux of neutrons from state of the art neutron sources, large sample sizes are needed to obtain reasonable scattering statistics with INS. For example, ~10 g of PbS NCs were needed per sample to achieve reasonable statistics within a 10h measurement window,³ which necessitates scale up of both the synthesis²⁹ and ligand exchange procedure. Second, extraction of the phonon density of state with INS is challenging if hydrogen (H) atoms are present in the sample. H has an extremely large neutron scattering cross-section (~15 times that of Pb),³⁰ such that the signal from H can dominate the inelastic scattering spectrum. This can be particularly problematic for colloidal nanomaterials, where long hydrocarbon-chain ligands are typically employed in the synthesis to maintain colloidal stability.^{31,32} This can be circumvented by deuteration of the hydrocarbons used in the NC synthesis and ligand exchange; however, this involves additional steps and can be costly given the large sample sizes required for INS. Because the NC surface is highly sensitive to the chemical processing performed on the NCs (either the removal of excess NC ligands following their growth^{33,34} or in the processing into densely packed thin films for inclusion in opto-electronic devices³⁵), the vibrational structure of the NCs should ideally be measured on samples treated identically to those used in the application of interest, and not on a sample highly adapted for INS.

Raman scattering can be applied to thin films. However, in nanomaterials, where the vibrations cannot be assumed to be strictly localized between two neighboring nuclei, the vibrational density of states extracted from inelastic scattering, $g(E, q)$, will depend on the momentum transfer, $q \equiv \vec{p}_{\gamma i} - \vec{p}_{\gamma f}$. In a Raman experiment, the wavelength of the incident photon is much larger than the unit-cell length of the constituent material (e.g., $a_{PbS} = 5.936 \text{ \AA}$). The maximum measureable momentum transfer is thus much smaller than reciprocal lattice vectors of the materials, and integration over all possible momentum transfers will sample $g(E, q)$ only about $q=0$ in reciprocal space^{36,37}

Synchrotron IXS alleviates (1) the sample preparation problems of INS and (2) the $q=0$ limitation of Raman Scattering. First, high brilliance and flux of focused light sources enables the investigation of much smaller sample sizes, and, in the grazing incidence geometry, thin films on the order of tens of nanometers in thickness are sufficient (**Figure 1b**). In fact, all thin films studied here are made identically to those in actual NC-based devices (in the case of PbS NCs),^{38,39} or spin-cast on substrates directly from their synthesized solution (in the case CsPbBr₃ perovskite NCs). Furthermore, the presence of hydrocarbons is not a problem in IXS, where the atomic form factor for inelastic scattering from H is very low, and increases proportionally to the atomic number.⁴⁰ Second, synchrotron light sources provide energies in the 10s of keV, whose wavelengths are significantly smaller than that of typical lattice vectors. Measurement of the inelastic scattering can thus be carried out for large momentum transfers, greatly enhancing the sampling of $g(E, q)$ over the entire Brillouin zone, as depicted in **Figure 1c**. For a polycrystalline materials, in the limit of very large q , the extracted $g(E)$ integrated over an infinitesimal q -range will approach the true $g(E)$, i.e. uniform sampling of $g(E, q)$ over the entire Brillouin zone.²⁰

We first validate the IXS approach for NCs and confirm its advantages by studying PbS NCs terminated with ethanedithiol ligands. As described in the Methods, thin films of $r = 1.6$ nm PbS NCs approximately 40nm-thick are prepared on single crystal [110] diamond substrates (see **Supporting Information SI-1** for the absorption spectrum and measured diffraction pattern of the PbS NCs and the ligand exchanged thin films). Diamond is used since its vibrations occur at high energies, minimizing overlap of the vibrational density of states with the sample. The NC thin-film preparation approach is identical to that used in the fabrication of PbS NC-based solar cells.^{38,39}

IXS measurements are performed at the ID28 beamline at European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF). A schematic of the setup is depicted in **Figure 1d**. The (999) backscattering reflections of Si is employed to achieve a monochromatic beam at a wavelength of 0.6968 Å (17.8 keV). This beam impinges on the sample, scatters, and is analyzed by a second Si monochromator. By varying the relative temperatures of the two Si monochromators to tune their lattice constants and thereby the resulting diffraction angles, one can scan over photon-to-sample energy transfers. Here, measurements are performed in ~ 0.7 meV steps (corresponding to ~ 16 mK temperature steps for the Si analyzer), over the range of energies from $E_{min} = -16$ meV (~ 22.5 K) to $E_{max} = 35$ meV (~ 21.3 K), where the negative energy transfer indicates energy loss from the sample. This results in a measured scattering spectra $I_M(E) = I_{IN}(E) + I_{EL}(E)$, a sum of both inelastic and elastic scattering, from which we can compute the atomic form factor weighted $g(E)$, i.e. $\tilde{g}(E)$. $\tilde{g}(E)$ can be related to the partial density of states for each element in the material, $g_x(E)$,

$$\tilde{g}(E) \propto \sum_x \frac{(f_x(q))^2}{M_x} g_x(E), \quad 1$$

where X runs over all of the elements in the material, and $f_X(q)$ is the atomic form factor of element X , which will depend on the momentum transfer q and is a measure of the scattering probability of the x-rays from element X .⁴⁰ The procedure to compute $\tilde{g}(E)$ from the measured scattering $I_M(E)$ is based on the method outlined by Bosak and Krisch,²⁰ and Kohn and Chumakov⁴¹ and is described briefly in the methods and in detail in **Supporting Information, SI-2**.

The $\tilde{g}(E)$ calculated from IXS measurements for the PbS/EDT thin film is shown along with the INS data taken on the PbS powder in **Figure 2a**. Before comparing the IXS and INS data, we consider whether our IXS measurements are as expected. The $g(E)$ calculated using AIMD for a $r = 1.2$ nm PbS/thiol NC is shown in **Figure 2b**. From the partial $g_X(E)$, we can calculate the atomic form factor weighted $g(E)$, $\tilde{g}(E)$, using the atomic form factors, $f_X(q)$, at the measured momentum transfer for the different elements in the PbS/EDT NC-solid ($f_{Pb}(q) = 52.8$, $f_S(q) = 7.6$, $f_C(q) = 1.9$, $f_H(q) \equiv 1$.) following Cromer and Mann.⁴⁰ Since the relative scattering from Pb is much higher than the other elements in the sample, $\tilde{g}(E)$ will take the form of the partial density of states of Pb, $g_{Pb}(E)$, which is consistent with the experimental finding in **Figure 2a**.

Comparing the $\tilde{g}(E)$ measured from IXS and the $g(E)$ measured by INS,³ we note relatively good agreement. We fit a sum of two Gaussians to low energy ($0 \text{ meV} < \hbar\omega < 8 \text{ meV}$) portion of the vibrational spectra (dashed lines in **Figure 2a**) and extract a transverse acoustic (TA) peaks from IXS at $6.32 \pm 0.35 \text{ meV}$ and from INS at $6.00 \pm 0.22 \text{ meV}$, which are within error of one another and agreement with the value expected from DFT calculations for bulk PbS (6.05 meV) in **Figure 2c**. The Gaussian peaked at lower energy ($\sim 3.4 \text{ meV}$) in both the IXS and INS data indicate the presence of a set of low frequency modes, which can be attributed to vibrations

of low-coordinated Pb atoms on the facets and edges of the NCs.^{3,4} However, there are fewer low frequency modes in the IXS results compared to INS. We attribute this to the differences in the sample preparation between the two techniques. While the thin-film samples for IXS are prepared using a standardized procedure employed for device fabrication, due to the large quantity of material needed for INS, the INS samples were prepared using an aggressive, solution based ligand exchange procedure,³ which likely leaves a more disordered surface. We rule out that the difference in the number of low frequency modes is due to different morphologies of NC packing in the thin film sample for IXS and the powder sample for INS. The density of inter-NC vibrations ($3\rho_{\text{NCs}}-6$, where ρ_{NCs} is the number of NCs per unit volume) will be orders of magnitude smaller than the density of vibrations on the individual NCs ($\rho_{\text{NCs}}(3N_A-6)$, where N_A is the number of atoms per NC). The measured $g(E)$ are therefore representative of the $g(E)$ for the individual NCs. As low frequency vibrations can efficiently drive multi-phonon mediated electronic transitions in nanomaterials at temperatures $T \gg \hbar\omega$,^{4,8,94} such as non-radiative recombination and charge carrier trapping,^{3,42} our comparison of the results from INS to IXS highlights the importance of careful chemical processing of the surface of nanomaterials.

Having validated the IXS technique on NC solids and highlighted its benefits relative to other methods for measuring vibrational structure, we extend our measurement to other nanocrystal samples. We perform measurements on three PbS/X NC thin films with different halide ($X = \text{Cl, Br, I}$) surface terminations, prepared from the same NC synthesis as the PbS/EDT thin film. We plot the extracted $\tilde{g}(E)$ from IXS (**Figure 3a**) along with the $\tilde{g}(E)$ computed from AIMD⁴ (**Figure 3b**).

From AIMD, we do not expect that the different terminations will change the number of the low frequency modes coming from the surface. Indeed, fitting a sum of two Gaussians to low energy ($0\text{meV} < \hbar\omega < 8\text{meV}$) portions of the $\tilde{g}(E)$ to all four surface terminations reveals

values that are within error of one another (**Supporting Information SI-3**). However, AIMD calculations predict that the mean squared thermal displacement, $\langle u^2 \rangle$, of the surface Pb atoms $\langle u_{pb}^2 \rangle$, will decrease with increasing electronegativity of surface terminating atom due to stronger Pb-X bonds: at 100K the values predicted by AIMD are $\langle u_{pb}^2 \rangle^{100K} = 0.029 \text{ \AA}^2$ for EDT, 0.025 $\text{ \AA}^2$ for I, 0.024 $\text{ \AA}^2$ for Br, and 0.023 $\text{ \AA}^2$ for Cl, compared to $\langle u_{pb}^2 \rangle_{Bulk}^{100K} = 0.018 \text{ \AA}^2$.⁴ As described in **Supporting Information SI-4**, the $\langle u_{pb}^2 \rangle$ for Pb atoms can be approximated from the IXS results to give $\langle u_{pb}^2 \rangle^{300K} = 0.049 \pm 0.005 \text{ \AA}^2$ for EDT, $0.043 \pm 0.002 \text{ \AA}^2$ for I, $0.043 \pm 0.001 \text{ \AA}^2$ for Br, and $0.039 \pm 0.003 \text{ \AA}^2$ for Cl, in agreement with the trend predicted by the calculations.

Finally, we perform IXS measurements on thin films of cesium lead halide NCs,^{43–45} which are of rapidly growing interest due to their performance in LEDs,⁴⁶ solar cells⁴⁷ and photodetectors.⁴⁸ The absorption spectrum and measured diffraction pattern of the CsPbBr₃ NCs are given in **Supporting Information SI-1**. The extracted $\tilde{g}(E)$ is shown in **Figure 4a**. Within the error-bars, we can identify four peaks centered at $4.40 \pm 0.35 \text{ meV}$, $8.00 \pm 0.35 \text{ meV}$, $11.60 \pm 0.35 \text{ meV}$, and $19.40 \pm 0.35 \text{ meV}$, the highest of which is expected to come from deformations of the halide octahedron about the lead atoms.⁴⁹ For comparison, we perform AIMD simulations on bulk CsPbBr₃, in the orthorhombic phase, which is expected at 300K for bulk⁵⁰ as well as synthesized NCs^{51,52} (calculations for the cubic phase are provided in the **Supporting Information SI-5**). In **Figure 4b** and **c**, we plot the computed $g(E)$, along with the partial $g_X(E)$ for each element, and the computed $\tilde{g}(E)$ using atomic factors $f_{Cs}(q) = 32.1$, $f_{pb}(q) = 52.8$, $f_{Br}(q) = 20.2$. Comparing the simulated and measured $\tilde{g}(E)$ from IXS, we see that the main features are common in both, noting that the peaks in the AIMD are shifted to lower energies due to the overestimation of the lattice constants in the calculations,

$[a,b,c]_{AIMD} = [8.559, 11.9, 8.269] \text{ \AA}$, compared to experiment $[a,b,c]=[8.255, 11.759, 8.207] \text{ \AA}$.⁵³

In the $\tilde{g}(E)$ measured on CsPbBr₃ NCs, we note the presence of vibrations between 12 meV and 19 meV, which are not found in the $\tilde{g}(E)$ calculated for bulk CsPbBr₃. To find an explanation for these vibrations, we perform AIMD simulations on a $\sim(3.6 \text{ nm})^3$ CsPbBr₃ NC. As shown in the **Supporting Information SI-5**, while the partial density of states of the center part of the NC resembles that of bulk CsPbBr₃, the partial density of states of the outer three atomic layers of the NCs (dashed line in **Figure 4c**) shows a pronounced feature $\sim 14\text{-}15 \text{ meV}$. We therefore conclude that vibrations in our IXS measurement in that energy range come from localized vibrations on the surface of the NCs. Understanding how these surface modes can couple to charge carriers can for example yield insight into the atomistic origins of recombination at surfaces in perovskite solar cells and how to best passivate these modes.

The vibrational density of states, $g(E)$, of nanomaterials will, in general, differ from their bulk counterparts as a result of their large surface to volume ratio. Characterization of $g(E)$ constitutes the first step in moving towards a comprehensive understanding of phonon mediated transitions and thermodynamic properties in these materials. We have demonstrated that IXS can be used to efficiently probe the $g(E)$ of NCs in thin films. This technique should be readily applicable to other NC systems (e.g., metal NCs, core-shell NCs) and higher-dimensional nanomaterials (e.g., nanowires, nanoplatelets).

Methods

PbS Synthesis and Film Preparation

Colloidal oleic-acid capped $r = 1.6 \text{ nm}$ PbS NCs (3.2 nm in diameter) are synthesized using the hot injection method, where size-tunability is primarily achieved via different concentrations of oleic acid in the starting solution.⁵⁴ The NCs are washed 3 times in mixtures of ethanol and methanol, and finally suspended in hexane at a concentration of 40 mg/mL. Ligand exchange

solutions consist of 3 mM EDT and anhydrous acetonitrile, and 30 mM of tetrabutylammonium iodide/ bromide/chloride in anhydrous methanol. Films are prepared in air via two identical and sequential spin casting steps. For both steps, $\sim 20\ \mu\text{L}$ of the NC solution was dropped on the substrate followed by a 1500 rpm spin for 60s. $\sim 50\ \mu\text{L}$ of the ligand exchange solution is then dropped on the film, and after a 30 s wait, is spun off at 1500 rpm. Three rinsing steps are then repeated, flooding the film with the ligand exchange solvent and spinning dry at 1500 rpm.

CsPbBr₃ Synthesis and Film Preparation

Colloidal CsPbBr₃ NCs ($\sim 9.3\ \text{nm}$ in diameter) are synthesized accordingly to previous publication.⁵⁵ Briefly, freshly-made warm Cs-oleate solution is injected into the reaction mixture, containing PbBr₂ and a mixture of coordinating solvents, at 180°C. The reaction flask is rapidly cooled to room temperature in 5 s using ice-water bath. The NCs are purified by centrifuging of crude solution. NC precipitates are re-dissolved in hexane and precipitated with acetone, after what NCs are suspended in hexane at a concentration of 40 mg/mL. CsPbBr₃ NCs are covered with a mixture of oleic acid and oleylamine ligands. Films are prepared in air via spinning of CsPbBr₃ NC solution, similarly to as described above. No ligand-exchange is performed for CsPbBr₃ NCs. The as prepared films are then stores in inert nitrogen atmosphere.

IXS Measurement

Measurements are performed in vacuum in the grazing incident geometry, with the samples aligned with respect to the incoming x-rays such that the incident angle are just above the critical angle of the thin films, determined by maximizing the measured elastic scattering intensity of the strongest Bragg peak for each sample. Once alignment of the sample is complete, we measure the XRD spectrum of the thin film to determine a suitable range of 2θ to position the analyzers for the measurement of scattering, such that we measure at large q (large 2θ) and that the range contains no Bragg diffraction peak. For the PbS NCs, we find a

suitable 2θ range between the [400] and [331] Bragg peaks of PbS centered at $2\theta = 34.4^\circ$, corresponding to a $q \sim 53 \text{ nm}^{-1} \gg \pi/a_{\text{PbS}} \sim 5.3 \text{ nm}^{-1}$.

To calculate the density of state from the scattering signal, the elastic scattering intensity, $I_{\text{EL}}(E)$, must be rationally subtracted from the measured scattering intensity, $I_{\text{M}}(E)$, to obtain the inelastic scattering $I_{\text{IN}}(E)$. $\tilde{g}(E)$ can then be computed from $I_{\text{IN}}(E)$ upon deconvolution with the instrument response function, and normalization according to the thermal occupation factor of the vibrations. The ID28 beamline utilizes an array of 9 independent analyzers/detectors, spanning a $2\theta \sim 6^\circ$ range. The analysis procedure outlined in **SI-2** is followed for each of the 9 analyzers independently, and for the final $\tilde{g}(E)$ we average over all analyzers, keeping only the data on the energy gain side.

AIMD of CsPbBr₃

AIMD of PbS NCs and the phonon density of states for bulk PbS for reference are taken from Yazdani et al.⁴ while all calculations for CsPbBr₃ are new to this work. Geometry and cell optimization, and *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) are performed within the CP2K program suite utilizing the quickstep module.⁵⁶ Calculations are carried out using a dual basis of localized Gaussians and plane-waves,⁵⁷ with a 300Ry plane-wave cutoff. As in previous calculations for CsPbBr₃ NCs,⁵¹ Double-Zeta-Valence-Polarization (DZVP),⁵⁸ Goedecker–Teter–Hutter pseudopotentials⁵⁹ for core electrons, and the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange correlation functional are used for all calculations. Convergence to 10^{-8} in Self-Consistent Field calculations is always enforced.

For CsPbBr₃ bulk calculations, the orthorhombic and cubic unit cell lattice constants were determined through cell optimization, resulting in the lattice constants: $a = 8.559 \text{ \AA}$, $b = 11.9 \text{ \AA}$, $c = 8.269 \text{ \AA}$, and $a = b = c = 6.019 \text{ \AA}$ respectively. Geometry optimization is performed with the Quickstep module utilizing a Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shannon (BFGS) optimizer. A

maximum force of 24 meVÅ⁻¹ is used as convergence criteria. All atoms in all systems are relaxed.

The CsPbBr₃ NC was constructed according the atomistic model of ten Brinck et al.⁵¹ where the cubic NC with sides = ~3.6nm in length were cut from bulk CsPbBr₃. Cs atoms were randomly removed from the surface of the NC to maintain charge compensation (i.e. $N_{Cs} + 2N_{Pb} - N_{Br} = 0$), starting with the corners of the NC.

AIMD is performed in the canonical ensemble, using a CSVr thermostat, which achieves canonical sampling through velocity rescaling.⁶⁰ For thermalization and calibration of the thermostat, the time constant of the thermostat is set to 15 fs and the AIMD is run for 1ps. The time constant is then set to 1ps for the remainder of the AIMD. All AIMD steps prior to equilibration of the total energy and temperature (typically ~2ps) are discarded. AIMD time steps of 10fs are used. The partial and total density of phonon states were obtained from the power spectrum of the mass-weighted position correlation function, $r_i(t)$:⁶¹

$$g_i(E) = M_i E^2 \left| \text{F} \left\{ r_i(t) \right\} \right|^2, \quad g(E) = \sum_i g_i(E), \quad 2$$

where M_i is the mass of atom i , and $r_i(t)$ are the time dependent coordinates extracted from AIMD.

Note, that the discrepancy between the position of the TA peak from AIMD with that calculated from bulk PbS and extracted from IXS and INS stems from the overestimation of the Pb-S bond lengths in the AIMD calculations (6.0115Å), compared to its experimentally measured value (5.936Å), as further discussed in the **Supporting Information (SI-3)**.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information The Supporting Information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>. Absorption and x-ray diffraction spectra for all samples,

detailed description of IXS analysis, phonon density of states of bulk PbS and CsPbBr₃, PbS and CsPbBr₃ NCs, and mean square thermal displacements for PbS NCs are given.

Corresponding Author

Email: vwood@ethz.ch

Funding Sources

The authors acknowledge support from the Swiss National Science Foundation through the Quantum Sciences and Technology NCCR and an ETH Research Grant. Computations were supported by a grant from the Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (CSCS; project ID s674). The IXS experiments were performed on beamline ID28 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF), Grenoble, France. The authors declare no competing financial interest.

References

- (1) Nelson, T.; Fernandez-Alberti, S.; Roitberg, A. E.; Tretiak, S. Electronic Delocalization, Vibrational Dynamics, and Energy Transfer in Organic Chromophores. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, 8, 3020–3031.
- (2) Huang, K.; Rhys, A. Theory of Light Absorption and Non-Radiative Transitions in F-Centres. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.* **1950**, 204, 406–423.
- (3) Bozyigit, D.; Yazdani, N.; Yarema, M.; Yarema, O.; Lin, W. M. M.; Volk, S.; Vuttivorakulchai, K.; Luisier, M.; Juranyi, F.; Wood, V. Soft Surfaces of Nanomaterials Enable Strong Phonon Interactions. *Nature* **2016**, 531, 618–622.
- (4) Yazdani, N.; Bozyigit, D.; Vuttivorakulchai, K.; Luisier, M.; Wood, V. Tuning Electron-Phonon Interactions in Nanocrystals through Surface Termination. *Nano Lett.* **2018**, *accepted*, 1611.09930.
- (5) Schaller, R. D.; Pietryga, J. M.; Goupalov, S. V.; Petruska, M. A.; Ivanov, S. A.;

- Klimov, V. I. Breaking the Phonon Bottleneck in Semiconductor Nanocrystals via Multiphonon Emission Induced by Intrinsic Nonadiabatic Interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *95*, 1–4.
- (6) Ridley, B. K. Multiphonon, Non-Radiative Transition Rate for Electrons in Semiconductors and Insulators. *J. Phys. C Solid State Phys.* **1978**, *11*, 2323.
 - (7) Benduhn, J.; Tvingstedt, K.; Piersimoni, F.; Ullbrich, S.; Fan, Y.; Tropiano, M.; McGarry, K. A.; Zeika, O.; Riede, M. K.; Douglas, C. J.; *et al.* Intrinsic Non-Radiative Voltage Losses in Fullerene-Based Organic Solar Cells. *Nat. Energy* **2017**, *2*, 17053.
 - (8) Lin, S. H.; Chang, C. H.; Liang, K. K.; Chang, R.; Shiu, Y. J.; Zhang, J. M.; Yang, T.-S.; Hayashi, M.; Hsu, F. C. Ultrafast Dynamics and Spectroscopy of Bacterial Photosynthetic Reaction Centers. In *Advances in Chemical Physics*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, USA; pp. 1–88.
 - (9) Bixon, M.; Jortner, J. Electron Transfer—from Isolated Molecules to Biomolecules. In *Advances in Chemical Physics*; 1999; pp. 35–202.
 - (10) Ulstrup, J.; Jortner, J. The Effect of Intramolecular Quantum Modes on Free Energy Relationships for Electron Transfer Reactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1975**, *63*, 4358–4368.
 - (11) Nan, G.; Yang, X.; Wang, L.; Shuai, Z.; Zhao, Y. Nuclear Tunneling Effects of Charge Transport in Rubrene, Tetracene, and Pentacene. *Phys. Rev. B* **2009**, *79*, 115203.
 - (12) Moser, C. C.; Keske, J. M.; Warncke, K.; Farid, R. S.; Dutton, P. L. Nature of Biological Electron Transfer. *Nature* **1992**, *355*, 796–802.
 - (13) Campos, M. P.; Hendricks, M. P.; Beecher, A. N.; Walravens, W.; Swain, R. A.; Cleveland, G. T.; Hens, Z.; Sfeir, M. Y.; Owen, J. S. A Library of Selenourea Precursors to PbSe Nanocrystals with Size Distributions near the Homogeneous Limit. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 2296–2305.
 - (14) Caram, J. R.; Bertram, S. N.; Utzat, H.; Hess, W. R.; Carr, J. A.; Bischof, T. S.;

- Beyler, A. P.; Wilson, M. W. B.; Bawendi, M. G. PbS Nanocrystal Emission Is Governed by Multiple Emissive States. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, *16*, 6070–6077.
- (15) Szilagy, E.; Wittenberg, J. S.; Miller, T. A.; Lutker, K.; Quirin, F.; Lemke, H.; Zhu, D.; Chollet, M.; Robinson, J.; Wen, H.; *et al.* Visualization of Nanocrystal Breathing Modes at Extreme Strains. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 6577.
- (16) Lindenberg, A. M.; Johnson, S. L.; Reis, D. A. Visualization of Atomic-Scale Motions in Materials via Femtosecond X-Ray Scattering Techniques. *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.* **2017**, *47*, 425–449.
- (17) McKimmie, L. J.; Lincoln, C. N.; Jasieniak, J.; Smith, T. A. Three-Pulse Photon Echo Peak Shift Measurements of Capped CdSe Quantum Dots. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2010**, *114*, 82–88.
- (18) Lovesey, S. W. *Theory of Neutron Scattering from Condensed Matter*; Oxford University Press, 1984.
- (19) Bosak, A.; Chernyshov, D.; Wehinger, B.; Winkler, B.; Le Tacon, M.; Krisch, M. In-between Bragg Reflections: Thermal Diffuse Scattering and Vibrational Spectroscopy with X-Rays. *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.* **2015**, *48*, 504003.
- (20) Bosak, A.; Krisch, M. Phonon Density of States Probed by Inelastic X-Ray Scattering. *Phys. Rev. B - Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2005**, *72*, 1–9.
- (21) Bozyigit, D.; Yazdani, N.; Yarema, M.; Yarema, O.; Lin, W. M. M.; Volk, S.; Vuttivorakulchai, K.; Luisier, M.; Juranyi, F.; Wood, V. Soft Surfaces of Nanomaterials Enable Strong Phonon Interactions. *Nature* **2016**, *531*, 618–622.
- (22) Balandin, A.; Wang, K. L.; Kouklin, N.; Bandyopadhyay, S. Raman Spectroscopy of Electrochemically Self-Assembled CdS Quantum Dots. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2000**, *76*, 137–139.
- (23) Gammon, D.; Brown, S. W.; Snow, E. S.; Kennedy, T. A.; Katzer, D. S.; Park, D.

- Nuclear Spectroscopy in Single Quantum Dots: Nanoscopic Raman Scattering and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. *Science* (80-.). **1997**, 277, 85–88.
- (24) Girard, A.; Saviot, L.; Pedetti, S.; Tessier, M. D.; Margueritat, J.; Gehan, H.; Mahler, B.; Dubertret, B.; Mermet, A. The Mass Load Effect on the Resonant Acoustic Frequencies of Colloidal Semiconductor Nanoplatelets. *Nanoscale* **2016**, 8, 13251–13256.
- (25) Krauss, T. D.; Wise, F. W. Raman-Scattering Study of Exciton-Phonon Coupling in PbS Nanocrystals. *Phys. Rev. B* **1997**, 55, 9860–9865.
- (26) Milekhin, A.; Sveshnikova, L.; Duda, T.; Surovtsev, N.; Adichtchev, S.; Ding, L.; Zahn, D. R. T. Vibrational Spectra of Quantum Dots Formed by Langmuir–Blodgett Technique. *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B Nanotechnol. Microelectron. Mater. Process. Meas. Phenom. Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2010**, 28, 5–22.
- (27) Mork, A. J.; Lee, E. M. Y.; Dahod, N. S.; Willard, A. P.; Tisdale, W. A. Modulation of Low-Frequency Acoustic Vibrations in Semiconductor Nanocrystals through Choice of Surface Ligand. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2016**, acs.jpcclett.6b01659.
- (28) Miscuglio, M.; Lin, M.-L.; Di Stasio, F.; Tan, P.-H.; Krahne, R. Confined Acoustic Phonons in Colloidal Nanorod Heterostructures Investigated by Nonresonant Raman Spectroscopy and Finite Elements Simulations. *Nano Lett.* **2016**, 16, 7664–7670.
- (29) Yarema, M.; Yarema, O.; Lin, W. M. M.; Volk, S.; Yazdani, N.; Bozyigit, D.; Wood, V. Upscaling Colloidal Nanocrystal Hot-Injection Syntheses via Reactor Underpressure. *Chem. Mater.* **2016**, 29, 796–803.
- (30) Sears, V. F. Neutron Scattering Lengths and Cross Sections. *Neutron News* **1992**, 3, 26–37.
- (31) Boles, M. A.; Ling, D.; Hyeon, T.; Talapin, D. V. The Surface Science of Nanocrystals. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, 15, 141–153.

- (32) Talapin, D. V.; Lee, J.-S.; Kovalenko, M. V.; Shevchenko, E. V. Prospects of Colloidal Nanocrystals for Electronic and Optoelectronic Applications. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 389–458.
- (33) Goodwin, E. D.; Diroll, B. T.; Oh, S. J.; Paik, T.; Murray, C. B.; Kagan, C. R. Effects of Post-Synthesis Processing on CdSe Nanocrystals and Their Solids: Correlation between Surface Chemistry and Optoelectronic Properties. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2014**, *118*, 27097–27105.
- (34) Hassinen, A.; Moreels, I.; De Nolf, K.; Smet, P. F.; Martins, J. C.; Hens, Z. Short-Chain Alcohols Strip X-Type Ligands and Quench the Luminescence of PbSe and CdSe Quantum Dots, Acetonitrile Does Not. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20705–20712.
- (35) Kirmani, A. R.; Carey, G. H.; Abdelsamie, M.; Yan, B.; Cha, D.; Rollny, L. R.; Cui, X.; Sargent, E. H.; Amassian, A. Effect of Solvent Environment on Colloidal-Quantum-Dot Solar-Cell Manufacturability and Performance. *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 4717–4723.
- (36) Baron, A. Q. R. Introduction to High-Resolution Inelastic X-Ray Scattering. *arXiv* **2015**, *1504.01098*.
- (37) Baron, A. Phonons in Crystals Using Inelastic X-Ray Scattering. *arXiv Prepr. arXiv0910.5764* **2009**, *58*, 205–214.
- (38) Chuang, C.-H. M.; Brown, P. R.; Bulović, V.; Bawendi, M. G. Improved Performance and Stability in Quantum Dot Solar Cells through Band Alignment Engineering. *Nat. Mater.* **2014**, *13*, 796–801.
- (39) Brown, P. R.; Kim, D.; Lunt, R. R.; Zhao, N.; Bawendi, M. G.; Grossman, J. C.; Bulović, V. Energy Level Modification in Lead Sulfide Quantum Dot Thin Films through Ligand Exchange. *ACS Nano* **2014**, *8*, 5863–5872.

- (40) Cromer, D. T.; Mann, J. B. X-Ray Scattering Factors Computed from Numerical Hartree–Fock Wave Functions. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1968**, *24*, 321–324.
- (41) Kohn, V. G.; Chumakov, A. I. DOS: Evaluation of Phonon Density of States from Nuclear Resonant Inelastic Absorption. *Hyperfine Interact.* **2000**, *125*, 205–221.
- (42) Bozyigit, D.; Volk, S.; Yarema, O.; Wood, V. Quantification of Deep Traps in Nanocrystal Solids, Their Electronic Properties, and Their Influence on Device Behavior. *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 5284–5288.
- (43) Protesescu, L.; Yakunin, S.; Bodnarchuk, M. I.; Krieg, F.; Caputo, R.; Hendon, C. H.; Yang, R. X.; Walsh, A.; Kovalenko, M. V. Nanocrystals of Cesium Lead Halide Perovskites (CsPbX₃, X = Cl, Br, and I): Novel Optoelectronic Materials Showing Bright Emission with Wide Color Gamut. *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 3692–3696.
- (44) Nedelcu, G.; Protesescu, L.; Yakunin, S.; Bodnarchuk, M. I.; Grotevent, M. J.; Kovalenko, M. V. Fast Anion-Exchange in Highly Luminescent Nanocrystals of Cesium Lead Halide Perovskites (CsPbX₃, X = Cl, Br, I).
- (45) Weidman, M. C.; Seitz, M.; Stranks, S. D.; Tisdale, W. A. Highly Tunable Colloidal Perovskite Nanoplatelets through Variable Cation, Metal, and Halide Composition. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 7830–7839.
- (46) Song, J.; Li, J.; Li, X.; Xu, L.; Dong, Y.; Zeng, H. Quantum Dot Light-Emitting Diodes Based on Inorganic Perovskite Cesium Lead Halides (CsPbX₃). *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 7162–7167.
- (47) Swarnkar, A.; Marshall, A. R.; Sanhira, E. M.; Chernomordik, B. D.; Moore, D. T.; Christians, J. A.; Chakrabarti, T.; Luther, J. M. Quantum Dot-Induced Phase Stabilization of -CsPbI₃ Perovskite for High-Efficiency Photovoltaics. *Science* (80-.). **2016**, *354*, 92–95.
- (48) Yakunin, S.; Dirin, D. N.; Shynkarenko, Y.; Morad, V.; Cherniukh, I.; Nazarenko, O.;

- Kreil, D.; Nauser, T.; Kovalenko, M. V. Detection of Gamma Photons Using Solution-Grown Single Crystals of Hybrid Lead Halide Perovskites. *Nat. Photonics* **2016**, *10*, 585–589.
- (49) Huang, L.; Lambrecht, W. R. L. Lattice Dynamics in Perovskite Halides CsSnX₃ with X=I, Br, Cl. *Phys. Rev. B* **2014**, *90*, 195201.
- (50) Zhang, D.; Eaton, S. W.; Yu, Y.; Dou, L.; Yang, P. Solution-Phase Synthesis of Cesium Lead Halide Perovskite Nanowires. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 9230–9233.
- (51) ten Brinck, S.; Infante, I. Surface Termination, Morphology and Bright Photoluminescence of Cesium Lead Halide Perovskite Nanocrystals. *ACS Energy Lett.* **2016**, acsenergylett.6b00595.
- (52) Cottingham, P.; Brutchey, R. L. On the Crystal Structure of Colloidally Prepared CsPbBr₃ Quantum Dots. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 5246–5249.
- (53) Rodová, M.; Brožek, J.; Knížek, K.; Nitsch, K. Phase Transitions in Ternary Cesium Lead Bromide. *J. Therm. Anal. Calorim.* **2003**, *71*, 667–673.
- (54) Hines, M. a.; Scholes, G. D. Colloidal PbS Nanocrystals with Size-Tunable Near-Infrared Emission: Observation of Post-Synthesis Self-Narrowing of the Particle Size Distribution. *Adv. Mater.* **2003**, *15*, 1844–1849.
- (55) De Roo, J.; Ibáñez, M.; Geiregat, P.; Nedelcu, G.; Walravens, W.; Maes, J.; Martins, J. C.; Van Driessche, I.; Kovalenko, M. V.; Hens, Z. Highly Dynamic Ligand Binding and Light Absorption Coefficient of Cesium Lead Bromide Perovskite Nanocrystals. *ACS Nano* **2016**, *10*, 2071–2081.
- (56) Vandevondele, J.; Krack, M.; Mohamed, F.; Parrinello, M.; Chassaing, T.; Hutter, J. Quickstep: Fast and Accurate Density Functional Calculations Using a Mixed Gaussian and Plane Waves Approach. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **2005**, *167*, 103–128.
- (57) Lippert, G.; Hutter, J.; Parrinello, M. A Hybrid Gaussian and Plane Wave Density

- Functional Scheme. *Mol. Phys.* **1997**, 92, 477–488.
- (58) VandeVondele, J.; Hutter, J. Gaussian Basis Sets for Accurate Calculations on Molecular Systems in Gas and Condensed Phases. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, 127.
- (59) Hartwigsen, C.; Goedecker, S.; Hutter, J. Relativistic Separable Dual-Space Gaussian Pseudopotentials from H to Rn. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, 58, 3641–3662.
- (60) Bussi, G.; Donadio, D.; Parrinello, M. Canonical Sampling through Velocity Rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, 126, 14101.
- (61) Dove, M. T. *Introduction to Lattice Dynamics*; Cambridge University Press, 1993.

Figure 1: a) Schematic of energy and momentum conservation in an inelastic scattering process, where a probe of initial energy and momentum, $(E_{\gamma i}, \vec{p}_{\gamma i})$, scatters from the sample to a final energy and momentum state, $(E_{\gamma f}, \vec{p}_{\gamma f})$, transferring an energy, $E_{sf} = E_{\gamma i} - E_{\gamma f}$, and momentum, $\vec{p}_{sf} \equiv \vec{q} = \vec{p}_{\gamma i} - \vec{p}_{\gamma f}$, to the sample. (b) Photographs of lead-sulfide nanocrystals (PbS NC) samples for both INS and IXS. Samples for INS were comprised of ~9g of NC-solid powder, the IXS samples consist of ~50nm of PbS NC-solid thin films on 5mmx5mm diamond substrates. (c) Illustration of the dependence of the sampling of $g(E)$ of a polycrystalline material from IXS as a function of q , using a 2D hexagonal lattice as an example. (d) Schematic of the setup of the IXS experiment at the ID28 beamline at ESRF.

Figure 2: a) Top: the atomic structure factor weighted density of vibrational states, $\tilde{g}(E)$, extracted from IXS for the PbS/EDT NC-solid thin film. Error-bars represented by shading. Bottom: the density of vibrational states, $g(E)$, measured via INS on PbS/EDT NC-solid powder. The low energy portion of are fit with a sum of two Gaussians (black dashed lines). b) Top: AIMD calculated $g(E)$ for bulk PbS and a 1.2nm PbS/methanethiol together with partial $g(E)$ for each element. Bottom: The expected $\tilde{g}(E)$, computed from the AIMD results using eq. 1, is also shown. c) DFT calculated $g(E)$ for bulk PbS. INS results and bulk PbS DFT calculations were adapted from Bozyigit et. al.³, while the AIMD results were adapted from Yazdani et. al.⁴

Figure 3: a) The atomic structure factor weighted density of vibrational states, $\tilde{g}(E)$, measured for IXS for the PbS NC-solid thin films with EDT, Cl, Br, and I surface termination (curves offset for clarity). Error-bars represented by shading. b) $\tilde{g}(E)$ expected for each computed from the AIMD results of 1.2nm NCs⁴. The atomistic models for the AIMD calculations and a depiction of the surface termination on the [111] facets of the NCs is shown on the right.

Figure 4: c) Vibrational density of states of CsPbBr₃ NCs, $\tilde{g}(E)$, measured from IXS. Errors bars are represented by the shading. b) The phonon density of states for bulk CsPbBr₃ (black line), in the orthorhombic phase, computed by AIMD along with the partial density of states for each atom type (Cs = blue dashed; Pb = brown; Br = red dotted). The inset shows the CsPbBr₃ crystal structure. c) The atomic structure factor weighted density of vibrational states, $\tilde{g}(E)$, for bulk CsPbBr₃ (brown solid line) computed from the AIMD results to reflect what we expect from IXS measurements. The dashed line shows the atomic structure factor weighted partial density of vibrational states for the outer 3 atomic layers of a CsPbBr₃ NC (see **Supporting Information SI-5**)