Positive synergy of SF6 and HFO1234ze(E)

Journal Article

Author(s):

Hösl, Andreas ; Pachin, Juriy ; Egüz, Eda ; Chachereau, Alise ; Franck, Christian

Publication date:

2020-02

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000353101>

Rights / license:

[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)

Originally published in:

IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation 27(1), <https://doi.org/10.1109/TDEI.2019.008406>

Positive Synergy of SF₆ and HFO1234ze(E)

Andreas Hösl, Juriy Pachin, Eda Egüz,
Alise Chachereau and Christian M. Franck

ETH Zurich - High Voltage Laboratory
Physikstrasse 3
8092 Zurich, Switzerland

ABSTRACT

We measured mixtures of SF₆ and HFO1234ze(E) (C₃H₂F₄) and determined the density-reduced critical electric field strength $(E/N)_{\text{crit}}$ in a pulsed Townsend experiment. HFO1234ze(E) is an environmentally friendly alternative to SF₆ since contrary to SF₆, its global warming potential is negligible. While SF₆ is unsurpassed in its efficiency of attaching thermal electrons, HFO1234ze(E) appears to moderate electron energies very efficiently. Electron attachment to HFO1234ze(E), on the other hand, is much weaker. We find a positive synergism in SF₆/HFO1234ze(E) mixtures in the sense that the mixture of both compounds has a higher electric field strength than each gas separately. A maximum $(E/N)_{\text{crit}}$ of 425 Td is reached for a mixture of 40% SF₆ and 60% HFO1234ze(E). A mixture of 90% HFO1234ze(E) and 10% SF₆ has a critical electric field strength of 360 Td, similar to pure SF₆. We attribute this effect to the high efficiency of HFO1234ze(E) in moderating down the electron energies, which enhances electron attachment to SF₆ and reduces electron impact ionization.

Index Terms — gas discharges, gas insulation, SF₆, alternative gases

1 INTRODUCTION

SF₆ is the current standard in industry for gaseous electrical insulation in medium and high voltage equipment [1]. Due to its high global warming potential (GWP) of 23,800 (compared to CO₂ at equal mass on a time horizon of 100 years) it is desirable to find gases or gas mixtures which have a lower GWP, while retaining the high electric strength of SF₆.

There is a long history of mixing SF₆ with other gases, in order to optimize their electric strength. Some of these mixtures are known to have higher electric strength than either of their components taken separately; this effect is often termed “positive synergy” [2]. An overview is given by Hunter and Christophorou in [3], featuring gases such as c-C₄F₈, SO₂, C₃F₈, OCS, and C₃F₆. However, all of these compounds are either highly toxic or have a high GWP themselves.

The main motivation for these investigations in the 1980s was mostly the improvement of the insulation gas, and the moderate benefit might not have outweighed the additional cost, considering for instance the more complicated gas handling. Today, the replacement of at least a large fraction of SF₆ may become imperative, due to the strong global warming effect and technically unavoidable leakage. The search for suitable mixing gases can also be extended in new directions, as for instance partially fluorinated compounds, which are now commercially available and produced in large scale.

In a recent publication on measurements of the compound R1225ye(Z) [4], we revisited literature on the three structurally similar compounds HFO1234ze(E), R1225ye(Z) (C₃HF₅) and C₃F₆ (boiling points at 100kPa of -19°C [5], -19.6°C [6], -29.6°C [7], respectively), all featuring a C=C-C backbone. While C₃F₆ is fully fluorinated, one and two hydrogen atoms remain in R1225ye(Z) and HFO1234ze(E). Despite the seemingly small difference, C₃F₆ is contrary to HFO1234ze(E) and R1225ye(Z) highly toxic [8].

Previous measurements of C₃F₆ [9], and our measurements of R1225ye(Z) [4] and HFO1234ze(E) [10] showed that these three compounds feature a lower ionization rate coefficient compared to SF₆ by a factor of 3 to 10 in their respective measurement range around the electric field strength. This presumably holds quite generally over a wider E/N range, and is very desirable for a gas intended for gaseous electrical insulation. The likely explanation is a very efficient moderation of electrons and thus a lower average electron energy in HFO1234ze(E) and R1225ye(Z) discharges, compared to SF₆ at equal field strength.

However, since also the attachment rate coefficient at critical field of these compounds is one to two orders of magnitudes lower than that of SF₆, their critical electric field strength is overall lower. HFO1234ze(E) and R1225ye(Z) owe their moderately high critical field strength not to a good electron attachment capability, but rather to their excellent electron energy moderation.

Besides dissociative attachment, C₃F₆ is known to exhibit a strong three-body electron attachment processes, leading to a pressure dependency of its critical field strength. We found a similar, yet weaker, pressure dependency in both HFO1234ze(E) [10] and R1225ye(Z) [4], indicating a much lower efficiency of the presumed three-body attachment process. We concluded that at

relevant application pressure this three-body component would have a measurable, yet limited effect.

2 METHOD

We use a pulsed Townsend experiment as described in [11], yielding characteristic current pulses from which we obtain the effective ionization rate coefficient. The measurement is performed for each gas mixture over a large range of reduced field strength values E/N . From this, we deduce the density-reduced field strength $(E/N)_{crit}$. The methods of analysis are described in previous works [10, 15].

3 RESULTS

The critical electric field strength of $SF_6/HFO1234ze(E)$ mixtures is shown in Figure 1. Results at low pressures of 360-480 Pa (blue circles) agree hereby well with measurements at higher pressures of 4-12 kPa (red crosses).

The obtained positive synergy is striking, and we measure a density-reduced critical field strength $(E/N)_{crit}$ of 425 Td for the optimal mixture of 60% $HFO1234ze(E)$ and 40% SF_6 , while at roughly 10% of SF_6 and 90% of $HFO1234ze(E)$ the same strength as pure SF_6 is obtained.

Breakdown measurements in SF_6/C_3F_6 from [2] (black squares) show a very similar trend. The maximum, however, is less pronounced.

The synergy coefficient, i.e. the ratio between the actual $(E/N)_{crit}$ and the linear interpolation of the strength of the pure compounds by mole fraction (assuming 360Td for SF_6 and 200Td for $HFO1234ze(E)$), is given as the green dashed line. The most significant increase is observed at 10-20% SF_6 , where the coefficient reaches values around 1.75.

Figure 1. Critical electric field strength $(E/N)_{crit}$ of mixtures of SF_6 with $HFO1234ze(E)$. In blue circles, a measurement series at pressures of 360-480 Pa is shown. In red crosses, we used higher, variable pressures from 4-12 kPa. In black squares, breakdown results $(E/N)_{bd}$ of James *et al* [2] for the mixture of SF_6 with C_3F_6 are given at a pressure of 67 kPa. The synergy coefficient is plotted with scale on the right-hand side.

4 DISCUSSION

The present results confirm that positive synergism occurs in $SF_6/HFO1234ze(E)$ mixtures, in direct analogy to older results for SF_6/C_3F_6 mixtures. For the latter it was suggested that three-body attachment [8] mechanisms were the origin of the positive synergy [3], yet this explanation does not seem to fit with $HFO1234ze(E)$, for which the three-body electron attachment

is comparatively weak. Furthermore, we observe the positive synergy at low pressures, where pressure dependent effects are negligible.

Our results rather indicate that the observed positive synergy is simply due to the good moderation of electron energies by compounds with C=C-C backbone. In a gaseous electrical discharge, electrons constantly gain kinetic energy by acceleration in the electric field, and lose energy in collisions with neutral molecules. Inelastic and elastic processes transfer some of the electron energy to the gas, and thus moderate the electron energy down. The balance between acceleration and deceleration results in an electron energy distribution function (eedf), which depends only on the applied reduced electric field strength E/N , and the gas properties. In particular, the inelastic processes such as vibrational excitation of a molecule by electron impact, differ strongly in between gases. It appears now that the eedf of gases with C=C double bonds is particularly low. Fitting with this, the early comparative studies of insulation gases [13, 14] suggested an improved performance of gases with double bond compared to saturated gases. When mixing such gases with SF_6 , the lower mole fraction of SF_6 is over-compensated by lower mean electron energies, leading to both lower ionization and a higher attachment efficiency of SF_6 molecules.

5 CONCLUSION

A significant reduction of SF_6 in medium pressure equipment could be achieved without loss of electric strength, by replacing a large fraction of SF_6 with $HFO1234ze(E)$. This could have an immediate environmental benefit. In high voltage equipment, the insulation gas is utilized at higher pressures, and the moderate vapor pressure of $HFO1234ze(E)$ limits the amount of SF_6 that could be replaced [12]. Here, a further candidate for a moderating gas with C=C-C backbone could be the isomer $HFO1234yf$, which has a better (i.e. lower) boiling point of $-30^\circ C$, yet higher flammability compared to $HFO1234ze(E)$.

It further seems worthwhile to also investigate synergism of $HFO1234ze(E)$ with alternative gases such as C_4F_7N and $C_5F_{10}O$. Due to the low boiling points of these compounds, they are deployed in mixture with either synthetic air or CO_2 , and an additional moderating gas such as $HFO1234ze(E)$ in the mix would not necessarily complicate gas handling by much.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work is financially supported by GE Grid (Switzerland) GmbH, Pfiffner Technologie AG, ABB Switzerland Ltd and Siemens AG.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Rabie and C. M. Franck, "Assessment of eco-friendly gases for electrical insulation to replace the most potent industrial greenhouse gas SF_6 ," *Environmental science & technology*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 369-380, 2018.
- [2] D. James, L. Christophorou and R. Mathis, "New unitary and multicomponent gaseous dielectrics," *Gaseous dielectrics II*, pp. 115-127, 1980.

- [3] S. Hunter and L. Christophorou, "Pressure-dependent electron attachment and breakdown strengths of unary gases and synergism of binary gas mixtures: A relationship.," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 4377-4385, 1985.
- [4] J. Pachin, A. Hösl and C. Franck, "Measurements of the electron swarm parameters of R1225ye(Z) (C3HF5) and its mixtures with N2 and CO2," *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, vol. 52, no. 23, p. 235204, 2019.
- [5] L. Fedele, D. G. Nicola, J. S. Brown, L. Colla and S. Bobbo, "Saturated pressure measurements of cis-pentauoroprop-1-ene (R1225ye(Z)),," *Int. J. Refrigeration*, vol. 69, pp. 243-250, 2016.
- [6] J. S. Brown, D. G. Nicola, C. Zilio, L. Fedele, S. Bobbo and F. Polonara, "Subcooled liquid density measurements and PvT measurements in the vapor phase for trans-1,3,3,3-tetrafluoroprop-1-ene (R1234ze (E)),," *J. Chemical and Engineering Data*, vol. 57, no. 12, pp. 3710-20, 2012.
- [7] GESTIS, "GESTIS-Database on hazardous substances: information system on hazardous substances of the German social accident insurance," 2015.
- [8] "Technical Report No. 48, Hexafluoropropylene," ECETOC JACC, 2014.
- [9] T. Aschwanden, "Die Ermittlung physikalischer Entladungparameter in Isoliergasen und Isoliergasgemischen mit einer verbesserten swarm-Methode," Doctoral dissertation, ETH Zurich, 1985.
- [10] A. Chachereau, M. Rabie and C. M. Franck, "Electron swarm parameters of the hydrofluoroolefine HFO1234ze," *Plasma Sources Science and Technology*, vol. 25.4, p. 045005, 2016.
- [11] P. Häfliger and C. Franck, "Detailed precision and accuracy analysis of swarm parameters from a pulsed Townsend experiment," *Review. Sci. Instr.*, vol. 89, no. 2, p. 023114, 2018.
- [12] M. Rabie and C. M. Franck, "Comparison of Gases for Electrical Insulation: Fundamental Concepts," *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.*, vol. 25, no. 2, p.p. 649-656, April 2018.
- [13] J. Devins, "Replacement gases for SF6," *IEEE Transact. Electr. Insul.*, vol. 2, pp. 81-86, 1980.
- [14] R. Wooton and M. Kegelmann, "Gases superior to SF6," Electric power research institute, 1982.
- [15] A. Hösl, P. Häfliger and C. Franck, "Measurements of ionization, attachment, detachment and charge transfer rate coefficients in dry air around the critical electric field," *J. Phys. D*, vol. 50, no. 48, p. 485207, 2017.