Biomolecular structure refinement based on adaptive restraints using local-elevation simulation

Journal Article**Author(s):**

Christen, Markus; Keller, Bettina; van Gunsteren, Wilfred F.

Publication date:

2007

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000003691>

Rights / license:

In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted

Originally published in:

Journal of Biomolecular NMR 39(4), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10858-007-9194-2>

Biomolecular structure refinement based on adaptive restraints using local-elevation simulation

Markus Christen · Bettina Keller · Wilfred F. van Gunsteren

Received: 2 April 2007 / Accepted: 30 July 2007 / Published online: 11 October 2007
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2007

Abstract Introducing experimental values as restraints into molecular dynamics (MD) simulation to bias the values of particular molecular properties, such as nuclear Overhauser effect intensities or distances, dipolar couplings, 3J -coupling constants, chemical shifts or crystallographic structure factors, towards experimental values is a widely used structure refinement method. Because multiple torsion angle values ϕ correspond to the same 3J -coupling constant and high-energy barriers are separating those, restraining 3J -coupling constants remains difficult. A method to adaptively enforce restraints using a local elevation (LE) potential energy function is presented and applied to 3J -coupling constant restraining in an MD simulation of hen egg-white lysozyme (HEWL). The method successfully enhances sampling of the restrained torsion angles until the 37 experimental 3J -coupling constant values are reached, thereby also improving the agreement with the 1,630 experimental NOE atom–atom distance upper bounds. Afterwards the torsional angles ϕ are kept restrained by the built-up local-elevation potential energies.

Keywords Molecular dynamics simulation · 3J -coupling constant · Local-elevation search · Restrained simulation · Hen egg white lysozyme · GROMOS · Force field · Structure determination

Introduction

Experimental techniques such as X-ray diffraction and NMR spectroscopy are widely used to derive structural information from molecules in solution, solid state or in crystal form. These experimental methods have in common that the values of observable quantities are averages over time and over an ensemble of molecules. It may even not be possible to come up with a single physically plausible structure or conformation reproducing all experimental values (Jardetzky 1980; Nanzer et al. 1994). Therefore, the corresponding properties of an MD simulation should be calculated as time averages and when restraints are applied, those should reproduce the experimental values on average (Torda et al. 1989). The latter can be achieved by adding a penalty function V^{restr} to the physical force field V^{phys} of the MD simulation (Kaptein et al. 1985),

$$V(\mathbf{r}(t)) = V^{\text{phys}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) + V^{\text{restr}}(\mathbf{r}(t)), \quad (1)$$

using a penalty function V^{restr} of the form (Scott et al. 1998)

$$V^{\text{restr}}(\mathbf{r}(t)) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\text{restr}}} 1/2K_k^{qr} (q_k(\mathbf{r}(t)) - q_k^0)^2 \left(\overline{q_k(\mathbf{r}(t))} - q_k^0 \right)^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\overline{q(\mathbf{r}(t))}$ may be a weighted average during the simulation (Torda et al. 1989) and $q(\mathbf{r}(t))$ is any of the above mentioned observables (van Gunsteren et al. 1999). By introduction of the first quadratic factor of Eq. 2, the functional form given here avoids generating large artificial structural fluctuations, as observed when using standard time-averaging 3J -value restraints (Torda et al. 1993; Nanzer et al. 1997).

M. Christen · B. Keller · W. F. van Gunsteren (✉)
Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, Swiss Federal Institute of
Technology Zürich, ETH-Zürich, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland
e-mail: wfvgn@igc.phys.chem.ethz.ch

The 3J -coupling constants are usually calculated using the Karplus relation (Karplus 1959)

$$J(\theta(\mathbf{r}(t))) = a \cos^2 \theta + b \cos \theta + c, \quad (3)$$

where θ is the torsion angle defined by the four covalently bound atoms that determine a particular 3J -coupling constant. This relation is of approximative nature and the constants a , b and c are generally calibrated by fitting measured 3J -values for molecules whose dihedral angles are known from crystal structures (de Marco et al. 1978; Pardi et al. 1984; Brüschweiler and Case 1994; Wang and Bax 1996) or inferred from NMR data (Schmidt et al. 1999). Since this Karplus relation is multi-valued for almost all except the very large and very small 3J values and the average $\overline{^3J(\theta(\mathbf{r}))}$ is very nonlinear with respect to the average in θ , restraining using a standard penalty function may lead to unrealistic results (Scott et al. 1998; van Gunsteren et al. 1999; Nanzer et al. 1997). Moreover, high-energy barriers between different conformations or θ -angle values may inhibit a proper sampling of the various θ -angle ranges that contribute to the measured averaged 3J -values. These features of the relation between 3J -values and dihedral angles have made their use in biomolecular structure refinement problematic. Here a solution to this problem is proposed.

In the next section, the new restraining method is explained, followed by an application of 3J -value restraining to hen egg-white lysozyme and by a short discussion.

Theory

During a molecular dynamics simulation, the current (instantaneous) and average 3J -coupling constants can be monitored. For this, the 3J -values are expressed in terms of dihedral angles ϕ that are defined by non-hydrogen atoms of the molecule. Such an angle ϕ differs by a phase shift δ from the angle θ ($\theta = \phi + \delta$, for the precise definition used by us see (van Gunsteren et al. 1996; Scott et al. 1999)). The average is calculated using an exponentially decaying memory function, which results in a larger impact of recent 3J -values on the average,

$$\overline{J}(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \frac{1}{1 - \exp(-t/\tau)} \int_0^t \exp\left(-\frac{t' - t}{\tau}\right) J(t') dt' \quad (4)$$

with τ the memory relaxation time (which determines how fast the memory decays) and $^3J(t)$ the calculated 3J -value at time t (Torda et al. 1989, 1993). If the average (Eq. 4) and the experimental 3J -value do not match, a local (limited range) potential energy term for the dihedral angle corresponding to the particular 3J -coupling constant is

introduced and increased in size until the dihedral angle changes value. In other words, as long as the calculated and experimental 3J -values do not match, the dihedral angle is forced away from the range of values that were sampled up till now in the simulation. This idea derives from local-elevation (le) search (Huber et al. 1994) in which the potential energy of already visited parts of configuration space is raised in order to avoid repetitive sampling of the same parts of configuration space in the simulation.

The mathematical and algorithmic formulation of the proposed method is the following. Whenever the simulated average of the 3J -value and the current 3J -value do not fulfill the experimental observation, the force constant of a penalty function, acting on the torsion angle ϕ and its current value $\phi(t)$, is increased. The restraining potential energy function of a given (k th) 3J -value is a sum of N_{le} (local) terms

$$V_k^{Jres}(\phi_k(\mathbf{r}(t))) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{le}} V_{ki}^{le}(\phi_k(t)), \quad (5)$$

where, as in local-elevation conformational search (Huber et al. 1994), Gaussian functions centred at ϕ_{ki}^0 are used as (locally active, i.e. only around ϕ^0) penalty terms:

$$V_{ki}^{le}(\phi_k(\mathbf{r}(t))) = K^{Jres} w_{\phi_{ki}}(t) \exp\left(-(\phi_k(t) - \phi_{ki}^0)^2 / 2(\Delta\phi^0)^2\right), \quad (6)$$

where $w_{\phi_{ki}}(t)$ is the weight of the i th penalty function and K^{Jres} the penalty function force constant. The centres ϕ_{ki}^0 of the Gaussian functions V_{ki}^{le} are equally distributed over the range of possible values of ϕ_k ($\phi_{ki}^0 = 2\pi i/N_{le}$ with $i = 1, \dots, N_{le}$), and the width is given by $\Delta\phi^0 = 2\pi/N_{le}$.

The weight of the penalty function is accumulated during the simulation according to

$$w_{\phi_{ki}}(t) = t^{-1} \int_0^t \delta_{\phi_k(\mathbf{r}(t')) \phi_{ki}^0} (J(\phi_k(\mathbf{r}(t'))) - J_k^0)^2 \left(\overline{J}(\phi_k(\mathbf{r}(t'))) - J_k^0 \right)^2 dt', \quad (7)$$

using a biquadratic term (Scott et al. 1998) $(J(\phi_k(t)) - J_k^0)^2 (\overline{J}(\phi_k(t)) - J_k^0)^2$ to determine whether the 3J -value deviates from the experimentally observed one (J_k^0) with $\phi_k(\mathbf{r}(t))$ being the torsion angle corresponding to the 3J -coupling constant J_k and δ the Kronecker delta, which is defined using finite differences:

$$\delta_{\phi_k(t) \phi_{ki}^0} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_{ki}^0 - \Delta\phi^0/2 \leq \phi_k(t) < \phi_{ki}^0 + \Delta\phi^0/2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Equation 7 ensures that the conformation is pushed away from ϕ_{ki}^0 unless either the average $\overline{J}(\phi_k(t))$ or the

current value $J(\phi_k(t))$ are close to the experimental one, which leads sooner or later to an average close to the experimental 3J_k -value J_k^0 .

It is straightforward to calculate the force resulting from V_k^{Jres} on particle q :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{f}_q &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_q} V_k^{\text{Jres}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{le}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_k} V_{ki}^{\text{le}} \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \mathbf{r}_q} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{le}}} V_{ki}^{\text{le}} \frac{(\phi_k - \phi_{ki}^0)}{(\Delta \phi^0)^2} \frac{\partial \phi_k}{\partial \mathbf{r}_q}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Contrary to the original local-elevation method (Huber et al. 1994), using penalty functions to enforce restraints does not suffer from combinatorial explosion with increasing number of local-elevation degrees of freedom, as all restraints are treated independently.

In practice, flat bottom restraining can be achieved by only increasing the penalty function force constants $w_{\phi_{ki}(t)}$ if the 3J -value deviates more than a given value ΔJ^0 from the experimental value J_k^0 . For the instantaneous factor of the penalty function this leads to

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\text{inst,Jrest}}(J(\phi_k(t))) &= \begin{cases} (J(\phi_k(t)) - J_k^0 - \Delta J^0)^2 & \text{for } J(\phi_k(t)) > J_k^0 + \Delta J^0 \\ (J(\phi_k(t)) - J_k^0 + \Delta J^0)^2 & \text{for } J(\phi_k(t)) < J_k^0 - \Delta J^0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and accordingly for the time-averaging factor

$$\begin{aligned} V^{\text{avg,Jrest}}(\bar{J}(\phi_k(t))) &= \begin{cases} (\bar{J}(\phi_k(t)) - J_k^0 - \Delta J^0)^2 & \text{for } \bar{J}(\phi_k(t)) > J_k^0 + \Delta J^0 \\ (\bar{J}(\phi_k(t)) - J_k^0 + \Delta J^0)^2 & \text{for } \bar{J}(\phi_k(t)) < J_k^0 - \Delta J^0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Methods and results

The protein hen egg-white lysozyme was recently used to validate (Oostenbrink et al. 2005; Soares et al. 2004) the GROMOS (Christen et al. 2005; Scott et al. 1999; van Gunsteren et al. 1996) 53A6 force field (Oostenbrink et al. 2004), and the 45A3 parameter set (Schuler et al. 2001). Among the experimental data used was a set of hundred ${}^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants (Smith et al. 1991). Here only a subset of 37 ${}^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants that were assigned stereospecifically (Smith et al. 1991), which can therefore be used in 3J -value restraining, were considered (see Table 1). We note that it is the χ_1 side-chain torsional angle that plays the role of the restrained angle ϕ in Eqs. 5–11. Short

simulations in vacuo using the X-ray structure as starting configuration showed 14 3J -coupling constants with a deviation higher than 2 Hz. Trying to reduce the deviation from experiment using 3J -value restraining was only partially successful. We did not succeed in finding a good value of the force constant for any one of the restraining methods (instantaneous, time-averaged or biquadratic restraining penalty function) that would bring all 3J -coupling constants close to the experimental values without seriously changing the secondary structure. Two issues needed to be addressed: First, to be able to use a minimal restraining force constant, the latter should be adjusted individually for each 3J -value restraint. Second, to reproduce the experimental 3J -value and escape local minima of the physical or restraint potential energy surface enhanced sampling of the corresponding torsional angle may be required. Adaptive restraints using local-elevation satisfy both requirements, as the force constant of the restraining penalty function is, if necessary, slowly built up during the simulation for each restraint. Furthermore, the restraining is achieved by pushing the simulation away from already visited conformations with 3J -coupling constants different from the experimental ones. In other words, sampling is enhanced for dihedral angle degrees of freedom with wrong 3J -values.

First, the sensitivity of the method with regard to the parameters K^{Jres} , ΔJ^0 and N_{le} was investigated. From a short 100 ps unrestrained simulation of lysozyme in vacuo, using a time step size of 2 fs and constraining bond lengths by the SHAKE (Ryckaert et al. 1977) algorithm, an average violation of 1,630 NOE distance upper bounds (Soares et al. 2004; Schwalbe et al. 2001) of 0.016 nm and a root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) for the 37 selected ${}^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants of 3.2 Hz were obtained. Then, a total of 36 simulations, each starting from the X-ray structure and lasting 100 ps, with all combinations of values for $K^{\text{Jres}} = 0.1, 0.05, 0.01, 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$, $\Delta J^0 = 0.5, 0.75, 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ and $\Delta \phi^0 = 30^\circ, 20^\circ, 10^\circ$ ($N_{\text{le}} = 12, 18, 36$), were used to determine whether significant improvement in the RMSD for the ${}^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants could be obtained without disrupting the structure, measured by the average violation of 1,630 experimental NOE distance upper bounds (see Fig. 1). Colours in the figure correspond to equal force constants (red for $K^{\text{Jres}} = 0.1 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$, blue for 0.05 Hz^{-4} , yellow for 0.01 Hz^{-4} and green for 0.005 Hz^{-4}), and line-styles to an equal number of intervals (solid for 12 intervals, dashed for 18 and dotted for 36) or local-elevation Gaussians per dihedral angle. The three values connected by a line use, from low to high 3J -value RMSD, an allowed deviation of $\Delta J^0 = 0.5 \text{ Hz}$ for the first, $\Delta J^0 = 0.75 \text{ Hz}$ for the second and $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ for the last value. Using 36 intervals, all simulations did better or equal in NOE violations (the value of the unrestrained simulation is

Table 1 Subset of 37 $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants (in Hz) which could be assigned stereospecifically for hen-egg white lysozyme (Smith et al. 1991) and are used in (local-elevation) 3J -value restraining

Residue			$^3J^0$	Residue			$^3J^0$
Name	Number	Proton		Name	Number	Proton	
Val	2	β	10.8	Phe	3	β_3	3.0
Cys	6	β_2	11.5	His	15	β_2	11.2
Asp	18	β_3	11.0	Tyr	20	β_3	11.7
Tyr	23	β_2	10.9	Asn	27	β_2	10.3
Val	29	β	11.1	Cys	30	β_2	5.3
Phe	34	β_3	5.0	Asn	39	β_2	4.5
Thr	40	β	4.5	Thr	43	β	3.7
Asn	46	β_3	4.7	Thr	47	β	2.6
Asp	48	β_2	2.6	Thr	51	β	9.3
Asp	52	β_2	11.6	Tyr	53	β_2	10.4
Asn	59	β_2	5.4	Arg	61	β_3	10.8
Asp	66	β_3	4.5	Thr	69	β	9.3
Leu	75	β_3	2.1	Asp	87	β_2	5.1
Ile	88	β	4.5	Thr	89	β	9.5
Val	92	β	10.1	Cys	94	β_2	4.0
Val	99	β	6.3	Val	109	β	8.0
Thr	118	β	4.2	Asp	119	β_2	4.9
Trp	123	β_2	10.6	Ile	124	β	4.6
Cys	127	β_2	11.6				

The β_2 and β_3 protons are defined according to standard rules (Markley et al. 1998). The experimental error is about 1 Hz (Smith et al. 1991)

indicated by the dotted black line), and even with an allowed deviation of ΔJ^0 of 1 Hz, satisfactory $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants were obtained. Using sufficiently small force constants and enough intervals resulted in lower average NOE upper bound violations.

In Fig. 2 the root-mean-square deviation over the set of 37 selected 3J -coupling constants during 100 ns of MD simulation of lysozyme in vacuo is shown. The solid black line, denoting an unrestrained simulation, shows an increase in deviation from experiment. All other lines correspond to adaptively restrained simulations, the thin line with a force constant K^{Jres} of 0.005 Hz^{-4} and an acceptable deviation of $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$, the dashed one with a force constant of 0.1 Hz^{-4} and an acceptable deviation of 0.5 Hz and the dotted one with $K^{\text{Jres}} = 0.05 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$ and $\Delta J^0 = 0.75 \text{ Hz}$. All use 36 intervals to discretize ϕ ($N_{\text{le}} = 36$). The time-averaging memory relaxation time τ (Eq. 4) used in all restrained simulations was 5 ps. (Torda et al. 1993; Nanzer et al. 1995). All combinations of parameters improve the RMSD of the 3J -coupling constants within the first 30 ps. The longer the simulation is, the lower the force constant K^{Jres} may be to perturb the system as little as possible.

Comparing the evolution of selected angles ϕ during the simulation, three observations can be made: First, when starting from a configuration with a 3J -coupling constant

far from the experimental value, rotation around the corresponding dihedral angle is immediate. An example is shown in Fig. 3, panel C. The upper half shows the restraining potential energy after 100 ps simulation time, the lower half the time series of the corresponding dihedral angle (black dots denote the adaptively restrained, red dots the unrestrained simulation). It represents $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ of Thr(89), with an experimental value of $J^0 = 9.5 \text{ Hz}$. The unrestrained simulation results in an average of 2.5 Hz whereas the adaptively restrained simulation gives 9.9 Hz (see also Table 2). Second, enhanced sampling until the 3J value matches the experimental data, or permanently, if the experimental value is an average over two (or more) states, is achieved (panel D: $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ of Val(109), experimental: 8.0 Hz, unrestrained: 3.2 Hz, restrained 8.2 Hz; panel B: $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ of Thr(69), experimental: 9.3 Hz, unrestrained: 12.5 Hz, restrained 9.8 Hz). And third, if the 3J -value is close to the experimental one from the beginning, the corresponding dihedral angle is kept restrained to its value (panel A: $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ of Thr(51), experimental: 9.3 Hz, unrestrained 4.3 Hz, restrained: 9.7 Hz). The root-mean-square fluctuation of the torsion angle ϕ , once the correct conformation is found, is in the same order of magnitude for the restrained as for the unrestrained simulations.

Using restraints, the atom-positional root-mean-square deviation from the initial (X-ray) structure (considering

Fig. 1 Average of the NOE distance upper bound violations as a function of the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of a set of 37 selected (see Table 1) $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants from experimental values for different force constants $K^{J_{\text{res}}} = 0.100, 0.050, 0.010, 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$ and different number of intervals $N_{\text{le}} = 12, 18, 36$ with corresponding $\Delta\phi^0 =$

30, 20, 10 degree. On each line the first value represents an allowed deviation of $\Delta J^0 = 0.50 \text{ Hz}$, the second $\Delta J^0 = 0.75 \text{ Hz}$ and the third $\Delta J^0 = 1.00 \text{ Hz}$. The average NOE bound violation of the free simulation is indicated by the dotted black line. The RMSD of the $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constant in the free simulation is 3.2 Hz

Fig. 2 Root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of a set of 37 selected (see Table 1) $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants from experimental values (Smith et al. 1991). The solid line is the root-mean-square deviation during an unrestrained simulation. All other lines are from simulations making use of local-elevation adaptive restraints. The thin line denotes use of a force constant $K^{J_{\text{res}}} = 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$ and an allowed

deviation of $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$, the dashed line use of a force constant $K^{J_{\text{res}}} = 0.1 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$ and an allowed deviation of $\Delta J^0 = 0.5 \text{ Hz}$, the dotted line use of a force constant $K^{J_{\text{res}}} = 0.05 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$ and acceptable deviation of $\Delta J^0 = 0.75 \text{ Hz}$. All are using $N_{\text{le}} = 36$ intervals to discretise ϕ , corresponding to a $\Delta\phi^0 = 10^\circ$

only backbone atoms) decreases from 0.15 nm (unrestrained) to 0.12 nm in the restrained simulation (see Figs. 4 and 5). The atom-positional fluctuations are comparable. The secondary structure assignment shows no major loss in the overall structure of lysozyme, even though vacuum boundary conditions were used.

For the restrained simulation ($K^{J_{\text{res}}} = 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$, $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ and $N_{\text{le}} = 36$), a total of 1,630 inter-proton distances

corresponding to NOE intensities (Soares et al. 2004; Schwalbe et al. 2001) have been analysed. We note that this set was the result of a slight revision (Soares et al. 2004) of a set of 1,632 NOE intensities (Schwalbe et al. 2001). These distance upper bounds include pseudo-atom corrections (Wüthrich et al. 1983) and the distances were determined from the simulations using r^{-3} averaging (Tropp 1980). Their distribution is shown in Fig. 6 as

Fig. 3 The local-elevation potential energy functions for four selected $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants at the end of 100 ps of an adaptively restrained simulation ($K^{\text{Jres}} = 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$, $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ and $N_{\text{le}} = 36$) are shown (Thr(51), Thr(69), Thr(89) and Val(109) corresponding to panel A, B, C and D, upper half). The lower half depicts the time series (0.2 ps intervals) of the corresponding dihedral angle, black points indicate values obtained from the 3J -value restraining simulation, red points those from an unrestrained simulation. The experimental and average 3J -coupling constants are listed in Table 2

Table 2 Experimental (exp) $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants (in Hz; error about 1 Hz) (Smith et al. 1991) and values obtained from 100 ps of unrestrained (unrestr) and from 100 ps of restrained (restr) simulation using adaptive (local-elevation) 3J -value restraints with a set of 37 selected (see Table 1) $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -coupling constants for four residues that show large deviation between the values obtained from the free simulation and the experimental ones

Residue		3J -coupling constant		
Name	Number	Exp	Unrestr	Restr
Thr	51	9.3	4.3	9.7
Thr	69	9.3	12.5	9.8
Thr	89	9.5	2.5	9.9
Val	109	8.0	3.2	8.2

distance bound violations, i.e. distances averaged over the simulation minus the corresponding NMR derived upper distance bound. This difference can also adopt negative

values, which means that in the MD simulations the inter-proton distance is on average shorter than the upper bound derived from the NMR experiment. The black bars show the distribution of the simulation using $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -value restraining, shaded bars show the unrestrained distribution. Different from standard restraining simulations (using instantaneous or time-averaged 3J -value restraints) less NOE violations are observed when using the adaptive (local-elevation) restraining method for $^3J_{\alpha\beta}$ -values presented here.

The dependence of the results on the initial structure of the simulation can be tested by repetitively using adaptive 3J -coupling constant restraining followed by an unrestrained simulation period. Figure 7 shows that no improvement of the root-mean-square deviation of the 3J -values during the unrestrained parts of the simulation is obtained. The simulations were carried out using a 20 ps

Fig. 4 The time series of secondary structure elements during an unrestrained simulation of lysozyme is shown

Fig. 5 The time series of secondary structure elements during a (local-elevation) 3J -value restraining simulation using a set of 37 3J -coupling constant restraints with adaptive force constants of lysozyme using a force constant $K^{J_{\text{res}}} = 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$, $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ as acceptable deviation and $N_{\text{le}} = 36$ is shown

and a 40 ps interval (with restraints switched on first, then switched off, etc.). The RMSD of 3J -values immediately increases when the restraints are switched off. This may have two causes. First, even a 40 ps restraining period may not be enough to relax the structure (in vacuo), or, second, the force field does not properly favour the experimental conformation of the 37 side-chain angles and needs the adaptive restraining penalty function to correct for this error.

Discussion

A new application of the local-elevation simulation technique (Huber et al. 1994) to achieve 3J -value restraining was presented. Using this method, it is possible to successfully restrain 3J -coupling constants without

destabilising the overall molecular structure. In the example of lysozyme, even an improvement of reproducing experimental NOE distance bounds was observed. It can be applied to dihedral angles other than χ_1 , for which experimental 3J -values are available (Perez et al. 2001).

The method achieves selectively enhanced sampling by disfavouring conformations of dihedral angles with 3J -coupling constants deviating from experiment. Also, through the slow build up of the adaptive (local-elevation) potential energy penalty functions, a minimum of interference of the restraints compared to an unrestrained simulation is guaranteed. Furthermore, the method is not very sensitive with respect to the force constant and number of dihedral-angle intervals chosen, making it suitable to include 3J -value restraining in standard biomolecular NMR structure refinement.

Fig. 6 Violations of 1,630 NOE distance upper bounds from a 100 ps MD simulation of lysozyme are shown. Black bars correspond to a simulation using (local-elevation) $^3J_{\alpha\beta^-}$ -value restraining with 37 $^3J_{\alpha\beta^-}$ -coupling constant restraints ($K^{\text{Jres}} = 0.01 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$ and $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$), white bars correspond to an unrestrained simulation

Fig. 7 The time series of the average root-mean-square deviation from the experimental $^3J_{\alpha\beta^-}$ -values for a set of 370 $^3J_{\alpha\beta^-}$ -coupling constants is shown. In the simulation corresponding to the solid line, adaptive 3J -coupling constant restraints ($K^{\text{Jres}} = 0.005 \text{ Hz}^{-4}$, $\Delta J^0 = 1.0 \text{ Hz}$ and $N_{\text{le}} = 36$) were switched off for the first 20 ps, then on for 20 ps, off again for the next 20 ps and so on. In the simulation denoted by the dotted black line, 40 ps intervals were used

Acknowledgements Financial support by the National Center of Competence in Research (NCCR) Structural Biology of the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Brüschweiler R, Case DA (1994) Adding harmonic motion to the Karplus relation for spin-spin coupling. *J Am Chem Soc* 116:11199–11200
- Christen M, Hünenberger PH, Bakowies D, Baron R, Bürgi R, Geerke DP, Heinz TN, Kastenholz MA, Kräutler V, Oostenbrink C, Peter C, Trzesniak D, van Gunsteren WF (2005) The GROMOS software for biomolecular simulation: GROMOS05. *J Comput Chem* 26:1719–1751
- de Marco A, Llinas M, Wüthrich K (1978) Analysis of ^1H -NMR spectra of ferrichrome peptides I. The non-amide protons. *Biopolymers* 17:617–636
- Huber T, Torda AE, van Gunsteren WF (1994) Local elevation—a method for improving the searching properties of molecular-dynamics simulation. *J Comp Aided Mol Design* 8:695–708
- Jardetzky O (1980) Nature of molecular-conformations inferred from high-resolution NMR. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 621: 227–232
- Kaptein R, Zuiderweg ERP, Scheek RM, Boelens R, van Gunsteren WF (1985) A protein-structure from nuclear magnetic-resonance data - Lac repressor headpiece. *J Mol Biol* 182:179–182
- Karplus M (1959) Contact electron-spin coupling of nuclear magnetic moments. *J Chem Phys* 30:11–15
- Markley JL, Bax A, Arata Y, Hilbers CW, Kaptein R, Sykes BD, Wright PE, Wüthrich K (1998) Recommendations for the presentation of NMR structures of proteins and nucleic acids - IUPAC-IUBMB-IUPAB Inter-Union Task Group on the Standardization of Data Bases of Protein and Nucleic Acid Structures Determined by NMR Spectroscopy. *J Biomol NMR* 12:1–23
- Nanzer AP, Poulsen FM, van Gunsteren WF, Torda AE (1994) A reassessment of the structure of Chymotrypsin inhibitor-2 (CI-2) using the time-averaged NMR restraints. *Biochemistry* 33: 14503–14511
- Nanzer AP, van Gunsteren WF, Torda AE (1995) Parametrization of time-averaged distance restraints in MD simulations. *J Biomol NMR* 6:313–320

- Nanzer AP, Torda AE, Bisang C, Weber C, Robinson JA, van Gunsteren WF (1997) Dynamical studies of peptide motifs in the *Plasmodium falciparum* circumsporozoite surface protein by restrained and unrestrained MD simulations. *J Mol Biol* 267:1012–1025
- Oostenbrink C, Villa A, Mark AE, van Gunsteren WF (2004) A biomolecular force field based on the free enthalpy of hydration and solvation: The GROMOS force-field parameter sets 53A5 and 53A6. *J Comput Chem* 25:1656–1676
- Oostenbrink C, Soares TA, van der Vegt NFA, van Gunsteren WF (2005) Validation of the 53A6 GROMOS force field. *Eur Biophys J* 34:273–284
- Pardi A, Billeter M, Wüthrich K (1984) Calibration of the angular dependence of the amide proton- C_α proton coupling-constants, $^3J_{HN\alpha}$, in a globular protein. *J Mol Biol* 180:741–751
- Perez C, Göhr F, Rüterjans H, Schmidt JM (2001) Self-consistent Karplus parametrization of 3J couplings depending on the polypeptide side-chain torsion χ_1 . *J Am Chem Soc* 123:7081–7093
- Ryckaert JP, Ciccotti G, Berendsen HJC (1977) Numerical-integration of Cartesian equation of motion of a system with constraints - molecular-dynamics of n-alkanes. *J Comput Phys* 23:327–341
- Schmidt JM, Blümel M, Löhr F, Rüterjans H (1999) Self-consistent 3J coupling analysis for the joint calibration of Karplus coefficients and evaluation of torsion angles. *J Biomol NMR* 14:1–12
- Schuler LD, Daura X, van Gunsteren WF (2001) An improved GROMOS96 force field for aliphatic hydrocarbons in the condensed phase. *J Comput Chem* 22:1205–1218
- Schwalbe H, Grimshaw SB, Spencer A, Buck M, Boyd J, Dobson CM, Redfield C, Smith LJ (2001) A refined solution structure of hen lysozyme determined using residual dipolar coupling data. *Protein Sci* 10:677–688
- Scott WRP, Hünenberger PH, Tironi IG, Mark AE, Billeter SR, Fennen J, Torda AE, Huber T, Krüger P, van Gunsteren WF (1999) The GROMOS biomolecular simulation program package. *J Phys Chem A* 103:3596–3607
- Scott WRP, Mark AE, van Gunsteren WF (1998) On using time-averaging restraints in molecular dynamics simulation. *J Biomol NMR* 12:501–508
- Smith LJ, Sutcliffe MJ, Redfield C, Dobson CM (1991) Analysis of the ϕ and χ_1 torsion angles for hen lysozyme in solution from 1H -NMR spin spin coupling-constants. *Biochem* 30:986–996
- Soares TA, Daura X, Oostenbrink C, Smith LJ, van Gunsteren WF (2004) Validation of the GROMOS force-field parameter set 45A3 against nuclear magnetic resonance data of hen egg lysozyme. *J Biomol NMR* 30:407–422
- Torda AE, Scheek RM, van Gunsteren WF (1989) Time-dependent distance restraints in molecular-dynamics simulations. *Chem Phys Lett* 157:289–294
- Torda AE, Brunne RM, Huber T, Kessler H, van Gunsteren WF (1993) Structure refinement using time-averaged J -coupling constant restraints. *J Biomol NMR* 3:55–66
- Tropp J (1980) Dipolar relaxation and nuclear Overhauser effects in nonrigid molecules - the effect of fluctuating inter-nuclear distances. *J Chem Phys* 76:6035–6043
- van Gunsteren WF, Billeter SR, Eising AA, Hünenberger PH, Krüger P, Mark AE, Scott WRP, Tironi IG (1996) Biomolecular simulation: The GROMOS96 manual and user guide. Verlag der Fachvereine, Zürich
- van Gunsteren WF, Bonvin AMJJ, Daura X, Smith LJ (1999) Structure Computation and Dynamics in Protein NMR. In: Krishna RM, Berliner LJ (eds) Chap. aspects of modeling biomolecular structure on the basis of spectroscopic or diffraction data, vol 17. Plenum Publishers, New York, pp 3–35
- Wang AC, Bax A (1996) Determination of the backbone dihedral angles ϕ in human ubiquitin from reparametrized empirical Karplus equations. *J Am Chem Soc* 118:2483–2494
- Wüthrich K, Billeter M, Braun W (1983) Pseudo-structures for the 20 common amino-acids for use in the studies of protein conformations by measurements of intramolecular proton proton distance constraints with nuclear magnetic-resonance. *J Mol Biol* 169:949