Holes and Islands in Random Point Sets

Conference Paper**Author(s):**

Balko, Martin; Scheucher, Manfred; Valtr, Pavel

Publication date:

2020

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000421477>

Rights / license:

[Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported](#)

Originally published in:

Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs) 164, <https://doi.org/10.4230/LIPIcs.SoCG.2020.14>

Holes and Islands in Random Point Sets

Martin Balko

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
balko@kam.mff.cuni.cz

Manfred Scheucher

Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Germany
scheucher@math.tu-berlin.de

Pavel Valtr

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics,
Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic
Department of Computer Science, ETH Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let S be a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in general position. A set H of k points from S is a k -hole in S if all points from H lie on the boundary of the convex hull $\text{conv}(H)$ of H and the interior of $\text{conv}(H)$ does not contain any point from S . A set I of k points from S is a k -island in S if $\text{conv}(I) \cap S = I$. Note that each k -hole in S is a k -island in S .

For fixed positive integers d, k and a convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with d -dimensional Lebesgue measure 1, let S be a set of n points chosen uniformly and independently at random from K . We show that the expected number of k -islands in S is in $O(n^d)$. In the case $k = d + 1$, we prove that the expected number of empty simplices (that is, $(d + 1)$ -holes) in S is at most $2^{d-1} \cdot d! \cdot \binom{n}{d}$. Our results improve and generalize previous bounds by Bárány and Füredi [4], Valtr [19], Fabila-Monroy and Huemer [8], and Fabila-Monroy, Huemer, and Mitsche [9].

2012 ACM Subject Classification Mathematics of computing $\rightarrow$ Combinatoric problems; Theory of computation $\rightarrow$ Computational geometry

Keywords and phrases stochastic geometry, random point set, Erdős-Szekeres type problem, k -hole, k -island, empty polytope, convex position, Horton set

Digital Object Identifier 10.4230/LIPIcs.SoCG.2020.14

Related Version A full version of this paper is available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.00909>.

Funding *Martin Balko*: was supported by the grant no. 18-19158S of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR), by the Center for Foundations of Modern Computer Science (Charles University project UNCE/SCI/004), and by the PRIMUS/17/SCI/3 project of Charles University. This article is part of a project that has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No 810115). *Manfred Scheucher*: was supported by DFG Grant FE 340/12-1.

Pavel Valtr: was supported by the grant no. 18-19158S of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR) and by the PRIMUS/17/SCI/3 project of Charles University.

1 Introduction

For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let S be a finite set of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The set S is in *general position* if, for every $k = 1, \dots, d - 1$, no $k + 2$ points of S lie in an affine k -dimensional subspace. A set H of k points from S is a k -hole in S if H is in convex position and the interior of the convex hull $\text{conv}(H)$ of H does not contain any point from S ; see Figure 1 for an illustration in the plane. We say that a subset of S is a *hole* in S if it is a k -hole in S for some integer k .

© Martin Balko, Manfred Scheucher, and Pavel Valtr;
licensed under Creative Commons License CC-BY
36th International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2020).
Editors: Sergio Cabello and Danny Z. Chen; Article No. 14; pp. 14:1–14:16
Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics

Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Dagstuhl Publishing, Germany

■ **Figure 1** (a) A 6-tuple of points in convex position in a planar set S of 10 points. (b) A 6-hole in S . (c) A 6-island in S whose points are not in convex position.

Let $h(k)$ be the smallest positive integer N such that every set of N points in general position in the plane contains a k -hole. In the 1970s, Erdős [6] asked whether the number $h(k)$ exists for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It was shown in the 1970s and 1980s that $h(4) = 5$, $h(5) = 10$ [11], and that $h(k)$ does not exist for every $k \geq 7$ [12]. That is, while every sufficiently large set contains a 4-hole and a 5-hole, Horton constructed arbitrarily large sets with no 7-holes. His construction was generalized to so-called *Horton sets* by Valtr [18]. The existence of 6-holes in every sufficiently large point set remained open until 2007, when Gerken [10] and Nicolas [15] independently showed that $h(6)$ exists; see also [20].

These problems were also considered in higher dimensions. For $d \geq 2$, let $h_d(k)$ be the smallest positive integer N such that every set of N points in general position in $\mathbb{R}^d$ contains a k -hole. In particular, $h_2(k) = h(k)$ for every k . Valtr [18] showed that $h_d(k)$ exists for $k \leq 2d + 1$ but it does not exist for $k > 2^{d-1}(P(d-1) + 1)$, where $P(d-1)$ denotes the product of the first $d-1$ prime numbers. The latter result was obtained by constructing multidimensional analogues of the Horton sets.

After the existence of k -holes was settled, counting the minimum number $H_k(n)$ of k -holes in any set of n points in the plane in general position attracted a lot of attention. It is known, and not difficult to show, that $H_3(n)$ and $H_4(n)$ are in $\Omega(n^2)$. The currently best known lower bounds on $H_3(n)$ and $H_4(n)$ were proved in [1]. The best known upper bounds are due to Bárány and Valtr [5]. Altogether, these estimates are

$$n^2 + \Omega(n \log^{2/3} n) \leq H_3(n) \leq 1.6196n^2 + o(n^2)$$

and

$$\frac{n^2}{2} + \Omega(n \log^{3/4} n) \leq H_4(n) \leq 1.9397n^2 + o(n^2).$$

For $H_5(n)$ and $H_6(n)$, the best quadratic upper bounds can be found in [5]. The best lower bounds, however, are only $H_5(n) \geq \Omega(n \log^{4/5} n)$ [1] and $H_6(n) \geq \Omega(n)$ [21]. For more details, we also refer to the second author's dissertation [17].

The quadratic upper bound on $H_3(n)$ can be also obtained using random point sets. For $d \in \mathbb{N}$, a *convex body* in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact convex set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a nonempty interior. Let k be a positive integer and let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex body with d -dimensional Lebesgue measure $\lambda_d(K) = 1$. We use $EH_{d,k}^K(n)$ to denote the expected number of k -holes in sets of n points chosen independently and uniformly at random from K . The quadratic upper bound on $H_3(n)$ then also follows from the following bound of Bárány and Füredi [4] on the expected number of $(d+1)$ -holes:

$$EH_{d,d+1}^K(n) \leq (2d)^{2d^2} \cdot \binom{n}{d} \quad (1)$$

for any d and K . In the plane, Bárány and Füredi [4] proved $EH_{2,3}^K(n) \leq 2n^2 + O(n \log n)$ for every K . This bound was later slightly improved by Valtr [19], who showed $EH_{2,3}^K(n) \leq 4\binom{n}{2}$ for any K . In the other direction, every set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in general position contains at least $\binom{n-1}{d} (d+1)$ -holes [4, 13].

The expected number $EH_{2,4}^K(n)$ of 4-holes in random sets of n points in the plane was considered by Fabila-Monroy, Huemer, and Mitsche [9], who showed

$$EH_{2,4}^K(n) \leq 18\pi D^2 n^2 + o(n^2) \quad (2)$$

for any K , where $D = D(K)$ is the diameter of K . Since we have $D \geq 2/\sqrt{\pi}$, by the Isodiametric inequality [7], the leading constant in (2) is at least 72 for any K .

In this paper, we study the number of k -holes in random point sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, we obtain results that imply quadratic upper bounds on $H_k(n)$ for any fixed k and that both strengthen and generalize the bounds by Bárány and Füredi [4], Valtr [19], and Fabila-Monroy, Huemer, and Mitsche [9].

2 Our results

Throughout the whole paper we only consider point sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ that are finite and in general position.

2.1 Islands and holes in random point sets

First, we prove a result that gives the estimate $O(n^d)$ on the minimum number of k -holes in a set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ for any fixed d and k . In fact, we prove the upper bound $O(n^d)$ even for so-called k -islands, which are also frequently studied in discrete geometry. A set I of k points from a point set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is a k -island in S if $\text{conv}(I) \cap S = I$; see part (c) of Figure 1. Note that k -holes in S are exactly those k -islands in S that are in convex position. A subset of S is an *island* in S if it is a k -island in S for some integer k .

► **Theorem 1.** *Let $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d+1$ be integers and let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda_d(K) = 1$. If S is a set of $n \geq k$ points chosen uniformly and independently at random from K , then the expected number of k -islands in S is at most*

$$2^{d-1} \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \right)^{k-d-1} \cdot (k-d) \cdot \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+2)}{(n-k+1)^{k-d-1}},$$

which is in $O(n^d)$ for any fixed d and k .

The bound in Theorem 1 is tight up to a constant multiplicative factor that depends on d and k , as, for any fixed $k \geq d$, every set S of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in general position contains at least $\Omega(n^d)$ k -islands. To see this, observe that any d -tuple T of points from S determines a k -island with $k-d$ closest points to the hyperplane spanned by T (ties can be broken by, for example, taking points with lexicographically smallest coordinates), as S is in general position and thus T is a d -hole in S . Any such k -tuple of points from S contains $\binom{k}{d}$ d -tuples of points from S and thus we have at least $\binom{n}{d} / \binom{k}{d} \in \Omega(n^d)$ k -islands in S .

Thus, by Theorem 1, random point sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ asymptotically achieve the minimum number of k -islands. This is in contrast with the fact that, unlike Horton sets, they contain arbitrarily large holes. Quite recently, Balogh, González-Aguilar, and Salazar [3] showed that the expected number of vertices of the largest hole in a set of n random points chosen independently and uniformly over a convex body in the plane is in $\Theta(\log n / (\log \log n))$.

For k -holes, we modify the proof of Theorem 1 to obtain a slightly better estimate.

► **Theorem 2.** *Let $d \geq 2$ and $k \geq d + 1$ be integers and let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda_d(K) = 1$. If S is a set of $n \geq k$ points chosen uniformly and independently at random from K , then the expected number $EH_{d,k}^K(n)$ of k -holes in S is in $O(n^d)$ for any fixed d and k . More precisely,*

$$EH_{d,k}^K(n) \leq 2^{d-1} \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \right)^{k-d-1} \cdot \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+2)}{(k-d-1)! \cdot (n-k+1)^{k-d-1}}.$$

For $d = 2$ and $k = 4$, Theorem 2 implies $EH_{2,4}^K(n) \leq 128 \cdot n^2 + o(n^2)$ for any K , which is a worse estimate than (2) if the diameter of K is at most $8/(3\sqrt{\pi}) \simeq 1.5$. However, the proof of Theorem 2 can be modified to give $EH_{2,4}^K(n) \leq 12n^2 + o(n^2)$ for any K , which is always better than (2); see the final remarks in Section 3. We believe that the leading constant in $EH_{2,4}^K(n)$ can be estimated even more precisely and we hope to discuss this direction in future work.

In the case $k = d + 1$, the bound in Theorem 2 simplifies to the following estimate on the expected number of $(d + 1)$ -holes (also called *empty simplices*) in random sets of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

► **Corollary 3.** *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda_d(K) = 1$. If S is a set of n points chosen uniformly and independently at random from K , then the expected number of $(d + 1)$ -holes in S satisfies*

$$EH_{d,d+1}^K(n) \leq 2^{d-1} \cdot d! \cdot \binom{n}{d}.$$

Corollary 3 is stronger than the bound (1) by Bárány and Füredi [4] and, in the planar case, coincides with the bound $EH_{2,3}^K(n) \leq 4\binom{n}{2}$ by Valtr [19]. Very recently, Reitzner and Temesvári [16] proved an upper bound on $EH_{d,d+1}^K(n)$ that is asymptotically tight if $d = 2$ or if $d \geq 3$ and K is an ellipsoid. In the planar case, their result shows that the bound $4\binom{n}{2}$ on $EH_{2,3}^K(n)$ is best possible, up to a smaller order error term. No tight bounds on $EH_{d,d+1}^K(n)$ are known if $d \geq 3$ and K is not an ellipsoid.

We also consider islands of all possible sizes and show that their expected number is in $2^{\Theta(n^{(d-1)/(d+1)})}$.

► **Theorem 4.** *Let $d \geq 2$ be an integer and let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda_d(K) = 1$. Then there are constants $C_1 = C_1(d)$, $C_2 = C_2(d)$, and $n_0 = n_0(d)$ such that for every set S of $n \geq n_0$ points chosen uniformly and independently at random from K the expected number E_d^K of islands in S satisfies*

$$2^{C_1 \cdot n^{(d-1)/(d+1)}} \leq E_d^K \leq 2^{C_2 \cdot n^{(d-1)/(d+1)}}.$$

Since each island in S has at most n points, there is a $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that the expected number of k -islands in S is at least $(1/n)$ -fraction of the expected number of all islands, which is still in $2^{\Omega(n^{(d-1)/(d+1)})}$. This shows that the expected number of k -islands can become asymptotically much larger than $O(n^d)$ if k is not fixed. Due to space limitations, the proof of Theorem 4 is omitted.

2.2 Islands and holes in d -Horton sets

To our knowledge, Theorem 1 is the first nontrivial upper bound on the minimum number of k -islands a point set in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d > 2$ can have. For $d = 2$, Fabila-Monroy and Huemer [8] showed that, for every fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Horton sets with n points contain only $O(n^2)$

k -islands. For $d > 2$, Valtr [18] introduced a d -dimensional analogue of Horton sets. Perhaps surprisingly, these sets contain asymptotically more than $O(n^d)$ k -islands for $k \geq d + 1$. For each k with $d + 1 \leq k \leq 3 \cdot 2^{d-1}$, they even contain asymptotically more than $O(n^d)$ k -holes.

► **Theorem 5.** *Let $d \geq 2$ and k be fixed positive integers. Then every d -dimensional Horton set H with n points contains at least $\Omega(n^{\min\{2^{d-1}, k\}})$ k -islands in H . If $k \leq 3 \cdot 2^{d-1}$, then H even contains at least $\Omega(n^{\min\{2^{d-1}, k\}})$ k -holes in H .*

3 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Let d and k be positive integers and let K be a convex body in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\lambda_d(K) = 1$. Let S be a set of n points chosen uniformly and independently at random from K . Note that S is in general position with probability 1. We assume $k \geq d + 1$, as otherwise the number of k -islands in S is trivially $\binom{n}{k}$ in every set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ in general position. We also assume $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq k$, as otherwise the number of k -islands is trivially $n - k + 1$ and 0, respectively, in every set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

First, we prove Theorem 1 by showing that the expected number of k -islands in S is at most

$$2^{d-1} \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor} \right)^{k-d-1} \cdot (k-d) \cdot \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+2)}{(n-k+1)^{k-d-1}},$$

which is in $O(n^d)$ for any fixed d and k . At the end of this section, we improve the bound for k -holes, which will prove Theorem 2.

Let Q be a set of k points from S . We first introduce a suitable unique ordering $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of points from Q . First, we take a set D of $d + 1$ points from Q that determine a simplex Δ with largest volume among all $(d + 1)$ -tuples of points from Q . Let $q_1 q_2$ be the longest edge of Δ with q_1 lexicographically smaller than q_2 and let a be the number of points from Q inside Δ . For every $i = 2, \dots, d$, let q_{i+1} be the furthest point from $D \setminus \{q_1, \dots, q_i\}$ to $\text{aff}(q_1, \dots, q_i)$. Next, we let $q_{d+2}, \dots, q_{d+a+1}$ be the a points of Q inside Δ ordered lexicographically. The remaining $k - d - a - 1$ points $q_{d+a+2}, \dots, q_k$ from Q lie outside of Δ and we order them so that, for every $i = 1, \dots, k - a - d - 1$, the point $q_{d+a+i+1}$ is closest to $\text{conv}(\{q_1, \dots, q_{d+a+i}\})$ among the points $q_{d+a+i+1}, \dots, q_k$. In case of a tie in any of the conditions, we choose the point with lexicographically smallest coordinates. Note, however, that a tie occurs with probability 0.

Clearly, there is a unique such ordering $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of Q . We call this ordering the *canonical (k, a) -ordering* of Q . To reformulate, an ordering $q_1, \dots, q_k$ of Q is the canonical (k, a) -ordering of Q if and only if the following five conditions are satisfied:

- (L1) The d -dimensional simplex Δ , with vertices $q_1, \dots, q_{d+1}$ has the largest d -dimensional Lebesgue measure among all d -dimensional simplices spanned by points from Q .
- (L2) For every $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$, the point q_{i+1} has the largest distance among all points from $\{q_{i+1}, \dots, q_d\}$ to the $(i - 1)$ -dimensional affine subspace $\text{aff}(q_1, \dots, q_i)$ spanned by $q_1, \dots, q_i$. Moreover, q_1 is lexicographically smaller than q_2 .
- (L3) For every $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$, the distance between q_{i+1} and $\text{aff}(q_1, \dots, q_i)$ is at least as large as the distance between q_{d+1} and $\text{aff}(q_1, \dots, q_i)$. Also, the distance between q_1 and q_2 is at least as large as the distance between q_{d+1} and any q_i with $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.
- (L4) The points $q_{d+2}, \dots, q_{d+a+1}$ lie inside Δ and are ordered lexicographically.
- (L5) The points $q_{d+a+2}, \dots, q_k$ lie outside of Δ . For every $i = 1, \dots, k - a - d - 1$, the point $q_{d+a+i+1}$ is closest to $\text{conv}(\{q_1, \dots, q_{d+a+i}\})$ among the points $q_{d+a+i+1}, \dots, q_k$.

Figure 2 gives an illustration in $\mathbb{R}^2$. We note that the conditions (L2) and (L3) can be merged together. However, later in the proof, we use the fact that the probability that the points from Q satisfy the condition (L2) equals $1/d!$, so we stated the two conditions separately.

■ **Figure 2** An illustration of the canonical (k, a) -ordering of a planar point set Q . Here we have $k = 12$ points and $a = 4$ of the points lie inside the largest area triangle $\triangle$ with vertices q_1, q_2, q_3 .

Before going into details, we first give a high-level overview of the proof of Theorem 1. First, we prove an $O(1/n^{a+1})$ bound on the probability that $\triangle$ contains precisely the points $p_{d+2}, \dots, p_{d+1+a}$ from S (Lemma 9), which means that the points $p_1, \dots, p_{d+1+a}$ determine an island in S . Next, for $i = d + 2 + a, \dots, k$, we show that, conditioned on the fact that the $(i - 1)$ -tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1})$ determines an island in S in the canonical (k, a) -ordering, the i -tuple $(p_1, \dots, p_i)$ determines an island in S in the canonical (k, a) -ordering with probability $O(1/n)$ (Lemma 10). Then it immediately follows that the probability that I determines a k -island in S with the desired properties is at most $O(1/n^{a+1} \cdot (1/n)^{k-(d+1+a)}) = O(n^{d-k})$. Since there are $n \cdot (n - 1) \cdots (n - k + 1) = O(n^k)$ possibilities to select such an ordered subset I and each k -island in S is counted at most $k!$ times, we obtain the desired bound $O(n^k \cdot n^{d-k} \cdot k!) = O(n^d)$ on the expected number of k -islands in S .

We now proceed with the proof. Let $p_1, \dots, p_k$ be points from S in the order in which they are drawn from K . We use Δ to denote the d -dimensional simplex with vertices $p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}$. We eventually show that the probability that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering of a k -island in S for some a is at most $O(1/n^{k-d})$. First, however, we need to state some notation and prove some auxiliary results.

Consider the points $p_1, \dots, p_d$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that, for each $i = 1, \dots, d$, the point p_i has the last $d - i + 1$ coordinates equal to zero. Otherwise we apply a suitable isometry to S . Then, for every $i = 1, \dots, d$, the distance between p_{i+1} and the $(i - 1)$ -dimensional affine subspace spanned by $p_1, \dots, p_i$ is equal to the absolute value of the i th coordinate of p_{i+1} . Moreover, after applying a suitable rotation, we can also assume that the first coordinate of each of the points $p_1, \dots, p_d$ is nonnegative.

Let Δ_0 be the $(d - 1)$ -dimensional simplex with vertices $p_1, \dots, p_d$ and let H be the hyperplane containing Δ_0 . Note that, according to our assumptions about $p_1, \dots, p_d$, we have $H = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d = 0\}$. Let B be the set of points $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ that satisfy the following three conditions:

- (i) $x_1 \geq 0$,
- (ii) $|x_i|$ is at most as large as the absolute value of the i th coordinate of p_{i+1} for every $i \in \{1, \dots, d - 1\}$, and
- (iii) $|x_d| \leq d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)$.

See Figures 3a and 3b for illustrations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$, respectively. Observe that B is a d -dimensional axis-parallel box. For $h \in \mathbb{R}$, we use I_h to denote the intersection of B with the hyperplane $x_d = h$.

■ **Figure 3** An illustration of the proof of Theorem 1 in (a) $\mathbb{R}^2$ and (b) $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Having fixed $p_1, \dots, p_d$, we now try to restrict possible locations of the points $p_{d+1}, \dots, p_k$, one by one, so that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering of a k -island in S for some a . First, we observe that the position of the point p_{d+1} is restricted to B .

► **Lemma 6.** *If $p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}$ satisfy condition (L3), then p_{d+1} lies in the box B .*

Proof. Let $p_{d+1} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. According to our choice of points $p_1, \dots, p_d$ and from the assumption that $p_1, \dots, p_d$ satisfy (L3), we get $x_1 \geq 0$ and also that $|x_i|$ is at most as large as the absolute value of the i th coordinate of p_{i+1} for every $i \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$.

It remains to show that $|x_d| \leq d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)$. The simplex Δ spanned by $p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}$ is contained in the convex body K , as $p_1, \dots, p_{d+1} \in K$ and K is convex. Thus $\lambda_d(\Delta) \leq \lambda_d(K) = 1$. On the other hand, the volume $\lambda_d(\Delta)$ equals $\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot h/d$, where h is the distance between p_{d+1} and the hyperplane H containing Δ_0 . According to our assumptions about $p_1, \dots, p_d$, the distance h equals $|x_d|$. Since $\lambda_d(\Delta) \leq 1$, it follows that $|x_d| = h \leq d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)$ and thus $p_{d+1} \in B$. ◀

The following auxiliary lemma gives an identity that is needed later. We omit the proof, which can be found, for example, in [2, Section 1].

► **Lemma 7** ([2]). *For all nonnegative integers a and b , we have*

$$\int_0^1 x^a (1-x)^b dx = \frac{a! b!}{(a+b+1)!}.$$

We will also use the following result, called the *Asymptotic Upper Bound Theorem* [14], that estimates the maximum number of facets in a polytope.

► **Theorem 8** (Asymptotic Upper Bound Theorem [14]). *For every integer $d \geq 2$, a d -dimensional convex polytope with N vertices has at most $2 \binom{N}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$ facets.*

Let a be an integer satisfying $0 \leq a \leq k - d - 1$ and let E_a be the event that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering such that $\{p_1, \dots, p_{d+a+1}\}$ is an island in S . To estimate the probability that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering of a k -island in S , we first find an upper bound on the conditional probability of E_a , conditioned on the event L_2 that $p_1, \dots, p_d$ satisfy (L2).

► **Lemma 9.** *For every $a \in \{0, \dots, k - d - 1\}$, the probability $\Pr[E_a \mid L_2]$ is at most*

$$\frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d!}{(k - a - d - 1)! \cdot (n - k + 1)^{a+1}}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 6 that, in order to satisfy (L3), the point p_{d+1} must lie in the box B . In particular, p_{d+1} is contained in $I_h \cap K$ for some real number $h \in [-d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0), d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)]$. If $p_{d+1} \in I_h$, then the simplex $\Delta = \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}\})$ has volume $\lambda_d(\Delta) = \lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot |h|/d$ and the a points $p_{d+2}, \dots, p_{d+a+1}$ satisfy (L4) with probability

$$\frac{1}{a!} \cdot (\lambda_d(\Delta))^a = \frac{1}{a!} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot |h|}{d} \right)^a,$$

as they all lie in $\Delta \subseteq K$ in the unique order.

In order to satisfy the condition (L5), the $k - a - d - 1$ points $p_{d+a+i+1}$, for $i \in \{1, \dots, k - a - d - 1\}$, must have increasing distance to $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{d+a+1}\})$ as the index i increases, which happens with probability at most $\frac{1}{(k-a-d-1)!}$. Since $\{p_1, \dots, p_{d+a+1}\}$ must be an island in S , the $n - d - a - 1$ points from $S \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{d+a+1}\}$ must lie outside Δ . If $p_{d+1} \in I_h$, then this happens with probability

$$(\lambda_d(K \setminus \Delta))^{n-d-a-1} = (\lambda_d(K) - \lambda_d(\Delta))^{n-d-a-1} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot |h|}{d} \right)^{n-d-a-1},$$

as they all lie in $K \setminus \Delta$ and we have $\Delta \subseteq K$ and $\lambda_d(K) = 1$.

Altogether, we get that $\Pr[E_a \mid L_2]$ is at most

$$\int_{-d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)}^{d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)} \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(I_h \cap K)}{a! \cdot (k - a - d - 1)!} \cdot \left(\frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot |h|}{d} \right)^a \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot |h|}{d} \right)^{n-d-a-1} dh.$$

Since we have $\lambda_{d-1}(I_0) = \lambda_{d-1}(I_h)$ for every $h \in [-d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0), d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)]$, we obtain $\lambda_{d-1}(I_h \cap K) \leq \lambda_{d-1}(I_0)$ and thus $\Pr[E_a \mid L_2]$ is at most

$$\frac{2 \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(I_0)}{a! \cdot (k - a - d - 1)!} \cdot \int_0^{d/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)} \left(\frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot h}{d} \right)^a \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot h}{d} \right)^{n-d-a-1} dh.$$

By substituting $t = \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) \cdot h}{d}$, we obtain

$$\Pr[E_a \mid L_2] \leq \frac{2d \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(I_0)}{a! \cdot (k - a - d - 1)! \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)} \cdot \int_0^1 t^a (1 - t)^{n-d-a-1} dt.$$

By Lemma 7, the right side in the above inequality equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{2d \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(I_0)}{a! \cdot (k-a-d-1)! \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)} \cdot \frac{a! \cdot (n-d-a-1)!}{(n-d)!} \\ &= \frac{2d \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(I_0)}{(k-a-d-1)! \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0)} \cdot \frac{(n-d-a-1)!}{(n-d)!}. \end{aligned}$$

For every $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, let h_i be the distance between the point p_{i+1} and the $(i-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace spanned by $p_1, \dots, p_i$. Since the volume of the box I_0 satisfies

$$\lambda_{d-1}(I_0) = h_1(2h_2) \cdots (2h_{d-1}) = 2^{d-2} \cdot h_1 \cdots h_{d-1}$$

and the volume of the $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplex Δ_0 is

$$\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) = \frac{h_1}{1} \cdot \frac{h_2}{2} \cdots \frac{h_{d-1}}{d-1} = \frac{h_1 \cdots h_{d-1}}{(d-1)!},$$

we obtain $\lambda_{d-1}(I_0)/\lambda_{d-1}(\Delta_0) = 2^{d-2} \cdot (d-1)!$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[E_a \mid L_2] &\leq \frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d!}{(k-a-d-1)!} \cdot \frac{(n-d-a-1)!}{(n-d)!} \\ &= \frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d!}{(k-a-d-1)! \cdot (n-d) \cdots (n-d-a)} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d!}{(k-a-d-1)! \cdot (n-k+1)^{a+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from $a \leq k-d-1$. $\blacktriangleleft$

For every $i \in \{d+a+1, \dots, k\}$, let $E_{a,i}$ be the event that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering such that $\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$ is an island in S . Note that in the event $E_{a,i}$ the condition (L5) implies that $\{p_1, \dots, p_j\}$ is an island in S for every $j \in \{d+a+1, \dots, i\}$. Thus we have

$$L_2 \supseteq E_a = E_{a,d+a+1} \supseteq E_{a,d+a+2} \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_{a,k}.$$

Moreover, the event $E_{a,k}$ says that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering of a k -island in S . For $i \in \{d+a+2, \dots, k\}$, we now estimate the conditional probability of $E_{a,i}$, conditioned on $E_{a,i-1}$.

► **Lemma 10.** *For every $i \in \{d+a+2, \dots, k\}$, we have*

$$\Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}] \leq \frac{2d^{2d-1} \cdot \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}}{n-i+1}.$$

Proof. Let $i \in \{d+a+2, \dots, k\}$ and assume that the event $E_{a,i-1}$ holds. That is, $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering such that $\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\}$ is an $(i-1)$ -island in S .

First, we assume that Δ is a regular simplex with height $\eta > 0$. At the end of the proof we show that the case when Δ is an arbitrary simplex follows by applying a suitable affine transformation.

For every $j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$, let F_j be the facet $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{d+1}\} \setminus \{p_j\})$ of Δ and let H_j be the hyperplane parallel to F_j that contains p_j . We use H_j^+ to denote the halfspace determined by H_j such that $\Delta \subseteq H_j^+$. We set $\Delta^* = \bigcap_{j=1}^{d+1} H_j^+$; see Figures 4a and 4b for illustrations in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbb{R}^3$, respectively. Note that Δ^* is a d -dimensional simplex containing Δ . Also, notice that if $x \notin \Delta^*$, then $x \notin H_j^+$ for some j and the distance between x and the hyperplane containing F_j is larger than η .

■ **Figure 4** An illustration of (a) the simplex Δ^* in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and (b) in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

We show that the fact that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering implies that every point from $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is contained in Δ^* . Suppose for contradiction that some point $p \in \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ does not lie inside Δ^* . Then there is a facet F_j of Δ such that the distance η' between p and the hyperplane containing F_j is larger than η . Then, however, the simplex Δ' spanned by vertices of F_j and by p has volume larger than Δ , because

$$\lambda_d(\Delta') = \frac{1}{d} \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(F_j) \cdot \eta' > \frac{1}{d} \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(F_j) \cdot \eta = \lambda_d(\Delta).$$

This contradicts the fact that $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering, as, according to (L1), Δ has the largest d -dimensional Lebesgue measure among all d -dimensional simplices spanned by points from $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$.

Let σ be the barycenter of Δ . For every point $p \in \Delta^* \setminus \Delta$, the line segment σp intersects at least one facet of Δ . For every $j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$, we use R_j to denote the set of points $p \in \Delta^* \setminus \Delta$ for which the line segment σp intersects the facet F_j of Δ . Observe that each set R_j is convex and the sets $R_1, \dots, R_{d+1}$ partition $\Delta^* \setminus \Delta$ (up to their intersection of d -dimensional Lebesgue measure 0); see Figure 5 for an illustration in the plane.

Consider the point p_i . Since $p_1, \dots, p_k$ is the canonical (k, a) -ordering, the condition (L5) implies that p_i lies outside of the polytope $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$. To bound the probability $\Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}]$, we need to estimate the probability that $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}) \setminus \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$ does not contain any point from $S \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$, conditioned on $E_{a,i-1}$. We know that p_i lies in $\Delta^* \setminus \Delta$ and that $p_i \in R_j$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$.

Since $p_i \notin \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$, there is a facet φ of the polytope $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$ contained in the closure of R_j such that σp_i intersects φ . Since S is in general position with probability 1, we can assume that φ is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplex. The point p_i is contained in the convex set C_φ that contains all points $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that the line segment σc intersects φ . We use $H(0)$ to denote the hyperplane containing φ . For a positive $r \in \mathbb{R}$, let $H(r)$ be the hyperplane parallel to $H(0)$ at distance r from $H(0)$ such that $H(r)$ is contained in the halfspace determined by $H(0)$ that does not contain $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$. Then we have $p_i \in H(h)$ for some positive $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $p_i \in K$ and $\varphi \subseteq K$, the convexity of K implies that the simplex $\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})$ has volume $\lambda_d(\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})) \leq \lambda_d(K) = 1$. Since $\lambda_d(\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})) = \lambda_{d-1}(\varphi) \cdot h/d$, we obtain $h \leq d/\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)$.

■ **Figure 5** An illustration of the proof of Lemma 10. In order for $\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$ to be an i -island in S , the light gray part cannot contain points from S . We estimate the probability of this event from above by the probability that the dark gray simplex $\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})$ contains no point of S . Note that the parameters η and τ coincide for $d = 2$, as then $\tau = \frac{d^2-1}{d+1}\eta = \eta$.

The point p_i lies in the $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplex $C_\varphi \cap H(h)$, which is a scaled copy of φ . We show that

$$\lambda_{d-1}(C_\varphi \cap H(h)) \leq d^{2d-2} \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(\varphi). \quad (3)$$

Let h_φ be the distance between $H(0)$ and σ and, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$, let $\overline{H}_j$ be the hyperplane parallel to F_j containing the vertex $H_1 \cap \dots \cap H_{j-1} \cap H_{j+1} \cap \dots \cap H_{d+1}$ of Δ^* . We denote by $\overline{H}_j^+$ the halfspace determined by $\overline{H}_j$ containing Δ^* . Since Δ lies on the same side of $H(0)$ as σ , we see that h_φ is at least as large as the distance between σ and F_j , which is $\eta/(d+1)$. Since p_i lies in $\Delta^* \subseteq \overline{H}_j^+$, we see that h is at most as large as the distance τ between $\overline{H}_j$ and the hyperplane containing the facet F_j of Δ . Note that $\tau + \eta/(d+1)$ is the distance of the barycenter of Δ^* and a vertex of Δ^* and $d\eta/(d+1)$ is the distance of the barycenter of Δ^* and a facet of Δ^* . Thus we get $\tau = \frac{d^2\eta}{d+1} - \frac{\eta}{d+1} = \frac{d^2-1}{d+1}\eta$ from the fact that the distance between the barycenter of a d -dimensional simplex and any of its vertices is d -times as large as the distance between the barycenter and a facet. Consequently, $h \leq \frac{d^2-1}{d+1}\eta$ and $\frac{\eta}{d+1} \leq h_\varphi$, which implies $h \leq (d^2-1)h_\varphi$. Thus $C_\varphi \cap H(h)$ is a scaled copy of φ by a factor of size at most d^2 . This gives $\lambda_{d-1}(C_\varphi \cap H(h)) \leq d^{2d-2} \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)$.

Since the simplex $\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})$ is a subset of the closure of $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_i\}) \setminus \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$, the probability $\Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}]$ can be bounded from above by the conditional probability of the event $A_{i,\varphi}$ that $p_i \in C_\varphi \cap K$ and that no point from $S \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$ lies in $\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})$, conditioned on $E_{a,i-1}$. All points from $S \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_i\}$ lie outside of $\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})$ with probability

$$\left(1 - \frac{\lambda_d(\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\}))}{\lambda_d(K \setminus \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\}))}\right)^{n-i}.$$

14:12 Holes and Islands in Random Point Sets

Since $\lambda_d(K \setminus \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})) \leq \lambda_d(K) = 1$, this is bounded from above by

$$(1 - \lambda_d(\text{conv}(\varphi \cup \{p_i\})))^{n-i} = \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi) \cdot h}{d}\right)^{n-i}.$$

Since the sets C_φ partition $K \setminus \text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$ (up to intersections of d -dimensional Lebesgue measure 0) and since $h \leq d/\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)$, we have, by the law of total probability,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}] &\leq \sum_{\varphi} \Pr[A_{i,\varphi} \mid E_{a,i-1}] \\ &\leq \sum_{\varphi} \int_0^{d/\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)} \lambda_{d-1}(C_\varphi \cap H(h)) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi) \cdot h}{d}\right)^{n-i} dh. \end{aligned}$$

The sums in the above expression are taken over all facets φ of the convex polytope $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$. Using (3), we can estimate $\Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}]$ from above by

$$d^{2d-2} \cdot \sum_{\varphi} \lambda_{d-1}(\varphi) \cdot \int_0^{d/\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi) \cdot h}{d}\right)^{n-i} dh.$$

By substituting $t = \frac{\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi) \cdot h}{d}$, we can rewrite this expression as

$$d^{2d-2} \cdot \sum_{\varphi} \frac{d \cdot \lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)}{\lambda_{d-1}(\varphi)} \cdot \int_0^1 (1-t)^{n-i} dt = d^{2d-1} \cdot \sum_{\varphi} \int_0^1 1 \cdot (1-t)^{n-i} dt.$$

By Lemma 7, this equals

$$d^{2d-1} \cdot \sum_{\varphi} \frac{0! \cdot (n-i)!}{(n-i+1)!} = \frac{d^{2d-1}}{n-i+1} \sum_{\varphi} 1.$$

Since $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$ is a convex polytope in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with at most $i-1 \leq k$ vertices, Theorem 8 implies that the number of facets φ of $\text{conv}(\{p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}\})$ is at most $2 \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}$. Altogether, we have derived the desired bound

$$\Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}] \leq \frac{2d^{2d-1} \cdot \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}}{n-i+1}$$

in the case when Δ is a regular simplex.

If Δ is not regular, we first apply a volume-preserving affine transformation F that maps Δ to a regular simplex $F(\Delta)$. The simplex $F(\Delta)$ is then contained in the convex body $F(K)$ of volume 1. Since F translates the uniform distribution on $F(K)$ to the uniform distribution on K and preserves holes and islands, we obtain the required upper bound also in the general case. $\blacktriangleleft$

Now, we finish the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. We estimate the expected value of the number X of k -islands in S . The number of ordered k -tuples of points from S is $n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)$. Since every subset of S of size k admits a unique labeling that satisfies the conditions (L1), (L2), (L3), (L4), and (L5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X] &= n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \cdot \Pr\left[\bigcup_{a=0}^{k-d-1} E_{a,k}\right] \\ &= n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \cdot \sum_{a=0}^{k-d-1} \Pr[E_{a,k}],\end{aligned}$$

as the events $E_{0,k}, \dots, E_{k-d-1,k}$ are pairwise disjoint.

The probability of the event L_2 , which says that the points $p_1, \dots, p_d$ satisfy the condition (L2), is $1/d!$. Let $P = \sum_{a=0}^{k-d-1} \Pr[E_{a,k} \mid L_2]$. For any two events E, E' with $E \supseteq E'$ and $\Pr[E] > 0$, we have $\Pr[E'] = \Pr[E \cap E'] = \Pr[E' \mid E] \cdot \Pr[E]$. Thus, using $L_2 \supseteq E_a = E_{a,d+a+1} \supseteq E_{a,d+a+2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_{a,k}$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \cdot \Pr[L_2] \cdot P = \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{d!} \cdot P$$

and

$$P = \sum_{a=0}^{k-d-1} \Pr[E_a \mid L_2] \cdot \prod_{i=d+a+2}^k \Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}].$$

For every $a \in \{d+2, \dots, k-d-1\}$, Lemma 9 gives

$$\Pr[E_a \mid L_2] \leq \frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d!}{(k-a-d-1)! \cdot (n-k+1)^{a+1}} \leq \frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d!}{(n-k+1)^{a+1}}$$

and, due to Lemma 10,

$$\Pr[E_{a,i} \mid E_{a,i-1}] \leq \frac{2d^{2d-1} \cdot \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}}{n-i+1}$$

for every $i \in \{d+a+2, \dots, k\}$.

Using these estimates we derive

$$\begin{aligned}P &\leq 2^{d-1} \cdot d! \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}\right)^{k-d-1} \cdot \sum_{a=0}^{k-d-1} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{a+1}} \cdot \prod_{i=d+a+2}^k \frac{1}{n-i+1} \\ &\leq 2^{d-1} \cdot d! \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}\right)^{k-d-1} \cdot \sum_{a=0}^{k-d-1} \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{a+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{k-d-a-1}} \\ &= 2^{d-1} \cdot d! \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}\right)^{k-d-1} \cdot (k-d) \cdot \frac{1}{(n-k+1)^{k-d}}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus the expected number of k -islands in S satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X] &= \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{d!} \cdot P \\ &\leq \frac{2^{d-1} \cdot d! \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}\right)^{k-d-1} \cdot (k-d)}{d!} \cdot \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1)}{(n-k+1)^{k-d}} \\ &= 2^{d-1} \cdot \left(2d^{2d-1} \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}\right)^{k-d-1} \cdot (k-d) \cdot \frac{n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+2)}{(n-k+1)^{k-d-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1. ◀

In the rest of the section, we sketch the proof of Theorem 2 by showing that a slight modification of the above proof yields an improved bound on the expected number $EH_{d,k}^K(n)$ of k -holes in S .

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 2. If k points from S determine a k -hole in S , then, in particular, the simplex Δ contains no points of S in its interior. Therefore

$$EH_{d,k}^K(n) \leq n(n-1) \cdots (n-k+1) \cdot \Pr[E_{0,k}].$$

Then we proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1, but we only consider the case $a = 0$. This gives the same bounds as before with the term $(k-d)$ missing and with an additional factor $\frac{1}{(k-d-1)!}$ from Lemma 9, which proves Theorem 2. $\blacktriangleleft$

For $d = 2$ and $k = 4$, Theorem 2 gives $EH_{2,4}^K(n) \leq 128n^2 + o(n^2)$. We can obtain an even better estimate $EH_{2,4}^K(n) \leq 12n^2 + o(n^2)$ in this case. First, we have only three facets φ , as they correspond to the sides of the triangle Δ . Thus the term $\left(2 \binom{k}{\lfloor d/2 \rfloor}\right)^{k-d-1} = 8$ is replaced by 3. Moreover, the inequality (3) can be replaced by

$$\lambda_1(C_\varphi \cap H(h) \cap \Delta^*) \leq \lambda_1(\varphi),$$

since every line $H(h)$ intersects $R_j \subseteq \Delta^*$ in a line segment of length at most $\lambda_1(F_j) = \lambda(\varphi)$. This then removes the factor $d^{(2d-2)(k-d-1)} = 4$.

4 Proof of Theorem 5

Here, for every d , we state the definition of a d -dimensional analogue of Horton sets on n points from [18] and show that, for all fixed integers d and k , every d -dimensional Horton set H with n points contains at least $\Omega(n^{\min\{2^{d-1}, k\}})$ k -islands in H . If $k \leq 3 \cdot 2^{d-1}$, then we show that H contains at least $\Omega(n^k)$ k -holes in H .

First, we need to introduce some notation. A set Q of points in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is in *strongly general position* if Q is in general position and, for every $i = 1, \dots, d-1$, no $(i+1)$ -tuple of points from Q determines an i -dimensional affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$ that is parallel to the $(d-i)$ -dimensional linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$ that contains the last $d-i$ axes. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be the projection defined by $\pi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (x_1, \dots, x_{d-1})$. For $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, we use $\pi(Q)$ to denote the set $\{\pi(q) \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1} : q \in Q\}$. If Q is a set of n points $q_0, \dots, q_{n-1}$ from $\mathbb{R}^d$ in strongly general position that are ordered so that their first coordinates increase, then, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, we define $Q_{i,m} = \{q_j \in Q : j \equiv i \pmod{m}\}$.

For two sets A and B of points from $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $|A|, |B| \geq d$, we say that B is *deep below* A and A is *high above* B if B lies entirely below any hyperplane determined by d points of A and A lies entirely above any hyperplane determined by d points of B . For point sets A' and B' in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of arbitrarily size, we say that B' is *deep below* A' and A' is *high above* B' if there are sets $A \supseteq A'$ and $B \supseteq B'$ such that $|A|, |B| \geq d$, B is deep below A , and A is high above B .

Let $p_2 < p_3 < p_4 < \dots$ be the sequence of all prime numbers. That is, $p_2 = 2$, $p_3 = 3$, $p_4 = 5$ and so on.

We can now state the definition of the d -dimensional Horton sets from [18]. Every finite set of n points in $\mathbb{R}$ is 1-Horton. For $d \geq 2$, finite set H of points from $\mathbb{R}^d$ in strongly general position is a d -Horton set if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) the set H is empty or it consists of a single point, or
- (b) H satisfies the following three conditions:
 - (i) if $d > 2$, then $\pi(H)$ is $(d-1)$ -Horton,
 - (ii) for every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, p_d - 1\}$, the set H_{i,p_d} is d -Horton,
 - (iii) every $I \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, p_d - 1\}$ with $|I| \geq 2$ can be partitioned into two nonempty subsets J and $I \setminus J$ such that $\cup_{j \in J} H_{j,p_d}$ lies deep below $\cup_{i \in I \setminus J} H_{i,p_d}$.

Valtr [18] showed that such sets indeed exist and that they contain no k -hole with $k > 2^{d-1}(p_2 p_3 \cdots p_d + 1)$. The 2-Horton sets are known as *Horton sets*. We show that d -Horton sets with $d \geq 3$ contain many k -islands for $k \geq d+1$ and thus cannot provide the upper bound $O(n^d)$ that follows from Theorem 1. This contrasts with the situation in the plane, as 2-Horton sets of n points contain only $O(n^2)$ k -islands for any fixed k [8].

Let d and k be fixed positive integers. Assume first that $k \geq 2^{d-1}$. We want to prove that there are $\Omega(n^{2^{d-1}})$ k -islands in every d -Horton set H with n points. We proceed by induction on d . For $d = 1$ there are $n - k + 1 = \Omega(n)$ k -islands in every 1-Horton set.

Assume now that $d > 1$ and that the statement holds for $d-1$. The d -Horton set H consists of $p_d \in O(1)$ subsets H_{i,p_d} , each of size at least $\lfloor n/p_d \rfloor \in \Omega(n)$. The set $\{0, \dots, p_d - 1\}$ is ordered by a linear ordering $\prec$ such that, for all i and j with $i \prec j$, the set H_{i,p_d} is deep below H_{j,p_d} ; see [18]. Take two of sets $X = H_{a,p_d}$ and $Y = H_{b,p_d}$ such that $a \prec b$ are consecutive in $\prec$. Since $k \geq 2^{d-1}$, we have $\lceil k/2 \rceil \geq \lfloor k/2 \rfloor \geq 2^{d-2}$. Thus by the inductive hypothesis, the $(d-1)$ -Horton set $\pi(X)$ of size at least $\Omega(n)$ contains at least $\Omega(n^{2^{d-2}})$ $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ -islands. Similarly, the $(d-1)$ -Horton set $\pi(Y)$ of size at least $\Omega(n)$ contains at least $\Omega(n^{2^{d-2}})$ $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ -islands.

Let $\pi(A)$ be any of the $\Omega(n^{2^{d-2}})$ $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ -islands in $\pi(X)$, where $A \subseteq X$. Similarly, let $\pi(B)$ be any of the $\Omega(n^{2^{d-2}})$ $\lceil k/2 \rceil$ -islands in $\pi(Y)$, where $B \subseteq Y$. We show that $A \cup B$ is a k -island in H . Suppose for contradiction that there is a point $x \in H \setminus (A \cup B)$ that lies in $\text{conv}(A \cup B)$. Since a and b are consecutive in $\prec$, the point x lies in $X \cup Y = H_{a,p_d} \cup H_{b,p_d}$. By symmetry, we may assume without loss of generality that $x \in X$. Since $x \notin A$ and H is in strongly general position, we have $\pi(x) \in \pi(X) \setminus \pi(A)$. Using the fact that $\pi(A)$ is a $\lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ -island in $\pi(X)$, we obtain $\pi(x) \notin \text{conv}(\pi(A))$ and thus $x \notin \text{conv}(A)$. Since X is deep below Y , we have $x \notin \text{conv}(B)$. Thus, by Carathéodory's theorem, x lies in the convex hull of a $(d+1)$ -tuple $T \subseteq A \cup B$ that contains a point from A and also a point from B .

Note that, for $U = (T \cup \{x\})$, we have $|U \cap A| \geq 2$, as $x \in A$ and $|T \cap A| \geq 1$. We also have $|U \cap B| \geq 2$, as X is deep below Y and $\pi(x) \notin \text{conv}(\pi(A))$. Thus the affine hull of $U \cap A$ intersects the convex hull of $U \cap B$. Then, however, the set $U \cap A$ is not deep below the set $U \cap B$, which contradicts the fact that X is deep below Y .

Altogether, there are at least $\Omega(n^{2^{d-2}}) \cdot \Omega(n^{2^{d-2}}) = \Omega(n^{2^{d-1}})$ such k -islands $A \cup B$, which finishes the proof if k is at least 2^{d-1} . For $k < 2^{d-1}$, we use an analogous argument that gives at least $\Omega(n^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}) \cdot \Omega(n^{\lceil k/2 \rceil}) = \Omega(n^k)$ k -islands in the inductive step.

If $d \geq 2$ and $k \leq 3 \cdot 2^{d-1}$ then a slight modification of the above proof gives $\Omega(n^{\min\{2^{d-1}, k\}})$ k -islands which are actually k -holes in H . We just use the simple fact that every 2-Horton set with n points contains $\Omega(n^2)$ k -holes for every $k \in \{2, \dots, 6\}$ as our inductive hypothesis. This is trivial for $k = 2$ and it follows for $k \in \{3, 4\}$ from the well-known fact that every set of n points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ in general position contains at least $\Omega(n^2)$ k -holes. For $k \in \{5, 6\}$, this fact can be proved using basic properties of 2-Horton sets (we omit the details). Then we use the inductive assumption, which says that every d -Horton set of n points contains at least $\Omega(n^{\min\{2^{d-1}, k\}})$ k -holes if $d \geq 2$ and $1 \leq k \leq 3 \cdot 2^{d-1}$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 5.

References

- 1 O. Aichholzer, M. Balko, T. Hackl, J. Kynčl, I. Parada, M. Scheucher, P. Valtr, and B. Vogtenhuber. A Superlinear Lower Bound on the Number of 5-Holes. In *33rd International Symposium on Computational Geometry (SoCG 2017)*, volume 77 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics*, pages 8:1–8:16, 2017. Full version: [arXiv:1703.05253](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.05253). doi:10.4230/LIPIcs.SocG.2017.8.
- 2 G. E. Andrews, R. Askey, and R. Roy. *Special functions*, volume 71 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999. doi:10.1017/CB09781107325937.
- 3 J. Balogh, H. González-Aguilar, and G. Salazar. Large convex holes in random point sets. *Computational Geometry*, 46(6):725–733, 2013. doi:10.1016/j.comgeo.2012.11.004.
- 4 I. Bárány and Z. Füredi. Empty simplices in Euclidean space. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 30(4):436–445, 1987. doi:10.4153/cmb-1987-064-1.
- 5 I. Bárány and P. Valtr. Planar point sets with a small number of empty convex polygons. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 41(2):243–266, 2004. doi:10.1556/sscmath.41.2004.2.4.
- 6 P. Erdős. Some more problems on elementary geometry. *Australian Mathematical Society Gazette*, 5:52–54, 1978. URL: http://www.renyi.hu/~p_erdos/1978-44.pdf.
- 7 L. C. Evans and R. F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, revised edition, 2015.
- 8 R. Fabila-Monroy and C. Huemer. Covering Islands in Plane Point Sets. In *Computational Geometry: XIV Spanish Meeting on Computational Geometry, EGC 2011*, volume 7579 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 220–225. Springer, 2012. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-34191-5_21.
- 9 R. Fabila-Monroy, C. Huemer, and D. Mitsche. Empty non-convex and convex four-gons in random point sets. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica. A Quarterly of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences*, 52(1):52–64, 2015. doi:10.1556/SScMath.52.2015.1.1301.
- 10 T. Gerken. Empty Convex Hexagons in Planar Point Sets. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 39(1):239–272, 2008. doi:10.1007/s00454-007-9018-x.
- 11 H. Harborth. Konvexe Fünfecke in ebenen Punktmengen. *Elemente der Mathematik*, 33:116–118, 1978. In German. URL: <http://www.digizeitschriften.de/dms/img/?PID=GDZPPN002079801>.
- 12 J. D. Horton. Sets with no empty convex 7-gons. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 26:482–484, 1983. doi:10.4153/CMB-1983-077-8.
- 13 M. Katchalski and A. Meir. On empty triangles determined by points in the plane. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica*, 51(3-4):323–328, 1988. doi:10.1007/BF01903339.
- 14 J. Matoušek. *Lectures on discrete geometry*, volume 212 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. doi:10.1007/978-1-4613-0039-7.
- 15 M. C. Nicolas. The Empty Hexagon Theorem. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 38(2):389–397, 2007. doi:10.1007/s00454-007-1343-6.
- 16 Matthias Reitzner and Daniel Temesvari. Stars of empty simplices, 2019. [arXiv:1808.08734](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08734).
- 17 M. Scheucher. *Points, Lines, and Circles: Some Contributions to Combinatorial Geometry*. PhD thesis, Technische Universität Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, 2019.
- 18 P. Valtr. Sets in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with no large empty convex subsets. *Discrete Mathematics*, 108(1):115–124, 1992. doi:10.1016/0012-365X(92)90665-3.
- 19 P. Valtr. On the minimum number of empty polygons in planar point sets. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, pages 155–163, 1995. URL: <https://refubium.fu-berlin.de/handle/fub188/18741>.
- 20 P. Valtr. On empty hexagons. In *Surveys on Discrete and Computational Geometry: Twenty Years Later*, volume 453 of *Contemporary Mathematics*, pages 433–441. American Mathematical Society, 2008. URL: <http://bookstore.ams.org/conm-453>.
- 21 P. Valtr. On empty pentagons and hexagons in planar point sets. In *Proceedings of Computing: The Eighteenth Australasian Theory Symposium (CATS 2012)*, pages 47–48, Melbourne, Australia, 2012. URL: <http://crpit.com/confpapers/CRPITV128Valtr.pdf>.