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The Detection of Colored Glass Patterns in the Presence of Chromatic Noise

K. S. Cardinal and D. C. Kiper, Institute of Neuroinformatics, University and ETH of Zürich, Switzerland

Introduction:
Glass patterns (i.e., patterns made by juxtaposing two identical arrays of random dots) are detected by mechanisms believed to be important for object perception and recognition. In circular Glass patterns, locally correlated dot pairs are integrated at high levels in the visual system, forming a compelling, global perception of concentric rings. We investigated the chromatic properties of the mechanisms detecting these patterns.

Methods:
Fig. 1 shows the Derrington, Krauskopf, and Lennie (1984) color space (DKL color space) used to define our stimuli. Any stimulus can be defined by two parameters:
1) The azimuth (Φ), or “color,” which is the angle formed by a stimulus vector’s projection onto the isoluminant plane and the L-M axis.
2) The elevation (Θ), or “luminance,” which is the angle it forms with its projection onto the isoluminant plane.

We used a two-interval, forced choice task to measure the pattern detection thresholds as a function of the proportion of the signal dot pairs constituting the circular pattern.

• Examples of the stimuli are shown in Fig. 2.
• The stimuli were made of a fixed number of signal and noise dot pairs (500 and 1000 respectively).
• The fixation point is shown at the center of each panel.
• All signal and noise dots were isoluminant.
• The color of the noise dots could be varied independently of the signal and we measured thresholds using a staircase procedure (2 staircases, 6 reversals each) in various combinations of signal and noise colors.
• The number of signal dot pairs was the same in each quadrant of the display.
• We varied the proportion of the signal pairs that made up the circular pattern. For example, a 50% coherent stimulus would be made of 250 pairs making the pattern, 250 randomly oriented pairs with the same color as the pattern, and 1000 randomly oriented noise pairs with a different color.
• Other parameters of the stimulus are shown in Table 1.

Results:
Fig. 3A shows the results for signals in the cardinal directions for one of the subjects. The abscissa shows the angle difference between the noise and signal azimuths. In general, the thresholds vary as a function of the noise azimuth, revealing the chromatic properties of the mechanisms detecting the signal.
Fig. 3B shows the results for signals in intermediate directions.

Conclusions:
1) A cosine function describes these data relatively well, suggesting that the high-level mechanisms responsible for the detection of colored Glass patterns sum their inputs linearly.
2) Because the noise is most effective when it is in the same direction as the signal, we conclude that for most directions tested there exists a mechanism whose sensitivity is highest in that particular direction.
3) Because the above is true for intermediate directions as well, we conclude that there are multiple (i.e. not restricted to the cardinal axes), linear chromatic mechanisms mediating the detection of colored Glass patterns.

Supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grant No. 3100-056711.99 to DCK.