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I. NOTATION

A discrete memoryless multiple access channel (MAC) is a quadruple \( \{X_1, X_2, P_{Y|X_1, X_2}, Y\} \) where \( X_1, X_2 \) are the input alphabets, \( Y \) the output alphabet, and \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) a transition probability matrix from \( X_1 \times X_2 \) to \( Y \). For shorthand notation, we will refer to the MAC by \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \). A source pair is a triplet \( \{U, V, P_{U,V}\} \) where \( P_{U,V} \) is a distribution on \( U \times V \). We use the following notation: random variables are denoted by uppercase letters. Sequence of \( n \) letters from alphabet \( X \) is denoted by \( x^n \), and the substring \( x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j \) as \( x_{ij} \). When the dimension \( n \) is understood from the context, \( n\)-vectors are denoted by boldface letters: \( x^n = x \). Similar notation holds for random variables and random vectors, e.g., \( V, U, U^n, \) etc. The channel and source are assumed memoryless, thus probabilities of \( n\)-sequences are given by

\[
P_{Y|X_1, X_2}(y|x_1, x_2) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{Y|X_1, X_2}(y_i|x_{i1}, x_{i2})
\]

\[
P_{U,V}(u, v) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{U,V}(u_i, v_i)
\]

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION AND PREVIOUS RESULTS

We investigate a joint source-channel coding schemes for the discrete memoryless MAC with cribbing encoders. The sources, \( U \) and \( V \), deliver their output to two separate encoders 1 and 2. An \((n, m, \epsilon)\) joint source channel code for the source \( P_{U,V} \) and the MAC \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) with strictly causal cribbing encoder consists of \( m+1 \) encoding functions

\[
f_1 : U^n \rightarrow X_1^n
\]

\[
f_{2,i} : V^n \times X_1^{i-1} \rightarrow X_{2,i} \quad i = 1, \ldots, m
\]

and a decoding function

\[
d^n : Y^n \rightarrow U^n \times V^n
\]

such that the probability of error is bounded from above by \( \epsilon \):

\[
P_e = \Pr \{ (a^n, v^n) \neq d^n (y^n) \} = \sum_{(u,v) \not\in U^n \times V^n} P_{U,V}(u, v) \cdot \Pr \{ d^n (y^n) \neq (u, v) \} \leq \epsilon
\]

We say that encoder 2 is the cribbing encoder, as reflected in (1b). The rate of the code is defined by \( \rho = n/m \). A source pair \( (U, V) \) is said to be transmissible via the MAC \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) with strictly causal cribbing at rate \( \rho \) if for every \( \epsilon > 0, \delta > 0 \), and sufficiently large \( n \) there exists an \((n, n/(\rho - \delta), \epsilon)\) code for the source pair and the channel.

We will examine also the model of causal cribbing, where the cribbing encoder sees the output of the other encoder without the delay of (1b), that is, when the sequence of \( m \) encoders at channel input 2 is of the form

\[
f_{2,i} : V^n \times X_1^i \rightarrow X_{2,i} \quad i = 1, \ldots, m
\]

The definition of transmissibility of a source pair via the channel remains as in the case of strictly causal cribbing, with the only difference that (2) replaces (1b). The joint probability mass function for strictly causal cribbing is given by

\[
P (u, v, x_1, x_2, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{U,V}(u_i, v_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{Y|X_1, X_2}(y_i|x_{1i}, (u^n), x_{2i}, (v^n), (x_{1i}^{i-1}(u^n)))
\]

and for causal cribbing by

\[
P (u, v, x_1, x_2, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{U,V}(u_i, v_i) \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_{Y|X_1, X_2}(y_i|x_{1i}(u^n), x_{2i}(v^n), x_{1i}^{i-1}(u^n))
\]

We are interested in finding conditions for transmissibility of a source via the MAC with causal and strictly causal cribbing. We start by stating previous relevant results, on capacity regions of MAC with cribbing, and on distributed source coding.

**Theorem 1.** F. Willems & E. C. van der Meulen [4]: The capacity region for the strictly causal cribbing communication model is the collection of all pairs \((R_1, R_2)\) such that

\[
R_3 \leq H (X_1 \mid W) \quad R_2 \leq I (X_2; Y \mid X_1, W) \quad R_1 + R_2 \leq I (X_1, X_2; Y) \quad (3, 4, 5)
\]

for some distribution of the form

\[
P_{W,X_1,X_2,Y} (w, x_1, x_2, y) = P_W (w) P_{X_1|W} (x_1 \mid w) P_{X_2|W} (x_2 \mid w) P_{Y|X_1,X_2} (y \mid x_1, x_2) \quad (6)
\]

The capacity region for the causal cribbing communication model is given by the collection of all rate pairs \((R_1, R_2)\) such that

\[
R_3 \leq H (X_1) \quad R_1 \leq I (X_2; Y \mid X_1) \quad R_1 + R_2 \leq I (X_1, X_2; Y) \quad (7, 8, 9)
\]
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Theorem 3. A source \( (U, V) \sim P_{U, V} \) is transmissible via the MAC \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) with causal cribbing at rate \( \rho = 1 \) if

\[
\begin{align*}
H(U | V) & \leq H(X_1 | W, V) \\ H(V | U) & \leq I(Y; X_2 | W, U, X_1) \\ H(U, V) & \leq I(Y; X_1, X_2 | U)
\end{align*}
\]

for some

\[
\begin{align*}
P_W(w) P_{U,V}(u, v) P_{X_1|W,U}(x_1 | w, u) P_{X_2|V,W,X_1}(x_2 | v, x_1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P_W(\alpha) = P_U(\alpha) \) and \( W = U \).

The proof of Theorem 3 is omitted due to space considerations.

Theorem 4. A source \( (U, V) \sim P_{U, V} \) is transmissible via the MAC \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) with causal cribbing at rate \( \rho = 1 \) if and only if there exists a joint input distribution \( P_{X_1, X_2} \) such that:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho H(U | V) & \leq H(X_1) \\ \rho H(V | U) & \leq I(Y; X_2 | X_1) \\ \rho H(U, V) & \leq I(Y; X_1, X_2)
\end{align*}
\]

The proof of the converse part of Theorem 4 is omitted. Two proofs of the achievability part of Theorem 4, based on Theorem 3 and based on a separation argument, are given in Section V.

Further results on transmission with distortion, and different models of cribbing, are reported elsewhere.

IV. DISCUSSION

As shown in Section V, Theorem 4 implies that a source \( P_{U, V} \) is transmissible via the MAC \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) with causal cribbing at rate \( \rho = 1 \) if and only if the Slepian-Wolf region scaled by \( \rho \) intersects the capacity region of the MAC with causal cribbing. This implies that with causal cribbing, a separation strategy, where we use a Slepian-Wolf code to compress the source and a channel code to transmit the source codewords via the MAC, is optimal. In view of this, let us examine the achievability result for strictly causal cribbing. By Theorem 3, the following inequalities are sufficient conditions for the source \( P_{U, V} \) to be transmissible via the channel \( P_{Y|X_1, X_2} \) with strictly causal cribbing at rate \( \rho = 1 \):

\[
\begin{align*}
H(U | V) & \leq H(X_1 | W) \\ H(V | U) & \leq I(Y; X_2 | W, X_1) \\ H(U, V) & \leq I(Y; X_1, X_2)
\end{align*}
\]

for some

\[
\begin{align*}
P_W(u) P_{U,V}(u, v) P_{X_1|W}(x_1 | w) P_{X_2|Y,X_1}(x_2 | y, x_1)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P_W(\alpha) = P_W(\alpha) \) and \( U = W \).

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 + R_2 & \leq I(X_1, X_2; Y) \\ R_1 + R_2 & \leq I(Y|X_1, X_2) \\ R_1 + R_2 & \leq I(Y; X_1, X_2)
\end{align*}
\]

V. PROOF OF THE ACHIEVABILITY PART OF THEOREM 4

Proof: The achievability part of Theorem 4 can be proved based on Theorem 3, or based on a separation argument. We first give a proof based on separation.

Given three nonempty intervals \( I_1 = [a, b] \), \( I_2 = [c, d] \), and \( I_3 = [e, f] \), a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a pair \( (R_1, R_2) \) such that \( R_1 \in I_1 \), \( R_2 \in I_2 \), and \( R_1 + R_2 \in I_3 \), is that the endpoints satisfy

\[
a + c \leq f \quad \text{and} \quad b + d \geq e
\]

The Slepian-Wolf rate region for this source is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 + R_2 & \geq 1
\end{align*}
\]

We claim that the capacity region of this MAC with strictly causal cribbing does not contain the line \( R_1 + R_2 = 1 \). Indeed, by (5)

\[
\begin{align*}
R_1 + R_2 & \leq I(X_1, X_2; Y) \
\end{align*}
\]

where the last inequality holds with equality only if \( Y \) is a deterministic function of \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \). Since by (6) we have the Markov relations \( X_1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow X_2 \), \( H(Y|X_1, X_2) = 0 \) only if \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \) are determined by \( W \). But this, in turn, implies that \( R_1 = R_2 = 0 \), by (3) and (4). Therefore the capacity region of this MAC with strictly causal cribbing does not contain the line \( R_1 + R_2 = 1 \).

It is easy to verify that the source \( (U, V) \) is transmissible via the channel at rate \( \rho = 1 \). We can employ single letter code: connect \( U \) and \( V \) directly to \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \), respectively. Moreover, transmissibility can be verified directly from conditions (20)-(22): the conditional entropies on the left hand side there are 0, so we can choose \( P_W = P_U \), \( X_1 = X_2 = W \), and the transmissibility conditions are satisfied. Hence, (20)-(22) and therefore also Theorem 3 predict that the source can be transmitted via the MAC with strictly causal cribbing, yet it cannot be transmitted by a separation strategy.
If the source $P_{Y|X_1,X_2}$ and the channel and input distribution $P_{Y|X_1,X_2}$, $P_{X_1,X_2}$ satisfy (17), (18), and (19), then necessarily the intervals

$$I_1 = [\rho H(U \mid V), H(X_1)]$$

$$I_2 = [\rho H(V \mid U), I(Y; X_2 \mid X_1)]$$

$$I_3 = [\rho H(U,V), I(Y; X_1, X_2)]$$

are nonempty. By basic properties of information functions we have

$$\rho H(U \mid V) + \rho H(V \mid U) \leq \rho H(U, V) \leq H(X_1) \leq I(Y; X_2 \mid X_1) \leq H(X_1, X_2)$$

where the second inequality above holds since $I_3$ is nonempty. It is easy to see that (29) implies (25). Therefore, we can find a pair $(R_1, R_2)$ satisfying

$$\rho H(U \mid V) \leq R_1 \leq H(X_1)$$

$$\rho H(V \mid U) \leq R_2 \leq I(Y; X_2 \mid X_1)$$

$$\rho H(U, V) \leq R_1 + R_2 \leq I(Y; X_1, X_2)$$

(30) (31) (32)

In other words, the scaled (by $\rho$) Slepian-Wolf rate region and the capacity region of the MAC with causal cribbing intersect. We can now construct a source-channel code by concatenating a Slepian-Wolf source code and a channel code for the MAC with causal cribbing. The details are omitted.

We give now a proof of the direct part of Theorem 4 for the special case of $\rho = 1$, based on Theorem 3. We will use Shannon strategies, as in [4]. Consider all different strategies $P_{Y|X_1,X_2}$ that map inputs $x_1 \in X_1$ into inputs $x_2 \in X_2$. A distribution on this set induces a random variable, which we denote by $T$. For the DMMAC $(X_1, X_2, P_{Y|X_1,X_2,Y})$, the DM derived MAC is denoted by $(X_1, T, P_{Y|X_1,X_2,Y})$, where

$$P_{Y|X_1,X,T}(y \mid x_1, t) = P_{Y|X_1,X_2}(y \mid x_1, t(x_1)).$$

Define a set of conditions as follows:

$$H(U \mid V) \leq H(X_1|W,V)$$

$$H(V \mid U) \leq I(T; Y|X_1, W, U)$$

$$H(U, V) \leq I(X_1, T; Y \mid U)$$

for

$$P_{W,U,V,X_1,Y}(w, u, v, x_1, t, y) = P_{W}(w) P_{U,V}(u, v) P_{X_1|W,U}(x_1 \mid w, u)$$

$$P_{T|W,V}(t \mid w, v) P_{Y|X_1,T}(y \mid x_1, t)$$

(33)

By Theorem 3, a source $P_{U,V}(u, v)$ for which the conditions above hold, is transmissible by the derived MAC with strictly causal cribbing. If we now restrict the distribution in (33) to satisfy

$$P_{W,U,V,X_1,Y}(w, u, v, x_1, t, y) = P_{W}(w) P_{U,V}(u, v) P_{X_1}(x_1)$$

$$P_{T}(t) P_{Y|X_1,T}(y \mid x_1, t)$$

then

$$H(X_1|W,V) = H(X_1)$$

$$I(T; Y|X_1, W, U) = I(T; Y|X_1)$$

$$= I(T, X_2; Y|X_1)$$

$$= I(X_2; Y|X_1)$$

$$I(X_1, T; Y \mid U) = I(X_1, X_2; Y)$$

and

$$P_{X_1,X_2,Y}(x_1, x_2, y) = P_{X_1}(x_1) \cdot \sum_{t(x_1) = x_2} P_{T}(t)$$

(34)

where for (34) we used the same method presented in [4, (44), (45)], expressing $P_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2)$ as a function of $P_{T}(t)$:

$$P_{X_1}(x_1) = \sum_{t(x_1) = x_2} P_{T}(t)$$

$$P_{T}(t) = \prod_{x_1} \frac{P_{X_1,X_2}(x_1, x_2 = t(x_1))}{P_{X_1}(x_1)}$$

Note that any joint distribution $P_{X_1,X_2}$ can be expressed with strategies, as indicated in (10) above, and in [4, (44), (45)]. Therefore the joint distribution of $X_1$ and $X_2$ can be arbitrary. This completes the proof of achievability, based on Theorem 3.
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