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#### Abstract

Prenylated indole alkaloids are a class of structurally diverse natural products which display a wide array of biological activites. A subset of these compounds is characterized by the presence of a reverse prenyl group at C3 of a hexahydropyrroloindole skeleton. However, general methods for the C3 reverse prenylation of 3-substituted indoles in one step have not been reported previously. In the first part of this thesis, the development of a method to access this structural motif is described. The disclosed Ir-catalyzed reaction allows for a highly chemo- and regioselective reverse prenylation at C3 of an assortment of 3-substituted indoles. This method represents the first example of an Ir-catalyzed allylation reaction to access vicinal quaternary centers.




Scheme I: Example for the Ir-catalyzed reverse prenylation disclosed in part I of this thesis.
A diastereoselective variant of this reaction provided reverse prenylated hexahydropyrroloindole (-)-VI in one step from tryptophan methyl ester $(S)$-(V) with excellent exo-stereoselectivity. Notably, the transformation yields the product in high regioselectivity ( $>20: 1$ branched to linear). The utility of this approach was illustrated in the syntheses of $(+)$-aszonalenin and (-)-brevicompanine B.



Scheme II: Diastereoselective Ir-catalyzed reverse prenylation of tryptophan methyl ester and synthesis of (+)-aszonalenin and (-)-brevicompanine B.

In the second part of this thesis, a Rh-catalyzed stereoselective synthesis of allenes is disclosed. This method allows access to trisubstituted chiral allenes from widely available arylboronic acids and chiral propargylic benzoates using a catalyst generated in situ from a $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ organometallic complex and phosphoramidite ligand XII. The reaction proceeds under mild conditions and with high degree of chirality transfer. Various arylboronic acids as well as structurally different propargylic benzoates can be employed to yield a broad range of allenes in a stereoselective manner. The transformation is thought to proceed via regioselective cis-addition of an arylrhodium species to the alkyne to furnish a vinylrhodium intermediate. This intermediate then undergoes syn-elimination to form the allene. This rational is based on the determination of the absolute stereochemistry of one product by X-ray crystallographic analysis.


Scheme III: Example for the Rh-catalyzed stereoselective synthesis of allenes described in part II.
Different from the majority of previous approaches, this method enables the synthesis of chiral allenes without using moisture sensitive reagents. It is also the first example of a transition metal catalyzed synthesis of chiral trisubstituted allenes from readily available chiral propargylic alcohol derivatives and arylboronic acids. Moreover, no Rh -catalyzed stereoselective synthesis of allenes employing boronic acids as nucleophiles has been reported previously.

## Zusammenfassung

Prenylierte Indolalkaloide bilden eine strukturell sehr heterogene Klasse von Naturstoffen mit vielfältigen biologischen Aktivitäten. Eine Untergruppe dieser Verbindungen ist durch das Vorhandensein einer inversen Prenylgruppe am C3 Kohlenstoff eines Hexahydropyrroloindolgerüstes gekennzeichnet. Jedoch sind allgemeine Methoden für die einstufige, inverse Prenylierung am C3 Kohlenstoff von 3-substituierten Indolen bisher nicht beschrieben worden. Im ersten Teil der vorliegenden Arbeit wird die Entwicklung einer Synthesemethode beschrieben, die einen Zugang zu diesem Strukturelement gewährt. Die beschriebene Ir-katalysierte Reaktion ermöglicht die inverse Prenylierung am C3 Kohlenstoff von verschiedenen 3-substituierten Indolen mit hoher Chemo- und Regioselektivität. Die Reaktion das erste Beispiel einer Ir-katalysierten allylischer Substitution, die das Motiv von benachbarten, quaternären Zentren erschliesst.


Schema I: Beispiel für die Ir-katalysierte, inverse Prenylierung wie sie im ersten Teil dieser Arbeit beschrieben wird.

Eine diastereoselektive Variante der Reaktion ermöglichte die Synthese von Hexahydropyrroloindol (-)-VI mit hoher exo-Selektivität. Die Reaktion verläuft mit hoher Regioselektivität (>20:1). Die Nützlichkeit des hier entwickelten Ansatzes wurde durch die Synthesen von (+)-Aszonalenin und (-)-Brevicompanine B illustriert.


Schema II: Diastereoselektive, Ir-katalysierte, inverse Prenylierung von Tryptophan Methylester und die Synthesen von (+)-Aszonalenin sowie (-)-Brevicompanine B.

Im zweiten Teil dieser Arbeit wird eine Rh-katalysierte, stereoselektive Synthese von trisubstituierten Allenen beschrieben. Die Methode erlaubt den Zugang zu dreifach substituierten, chiralen Allenen ausgehend von gut verfügbaren Arylboronsäuren und chiralen, propargylischen Benzoaten. Als Katalysator wird ein Rh $^{\text {I }}$ Organometall-Komplex verwendet, der in situ aus einem Rh ${ }^{\mathrm{I}}$-Vorläufer und dem Phosphoramiditliganden XII gebildet wird. Die Reaktion verläuft unter milden Bedingungen, mit hohem Chiralitätstransfer und es können eine Vielzahl von Arylboronsäuren sowie propargylischen Benzoate als Reaktionspartner eingesetzt werden. Als Produkte werden eine Reihe von Allenen in hoher Stereoselektivität erhalten. Die Reaktion verläuft vermutlich über ein Vinylrhodium-Intermediat, das durch eine regioselektive cis-Addition einer Arylrhodium-Verbindung an das Alkin gebildet wird. Durch anschliessende syn-Eliminierung wird schliesslich das Allen gebildet. Diese Annahme basiert auf der Bestimmung der absoluten Stereochemie eines Produktes durch Kristallstrukturanalyse.


Scheme III: Beispiel für die Rh-katalysierte, stereoselektive Synthese von Allenen, wie sie im zweiten Teil der vorliegenden Arbeit beschrieben wird.

Im Unterschied zu den bereits in der Literatur beschriebenen Allensynthesen, ermöglicht die hier beschriebene Methode die Synthese von chiralen Allenen ohne die Verwendung von wasserempflindlichen Reagenzien. Es ist ebenfalls das erste Beispiel einer übergangsmetallkatalysierten Synthese von enantiomerenangereicherten, dreifach substituierten Allenen ausgehend von einfach zugänglichen enantiomerenangereicherten, propargylischen Estern und Arylboronsäuren. Ebenfalls wurde bisher keine Rh-katalysierte, stereoselektive Synthese von Allenen unter der Verwendung von Boronsäuren als Nukleophile beschrieben.
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## I

## Ir-Catalyzed Reverse Prenylation of 3-Substituted Indoles

## 1 Background and Introduction

The prenylated indole alkaloids are a structurally diverse class of natural products isolated predominately from fungi, bryozoans, and cyanobacteria. Many compounds from this family exhibit a wide array of biological activities and have been the subject of numerous synthetic and biosynthetic studies. ${ }^{1}$ An important subset within this group of natural products possess a 1-(1,1-dimethylallyl) substituent at the C 3 junction of a hexahydropyrroloindole motif (e.g. 1 in Figure 1.1). ${ }^{2}$

amauromine (1)

gypsetin (4)


$5-N$-acetylardeemin (2)

lysergic acid (5)

tryprostatin B(8)

flustramine A (3)



Figure 1.1 A selection of prenylated indole natural products.
The introduction of such a substituent has been referred to as "reverse prenylation", on fewer occasions as "inverse prenylation", or as the introduction of an "inverted isoprene unit".

[^0]The 1-(1,1-dimethylallyl) group is described as a reverse prenyl group in order to distinguish this substructure from the normal or linear prenyl group, which is also found in natural products. The occurrence of the reverse prenyl group in indole alkaloids has prompted the development of a variety of methods to access this motif. ${ }^{3}$ The introduction of a reverse prenyl group at C 3 of 3-substituted indoles poses numerous challenges. First, the regioselectivity of the prenylation has to be controlled as outlined schematically below for a tryptamine derivative 10 (Scheme 1.1). Secondly, when accessing the reverse prenylated product, two vicinal quaternary centers are formed. The synthesis of such a motif has long been recognized as a formidable task in natural product synthesis. ${ }^{4}$ Moreover, the established difficulty in accessing such a sterically congested target is likely to impact the regioselectivity. In other words, the need to form vicinal quaternary centers is expected to favor formation of the undesired linear prenylated isomer. Thirdly, the chemoselectivity of the transformation could be problematic if the indole nitrogen is unprotected and thus nucleophilic. When a hexahydropyrrolo moiety is crafted, a second nucleophilic nitrogen is present which increases the need for a chemoselective reaction at C3. ${ }^{5}$ Lastly, the stereochemistry of the process has to be addressed.


Scheme 1.1 Conceptual alkylative cyclization of a tryptamine derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$ with an allyl-metal complex $\mathbf{1 1}$ to give either a reverse (12) or normal (13) prenylated hexahydropyrroloindole. M is either $\operatorname{Ir}$ or $\mathrm{Rh}, \mathrm{R}$ is a protecting group, L and X are ligands, all of which are unspecified.

When an achiral substrate, such as a tryptamine derivative, is employed (Scheme 1.1), the enantioselectivity needs to be controlled. If a chiral substrate is used, such as a tryptophan derivative (Scheme 1.2), two diastereomers can be formed. ${ }^{6}$ Despite these difficulties, methods

[^1]for the introduction of a reverse prenyl group at the C 3 of an indole or related structures have been documented, namely the transition metal-catalyzed reverse prenylation of oxindoles, isatins, and C3 unsubstituted indoles. ${ }^{7}$ However, the regioselectivity of these processes is variable, ranging from perfect regiocontrol to a 2:1 mixture (reverse/normal prenylation).


Scheme 1.2 Conceptual alkylative cyclization of a (S)-tryptophan derivative $\mathbf{1 5}$ with an allyl-metal complex 11 to furnish reverse prenylated hexahydropyrroloindoles with exo- (16) or endodiastereoselectivity (17). Possible normal (linear) prenylated and N -prenylated products are not shown. $M$ is either $\operatorname{Ir}$ or $R h, R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ are protecting groups, $L$ and $X$ are ligands, all of which are unspecified.

Besides chemical transformations, enzymatic processes to furnish C3 reverse prenylated indole derivatives have been described. Such chemoenzymatic reactions were employed in the synthesis of natural products as well as unnatural prenylated indole derivatives. ${ }^{8}$ Substitution reactions at C 3 of 3 -substituted indole with allyl, substituted allyl and benzylelectrophiles have been reported. ${ }^{9}$ However, to the best of our knowledge, chemical methods for the one-step reverse prenylation of 3 -substituted indoles at C 3 have not been reported. ${ }^{10}$

[^2]So far, total syntheses of natural products bearing this structural motif have relied on multistep processes. ${ }^{11}$ Early work by Takase and coworkers employed rearrangement of a previously installed prenyl moiety. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ This approach was low yielding and furnished the reverse prenylated product without stereocontrol. Later work by Danishefsky and coworkers involved an oxidative cyclization followed by a substitution reaction to install the reverse prenyl moiety. ${ }^{12}$ The first and most influential example of the latter strategy is discussed below.

The first example of a stereoselective reverse prenylation of a 3-substituted indole within the synthesis of a complex natural product was reported by Danishefsky and coworkers in the syntheses of amauromine (1) and 5-N-acetylardeemine (2). ${ }^{12}$ Amauromine (1) was found to exhibit potent vasodilating activity ${ }^{13}$ while $5-\mathrm{N}$-acetylardeemine (2) reversed multiple-drug resistance in tumor-cells. ${ }^{14}$ The goal of the work by Danishefsky and coworkers was not only to achieve the first total syntheses of the aforementioned natural products but also to provide material for biological studies. A practical route to both bioactive compounds needed to be found and the synthetic approach should allow to access analogs of $\mathbf{2}$ as well. At the outset of the work by Danishefsky and coworkers, no stereoselective method to access C3 reverse prenylated tryptamine or tryptophan derivatives was known. Furthermore, the reported multistep methods to access this structural motif from tryptamine derivatives occured with modest yields. ${ }^{15}$ Therefore, the development of a new method to access a C3 reverse prenylated hexahydropyrroloindole intermediate was envisioned. A chiral pool approach was first examined, as many variable protected tryptophan derivatives are readily accessible. The existing stereocenter of the amino acid starting material should enable control of the relative stereochemistry. Starting from protected tryptophan 19, they outlined two possible pathways to access hexahydropyrroloindole intermediate 22, which was intended to be a precursor for both natural products (Scheme 1.3).

[^3]

Scheme 1.3 Two possible pathways for the reverse prenylation of tryptophan derivative $\mathbf{1 9}$ in the total synthesis of amauromine (1) and 5-N-acetylardeemine (2) as described by Danishefsky and coworkers. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{M}$ is a metal such as $\mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ are protecting groups, L are ligands or alkyl groups, all of which are unspecified. This scheme is adapted from reference 12a.

In the first scenario, a direct alkylative cyclization would lead to 22 installing the reverse prenyl group with formation of the hexahydropyrroloindole (path a). An alternative two-step approach consists of an oxidative cyclization to give intermediate 20 which subsequently undergoes substitution with a prenyl metal species 21 (path b). The authors noted the following about these two options: "We were not optimistic about the prospects for introduction of a 1,1-dimethallyl moiety at the gem-dimethyl carbon in the required series, in serviceable yield, via direct alkylative cyclization (path a). ${ }^{112 a}$ Therefore, their studies focused on realization of the strategy shown in Scheme 1.3 as path b. Firstly, their goal was to find conditions for a diastereoselective oxidative cyclization to access a compound such as $\mathbf{2 0}$. While such cyclizations were known for tryptamine derivatives, ${ }^{16}$ no suitable stereoselective cyclization had been described for tryptophan derivatives at that time. After extensive experimentation by Danishefsky and coworkers ${ }^{12}$ it was found that a selenocyclization in the presence of anhydrous PPTS accessed selenide 25 from protected tryptophan $\mathbf{2 4}$ in good yield and high diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1.4).

[^4]

Scheme 1.4 Diastereoselective, oxidative selenocyclization of 24 to yield selenide 25 reported by Danishefsky and coworkers in the total synthesis of amauromine (1) and 5-N-acetylardeemine (2). ${ }^{12}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $S$ )-tryptophan methyl ester hydrochloride $(\mathbf{2 3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, 1.0$ equiv.), NaOH ( 5.1 equiv.), ( $n \mathrm{Bu})_{4} \mathrm{NHSO}_{4}$ ( 0.10 equiv.), $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.10 M ), 20 h, r.t., 25 isolated in $91 \%$ yield. (b) 24 ( 1.0 equiv.), $N$-phenylselenophthalimide (26, 1.5 equiv.), anhyd. PPTS (1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.12 \mathrm{M}), 36$ h, r.t., $\mathbf{2 5}$ isolated in $93 \%$ yield as a $18: 1$ exolendo ratio.

One possible explanation for the predominant formation of the desired exo-product is illustrated in Scheme 1.5. Assuming that the initial selenation is reversible under the reaction conditions, both species 27 and 28 can be formed. Cyclization of the Boc-protected amine then leads to either exo-product 25 or endo-product 29. The ratio of 25 to 29 was found to be constant during the course of the reaction and it was suggested that 27 is the major compound in the $27 / 28$ equilibrium and that the exo-product is the kinetic product. ${ }^{17}$


Scheme 1.5 Possible rational for the favored formation of exo-product 25 in the selenocyclization of bis(Boc) tryptophan methylester 24 as proposed by Danishefsky and coworkers. ${ }^{12}$ This scheme is adapted from reference 12 b .

Having successfully achieved the stereoselective cyclization to $\mathbf{2 5}$, the second challenge was to install the reverse prenyl group. Again, significant screening of reaction parameters was needed in order to identify the right conditions for this task. The combination of methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate and prenyl tri- $n$-butylstannane as the source of the prenyl group ultimately affected the difficult substitution from $\mathbf{2 5}$ to $\mathbf{3 0}$ (Scheme 1.6). The diastereoselectivity was not affected by this reaction and after recrystallization, the C 3 reverse prenylated product could be isolated as single diastereomer.

[^5]

Scheme 1.6 Completion of the total synthesis of (-)-amauromine (1) as reported by Danishefsky and coworkers. ${ }^{12}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 25 ( 1.0 equiv.), 2,6 -di-tbutylpyridine ( 2.0 equiv.), prenyl tri- $n$-butylstannane ( 1.3 equiv.), methyl trifluoromethanesulfonate ( 3.9 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.091 \mathrm{~m}), 12 \mathrm{~h},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to reflux, $61 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{3 0}$ (in $18: 1$ d.r.) and $15 \%$ yield of 32 (separated), $57 \%$ yield of $\mathbf{3 0}$ after recrystallization (single stereoisomer). (b) $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), aq. NaOH ( 1.0 M , 5.0 equiv.), THF/MeOH $1: 1(0.060 \mathrm{M}), 3 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux, $98 \%$ yield. (c) 30 ( 1.0 equiv.), TMSI ( 2.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(0.23 \mathrm{M}\right.$ ), $15 \mathrm{~min}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$ yield. (d) 33 ( 1.0 equiv.), 31 ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{BOP}-\mathrm{Cl}$ ( 1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.052 \mathrm{M}), 20 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., $78 \%$ yield. (e) 34 ( 1.0 equiv.), TMSI ( 4.0 equiv.), MeCN (concentration not reported), $30 \mathrm{~min}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C},(-)$-amauromine (1) isolated in $58 \%$ yield.

Hydrolysis of the ester and deprotection of the carbamates of $\mathbf{3 0}$ furnished $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$, which were coupled to give amide 34. The total synthesis of ( - )-amauromine ( $\mathbf{1}$ ) was completed by deprotection of the carbamate in $\mathbf{3 4}$ and spontaneous cyclization under the same conditions. Danishefsky and coworkers also reported the synthesis of the related bioactive natural products $(-)$-ardeemin (38) and (-)-5-N-acetylardeemin (2) (Scheme 1.7). ${ }^{12}$ Starting from carboxylic acid 31, the amide bond formation was found to be troublesome under various conditions ${ }^{18}$ and lead to partial epimerization of the $\alpha$-stereocenter. Ultimately, a two-step procedure involving preparation of the acyl fluoride of $\mathbf{3 1}$ and subsequent coupling ${ }^{19}$ furnished dipeptide $\mathbf{3 5}$ in $71 \%$ yield without any observed epimerization. Deprotection of the carbamates was again effected by TMSI, however in contrast to the previous synthesis, exposure to methanolic ammonia and DMAP was required for the cyclization to diketopiperazine 37. Acylation of 37 and a Staudinger type reaction yielded ( - )-ardeemin (38). The multiple drug resistance reversal agent (-)-5-N-acetylardeemin (2) was then readily obtained by acetylation of $\mathbf{3 8}$ in $71 \%$ yield. It is noteworthy that all reactions in Scheme 1.7 were conducted on a scale larger than 15 mmol yielding 6.0 g of (-)-5-N-acetylardeemin (2) in a single batch.
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Scheme 1.7 Total synthesis of (-)-5-N-acetylardeemin (2) from hexahydropyrroloindole 31 as reported by Danishefsky and coworkers. ${ }^{12}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 31 ( 1.0 equiv.), pyridine ( 1.0 equiv.), cyanuric fluoride ( 4.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(0.091 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ ), $1 \mathrm{~h},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (b) D-Ala-OMe• HCl ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ water $1: 1$ ( 0.10 m ), 1.5 h, r.t., $71 \%$ yield from 31. (c) 35 ( 1.0 equiv.), TMSI ( 3.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(0.10 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ ), $40 \mathrm{~min}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 86 \%$ yield. (d) 36 ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (sat. in $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ), DMAP ( 0.40 equiv.), overnight, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to r.t., $86 \%$ yield. (e) 37 ( 1.0 equiv.), KHMDS ( 0.5 m in toluene, 1.0 equiv.), 2-azidobenzoyl chloride ( 2.0 equiv.), THF ( 0.11 m ), $30 \mathrm{~min},-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (f) tri( $n$-butyl)phosphine ( 1.1 equiv.), benzene ( 0.10 M ), overnight, r.t., $71 \%$ yield from 37. (g) 38 ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(0.25 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ ), $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine ( 3.1 equiv.), $36 \mathrm{~h}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $71 \%$ yield.

In summary, Danishefsky and coworkers achieved the first stereoselective total synthesis of $(-)$-amauromine (1), (-)-ardeemin (38), and (-)-5-N-acetylardeemin (2). ${ }^{12}$ Furthermore, a novel method was developed for diastereoselective introduction of a reverse prenyl group at C 3 of a protected tryptophan. This method was of great importance and influential for application in the synthesis of other targets with this structural feature. As a result of this, most transformations developed were modifications of the work described above.

The group of Qin reported a strategically related two-step method for the introduction of the reverse prenyl unit to tryptophan derivative 24 (Scheme 1.8). ${ }^{20}$ Their method builds on an exo-selective bromocyclization reported by Lera and coworkers (step a in Scheme 1.8).. ${ }^{21}$ Compared to the previous work by Danishefsky and coworkers ${ }^{12}$ the diastereoselectivity of the oxidative cyclization was slightly improved (from $18: 1$ to $24: 1$ ) and the selenium reagent $N$-phenylselenophthalimide could be replaced by NBS. In the second step, the yield was improved from $57 \%$ to $91 \%$ albeit with stoichiometric amounts of silver (I) perchlorate employed in this variant (step b, Scheme 1.8). This silver mediated transformation was shown to be suitable to introduce a variety of arenes via a Friedel-Crafts type reaction. ${ }^{20}$
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Scheme 1.8 Two-step C3 reverse prenylation of protected tryptophan 24 described by Qin and coworkers ${ }^{20}$ based on work by Lera ${ }^{21}$ and Danishefsky. ${ }^{12}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) 24 ( 1.0 equiv.), pyridine ( 1.0 equiv.), PPTS ( 1.0 equiv.), NBS ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.13 \mathrm{M}), 4 \mathrm{~h}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $85 \%$ yield. (b) 42 ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), prenyl tri- $n$-butylstannane ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.067 \mathrm{M}), 16 \mathrm{~h},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathbf{3 0}$ isolated in $91 \%$ yield and undisclosed diastereoselectivity.

Another approach to C3 reverse prenylated indoles was reported by $\bar{O} m u r a$, Sunazuka and coworkers (Scheme 1.9). ${ }^{22}$ In contrast from the previous examples, their work started from an achiral compound, namely tryptophol (43). Stoichiometric epoxidation under Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation conditions furnished 44 in good yield and high enantiomeric excess ( $72 \%$ yield, $99 \% e e$ ). ${ }^{23}$ The tertiary alcohol in 44 was activated as trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{4 5}$ and prenyl tri- $n$-butylstannane was again used as source of the prenyl group. Introduction of the reverse prenyl moiety occurred in $91 \%$ yield to give $\mathbf{4 6}$ with efficiency comparable to the one obtained by Qin and coworkers ( $87 \%$ yield). One drawback of the strategy pursued by $\bar{O}$ mura, Sunazuka and coworkers is that they required rather tedious protecting group manipulations, shown in the steps following intermediate 46. Nevertheless, they achieved the first total synthesis of (+)-neoxaline (49) utilising an enantioselective two-step method for the introduction of the reverse prenyl group present in the target molecule 49.


Scheme 1.9 Enantioselective synthesis of C3 reverse prenylated indole derivative 46 in the total synthesis of (+)-neoxaline (49) reported by $\bar{O}$ mura, Sunazuka and coworkers. ${ }^{22}$ Reagents and

[^8]conditions: (a) $\mathbf{4 3}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), (+)-diisopropyl tartrate ( 1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $t \mathrm{BuOOH}$ ( 2.5 equiv.), $4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.010 \mathrm{M}), 6 \mathrm{~h},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \%$ yield, this step has been reported previously. ${ }^{23}$ (b) 44 ( 1.0 equiv.), allyl chloroformate ( 4.0 equiv.), water/aq. sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ 1:1 ( 0.20 m ), 45 min , r.t. (c) $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCN}$ ( 12 equiv.), DBU ( 0.10 equiv.), 2 h, r.t., $94 \%$ from 44 . (d) prenyl tri- $n$-butylstannane ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.19 \mathrm{M}), 5 \mathrm{~min},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ isolated in $87 \%$ yield as a single diastereomer. (e) 46 ( 1.0 equiv.), 5,5 -dimethyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( 0.10 equiv.), $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(0.10 \mathrm{~m}\right.$ ), 2 h, r.t. (f) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$ ( 3.0 equiv.), AcOH ( 2.0 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.20 m ), 3 h , r.t, $86 \%$ yield from 46. (g) 47 ( 1.0 equiv.), allyl chloroformate ( 4.0 equiv.), water/aq. sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} 1: 1$ ( 0.10 m ), 1 h, r.t. (h) DMP ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.095 \mathrm{M}), 30 \mathrm{~min}$, r.t., $97 \%$ yield from 47.

In summary, various methods for the introduction of a reverse prenyl group at C3 of a 3-substituted indole have been described. However, no general, synthetic method to achieve this goal in one step was known at the outset of the work described herein. Moreover, the synthetic transformations reported in the course of total syntheses of complex natural products relied on the use of stoichiometric prenyl tri- $n$-butylstannane. Alternative approaches were low yielding, lacked stereocontrol, or were not applicable to the synthesis of natural products. Given the interest of our group in Ir-catalyzed allylic substitution reactions and the utilization of them in complex settings, ${ }^{24}$ we envisioned the development of an Ir-catalyzed reverse prenylation reaction of indoles. The high intrinsic selectivity for the branched product observed in Ir-catalyzed allylic substitutions ${ }^{25}$ should facilitate this task. The goal was to disclose a direct alkylative reverse prenylation of 3-substituted indoles based on an Ir-catalyzed allylic substitution. As such, the transformation would not require the quantitative use of organometallic reagents. Such an approach would access a C3 reversed prenylated hexahydropyrroloindole in fewer steps than the previous approaches. Depending on the protecting groups employed, the step count may be further reduced.

[^9]In the following chapter, the realization of this concept is described. The scope of the method and its application in a direct and stereoselective reaction with a tryptophan derivative is outlined. The latter was employed in the total synthesis of two natural products, and (+)-aszonalenin (130) and (-)-brevicompanine B (132).

## 2 Results and Discussion

### 2.1 Initial Reaction Development

### 2.1.1 Development of a Reverse Prenylation Reaction of 3-Substituted Indoles

The reaction development was initiated with subjecting Boc-protected trypamine $\mathbf{5 0}$ to allylic substitution conditions related to the ones previously employed in our group. ${ }^{26}$ In the presence of an acid promoter a reaction took place but no desired C3 allylated product was observed. Instead it is believed that the allylation occurred at C2 of the indole (Scheme 2.1, tentatively assigned structure 52).


Scheme 2.1 Examination of the Ir-catalyzed allylic substitution of allylic alcohol $\mathbf{5 1}$ with Bocprotected tryptamine $\mathbf{5 0}$ as a preliminary experiment for the intended reverse prenylation. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{5 0}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), ( $\pm$ ) $\mathbf{5 1}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ( 0.34 equiv.), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.028 equiv.), ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{5 3}$ ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.38 m ), r.t., 12 h , convn. not determined, 52 is the tentatively assigned structure, the ee was not determined, the reaction was run on a 0.073 mmol scale.

Changing to basic conditions required the use of a leaving group on the allylic alcohol. The desired C3 allylated product was first observed when carbonate $\mathbf{5 5}$ was allowed to react with tryptamine 54 in the presence of $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ (Scheme 2.2). The activation of indoles with these two reagents has been described previously. It was also shown, that with this activation C 3 alkylation occures in high yield when using activated electrophiles (such as BnBr ). ${ }^{27}$
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Scheme 2.2 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{5 4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 5}$ (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ (1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 m in hexanes), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), $(S)-53$ ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane $(0.25 \mathrm{~m})$, r.t., $16 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$ convn. as estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude product, an arbitrary enantiomer of $\mathbf{5 6}$ is shown, $\mathbf{5 6}$ isolated with $36 \% e e$, the reaction was run on a 0.13 mmol scale.

With a catalyst generated in situ from $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ and ligand 53, allylated hexahydropyrroloindole 56 was isolated in low enantiomeric excess ( $36 \%$ ee). Encouraged by the reactivity observed, more hindered allylic carbonate 57 was examined under the same conditions (Scheme 2.3). Pleasingly, C3 allylated product 58 was formed, albeit with low stereocontrol.


Scheme 2.3 Reagents and conditions: (a) 54 (1.0 equiv.), ( $\pm$ )-57 (1.0 equiv.), KOtBu ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.0 equiv., 1.0 m in hexanes), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](0.025$ equiv.), $(S)-53$ ( 0.12 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane $(0.20 \mathrm{M})$, r.t., $21 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$ convn. as estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude product, $\mathbf{5 8}$ obtained in a ~3:2 mixture of diastereomers, the enantioselectivity of both diastereomers was not determined, the reaction was run on a 0.26 mmol scale.

Subsequently, the envisioned reverse prenylation was studied. When $\mathbf{5 4}$ was allowed to react with carbonate 59 in presence of a $\mathrm{Rh}^{1}$ catalyst, C3 reverse prenylated product $\mathbf{6 0}$ was isolated (Scheme 2.4). Compared to the allylation with the less hindered electrophiles $\mathbf{5 5}$ and 57, this transformation required heating ( $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Of note, an $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ catalyst yielded the desired product, however, the Rh-catalyzed reaction showed higher conversion. At this point, no enantioinduction was observed, thus $\mathbf{6 0}$ was furnished as the racemate.


Scheme 2.4 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{5 4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ (1.8 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.3 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ in hexanes), $\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right] \quad$ ( 0.026 equiv.), ( $S$ ) -53 ( 0.11 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 6: 1(0.20 \mathrm{M}), 5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, $\mathbf{6 0}$ isolated with $0 \% e e$, the reaction was run on a 0.14 mmol scale.

An initial screening of chiral ligands with $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}^{1}$ organometallic complexes was conducted (Table 2.1). None of the combinations tested provided $\mathbf{6 0}$ with any measurable enantiomeric excess. The good conversion observed for catalysts generated from $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ organometallic complexes warranted further investigations with both metals.

Table 2.1 Preliminary screening of chiral ligands and metal complexes in the C3 reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 54. Representative conditions (entry 2): (a) $\mathbf{5 4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ ( 2.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.3 equiv., 1.0 m in hexanes), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.037 equiv.), 61 ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane ( 0.19 m ), convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.077 mmol scale. Prior to the addition ligand $\mathbf{6 1}$ and $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ were stirred in $\mathrm{THF} / n$-propylamine $1: 1\left(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, followed by concentration under reduced pressure. This activation was only conducted for entry 2.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Metal Source | Ligand | Conditions | Conversion | $\boldsymbol{e e}(\mathbf{6 0})$ |
| 1 | $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ | $(S)-\mathbf{5 3}$ | $67 \mathrm{~h}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $74 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 2 | $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $21 \mathrm{~h}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $85 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 3 | $\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]$ | $(S)-\mathbf{5 3}$ | $24 \mathrm{~h}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $60 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 4 | $\left[\left\{\operatorname{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $21 \mathrm{~h}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $<5 \%$ | n. d. |
| 5 | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $21 \mathrm{~h}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $<10 \%$ | n. d. |



Because the Rh-catalyzed transformation showed a higher reactivity, a screening of chiral ligands was performed exclusively with $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes (Table 2.2). Surprisingly, the linear
(normal) prenylated product $\mathbf{6 5}$ was generated in high selectivity (20:1) with a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio (entry 1). Branched product $\mathbf{6 4}$ was formed predominantely when the ratio was changed to $2 / 1$ (ligand to Rh ). However, the regioselectivities were considerably lower than with an Ir-catalyst, ranging from 4:1 to 6:1 (entries 2-5). The enantioselectities of both regioisomers was found to be minimal throughout this survey. The three phosphine-olefin phosphoramidite ligands evaluated showed similar regio- and enantioselectivities (entries 3-5). No distinct difference between $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes with a chloride or a weakly coordinating $\mathrm{SbF}_{6}$ counterion was observed.

Table 2.2 Follow-up screening of chiral ligands and metal complexes in the reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 50. Representative conditions (entry 4): (a) $\mathbf{5 0}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 m in THF ), $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 66 ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.32 m ), $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, \sim 75 \%$ convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.081 mmol scale. An arbitrary enantiomer is shown for 64 and 65.

|  | Metal Source |  <br> Ligand |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry |  |  |  | 64/65 | $e e(64)$ | $e e(65)$ |
| 1 | [ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ | (S)-53 | 1:1 | 1/20 | -9\% | 23\% |
| 2 | $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ | (S)-53 | 2:1 | 4/1 | 0\% | 23\% |
| 3 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]$ | (S)-53 | 2:1 | 6/1 | 0\% | -5\% |
| 4 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]$ | 66 | 2:1 | 4/1 | 13\% | 13\% |
| 5 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]$ | 67 | 2:1 | 4/1 | 5\% | 9\% |
|  |  <br> (S)-53 |  |  |  |  <br> 67 |  |

In order to gain more insight in the differences of the $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{I}}$ catalytic systems, allylation of $\mathbf{5 0}$ was examined (Scheme 2.5). Under the same conditions a low ee was obtained under Ir-catalysis but racemic $\mathbf{5 0}$ was isolated when using a Rh catalyst.


Scheme 2.5 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{5 0}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 5}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ (1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 m in THF ), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.028 equiv.), ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 5 3}$ ( 0.19 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane $(0.26 \mathrm{~m})$, r.t., $26 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.13 mmol scale, under these conditions $\mathbf{6 8}$ was isolated with $22 \%$ ee and an arbitrary enantiomer of 68 is shown. When conducting the reaction under the same conditions but with $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2} \mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]$, the conversion was found to be lower ( $21 \%$ ) and $\mathbf{6 8}$ was obtained as a racemate.

It was speculated that triethylborane may influence the catalyst and lower the enantioselectivity. Therefore, Ir-catalyzed allylic substitution of racemic $\mathbf{6 9}$ with benzylalcohol as nucleophile was studied (Scheme 2.6). A substantial decrease in the enantiomeric excess of 70 was observed in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(80 \%$ ee to $32 \% e e)$. Since this transformation is quite different from the reverse prenylation, this finding is not necessarily true in the latter case. However, it led to the examination of a variety of other activation modes for the indole. In this regard, most attempts were not successful, yielding no desired product. On the other hand, when the transformation occurred in the absence of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ the $e e$ was still found to be low (vide infra).


Scheme 2.6 Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $\pm$ )-69 (1.0 equiv.), BnOH (5.0 equiv.), additive (none or 1.1 equiv.), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.023 equiv.), ( $S$ )-53 ( 0.10 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.43 M ), r.t., 24 h , the reaction was run on a 0.087 mmol scale.

A rare case where reverse prenylation of a 3-substituted indole occurred in the absence of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ and added base is shown in Scheme 2.7. Decarboxylative prenylation occured with low enantioinduction when an Ir-catalyst was used. No reaction took place with a Rh-catalyst under the same conditions.


Scheme 2.7 Reagents and conditions: (a) 71 ( 1.0 equiv.), [ $\{\mathrm{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}$ ] ( 0.042 equiv.), ( $S$ )-53 ( 0.21 equiv.), THF ( 0.19 M ), $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 13 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.067 mmol scale, under these conditions 64 was isolated with $26 \%$ ee and an arbitrary enantiomer of $\mathbf{6 4}$ is shown. When conducting the reaction under the same conditions but with $\left[\left\{\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$, no product was formed.

Trialkylboranes were employed as additives in the C3 allylation of indoles by Tamaru and coworkers $^{7 c}$ (in contrast to the work by Yang and coworkers ${ }^{27 a}$ without additional base). In the corresponding enantioselective Pd-catalyzed C3 allylation of 3-substituted indoles reported by Trost and coworkers, the use of a sterically more demanding trialkylborane increased the enantioselectivity. ${ }^{9 a}$ For this reason the effect of replacing $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ with $9-\mathrm{BBN}-(\mathrm{cHex})$ was studied (Table 2.3). With KOtBu as base, reverse prenylated $\mathbf{6 4}$ was obtained as racemate (as for $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$, see Table 2.2, entry 2). The combination of KHMDS and 9-BBN-( $c \mathrm{Hex}$ ) provided $\mathbf{6 4}$ in $33 \%$ ee.

Table 2.3 Evaluation of $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ as a sterically more hindered borane in the reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 50. Representative conditions (entry 2): (a) $\mathbf{5 0}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), KHMDS ( 1.1 equiv.), $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ( 0.90 equiv., 1.0 M in THF), [ $\left.\left\{\mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), ( $S$ ) 53 ( 0.10 equiv.), $\operatorname{THF}(0.23 \mathrm{M}), 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$, the reaction was run on a 0.12 mmol scale.


Given the challenges to induce enantioselectivity in the reverse prenylation with chiral Rhor Ir-catalysts, the racemic reaction was studied instead. Because the regioselectivity was generally higher under Ir-catalysis, the corresponding Rh-catalyzed reaction was not studied further. The four possible combinations from employing either $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ or $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ with or without ligand 72 (in a 2:1 ratio to Ir or Rh ) were studied. The highest
conversion was obtained with ligand $\mathbf{7 2}$ in a $2 / 1$ ratio to Ir furnishing ( $\pm$ )-64 in $71 \%$ yield (Scheme 2.8). The optimization of the racemic reverse prenylation reaction of indoles was conducted based on this result.


Scheme 2.8 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{5 0}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 M in THF ), $\left[\{\mathrm{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.029 equiv.), 72 ( 0.14 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.31 M ), $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 0.15 mmol scale, $( \pm)-\mathbf{6 4}$ isolated in $71 \%$ yield.

For the optimization studies described in the next chapter, substrate 73 was prepared. The F-substituent in vicinity to the newly formed bond greatly facilitated the screening process due to analysis of the reaction by ${ }^{19}$ F-NMR. Ir-catalyzed reverse prenylation of 73 occurred smoothly to yield 74 in high branched to linear selectivity (Scheme 2.9). The linear regioisomer could be accessed through Pd-catalysis and by using the regioisomeric carbonate
84.


Scheme 2.9 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{7 3}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 m in THF ), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.027 equiv.), 72 ( 0.052 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.25 M ), $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$ convn. to ( $\pm$ )-74 and $31: 1$ regioselectivity (74/75) as estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.10 mmol scale. (b) 73 ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{8 4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 M in THF ), $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( 0.039 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane $(0.17 \mathrm{M}), 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 0.10 mmol scale, $29: 1$ regioselectivity (75/74) as estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{7 5}$ isolated in $56 \%$ yield and 33:1 regioselectivity ( $\mathbf{7 5} / \mathbf{7 4}$, determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis) after purification.

A selected preliminary result with a different electrophile is illustrated in Scheme 2.10. When linalool derived tertiary carbonate ( $\pm$ )-77 was subjected to the reaction conditions, reverse geranylated product $\mathbf{7 8}$ was formed in $83 \%$ yield. Using an achiral ligand, the diastereocontrol was low (3.5:1) but this result suggested that other electrophiles may be engaged in the disclosed indole functionalization. A method for the enantioselective normal and reverse geranylation of oxindoles has been reported by Trost and coworkers. ${ }^{7 a}$ Only few C3
geranylated indole natural products are known to date ${ }^{28}$ and no reverse geranylated natural product has been isolated. ${ }^{29}$


Scheme 2.10 Reagents and conditions: (a) 76 ( 1.0 equiv.), ( $\pm$ )-77 ( 1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.010 equiv.), 87 ( 0.020 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane $(0.23 \mathrm{M}), 24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 1.0 mmol scale, $( \pm)-78$ isolated in $83 \%$ yield and a 3.5:1 mixture of diastereomers, an arbitrary stereoisomer of $( \pm)$-78 is shown.

### 2.2 Optimization Process

### 2.2.1 Reverse Prenylation of 3-Substituted Indoles

Most of the optimization reactions were conducted with tosylate 73. This is because the F-substituent in 72 facilitated the analysis of the outcome through ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR. Various derivatives of 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol and one 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol derivative were examined as sources of the prenyl group (Table 2.4). A high regioselectivity was obtained for many derivatives (entries 1-4) and only in one case linear product 75 was predominantly generated (entry 7). The reactivity was largely different, yielding in conversions from $92 \%$ to $1 \%$. Tertiary carbonate $\mathbf{5 9}$ proved to be the best choice among the allylic alcohol derivatives tested.

[^11]Table 2.4 Screening of 2-methyl-3-buten-2-ol derivatives and one 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol derivative in the racemic reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 73. Reagents and conditions: (a) 73 ( 1.0 equiv.), allylic alcohol derivative ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), [\{ $\left.\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), 72 ( 0.060 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.27 m ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$, ratio 74/75 and convn. estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reactions were run on a 0.10 mmol scale.


Evaluating the Ir-catalyzed reaction between 73 and 59, an initial ligand screening was performed (Table 2.5). In general a ligand to Ir ratio of $1 / 1$ resulted in increased reactivity while the regioselecitivity was not decreased. The use of phosphoramidite ligands resulted in better conversion compared to the phosphine and phosphite ligands tested. Phosphoramidite ligand $\mathbf{8 5}$ gave the best results. The difference between $\mathbf{7 2}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$ was marginal under these conditons and therefore these ligands were re-examined in a later screnning. The reaction time was shortend in this follow-up study in order to obtain a clearer difference between these two ligands.

Table 2.5 Initial ligand screening in the racemic reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 73. Reagents and conditions: (a) 73 ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), ligand ( 0.060 or 0.012 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.20 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, ratio $74 / 75$ and convn. estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reactions were run on a 0.10 mmol scale.
Entry

A follow-up ligand screening was conducted with the same reactants and conditions but the reaction was stopped earlier ( 3 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of 4 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, Table 2.6). Prenylated 74 was accessed with excellent regioselectivity for all but one ligand (53). The observation made in the previous ligand screening, namely that ligand $\mathbf{8 5}$ leads to a higher conversion compared to $\mathbf{7 2}$, was confirmed. Again, the differences were marginal ( $95 \%$ versus $92 \%$ convn.). The use of ligand 86, which has not been evaluated before, resulted in low reactivity ( $10 \%$ convn., entry 4).

Table 2.6 Follow-up ligand screening in the racemic reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 73. Reagents and conditions: (a) 73 ( 1.0 equiv.), 59 ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), ligand (none or 0.060 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane ( 0.20 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, ratio $74 / 75$ and convn. estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reactions were run on a 0.10 mmol scale.


| Entry | Ligand | $\mathbf{7 4 / 7 5}$ | Conversion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | none | $>20: 1$ | $28 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $>20: 1$ | $92 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $>20: 1$ | $95 \%$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $>20: 1$ | $10 \%$ |
| 5 | $(R)-\mathbf{5 3}$ | $5: 1$ | $77 \%$ |
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In a final ligand screening, two newly prepared ligands $(\mathbf{8 7}, \mathbf{8 8})$ were included (Table 2.7).
Table 2.7 Final screening in the racemic reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 73. Reagents and conditions: (a) 73 ( 1.0 equiv.), 59 ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.2 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), [ $\left.\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), ligand ( 0.060 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane ( 0.20 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, ratio 74/75 and convn. estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reactions were run on a 0.10 mmol scale.


| Entry | Ligand | $\mathbf{7 4 / 7 5}$ | Conversion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $>20: 1$ | $65 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $>20: 1$ | $93 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | n. d. | $<5 \%$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $>20: 1$ | $97 \%$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{8 8}$ | $>20: 1$ | $93 \%$ |
|  | $(R)-\mathbf{5 3}$ | $7: 1$ | $29 \%$ |
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While the branched to linear ratio was high for both new ligands, the use of $\mathbf{8 7}$ led to an increased conversion compared to what was the best ligand to this point (85). Given the superior performance of $\mathbf{8 7}$, this ligand was utilized in all subsequent reactions.

In the last set of optimization experiments, the catalyst loading was studied (Table 2.8). For the reaction between tryptamine derivative $\mathbf{7 3}$ and carbonate 59, the catalyst loading could be decreased to $0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (entry 3). When the loading was reduced to $0.020 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, the conversion was found to be incomplete (entry 5). In later experiments it was found that the purity of the tosylate is crucial when using such low catalyst loadings. Minor impurities present in the tosylate starting materials ( $\mathbf{7 3}$ or $\mathbf{7 6}$ ) would prevent the reaction to reach completion when using a catalyst loading lower than $0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$. As described in the scope of the reaction, tosyl protected tryptamines are particular good substrates for this reaction. Fot other substrates, the catalyst loading could not be lowered to this extent.

Table 2.8 Influence of the catalyst loading in the racemic reverse prenylation of tryptamine derivative 73. Representative conditions (entry 3): (a) 73 ( 1.0 equiv.), 59 ( 2.0 equiv.), KOtBu ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 M in THF ), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0010 equiv.), 84 ( 0.002 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.19 M ), convn. estimated by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reactions were run on a 0.10 mmol scale. (b) A catalyst loading of 0.020 equiv. refers to the use of 0.020 equiv. 84 and 0.010 equiv. [\{ $\left.\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$, stock solutions of the in situ formed catalyst were used.

| Cat. Loading ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Temperature | Time | Conversion |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.020 equiv. | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1.5 h | $>95 \%$ |
| 2 | 0.010 equiv. | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 0.50 h | $>95 \%$ |
| 3 | 0.0020 equiv. | $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2.0 h | $>95 \%$ |
| 4 | 0.00050 equiv. | $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 13 h | $>95 \%$ |
| 5 | 0.00010 equiv. | $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 13 h | $24 \%$ |
| Ents |  |  |  |  |

### 2.2.2 Diastereoselective Reverse Prenylation of Tryptophan Derivatives

In order apply the disclosed racemic reverse prenylation reaction to the synthesis of natural products, diastereoselective variants of this transformation were examined. When simple tryptophan derivatives were subjected to the reaction conditions, the desired product was obtained, albeit with low diastereocontrol. As an example, Boc-protected tryptophan methyl ester $\mathbf{8 9}$ provided the reverse prenylated hexahydropyrroloindoles $\mathbf{9 0}$ and $\mathbf{9 1}$ in a combined yield of $84 \%$ (Scheme 2.11). In this case no diastereoselectivity was observed and the partial separation of the two compounds by flash column chromatography on silica gel was possible but not practical.


Scheme 2.11 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{8 9}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), 59 ( 1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 m in THF ), $\left[\{\mathrm{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0025 equiv.), 72 ( 0.0050 equiv.), 1,4-dioxane $(0.23 \mathrm{M}), 24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 1.0 mmol scale. The exo to endo diastereoselectivity was found to be $\sim 1: 1$ by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis; both in the crude and purified product. The regioselectivity (branched to linear) was found to be $>20: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude product. The combined yield of $\mathbf{9 0}$ and $\mathbf{9 1}$ was $84 \%$.

The assigned relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{9 0}$ and 91 could be confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis of exo-product 90 (Figure 2.1).


Figure 2.1 Structure of (-)-exo-product 90 in the solid state (ORTEP view with thermal ellipsoids set at $50 \%$ probability).

A variety of conditions were examined for the diasteroselecitve reverse prenylation of $\mathbf{8 9}$, however, the observed diastereoselectivity remained low (<2:1). Various tryptophan
derivatives were prepared by changing the protecting group on the carboxylic acid and aliphatic amine (a selection is given in Figure 2.2). While some of these substrates displayed a different reactivity, the diastereoselectivity remained low for all examples studied (<2:1 d.r.).
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Figure 2.2 Selected substrates evaluated for the diastereoselective reverse prenylation reaction.
Another approach to a diastereoselective reaction was pursued by the preparation of precursors for a specific natural product target. In this event, amide $\mathbf{9 4}$ was reacted to directly provide the two natural products 95 and 96 (Scheme 2.12). This strategy is arguably biomimetic, as not only the products but also the starting material 94 has been found in nature. ${ }^{30} \mathrm{~A}$ variety of conditions for this transformation were screened but the selectivity for either target never exceeded $2: 1$.


Scheme 2.12 Attempted diastereoselective synthesis of natural product 95 or 96 . Selected conditions: (a) $\mathbf{9 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ ( 1.4 equiv.), KOtBu ( 0.50 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.0 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0089 equiv.), $\mathbf{8 7}$ ( 0.045 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.20 m ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 13 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn. analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the diastereoselectivity was found to be $2: 1(\mathbf{9 6} / \mathbf{9 5})$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.10 mmol scale.

Inspired by the Pd-catalyzed diastereoselective allylation of tryptophan methyl ester reported by Tamaru and coworkers, ${ }^{7 c}$ the same substrate was examined in the reverse prenylation reaction (Scheme 2.13). When excess triethylborane was used ( 2.0 equiv.), the reverse prenylated products were obtained in a reasonable yield ( $58 \%$ combined). The stereoselectivity was again found to be low. The two isomers could be readily separated by

[^12]flash column chromatography on silica gel. However, the yield of the desired exo-isomer after sepatation was rather low (33\%).


Scheme 2.13 Attempted diastereoselective synthesis of 33. Reagents and conditions: (a) 23 ( 1.0 equiv.), 59 ( 1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 2.0 equiv., 1.0 m in THF ), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0050 equiv.), 87 ( 0.010 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.18 m ), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 26 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 0.50 mmol scale, exo-diastereomer $\mathbf{3 3}$ isolated in $33 \%$ yield, endo-diastereomer 97 in $25 \%$ yield.

Considerable experimentation led to the identification of reaction conditions, which provided reverse prenylated bis-amine 33 in high exo-selectivity and good yield (58\%, Scheme 2.14). Key to to selectivity of this transformation was the use of a sterically more demanding trialkylborane ( $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ). Replacing $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ with KHMDS and conducting the reaction at lower temperature $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ increased the yield of the process. Analysis of $\mathbf{3 3}$ showed no loss of the enantiomeric purity. Having established a diastereoselecitive reverse prenylation of tryptophan methyl ester (23) in a serviceable yield, the goal was to access natural products with 33 as a common intermediate. The realization of this task was achieved in the synthesis of the two bioactive natural products, (+)-aszonalenin (130) and (-)-brevicompanine B (132), and is described in the following.


Scheme 2.14 Diastereoselective synthesis of exo-hexahydropyrroloindole 33. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{2 3}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), 59 ( 1.1 equiv.), KHMDS ( 1.0 equiv.), $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ( 2.5 equiv., 0.5 m in 1,4 -dioxane), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0050 equiv.), 87 ( 0.010 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane/THF 3:1 $(0.15 \mathrm{M}), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 1.0 mmol scale.

### 2.3 Scope of the Reverse Prenylation Reaction of 3-Substituted Indoles

An assortment of 3 -substituted indoles was prepared in order to study the scope of the racemic reverse prenylation reaction (Figure 2.3). ${ }^{31}$ Because the ultimate goal was to apply the method in natural product synthesis, the focus of the reaction scope was on tryptamine derivatives. Nevertheless other substrates, most of them bearing a pendent nucleophile, were also prepared.
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Figure 2.3 Indoles successfully used in the racemic C3 reverse prenylation reaction.
The scope of the racemic reverse prenylation reaction is shown in Table 2.9. Tosyl protected tryptamines were found to be particularly good substrates and substoichometric amounts of $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ could be used (73, 76, 98, 99). This finding is likely because most of the reaction optimization was carried out using 73 as substrate. A slight excess of KOtBu and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ was needed for all other substrates examined. The reactions reached completion within $1-5 \mathrm{~h}$ at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the exception of $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ and $106\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. A low catalyst loading could be used ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) for most examples.

[^13]Table 2.9 Scope of the racemic reverse C3 prenylation of 3-substituted indoles. Reagents and conditions: (a) indole ( $1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $\mathbf{5 9}$ ( 1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.1 equiv., 1.0 m in THF), $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0025 equiv.), 87 ( 0.0050 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.19 M ), $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. All products were isolated as single diastereomers (not applicable for 118) with $>20: 1$ branched to linear selectivity. All reactions were run on a 1.0 mmol scale and the yields are given for the purified products.
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Electronically different indoles could be employed as substrates (73, 98). Substitution on indole was tolerated on C2, C4 and C5. Indoles with substituents at C6 were not examined, and those with C7 substitution were not suitable substrates (vide infra). Most substrates had a pendant nucleophile in the molecule, giving rise to a variety of structurally diverse scaffolds.

For the two examples where no such pendant nucleophile was present, the imine was isolated $(\mathbf{1 0 5}, \mathbf{1 0 6})$. A notable feature of the reaction is the high regioselectivity ( $>20: 1$ ) observed for all products as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the unpurified reaction mixture. Moreover, the process shows high site selecitivity and no $N$-prenylated products were observed. The structures of two products were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (109, 112, Figure 2.4). Compound $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was prepared previously by $\bar{O} m u r a, ~ S u n a z u k a$ and coworkers and the analytical data obtained was in accordance with that which was published.



Figure 2.4 Structures of reverse prenylated products $\mathbf{1 0 9}$ (left) and $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ (right) in the solid state (ORTEP view with thermal ellipsoids set at $50 \%$ probability).

A selection of challenging substrates is given in Figure 2.5. These indole derivatives provided no desired products and the starting materials were recovered. The exceptions were 125 and 126, which gave a complex product mixture and which showed low conversion in a preliminary experiment, respectively.
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Figure 2.5 Selection of challenging indole substrates in the racemic reverse C3 prenylation of 3 -substituted indoles as described in Table 2.9.

### 2.4 Synthesis of (+)-Aszonalenin and (-)-Brevicompanine B

Diastereoselective reverse prenylation of tryptophan methyl ester provided $\mathbf{3 3}$ in high exoand regioselectivity (vide supra). This compound proved to be a versatile intermediate en route to prenylated indole alkaloids as described in this chapter. Both enantiomers of $\mathbf{3 3}$ were readily accessible from either enantiomer of tryptophan methyl ester.

The fungal metabolite (+)-aszonalenin (130) was isolated from Aspergillus zonatus by Kimura and coworkers. ${ }^{32}$ Barrow and Sun found that the derived acyl aszonalenin ( $\mathbf{1 3 0}$ mono acylated at the indoline nitrogen) is a neurokinin-1 receptor. ${ }^{33}$ A chemoenzymatic synthesis of 130 has been reported by Harrison and coworkers as well as $L i$ and coworkers. ${ }^{34}$ The absolute stereochemistry was established in the synthesis of (-)-dihydroaszonalenin by Bhat and Harrison. ${ }^{35}$ To the best of our knowledge, no chemical synthesis has been disclosed prior to the work described herein. The synthesis (+)-aszonalenin commenced by coupling ent-33 with 2-aminobenzoic acid in the presence of HATU and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (Scheme 2.15). Crude amide 129 contained some of the cyclized product (130). Therefore, it was more convenient to directly subject the crude material to an $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ mediated cyclization. The natural product $(+)$-aszonalenin (130) was accessed in $85 \%$ yield from ent- $\mathbf{3 3}$ as single diastereomer. The structure of (+)-aszonalenin (130) could be confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 2.6).


Scheme 2.15 Synthesis of (+)-aszonalenin from ent-33. Reagents and conditions: (a) 33 ( 1.0 equiv.), 2 -aminobenzoic acid ( 1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), HATU ( 1.4 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.10 \mathrm{~m}), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ ( 4.0 equiv., 2.0 m in toluene), toluene ( 0.10 m ), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$ (over two steps), the reaction was run on a 0.17 mmol scale.

[^14]

Figure 2.6 Structure of (+)-aszonalenin 130 in the solid state (ORTEP view with thermal ellipsoids set at $50 \%$ probability).

The plant growth regulator ( - )-brevicompanine B (132) was isolated from Penicillium brevicompactum by Kimuara and coworkers. ${ }^{36}$ The only synthesis prior to this work was reported by Matsumura and Kitahara. ${ }^{37}$ They accessed the reverse prenylated motif using the procedure by Danishefsky and coworkers. ${ }^{12}$ For the synthesis of this natural product relying on the method disclosed herein, $\mathbf{3 3}$ was coupled with $(R)$-Fmoc-Leu under conditions used for the previous synthesis providing amide 131 in $82 \%$ yield. Deprotection of the carbamate and spontaneous cyclization gave the target natural product $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ in $83 \%$ yield and as a single diastereomer. The diketopiperazine natural product $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ was accessed in three steps from tryptophan methyl ester. This compares favorably to the previously published synthesis (8 steps from tryptophan methyl ester).

[^15]

Scheme 2.16 Synthesis of (-)-brevicompanine B from 33. Reagents and conditions: (a) 33 ( 1.0 equiv.), ( $R$ )-Fmoc-Leu ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), HATU ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.10 \mathrm{M})$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $19{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 19 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$ yield, the reaction was run on a 0.24 mmol scale.; (b) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ( 35 equiv.), THF $(0.020 \mathrm{M}), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$ yield, the reaction was run on a 0.16 mmol scale.

## 3 Conclusion and Outlook

In summary, the first method for direct, C3 selective, reverse prenylation of 3-substituted indoles was developed. The reaction employs a readily accessible Ir-catalyst and a simple carbonate as precursor for the prenyl group with a variety of 3-substituted indoles as substrates. All products are obtained in good regioselectivity ( $>20: 1$ ), involving the formation of vicinal quaternary centers. The diastereoselective reaction with tryptophan methyl ester enables access to a versatile hexahydropyrroloindole intermediate, which is employed in the stereoselective synthesis of two bioactive natural products, (+)-aszonalenin and ( - )-brevicompanine B. The Ir-catalyzed cyclization reaction for tryptophan derivatives was observed to give high selectivity with the free amine and a bulky trialkylborane. The same intermediate and its derivatives are expected to be useful for the synthesis of other C 3 reverse prenylated indole alkaloids. In a broader context, an additional and important feature of this method is that it represents the first use of Ir-catalysis to effect reverse prenylation of C3 substituted indoles. Moreover, this is the first example of any Ir-catalyzed allylation reaction to access vicinal quaternary centers. This expands the reaction scope of Ir-catalyzed allylations and suggests additional avenues for investigation.

## II

## Rh-Catalyzed Stereoselective

 Synthesis of Allenes
## 4 Background and Introduction

Allenes are an important class of compounds due to their unique reactivity. ${ }^{38}$ The transition metal-catalyzed formal SN2 $^{\prime}$ reaction between propargyl alcohol derivatives and organometallic reagents constitute one of the most important preparations of allenes. ${ }^{39}$ The coupling partners employed were traditionally moisture sensitive oranometallics used in stoichiometric fashion. ${ }^{39,40}$ More recently, organoboron reagents or catalytically generated organocopper reagents have found increasing use as nucleophiles in the stereoselective, catalytic synthesis of allenes. Alkyl-, vinyl-, and arylboron reagents were employed in the preparation of chiral allenes from enantioenriched propargylic alcohol derivatives under Pd and Cu catalysis. ${ }^{41}$ This process was found to be challenging to conduct in a stereoselective manner when using other transition metals such as Rh or $\mathrm{Fe} .^{42}$ In order to achieve high regio- and stereoselectivity, propargyl epoxides have been used as substrates instead. ${ }^{43}$ Since highly

[^16]enantioenriched propargylic alcohols are readily accessible from the enantioselective addition of terminal alkynes to aldehydes ${ }^{44}$ or the reduction of $\alpha, \beta$-alkynyl ketones, ${ }^{45}$ we envisioned a stereoselective Rh-catalyzes synthesis of allenes from propargyl alcohol derivaties. Within Rh catalysis, the addition of boronic acids (or their derivatives) to enones ${ }^{46}$ or alkynes ${ }^{47}$ is well established. For enones the regioselectivity is governed by the substrate, while the regioselective hydroarylation of alkynes is generally more challenging. For example, the addition of phenylboronic acid to propargylic acetate $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ occurred with 4:1 regioselectivity, as described by Murakami and coworkers (Scheme 4.1). ${ }^{42 a}$ Addition distal to the acetate was followed by elimination to give allene $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ in an overall $\mathrm{SN}^{\prime}$ ' process whereas the vinylrhodium intermediate formed through the regioisomeric addition underwent protonation to furnish 139.48 The observed regioselectivity was not improved when the corresponding propargylic alcohol ( $\sim 4: 1$ ) or the methyl ether ( $\sim 2: 1$ ) were used.


Scheme 4.1 Reaction between phenylboronic acid and propargylic acetate $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ as reported by Murakami and coworkers. ${ }^{42 a}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.015 equiv.), $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}(0.060$ equiv.), $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.10 \mathrm{M})$, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{1 3 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ isolated in $94 \%$ combined yield, the reaction was run on a 0.30 mmol scale.

When the same reaction was conducted with an enantioenriched starting material, allene $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ was formed with $32 \%$ es while the hydroarylation product $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ was isolated without detectable loss of optical purity (Scheme 4.2). The latter finding suggests that the reaction proceeds through a vinylrhodium intermediate and no allylic cations are formed. ${ }^{42 a}$ Using EtOH as solvent yielded the opposite enantiomer with low stereocontrol ( $-17 \%$ ee). This result indicates

[^17]that both anti- and syn-elimination are possible and that one pathway is somewhat favored depending on the solvent.


Scheme 4.2 Rh-catalyzed addition of phenylboronic acid to enantioenriched propargylic acetate 136, followed by elimination to $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ or protonation to $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ reported by Murakami and coworkers. ${ }^{42}$ a Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.015 equiv.), $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}(0.060$ equiv. $), \mathrm{MeOH}(0.10 \mathrm{M}), 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, the scale of the reaction, the combined yield and ratio of $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ was not reported but the latter has to be identical to the one given in Scheme 4.1.

Based on the results described by Murakami and coworkers, ${ }^{42 a}$ a catalytic cycle for the reaction between arylboronic acids and enantioenriched propargylic alcohol derivatives can be proposed (Scheme 4.3). An Rh-catalyst 140 is generated in situ from $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ and a ligand. Transmetalation of an arylboronic acid to the formed catalyst then gives $\mathbf{1 4 2 . 4 9}$ Syn-addition of the arylrhodium species across the alkyne of $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ furnishes two vinylrhodium intermediates, $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ as major and $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ as minor regioisomer. The carborhodation of the alkyne may be preceded by a coordination of the arylrhodium complex to the alkyne (149). If the aryl group is added proximal to the propargylic stereocenter, compound $\mathbf{1 4 6}$ is formed. This intermediate arrests the catalytic cycle, unless protonation occurs to give the hydroarylation product $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ with release of the catalyst. Regioisomeric vinylrhodium species $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ can either undergo protonation to hydroarylation product $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ or undergo a $\beta$-O elimination to furnish an allene. In the latter scenario, enantiomeric allenes 148 are formed through syn- (from conformer 151) or anti-elimination (from conformer 150). The ratio of syn- to anti-E2elimination determines the degree of chirality transfer if an enantioenriched benzoate $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ is used as starting material.

[^18]

Scheme 4.3 Proposed catalytic cycle of a stereoselective Rh-catalyzed reaction between arylboronic acids and enantioenriched propargylic alcohol derivatives to furnish allenes adapted from work published by Murakami and coworkers. ${ }^{42 a}$

Alternative mechanisms or variations of the one presented can not be excluded. In that regard, it is noteworthy that some related Pd-catalyzed processes were suggested to proceed through a SN2'-type oxidative addition to give an allenylpalladium intermediate. This species would then undergo transmetalation and reductive elimination to yield the allene. ${ }^{50}$ As such the overall reaction furnishes the same product from identical starting materials as the mechanism shown in Scheme 4.3. This mechanism seems to be unlikely since it does not account for the formation of hydroarylation product 139 (Scheme 4.2) observed by Murakami and coworkers. ${ }^{42 a}$

The catalytic cycle illustrated in Scheme 4.3 would account for the virtual nonexistence of transition-metal catalyzed enantioselective methods to access chiral allenes from racemic propargylic alcohol derivatives and organometallic reagents. ${ }^{51}$ This is because an enantioselective process starting from an unsymmetrical propargylic alcohol derivative requires that the reaction proceeds one half each through a syn- and anti-elimination (Scheme

[^19]4.4). At the same time, this mechanism suggests that enantiospecific transformations should be feasible, which indeed has been realized herein.


Scheme 4.4 Stereochemical relation between enantiomeric propargylic alcohol derivatives 307, vinylrhodium intermediates $\mathbf{3 0 8}$ and allenes 312. Proposed catalytic cycle of a stereoselective Rhcatalyzed reaction between arylboronic acids and enantioenriched.

Presumably, because of the low regio- and stereoselectivity encountered by Murakami and coworkers, ${ }^{42 a}$ the same group set out to employ a different substrate class. When propargyl epoxides were used instead of propargyl acetates the same transformation provided $\alpha$-allenols in complete regio- and high diastereoselectivity (Scheme 4.5). ${ }^{52}$ In this case no hydroarylation product arising from the regioisomeric addition to the alkyne was observed. The $\alpha$-allenols were accessed in high to excellent diastereoselectivity with few exceptions. Moreover, in two examples examined, no detectable loss of enantiomeric excess occurred over the course of the reaction. This methodology is to the best of our knowledge the only Rh-catalyzed enantiospecific synthesis of allenes using boronic acids reported to date. However, the use of propargylic epoxides is suboptimal as these starting materials are not as readily accessible in highly enantioenriched form (compared to propargyl alcohols).

[^20]

Scheme 4.5 Rh-catalyzed stereoselective synthesis of $\alpha$-allenols reported by Murakami and coworkers. ${ }^{43 c}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), KOH ( $0.50-0.75$ equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.025 equiv.), THF $(0.10 \mathrm{M}$ ), r.t., $3-16 \mathrm{~h}$, reaction carried out on 0.40 mmol scale, $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 5 4}$ isolated in $77 \%$ yield and a $98: 2$ syn/anti ratio.

Another Rh-catalyzed enantiospecific synthesis of allenes has been reported by Sawamura and coworkers (Scheme 4.6). ${ }^{43 \mathrm{e}}$ They developed a reaction between propargyl carbonates such as $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ and silylboronate 156 to access chiral allenylsilanes (e.g. 157).


Scheme 4.6 Rh-catalyzed stereospecific synthesis of allenylsilanes described by Sawamura and coworkers. ${ }^{43 e}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 2.5 equiv.), $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]\left(0.10\right.$ equiv.), DMF/ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} 100: 1(0.20 \mathrm{M}), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, reaction carried out on 0.20 mmol scale, $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ isolated in $77 \%$ yield. (b) $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), PrCHO ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ (1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.10 \mathrm{~m}),-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was run on a 0.092 mmol scale, $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ isolated in $74 \%$ yield, $>20: 1$ d.r. and in $95 \%$ ee.

## 5 Results and Discussion

### 5.1 Initial Reaction Development

The first goal of this project was the development of a transition metal catalyzed allylic substitution reaction with terminal alkynes as pronucleophiles. While several processes using premetalated alkynes have been described, ${ }^{53}$ only one catalytic asymmetric transformation employing terminal alkynes was reported as of October 2014. ${ }^{54}$ In this example, Sawamura and coworkers accessed chiral 1,4-enynes from primary allylic phosphates and terminal acetylides using a copper catalyst. We envisioned a related transformation using iridium or rhodium catalysis. Likely, such a reaction would employ a branched allylic alcohol derivative and, as such, be complementary to Sawamura's work. Furthermore, it was anticipated that the scope of the reaction would be different from the copper catalyzed process.

In preliminary studies, the desired transformation was observed under the conditions shown in Scheme 5.1. Racemic carbonate $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ reacted with alkyne $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ to give the linear 1,4-enyne 161 with full conversion judged by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture. Notably, no branched product was isolated. ${ }^{55}$ In the absence of Rh the starting material was recovered.


Scheme 5.1 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), CuCl ( 0.20 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $n \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NCl}$ ( 0.30 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.20 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.10 mmol scale.

The (triisopropylsilyl)acetylene (160) was chosen based on previous work by the group of Hayashi. ${ }^{56}$ In their enantioselective conjugate alkynylation of enones, they identified $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ as

[^21]optimal reagent. Terminal alkynes with smaller substituents underwent competitive dimerization under the reaction conditions (1,4-dioxane, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ). Subsequent efforts were made to obtain the branched product. Scouting experiments were performed using various substrates, bases (e.g. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{LiO} t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{CsOH} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), ligands (72, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OPh})_{3}, 2,2^{\prime}$-biphenol, 1,10-phenanthroline), additives ( $n \mathrm{Bu} \mathbf{4}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}, \mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}, \mathrm{InBr}_{3}$, $\left.\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, \mathrm{AgOTf}, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ and organometallic complexes $\left(\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right],\left[\left\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right\}_{2}\right]\right.$, $\left.\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \operatorname{SbF}_{6},\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right],\left[\left\{\operatorname{RuCl}_{2}(p \text {-cymene })\right\}_{2}\right]\right)$. These reactions were typically run at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and analyzed by TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR. In general, only the initially employed alkyne $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ in the presence of a $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ catalyst resulted in significant amounts of the linear product and no branched product was isolated. Different allylic alcohol derivatives (carbonates and benzoates) were converted into the corresponding alkynylated, linear products. In this event, it was found that aliphatic allylic carbonates such as 162 can be used and that no additives other than the amine base are required (Scheme 5.2). A brief survey of other carbon nucleophiles indicated that the regioselectivity strongly depends on the nature of the nucleophile. Boronic acids yielded the linear regioisomer while sodium dimethyl malonate provided the branched product in good regioselectivity. The latter observation is in accordance with previously reported results obtained under similar conditions. ${ }^{57}$


Scheme 5.2 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.2 .0 m ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $74 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.050 mmol scale.

Allylic alcohol derivatives with a 1,2-disubstituted olefin where also examined (Figure 5.1). While allylic acetate $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ and carbonate $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ gave no conversion (conditions as in Scheme 5.1 and heated up to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), while phosphate $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ furnished predominantly elimination product 167.

[^22]
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Figure 5.1 Substrates evaluated bearing a 1,2-disubstituted olefin (164-166) and one observed product (167).

With this precedent in mind, we decided to investigate a related propargylic substitution reaction. Since the alkyne moiety was now part of the electrophile, arylboronic acids were chosen as nucleophiles. In an early experiment, good conversion was obtained in the reaction of propargylic carbonate $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 6 8}$ with 4-cyanophenylboronic acid (169) to yield allene 170 (Scheme 5.3). Under these conditions, the product was racemic. Without the addition of water or when using a $2 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio the conversion was lower ( $31 \%$ and $17 \%$, respectively) and the products were racemic. Recovered starting material accounted for most of the mass balance. Several minor side products were formed but each in less than 5\% as judged by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material. In other words, no substantial amounts of propargylic substitution or hydroarylation products were observed. This finding is in contrast with the work by Murakami and coworkers. ${ }^{42}$ In their studies, the initial addition of an arylrhodium species across the alkyne occurs with moderate regioselectivity. This leads to a mixture of allene (from the addition of the aryl group distal to the leaving group) along with the hydroarylation product (from the regioisomeric addition of the aryl group) as outlined in the previous chapter.


Scheme 5.3 Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $\pm$ )-168 (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]\left(0.040\right.$ equiv.), $(R)-53$ ( 0.080 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 8: 1(0.18 \mathrm{M})$, $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.050 mmol scale.

Encouraged by the high regio- and chemoselectivity, we next examined other substrates in order to probe the reactivity and selectivity of this transformation. Two propargylic carbonates with an unsubstituted alkyne were tested but furnished a complex mixture of products. A moderate enantioinduction was found when employing aliphatic propargylic carbonate ( $\pm$ )-171 (Scheme 5.4). Allene 172, resulting from addition of phenylboronic acid (137) to carbonate 171 and subsequent elimination was isolated in $31 \% e e$, as a single regioisomer. Under the same conditions, but in the absence of water, the opposite enantiomer was formed in low
excess ( $-25 \%$ ee, $25 \%$ convn., $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ ). When the same reaction was carried out at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio ( $90 \mathrm{~min},>95 \%$ convn.), allene $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ was isolated without any detectable enantiomeric excess.


Scheme 5.4 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), ( $R$ )-53 ( 0.18 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad 10: 1$ ( 0.15 m ), $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ isolated with $31 \%$ ee and unknown absolute stereochemistry, the reaction was run on a 0.025 mmol scale.

Subjecting ( $\pm$ )-173 to the same conditions, four different chiral ligands were examined (Table 5.1). The enantiomeric excess was low ( $6-24 \% e e$ ) but the good reactivity was observed ( $36-70 \%$ convn.) given that all reactions were stopped after 1 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Since these preliminary runs were stopped before reaching completion, the possibility of a partial kinetic resolution has to been taken into account when interpreting the outcomes. However, because all enantioselectivities obtained were low ( $<25 \% e e$ ), it can be stated that neither a good enantioinduction nor a strong kinetic resolution was caused by any of the four ligands tested.

Table 5.1 Screening of chiral ligands for the enantioselective synthesis of allenes. Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), [ $\left.\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), ligand ( 0.080 equiv.; 0.17 equiv. for 177), 1,4 -dioxane $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} 10: 1(0.15 \mathrm{~m}), 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h , convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the absolute stereochemistry of the product was not determined, all reactions were run on a 0.050 mmol scale.


| Entry | Ligand | Conversion | $\boldsymbol{e e}(\mathbf{1 7 5})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $(S)-\mathbf{1 7 6}$ | $40 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| 2 | $(R)-\mathbf{1 7 7}$ | $36 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| 3 | $(R)-\mathbf{6 3}$ | $50 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 4 | $(R)-\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | $70 \%$ | $-8 \%$ |



(R)-177

(R)-63

(R)-178

Having examined three different racemic, secondary propargylic carbonates (168, 171, 173), the challenges of developing an asymmetric variant of this transformation became apparent. Thus far, the nature of the substrate had a greater influence on the observed enantioselectivities than the small set of tested ligands. Therefore, two additional substrates were prepared and subjected to the same reaction conditions (Scheme 5.5). The secondary propargylic carbonate $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ gave the trisubstituted allene $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ with low $e e$, while the tertiary propargylic carbonate $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ yielded the tetrasubstituted allene $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ with minimal enantiomeric excess.


Scheme 5.5 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), 1.5 m aq. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), [ $\left.\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), ( $R$ )-53 ( 0.18 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.17 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$, $>95 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ isolated with $24 \%$ ee and undetermined absolute stereochemistry, the reaction was run on a 0.050 mmol scale; (b) $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), 1.5 M aq. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), [ $\left.\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), $(R)-53$ ( 0.18 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.17 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$ convn. as estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude product, $\mathbf{1 8 2}$ isolated with $4 \% e e$, the reaction was run on a 0.050 mmol scale.

As discussed in the previous chapter, the difficulty in inducing enantioselectivity is believed to be associated with the mechanism of the reaction. If an addition/elimination pathway is operational, high $e e^{\prime}$ s can only be obtained if there is a selective syn- or anti-elimination for each diastereomeric vinylrhodium intermediate. This scenario is different from most metal catalyzed substitution reactions, where the chiral catalyst has to differentiate two enantiotopic
sites in order to induce enantioselectivity. The encountered difficulty to change the mode of elimination through catalyst control and the availability of methods for the preparation of chiral secondary propargylic alcohols led us to examine the corresponding enantiospecific reaction. In order to study the extent of chirality transfer, enantioenriched 179 ( $99 \% e e$ ) was prepared following a known procedure. ${ }^{58}$ An initial reaction conducted at room temperature with a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio led to complete loss of enantiomeric excess (Scheme 5.6). Given the good reactivity and high regio- as well as chemoselectivity observed in this transformation, our next goal was to achieve high enantiospecificity. ${ }^{59}$ The development of a stereoselective method for the synthesis of allenes from enantioenriched, propargylic alcohol derivatives is outlined in the following subchapter.


Scheme 5.6 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), 1.5 m aq. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 72 ( 0.080 equiv.), 1,4 -dioxane $(0.17 \mathrm{~m}), 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, $>95 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.050 mmol scale.

### 5.2 Optimization Process

### 5.2.1 Development of a stereoselective reaction between propargylic alcohol derivatives and electron neutral or electron rich arylboronic acids

At the outset of the optimization process, the desired transformation took place at room temperature. However, the enantiomeric excess of the starting material was lost throughout the reaction (Scheme 5.6). Allene $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ was first isolated with measurable enantiomeric excess when the reaction shown in Scheme 5.6 was conducted with a $2 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio ( $24 \% \mathrm{ee}$,

[^23]$50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 50 \%$ convn.). ${ }^{60}$ In line with previous results, the reactivity was significantly diminished under these conditions but the selectivity was higher. A preliminary survey of additives, other than $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, identified $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as efficient base when the reaction was performed in 1,4-dioxane without additional water. Next, a solvent screening was performed using $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as base and without the addition of water (Table 5.2). Both, reactivity and selectivity, were considerably improved when 1,2-dichloroethane was employed instead of 1,4-dioxane (entry 2 versus 1 ). THF gave results comparable to 1,4-dioxane (entry 3 ).

Table 5.2 Screening of solvents for the reaction between benzoate 179 and phenylboronic acid. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 7 9}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 72 ( 0.18 equiv.), solvent ( 0.17 m ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (entry 1 ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (entry 2), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, then $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$ (entry 3), convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, all reactions were run on a 0.050 mmol scale. The absolute stereochemistry of the product was assigned based on analogy to compound $\mathbf{2 1 4}$ (vide infra).


It should be noted that the improved selectivity is not only because of the use of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and 1,2-dichloroethane but also a consequence of removing water. In this regard it, was found that some trends between a specific reaction parameter and the observed selectivity are concurrent for the enantioselective and enantiospecific reaction. A ligand to metal ratio of $2 / 1$ gives, for example, a higher selectivity in both cases. At the same, time the selectivity of the two processes are differently affected e.g. by the addition of water.

Besides solvent and base, it was assumed that the leaving group will significantly influence both the rate and selectivity of the transformation. Examination of different propargylic alcohol derivatives identified benzoate as a leaving group slightly superior to the tert-butyl carbonate (Scheme 5.7). Specifically, the selectivity was increased from $94 \%$ es to $95 \%$ es for the reaction of phenyl boronic acid with 179 and 183. In addition to this small increase in

[^24]selectivity, using benzoates had the advantage that all starting materials were UV active. Thus, facilitating the determination of the enantiomeric excess by SFC or HPLC analysis.


Scheme 5.7 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 72 ( 0.17 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on a 0.018 mmol scale.

Having achieved reasonably high conversion and chirality transfer in the reaction of $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ with phenylboronic acid to yield allene 180, the influence of the ligand was examined next. In this regard, one question to address was, if a commercially available ligand could be identified which gives satisfying result. For this reason, a small set of phosphoramidite, bidentate phosphine, and one phosphite ligand were examined (Table 5.3). In summary, full conversion was observed with various ligands but the selectivity was notably higher for two phosphoramidite ligands (entries 1, 2). Of note, removal of the olefin from ligand $\mathbf{7 2}$ led to significantly reduced reactivity (entry 2 ). The higher reactivity of a phosphine-olefin phosphoramidite ligand versus its counterpart (i.e. without an olefin) has been observed in a Rh-catalyzed enantioselective intramolecular hydroacylation reaction. ${ }^{61}$ The reaction proceeded with lower enantiospecificity when using phosphine or phosphite ligands (entries 4-7). ${ }^{62}$

Table 5.3 Initial screening for the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes from benzoate 184 and phenylboronic acid. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), ligand ( 0.080 equiv. to 0.24 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane $(0.17 \mathrm{M}), 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, all reactions were run on a 0.050 mmol scale.


[^25]| 2 | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $2 / 1$ | $46 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | $2 / 1$ | $<5 \%$ | n. d. |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | $1 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $1 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ | $1 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ | $3 / 1$ | $61 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
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In later evaluations of various phosphoramidite ligands, it was found that the olefin ligand derived from TADDOL showed higher reactivity than 72. ${ }^{63}$ This raised the question if the selectivity of the enantiospecific transformation could be improved by using the matching enantiomer of a chiral ligand. Therefore, the TADDOL-derived ligand $(R, R) \mathbf{- 1 9 0}$ and its enantiomer were tested for such a matched case (Table 5.4). Both chiral ligands provided the product in lower ee than the racemic ligand 72 (entries 2 and 3 versus 1). This result suggested that only one ligand is bound to the active Rh-catalyst, which could be due to the steric hindrance of the four phenyl substituents only present in 190. An alternative explanation is that two ligands are coordinated to the Rh-catalyst and the nature of the ligand induces a less selctive syn-elimination from the transient vinylrhodium species (compared to 72).

[^26]Table 5.4 Follow-up ligand screening for the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes from benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ and phenylboronic acid. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), ligand ( 0.080 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, all reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale.



72

$(R, R)-190$

This optimization process has led to reaction conditions which showed high selectivity and complete consumption of the benzoate when using phenylboronic acid ( $8 \%$ catalyst loading, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). However, two drawbacks were still evident. Firstly, under these conditions electron poor boronic acids performed sluggishly and often failed to reach full conversion. Secondly, minor amounts of side products were observed. The amount depending on the substrates employed as well as on the exact reaction parameters. Two of these side products are believed to be the regioisomeric hydroarylation products. For the addition of phenylboronic acid to benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ the hydroarylation products would be 191 and 192 (Figure 5.2). ${ }^{64}$


Figure 5.2 Tentatively assigned regioisomeric hydroarylation side products from the addition of phenylboronic acid to benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ and subsequent protonation.

With the goal of achieving better reactivity while suppressing the formation of side products, the influence of the ligand to rhodium ratio, temperature, and additives was examined

[^27](Table 5.5). As expected, a ligand to Rh ratio of $1 / 1$ increased the conversion and yielded allene $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ with somewhat lower ee (entries 1 and 4).

Table 5.5 Initial reaction parameter screening for the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes from benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ and phenylboronic acid. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 72 ( 0.080 equiv. or 0.18 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the reactions were run on a 0.050 mmol scale; (b) ratio of $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ and the sum of $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material; (c) 10 equiv. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ added; (d) 5.0 equiv. KF instead of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ added.

| Me <br> Entry | 184 |  |  | $\xrightarrow{a}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additive | Ligand/Rh | Temp. | Time | Prod./Side Prod. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Conversion | $e e(185)$ |
| 1 | none | 2/1 | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2 h | 4.4 | >95\% | 94\% |
| 2 | none | 2/1 | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 16 h | 7.1 | 93\% | 95\% |
| 3 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c }}$ | 2/1 | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3 h | 4.3 | 85\% | 94\% |
| 4 | none | 1/1 | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 h | 5.1 | 65\% | 92\% |
| 5 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {c }}$ | 1/1 | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 h | 4.1 | 92\% | 89\% |
| 6 | KF ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1/1 | $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 1 h | 4.2 | 69\% | 93\% |

The addition of water also led to better conversion, again, at the expense of diminished enantiospecificity (Table 5.5, entries 2 and 3 as well as 4 and 5). Often, additional water led to increased formation of side products. Using $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ instead of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ did not influence the outcome of the transformation. ${ }^{65}$ The results in Table 5.5 may suggest that the conditions employed for entry 2 would fulfill all requirements. However, when more challenging substrates were examined, the reactivity was not satisfying, especially with electron poor arylboronic acids as coupling partner. Therefore, the transformation was examined at elevated temperatures ( $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and with a $2 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio (Table 5.6).

Table 5.6 Follow-up reaction parameter screening for the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes from benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ and phenylboronic acid. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv. or 0.030 equiv.), 72 ( 0.080 equiv. or 0.12 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.17 m ), convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the reactions were run on a 0.050 mmol or a 0.025 mmol scale; (b) $4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Rh}$ refers to the use of $2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$; (c) ratio of $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ and the sum of $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ as

[^28]judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material; (d) 10 equiv. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ added; (e) 4.0 equiv. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ added; (f) $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \% 72$ used.

|  <br> Entry | 184 $96 \%$ ee | OBz | $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additive | $\mathbf{m o l} \% \mathbf{R h}^{\text {b }}$ | Temp. | Time | Prod./Side Prod. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Conversion (ee) |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {d }}$ | $4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 14 h | 3.7 | >95\% (93\% ee) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {e }}$ | $4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 h | 3.9 | 64\% |
| 3 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{\text {e }}$ | $4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 h | 3.8 | >95\% |
| 4 | none | $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 6 h | 17 | 89\% |
| 5 | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 6 h | 6.5 | >95\% (66\%ee) |

Modulating the amount of water present, the temperature, and reaction time did not lead to significantly better results. As noted before, additional water increased the rate of the process but simultaneously reduced the selectivity and led to the formation of side products (Table 5.6). A catalyst generated in situ from $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$, olefin phosphoramidite ligand $\mathbf{7 2}$, and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ showed good conversion but a large loss of enantiomeric purity (entry 5).

Various bases were examined for their influence on the efficiency in the synthesis of allene 185 from benzoate 184 (Table 5.7). From all bases tested, only KOH gave somewhat better results than $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (entry 3 compared to entry 1). ${ }^{66}$ For practical reasons, the base was not changed. Tribasic potassium phosphate was found to be much easier to handle on smaller scale than KOH which is commonly available as hard pellets. In addition, the outcome using either base was comparable. Other bases were found to be less effective or led to increased amount of side products.

[^29]Table 5.7 Effect of various bases in the synthesis of allenes from benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ and phenylboronic acid. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), 137 ( 2.0 equiv.), base, $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), 72 ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.15 M ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol ; (b) ratio of $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ and the sum of $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material.


| Entry | Base | Prod./Side Prod. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Conversion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.) | 14 | $93 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.7$ equiv.) | 3.5 | $>95 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{KOH}(3.7$ equiv. $)$ | 15 | $95 \%$ |
| 4 | $\mathrm{KOtBu}(2.2$ equiv.) | 2.2 | $18 \%$ |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 equiv.) | 2.0 | $53 \%$ |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (2.0 equiv.) | $>20$ | $51 \%$ |

### 5.2.2 Development of a stereoselective reaction between propargylic alcohol derivatives and electron poor arylboronic acids

This optimization process led to the identification of reaction conditions, which gave good results for a set of propargylic benzoates and electron rich as well as electron neutral arylboronic acids. The reaction of electron deficient arylboronic acids remained challenging, giving only moderate conversions. The last screening was consequently carried out with the goal to increase the rate, especially for electron deficient arylboronic acids. Parameters examined and discussed in the following included additives, solvents, co-solvents, different propargylic alcohol derivatives, ligands, Rh organometallic complexes, or the order of addition. Instead of phenylboronic acid, 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-benzeneboronic acid (193) was chosen as nucleophile for most of the following optimization. A ligand to Rh ratio of $1 / 1$ was utilized in order to gain the higher reactivity required for the electron poor boronic acids. Indeed, with a $2 / 1$ ratio no product was formed with boronic acid 193. ${ }^{67}$

Early screening with boronic acid 193 and benzoate 184 ( $96 \%$ ee) showed that the addition of water ( 55 equiv.) results in multiple side products. The combination of different amounts of water with THF as co-solvent also increased the amount of undesired compounds. The use of

[^30]an olefin ligand ${ }^{68}$ led to significant amounts of side products while allene 194 was isolated with $87 \% \mathrm{ee}$. Utilization of various polar solvents and additives led to a general increase in the rate of the process but the enantiomeric excess decreased. The effects of a selection of polar cosolvents or additives are given in Table 5.8.

Table 5.8 Additive and co-solvent screening for the synthesis of allenes using boronic acid 193. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 72 ( 0.080 equiv.), 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale.


Polar, protic, and aprotic solvents were examined as additives and co-solvents because they accelerate the transformation. However, the presence of only 10 equiv. of MeOH led to complete loss of the enantiomeric excess in course of the reaction (Table 5.8, entry 1). Because of this finding and the ones shown in entries 2 and 3 , polar solvents were no longer considered as additives despite having a positive effect on reactivity. Of note was the relatively high ee observed when TBAF• $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added while using a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio (entry 4). When the reaction of $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ to allene $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ was conducted in the presence of $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathbf{1 8 5}$ was enriched in the opposite enantiomer (Scheme 5.8). In other words, this preliminary observation indicated that the transient vinylrhodium species underwent an anti-elimination to yield ent-185. Albeit with lower conversion, the high selectivity for the opposite enantiomer warranted further investigations.

[^31]

Scheme 5.8 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), phenylboronic acid (137, 1.8 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), [ $\left.\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 36 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material; (b) 184 ( 1.0 equiv.), phenylboronic acid (137, 1.6 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), [\{ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$ convn. as estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude product, both reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale.

The addition of $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to the reaction mixture decreased the rate when employing phenylboronic acid and gave the opposite enantiomer of the product (vide supra). However, for the addition of 4-(ethoxycarbonyl)-benzeneboronic acid (193) to the same starting material (184) this additive did not explicitly affect the reactivity. ${ }^{69}$ At the same time, the transformation proceeded in a cleaner fashion. ${ }^{70}$ Furthermore, the observed chirality transfer was higher when using electron poor arylboronic acid 193 ( $97 \%$ es versus $90 \%$ es for phenylboronic acid, both with benzoate 184). For these reasons, the role of $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was further studied and the transformation was optimized under these new, modified conditions.

So far 2.0 equiv. of $\mathrm{TBAF} \bullet 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added as additive in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ as base. This raised the question if both, the base and the additive, are required in order to achieve turnover and if the amount of the additive could be reduced. These two questions were examined and the results are shown in Table 5.9. No product was formed in the absence of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (Table 5.9, entry 2). Allene 185 was isolated in considerably lower ee when the amount of $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was reduced. This result suggested that the syn-elimination to give the opposite enantiomer is competing when using only one equivalent of this additive. Other sources of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ were less effective than $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (entries 3 and 4).

[^32]Table 5.9 Additive screening for the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes using boronic acid 193. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), 193 ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 1.0 equiv. or none), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.17 m ), $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, the reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale; (b) 1.4 equiv. TBAF $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ added but no $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$.


Not surprisingly, the elimination occurred with higher selectivity when the amount of TBAF $\cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was increased (Scheme 5.9 and Table 5.8 entry 4). Since the difference in ee observed for various amounts of the additive ( $\geq 2.0$ equiv.) were within the experimental error, ${ }^{71} 2.0$ equiv. of $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were used henceforth. These reaction parameters selected allowed for a stereoselective and complete transformation when using propargylic benzoate 184 and boronic acid 193. Since more challenging boronic acids still did not lead to full consumption of 184, this addition/elimination process was further analyzed. Also, the conditions were somewhat different from the ones used for electron rich or electron neutral boronic acids and it was unclear if changing any parameter will have any effect in this transformation.

[^33]

Scheme 5.9 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2.7 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane $(0.17 \mathrm{M}), 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, the reaction was run on 0.025 mmol scale.

For the synthesis of allenes from phenyl boronic acid and propargylic benzoate 184, phosphoramidite ligand $\mathbf{7 2}$ bearing an olefin moiety was found to give best results among all ligands tested. For the evaluation of ligands in the related transformation between 184 and arylboronic acid 193, a small set of similar phosphoramidite ligands was examined (195-198, Table 5.10). Changing one substituent on the nitrogen of the phosphoramidite ligand showed little effect on the rate of the reaction (entries 2-4). Even replacing the olefin from the ligand did not strongly affect the conversion (entry 5). When the enantiomeric excess of the entry with the highest reactivity was measured, ligand $\mathbf{7 2}$ proved to give the best selectivity (entry 1 versus 3 ). ${ }^{72}$ Thus, the next series of experiments were conducted with ligand 72.

Table 5.10 Evaluation of phosphoramidite ligands for the synthesis of allenes using boronic acid 193. Reagents and conditions: (a) 184 ( 1.0 equiv.), 193 ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), ligand ( 0.040 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane $(0.17 \mathrm{M}), 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude reaction mixture, all reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale.


[^34]
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The solvent was re-examined and, because of the previous finding that apolar solvents give higher selectivity, no polar solvents were tested (Table 5.11). Both conversion and ee of the product were highest when 1,2-dichloroethane was used as solvent. The enantioselectivity was surprisingly low when the reaction was conducted in toluene ( $30 \% e e$, entry 2 ). In cyclohexane very little desired product was formed, presumably due to the low solubility of boronic acid as well as catalyst (entry 4).

Table 5.11 Survey of apolar solvents for the synthesis of allenes using boronic acid 193. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 9 3}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), TBAF• $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.020 equiv.), 72 ( 0.040 equiv.), solvent $(0.17 \mathrm{~m}), 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, all reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale.


In one of the last optimization studies, three different benzoates (184, 199 and 200) were reacted with boronic acid 201 under the reaction conditions developed for electron neutral arylboronic acids (Table 5.12). The nitro substituted benzoate $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ proved more reactive than benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ or the $4-\mathrm{MeO}$ benzoate 200. However, when other 4-nitro benzoates and arylboronic acids were tested, this trend was found to be substrate dependent. Consequently, it was decided to continue to use unsubstituted benzoates as starting materials. Another advantage of the latter is that they are prepared from more widely available commodity chemicals $\left(\mathrm{BzCl}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Bz}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

Table 5.12 Examination of different racemic benzoates in the synthesis of allene 202 using boronic acid 201. Reagents and conditions: (a) benzoate ( 1.0 equiv.), 201 ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.), 72 ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,2-dichloroethane ( 0.17 M ), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, convn. estimated by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ analysis of the crude material, all reactions were run on a 0.025 mmol scale.


| Entry | Benzoate | Conversion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ | $66 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 9 9}$ | $>95 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | $59 \%$ |

For many $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$-catalyzed reactions it has been observed that $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ complexes with a weakly coordinating counterion exhibit greater reactivity. ${ }^{73}$ Therefore the influence of a catalyst generated in situ from $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{SbF}_{6}$ and ligand 72 was examined. Under conditions which showed full conversion when $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ was used, no reaction took place and the starting material was recovered. As a general observation, ${ }^{74}$ the effect of water (higher reactivity, increased amount of side products, lower enantiospecificity) was found to be more pronounced when using a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio (compared to a $2 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio). With a catalyst generated in situ from $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ and phosphoramidite ligand 72 in a $1 / 4$ ratio, water (typically up to about 10 equiv.) did not significantly alter the measured extent of chirality transfer or the ratio of product to side products formed. However, with a catalyst that bears only one phosphoramidite ligand 72, the addition of water increases the formation of side products and lowers the observed es, even when adding water ( $<10$ equiv.). When the reaction was performed in the presence of $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio had to be used (vide supra). Consequently, direct comparison of the two catalytic systems cannot be made. As expected, $\mathrm{TBAF} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ could also be replaced with an aq. solution ( $70-75 \%$ by weight in water). For practical reasons, the solid trihydrate was used instead of the viscous aq. solution of TBAF. Premixing the reagents prior to addition of the catalyst (propargylic benzoate, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and TBAF• $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in 1,2-dichloroethane, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 15 min ) did not influence the outcome.

[^35]The optimization studies described above changed the conditions under which the reaction is carried out and for this reason the enantioselective variant was re-examined (Scheme 5.10). Conducting the transformation with $(R)$-BINOL-derived phosphoramidite ligand $(R)-53$ at room temperature in the presence of 10 equiv. water, the product was isolated with $40 \%$ ee. Without the addition of water, the reaction needed to be heated in order to observe good conversion and $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ was obtained in $33 \%$ ee ( $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ convn.).


Scheme 5.10 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ (1.0 equiv.), $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv.), $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.040 equiv.), 72 ( 0.21 equiv.), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 10 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.17 m ), $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 17 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$ convn. as judged by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR analysis of the crude material, $\mathbf{1 8 5}$ isolated with $40 \%$ ee and undetermined absolute stereochemistry, the reaction was run on a 0.025 mmol scale.

### 5.3 Scope of the Reaction

In order to study the enantiospecific synthesis of trisubstituted allenes from chiral, propargylic benzoates, a variety of enantiomerically enriched propargylic benzoates were prepared. The benzoates which were successfully used as starting materials are shown below (Figure 5.3). Some propargylic benzoates were found to be challenging substrates and these are discussed later. The majority of substrates shown in Figure 5.3 were prepared by the direct, asymmetric addition of the corresponding terminal alkyne to the appropriate aldehyde. ${ }^{75}$ This method proved to be reliable on different scales $(0.5-8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and provided access to propargylic alcohols in high enantioselectivity ( $e e \geq 95 \%$ ) and good yields. ${ }^{76}$ Benzoylation of the chiral alcohols occurred smoothly under standard conditions (BzCl, DMAP, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). It was noted that more electron deficient benzoates show better reactivity in some cases (see previous subchapter). Therefore $\mathbf{2 0 5}$ was prepared in order to further compare the influence of two different benzoates. One propargylic alcohol was synthesized via Corey-

[^36]Bakshi-Shibata reduction of the parent alkynyl ketone (208). ${ }^{77}$ Following benzoylation, the enantiomeric excess was found to be lower ( $86 \%$ ee) compared to the other substrates. The absolute stereochemistry of all substrates shown in Figure 5.3 was assigned by analogy to reported transformations.
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Figure 5.3 Enantioenriched propargylic benzoates prepared which were successfully employed in the enantiospecific synthesis of chiral allenes.

The scope of the enantiospecific reaction between chiral, propargylic benzoates and arylboronic acids is illustrated in Table 5.13. The reaction between the benzoates represented in Figure 5.3 and a variety of arylboronic acids proceeded generally in high yields. Only one substrate gave the allene in low yield (219) because of an increased amount of side product formation. The observed selectivities were high ( $\geq 90 \%$ es) in most cases. Almost complete loss of the enantiomeric purity occurred when $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ was employed as substrate. It is speculated that the ether substituent in $\gamma$-position to the propargylic benzoate is causing erosion in enantioselectivity. The same observation was made for a different boronic acid. ${ }^{78}$ The scope in propargylic benzoates includes a wide array of diverse structures. The secondary benzoate might be in an $\alpha$-position to either a quaternary (e.g. 220), tertiary (e.g. 185), or secondary carbon substituent (222). As noted in the previous subchapter, terminal alkynes do not furnish desired products and are not suitable substrates at the current level of development. However,

[^37]the alkyne can bear an assortment of different functional groups distal to the propargylic benzoate. Examples include a primary alkyl bromide (222), an ether (218), a protected amine (221), or an ester (216). The tolerance of alkyl as well as aryl bromides is noteworthy and likely more difficult to achieve for transformations catalyzed by other transition metals (e.g. $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{Ni}$ ). The accessed allenyl-arylbromides allow for functionalization of the products. In general, the rate of the reaction is increased with smaller substituents on the alkyne (opposite to the alcohol derivative). This observation is in agreement with the proposed $\mathrm{Sn}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ mechanism. Typically, alkynes with unbranched substituents work well as substrates. Branched secondary or aromatic substituents give usually full conversion but required higher temperature or longer reaction times. More hindered substituents, such as a trimethylsilyl group, did not yield any product under the reaction conditions examined. A second observation is that heteroatoms in the vicinity of the alkyne (again on the opposite site than the benzoate) generally accelerate the transformation. This effect accounts for the high reactivity observed for substrate 219. In this case, the increase in reactivity due to the acetal functionality is stronger than the expected decrease due to a more hindered substituent. As stated above, the extent of chirality transfer is generally high. The conditions given in Table 5.13 were slightly modified for some substrates. Specifically the reaction was conducted at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h for 213, 214, and $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ whereas all other reactions were stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . In the syntheses of 218, 219, 220, and 221 deionized water was added ( 10 equiv.). Without the addition of water full conversion was not oberseved for these four substrates. An interesting trend in stereoselectivities can be seen from the series of phthalimides 219, 220, and 221. The enantiospecificities were found to decrease with the use of more electrondonating boronic acids. This observation can be rationalized by the assumption that in the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ a more electronrich vinylrhodium intermediate is formed which undergoes a less selective elimination. However, this trend was only seen when water was added to the reaction mixture because the selectivities obtained for substrate 207 were otherwise all uniformly high (while the conversions and isolated yields were siginificantly lower in the absence of water, e.g. $\mathbf{7 9} \%$ yield for $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ ).

Table 5.13 Scope of the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes from propargylic benzoates and arylboronic acids. Reagents and conditions: (a) benzoate ( $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), arylboronic acid ( 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv.), $\quad\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right] \quad(0.030$ equiv.), 72 ( 0.13 equiv.), 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.14 M ), $40-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12-24 \mathrm{~h}$, yields of purified products.


$98 \%$ es, $82 \%$ yield


98\% es, $89 \%$ yield


93\% es, $57 \%$ yield


90\% es, $97 \%$ yield


97\% es, $92 \%$ yield


97\% es, $95 \%$ yield

$>99 \%$ es, $90 \%$ yield


97\% es, 62\% yield


98\% es, $85 \%$ yield



95\% es, $96 \%$ yield

The scope, in terms of arylboronic acids, includes a broad variety of electron rich and electron neutral boronic acids. Specifically, arylhalides (218, 219), ethers (e.g. 215), or orthosubstitution is well tolerated $(\mathbf{2 1 3}, \mathbf{2 1 6})$. One heteroarylboronic acid was found to be a good coupling partner (217), while other heteroarylboronic acids were not suitable reagents under these conditions (vide infra). As outlined in the previous subchapter, electron poor boronic acids are not generally good substrates under the conditions given in Table 5.13. While some electron withdrawing substituents on the arylboronic acid are tolerated $\left(\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{CF}_{3}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}\right)$, other
substituents give typically low or no conversion $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$. The conditions developed for electron poor arylboronic acids (addition of TBAF• $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and a $1 / 1$ ligand to Rh ratio) increases the reactivity and thus expands the substrate scope for arylboronic acids. However, the selectivity was found to be somewhat lower for some of the examples examined. The full scope under these conditions has not been established. All vinyl boronic acids studied did not give full conversion. This could be due to product inhibition. In other words, that the Rh-catalyst coordinates to the formed allene-ene which may then arrest the catalytic cycle. ${ }^{79}$ Alkynyl- and alkylboron reagents were not evaluated as nucleophiles.

The structures of three products were confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis (219, 220 and 221, Figure 5.4, ) and for allene 219 the absolute configuration was determined. Based on this measurement, the major enantiomer is formed though a syn-elimination of the vinylrhodium intermediate. The absolute stereochemistry of all other products was then assigned by analogy to this finding.


[^38]Figure 5.4 Structures of allenes 219 (left) and 220 (right) in the solid state (ORTEP view with thermal ellipsoids set at $50 \%$ probability). The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ has not been established and an arbitrary enantiomer is shown.


Figure 5.5 Structure of allene 221 in the solid state (ORTEP view with thermal ellipsoids set at $50 \%$ probability). Only one molecule of the four independent molecules in the asymmetric unit is shown for clarity. The absolute configuration of $\mathbf{2 2 1}$ has not been established and an arbitrary enantiomer is shown.

A representative selection of propargylic benzoates, which were challenging substrates for the described process, is shown in Figure 5.6. As noted previously, terminal alkynes such as $\mathbf{2 2 3}$ or $\mathbf{2 2 4}$ yield multiple products under the standard reaction conditions. Benzoate $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ bearing a benzyloxyether in $\alpha$-position provided some allene but the reaction furnished multiple side products. Substrates with a disubstituted $(Z)$-olefin $(\mathbf{2 2 6}, \mathbf{2 2 8})$ reacted slowly and led to the formation of several side products. When 227, 229, or $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ were subjected to the reaction conditions, clean starting material were recovered. In general, aryl substituents were tolerated on the alkyne as well as in the $\alpha$-position to the benzoate. ${ }^{80}$ The challenges observed with 'aryl substrates' is likely associated with the furan (229) or benzyl functionality (230).

[^39]
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Figure 5.6 Selection of challenging propargylic benzoate substrates in the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes under the conditions given in Table 5.13.

Since a vast number of arylboronic acids are commercially available, only a small fraction of them could be studied. Some aryl-, heteroaryl-, and vinylboronic acids yielded moderate amounts of the allene (Figure 5.7) under the standard reaction conditions and also when increasing the temperature. With these coupling partners the conversion typically reached 20-70\% and recovered starting material accounted for the mass balance. As expected, more reactive propargylic benzoates gave higher conversions for a given challenging boronic acid.
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Figure 5.7 Selection of boronic acids which showed a low conversion in the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes under the conditions related to the one given in Table 5.13.

Finally, employing some aryl- and heteroarylboronic acid did not result in the formation of significant amounts of products (convn. $<10 \%$, Figure 5.8). When attempting the transformation with any of those boronic acids, the benzoate was reisolated in good purity.




238




Figure 5.8 Boronic acids which are not suitable coupling partners in the enantiospecific synthesis of allenes under the conditions related to the ones given in Table 5.13.

## 6 Conclusion and Outlook

In summary, the method developed herein allows for the stereoselective synthesis of structurally diverse, trisubstituted allenes. Readily accessible, chiral propargylic benzoates and widely available arylboronic acids are used as substrates. The transformation occurs under mild conditions employing a catalyst generated in situ from a commercially available $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{I}}$ organometallic complex and a simple olefin phosphoramidite ligand. The allenes are obtained in high yields and with excellent enantiospecificity. Moreover, no products from a $\mathrm{Sn}_{2}$ substitution are observed and the regioselectivities are generally high. Thus, chiral allenes are accessible in high optical purity without the use of moisture sensitive organometallic reagents or catalysts. This is in contrast to most related approaches where Grignard, zinc, or organocopper reagents are employed as nucleophiles. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first stereoselective Rh-catalyzed synthesis of allenes from propargylic alcohol derivatives and boronic acids. The scope of the method is complementary to Cu - and Pd -catalyzed reactions and the combination of coupling partners is unique among enantiospecific preparations of allenes. Given the high regioselectivity and chirality transfer of the described process, the identified reaction conditions may stimulate the discovery of related transformations in the future.

## Experimental Part

## 7 General Methods

Names and stereochemistry. Names of compounds were generated using the ChemBioDraw 12.0 (Cambridgesoft) software. For racemic compounds and the X-ray diffraction derived plots thereof an arbitrary enantiomer is shown. The absolute stereochemistry of the chiral propargylic alcohols and benzoates is assigned in correlation to reported data. ${ }^{58}$ The absolute stereochemistry of the chiral allenes is assigned in analogy to X-ray data obtained for 219.

Solvents and reagents. 9-Borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane dimer (9-BBN dimer, stored and handled in a glove box, Sigma-Aldrich), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.0 M in THF, Acros and Sigma-Aldrich), 1-hexene (Sigma-Aldrich), 2-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethanol (TCI), [\{Ir(cod)Cl $\left.\}_{2}\right]$ (97\%, CombiBlocks), potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (KHMDS, stored and handled in a glove box, Sigma-Aldrich), KOt - Bu (ABCR and Acros), tryptamine (TCI), ( $S$ )-tryptophan (Fisher), ( $R$ )-tryptophan (Acros), Boc-(S)-tryptophan methyl ester (Bachem), 2,2'-biphenol (Fluka), $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ (Aldrich), $5 H$-dibenzo $[b, f]$ azepine (Combi-Blocks), $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$ (TCI, opened and stored in a glovebox), ( - )- $N$-methylephedrine (Fluka), $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes ( 1.60 m , Aldrich), 4-bromo-1butyne (Aldrich), (s)-5,5-diphenyl-2-methyl-3,4-propano-1,3,2-oxazaborolidine ((S)-2-Methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine, CAS 112022-81-8, TCI), $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ (Aldrich), $N, N$-dimethylpyridin-4amine (DMAP, Fluka), benzoyl chloride (Aldrich), 1,2-dichloroethane (Fluka, stored under ambient air), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (Acros), [\{Rh(cod)Cl$\left.\}_{2}\right]$ ( $98 \%$, Combi-Blocks), [\{Rh(cod)OH $\left.\}_{2}\right](95 \%$, Aldrich), phenylboronic acid (TCI), 2-methylphenylboronic acid (Combi-Blocks), 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid (Aldrich), 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-ylboronic acid (Combi-Blocks), 1-naphthaleneboronic acid (Aldrich), 4-bromophenylboronic acid (Aldrich), 4-biphenylboronic acid (Apollo Scientific), 3,4-dimethoxybenzeneboronic acid (Apollo Scientific), 4-iodophenylboronic acid (Aldrich), 3-bromophenylboronic acid (Combi-Blocks) were used as received. All other chemicals and solvents were purchased from ABCR, Acros, Apollo, Brunschwig, Combi-Blocks, EGT Chemie, Fisher, Fluka, Fluorochem, Hänseler, Lancaster, Merck, Scharlau, Sigma-Aldrich, TCI, Thommen-Furler, Univar and used as such unless otherwise stated and with the exception of dried solvents. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 1,4$-dioxane, DMF, THF and toluene were dried by passage over two $4 \times 36$ inch columns of anhydrous neutral A2 alumina (Macherey und Nagel; activated for $>12 \mathrm{~h}$ at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ) under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. MeOH was distilled from magnesium turnings under an atmosphere of dry $\mathrm{N}_{2} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ was distilled from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ under an atmosphere of dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Pyridine was distilled from

KOH under an atmosphere of dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. Deuterated solvents were obtained from Armar Chemicals, Döttingen, Switzerland.

Reaction handling. All non-aqueous reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ unless stated otherwise. Reactions were magnetically stirred and monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) unless otherwise noted. TLC was performed on Merck silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ TLC glass plates and visualized with UV fluorescence quenching and cerium ammonium molybdate (CAM) stain. Column chromatographic purification was performed as flash chromatography on silica gel with $0.2-0.5$ bar pressure using Fluka silica gel (pore size $60 \AA, 230-400$ mesh particle size) and technical grade solvents. Concentrations under reduced pressure were performed by rotary evaporation at $40-44^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the appropriate pressure. The yields given refer to the purified products, unless otherwise stated.

Melting points. Melting points were measured on a Büchi SMP-20 and a Büchi B-540 melting point apparatus using open glass capillaries and are uncorrected. The solvent from which the compound was recrystallized is given in parentheses. If no solvent is given, the melting point refers to the solid product as obtained after the workup or purification described in the experimental procedure.

NMR spectroscopy. NMR data was recorded on a Bruker AVIII400 and Bruker DRX400 spectrometer, both operating at 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ acquisitions. Measurements were carried out at 298 K . Chemical shifts $(\delta)$ are reported in parts per million ( ppm ) with the solvent resonance as internal standard for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ spectroscopy (chloroform $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ singlet at 7.26 ppm ) and for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectroscopy $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ triplet at 77.16 ppm$) .^{81} J$ is the coupling constant in hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$; the multiplicities are abbreviated s , singlet; d, doublet; t , triplet; q , quartet; quin, quintet; m, multiplet or unresolved; br, broad signal; app, apparent. All ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra were measured with complete proton decoupling. Service measurements were performed by the NMR service team of the Laboratorium für Organische Chemie at ETH Zurich by R. Arnold, R. Frankenstein and P. Zumbrunnen under direction of Dr. M.-O. Ebert.

IR spectroscopy. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer UATR Spectrum Two FT-IR spectrometer. Absorptions are given in reciprocal centimeters, and the absorptions are s, strong; m, medium; w, weak; br, broad signal.

[^40]Mass spectrometry. Mass spectrometric analyses were performed by the mass spectrometry service of the Laboratorium für Organische Chemie at ETH Zurich by L. Bertschi, O. Greter and R. Häfliger under direction of Dr. X. Zhang. ESI measurements were carried out on Bruker maXis - ESI-Qq-TOF-MS and Bruker solariX - ESI-FTICR-MS. EI measurements were carried out on a Micromass (Waters) AutoSpec Ultima - EI-Sector-MS. The following abbreviations are used: HRMS is high-resolution mass spectrometry (massspectrometric accurate mass), $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ is the mass-to-charge ratio, M is the molecular weight of the molecule itself, $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$is the molecular ion.

Specific Rotation. Specific rotations ( $\alpha$ ) were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter at the sodium D line with a 100 mm path length cell. Values are reported as follows: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{T}}$ in parentheses concentration ( $c=1.00$ corresponds to $10.0 \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{mL}^{-1}$ ), and solvent. The temperature $(\mathrm{T})$ at which the determination was made is given as the superscript number $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Elemental analyses. Elemental analyses were performed by the Mikrolabor at the Laboratorium für Organische Chemie at ETH Zurich. All values are given as percentages.

SFC. Supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) was perfomred on a Jasco 2080 Plus system under the conditions given for each measurement.

X-ray diffraction. X-ray diffraction experiments have been carried out by Dr. N. Trapp and M. Solar from the Small Molecule X ray crystallography analysis Center (SMoCC) at the Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences at ETH Zurich.

## 8 Experimental Procedures and Characterization Data

### 8.1 Part I. Ir-Catalyzed Reverse Prenylation of 3-Substituted Indoles

### 8.1.1 Synthesis of Phosphoramidite Ligands $\mathbf{8 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 7}$



6-Chlorodibenzo[d,f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepine. ${ }^{82}$ Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{83} 2,2^{\prime}$-biphenol ( $5.59 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was treated with $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ ( 32 mL , $0.37 \mathrm{~mol}, 12$ equiv.) and 1-methylpyrrolidin-2-one ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.010$ equiv.) at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting suspension was stirred at that temperature for 40 min . The by then clear solution was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before excess $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ was removed by distillation under reduced pressure ( $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{mbar}$ ). Trace amounts of $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ were azeotropically evaporated with toluene $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting oil was dissolved in toluene to give 45 mL of a chlorophosphite stock solution ( 0.67 M ), which was stored at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed Schlenk flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.


1-(Dibenzo[d,f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-6-yl)piperidine (86). ${ }^{84}$ Following a literature procedure, ${ }^{83}$ to a solution of piperidine ( $0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}$, $11 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.2$ equiv.) in THF ( 11 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise a solution of above prepared chlorophosphite (6-chlorodibenzo $[d, f][1,3,2]$ dioxaphosphepine) in toluene ( $0.67 \mathrm{M}, 7.5 \mathrm{~mL}$,

[^41]$5.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) over 4 min via syringe. The resulting suspension was allowed to warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 12 h before being diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was filtered over a plug of silica gel, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(35 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane/EtOAc 20:1) to yield phosphoramidite 86 as solid $(1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 4.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%)$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.34$ (pentane/EtOAc 20:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $68-69{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.46$ (dd, $J=7.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.35(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25$ $-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.08(\mathrm{dt}$ app q, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 151.7, 151.6, 131.3, 131.2, 129.73, 129.72, 129.20, 129.19, 124.52, 124.51, 122.12, 122.11, 45.5, 45.3, 27.14, 27.10, 25.1; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 146.1; IR (neat): 3060 (w), 2933 (m), 2851 (w), 1599 (w), 1497 (m), 1474 (m), 1432 ( s$), 1371$ (m), 1333 (m), 1270 (w), 1244 (m), 1208 ( s , 1186 (m), 1160 (m), 1119 (m), 1096 (m), 1051 (s), 1028 (m), 1009 (w), 952 (s), 882 (s), 866 (s), 844 ( s), 771 ( s$), 744$ ( s$), 729$ ( s$), 684(\mathrm{~m}), 698(\mathrm{~m}), 666(\mathrm{~s}), 597(\mathrm{~m}), 534(\mathrm{w}), 515(\mathrm{~m}), 458(\mathrm{~m}), 433(\mathrm{~m})$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$300.1148, found 300.1152 .

( $\pm$ )-9-(Dibenzo[d,f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-6-yl)-9H-carbazole (87). ${ }^{85}$ Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{86}$ a solution of $9 H$-carbazole ( $1.47 \mathrm{~g}, 8.80 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ equiv.) in THF ( 16 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $n$-BuLi in hexanes $(1.6 \mathrm{~m}, 5.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.05 equiv.) over the course of 6 min and the resulting suspension was stirred for 5 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with THF ( 12 mL ) and stirred for 40 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before a solution of the above prepared chlorophosphite (6-chlorodibenzo $\left[d_{f} f\right][1,3,2]$ dioxaphosphepine) in toluene ( $0.67 \mathrm{M}, 12 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added over 15 min via syringe. The resulting suspension was left to slowly warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 23 h , then it was concentrated

[^42]under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/toluene 5:1) gave $\mathbf{8}$ along with a minor impurity. The white solid ( 1.77 g ) was further purified by recrystallization from toluene ( 10 mL ) to afford phosphoramidite $\mathbf{8 7}$ $(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 2.87 \mathrm{mmol}, 36 \%)$ as a white solid.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.33$ (cyclohexane/toluene 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $150-152{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.02-8.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.51$ (m, 2H), $7.39-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 151.4,151.3,141.8,141.7,131.12,131.08,130.27$, $130.26,129.88,129.87,126.12,126.11,126.00,125.98,125.85,125.84,122.23,122.22,121.7$ (two coincident resonances), 119.9 (two coincident resonances), 114.6, 114.4; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3,} 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 142.5$; IR (neat): 1598 (w), 1498 (w), 1473 (m), 1435 (m), 1324 (w), 1298 (w), 1254 (w), 1234 (m), 1194 (s), 1180 (m), 1149 (m), 1117 (m), 1095 (m),
 $599(\mathrm{~m}), 569(\mathrm{w}), 526(\mathrm{~m}), 486(\mathrm{~m}), 445(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) m/z: exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 382.0991$, found 382.0990.

### 8.1.2 Racemic Reverse Prenylation of 3-Substituted- 1 H -indoles

## Typical Procedures used for Preliminary Results

Typical procedure for an allylic alcohol derivative screening: To a solution of sulfonamide 73 ( $0.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), $\mathrm{KO} t-\mathrm{Bu}$ ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.0 M in THF, 1.2 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane ( $0.30 \mathrm{M}, 1,4$-dioxane/THF 2:1) was added at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.) and ligand 72 ( 0.060 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane ( 1.1 mL ). Part of the resulting stock solution $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to a screw-capped vial containing the allylic alcohol derivative ( 2.0 equiv.) and the resulting mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered through a plug of silica gel with copious washings $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Conversion and regioselectivity were determined by ${ }^{19}$ F NMR of the unpurified reaction mixture.

Typical procedure for a ligand screening: A stock solution of sulfonamide $73(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.), KOt - Bu ( 1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.0 M in THF, 1.2 equiv.) in 1,4 -dioxane ( 0.26 M , 1,4-dioxane/THF 2:1) was added at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a screw-capped vial containing a solution of [\{ $\left.\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.030 equiv.) and ligand ( 0.060 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane ( 0.10 mL ). Carbonate 59
( 2.0 equiv.) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered through a plug of silica gel with copious washings $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Conversion and regioselectivity were determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the unpurified reaction mixture.

## Selected Control Experiments

Table S1. Selected Control Experiments for the Racemic Reverse Prenylation


Typical procedure for the control experiments: A stock solution of sulfonamide 73 ( 0.05 mmol , 1.0 equiv.), KOt - Bu ( 0.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ ( 1.0 M in THF, 0.5 equiv.) in 1,4-dioxane $(0.15 \mathrm{M})$ was added at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a screw-capped vial containing a solution of [ $\left.\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( 0.0050 equiv.) and ligand ( 0.0025 equiv.) in 1,4 -dioxane $(0.01 \mathrm{M}$, a stock solution was prepared). Carbonate 59 ( 1.4 equiv.) was added and the resulting mixture was stirred at $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time indicated before it was diluted with EtOAc and filtered through a plug of silica gel with copious washings (EtOAc). Conversion and regioselectivity were determined by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR of the unpurified reaction mixture.

## Synthesis of Carbonates


tert-Butyl (2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl) carbonate (59).. ${ }^{87}$ To a solution of 2-methylbut-3-en-2ol ( $5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in THF ( 90 mL ) was added $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes $(1.6 \mathrm{~m}, 31 \mathrm{~mL}$, $50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over the course of 10 min . The clear solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and then di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $10.9 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added as a solid in one portion. The clear solution was allowed to warm to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further stirred for 4 h before sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the by then thick suspension. The mixture was transferred into a separation funnel, the transfer was assisted with THF ( 20 mL ) and deionized water ( 30 mL ) was added. The organic phase was separated, washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give carbonate 59 as a clear, pale, light yellow liquid $(9.0 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%)$, which was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 6.10(\mathrm{dd}, ~ J=17.5,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 152.0,142.5,113.1,81.6,81.5,28.0,26.6$; IR (neat): 2981 (m), 2936 (w), 1737 (s), 1458 (w), 1394 (w), 1368 (m), 1282 (s), 1254 (m), 1175 (m), 1144 (m), 1121 (s), 989 (w), 923 (w), 898 (w), 843 (m) 794 (m), 714 (m) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS: no molecular ion detected due to fragmentation (EI and ESI); Elemental analysis: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C 64.49, H 9.74; found C 64.42, H 9.81.

tert-Butyl (3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl) carbonate (84). A solution of 3-methyl-2-buten-1-ol ( $7.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in THF $\left(130 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ in hexanes $\left(1.6 \mathrm{~m}, 44 \mathrm{~mL}, 70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0\right.$ equiv.). After 90 min . at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( 15 g , $70 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was added as a solid in one portion. The resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 17 h before sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and deionized water

[^43]$(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added to the turbid reaction mixture. The layers were separated, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic solutions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Carbonate $\mathbf{8 4}$ was obtained as a pale, light yellow, clear liquid ( $12.8 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \mathrm{mmol}, 99 \%$ ) and was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 5.38-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.74$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 153.8,139.5,118.5$, 81.9, 63.8, 27.9, 25.9, 18.2; IR (neat): 2980 (m), 2935 (w), 1736 (s), 1455 (w), 1369 (m), 1335 (w), 1272 (s), 1251 (s), 1160 (s), 1126 (m), 1082 (m), 918 (w), $860(m), 793(m)$, $764(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS: no molecular ion detected due to fragmentation (EI and ESI); Elemental analysis: calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C 64.49, H 9.74; found C 64.57, H 9.95.

## Synthesis and Characterization of Starting Materials


$\mathbf{N}$-(2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl)methanesulfonamide (54). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{6}$ a mixture of tryptamine ( $2.88 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.8 \mathrm{~mL}$, 20 mmol , 1.1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(72 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with methanesulfonyl chloride $\left(1.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05\right.$ equiv.). The resulting suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then was allowed to warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over the course of 1 h before being quenched by the addition of deionized water ( 20 mL ). The mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ as well as EtOAc ( 30 mL ) and the phases were separated. The organic solution was washed with deionized water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give sulfonamide $\mathbf{5 4}$ as pale grey solid ( $4.08 \mathrm{~g}, 17.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%$, clean by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ). Recrystallization from EtOH ( 40 mL ) gave $\mathbf{5 4}$ as pale yellow solid ( 3.14 g , $13.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 73 \%$, used for characterization and as starting material).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.26$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 1:1; UV, CAM); Melting point (EtOH): 132 $133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.08(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{br}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dt}$ app q, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 136.6,127.1,122.8,122.6,119.9,118.7,111.8,111.6,43.4,40.4$,
26.3; IR (neat): 3388 (m), 3255 (br), 3020 (w), 2937 (w), 1618 (w), 1456 (m), 1443 (m), 1418 (m), 1339 (w), 1294 (s), 1227 (w), 1129 (s), 1070 (m), 1012 (w), 979 (m), 886 (m), 805 (w), 779 (m), 737 (s), 658 (w), 590 (m), 557 (w), 519 (s), 503 ( s), 477 (s), 466 (s), 424 (s) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$239.0849, found 239.0851.

$\boldsymbol{N}$-(2-(5-Fluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (73). To a solution of crude 2-(5-fluoro- 1 H -indol-3-yl)ethanamine ${ }^{88}\left(2.04 \mathrm{~g}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\right.$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.0 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride $\left(2.40 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10\right.$ equiv.). The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at this temperature for 4 h before being quenched by the addition of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, the organic solution was washed with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), 5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/acetone $3: 1$ to $2: 1$ gradient) afforded 3.0 g of a brown oil, which was further purified by recrystallization from hexanes/EtOAc to yield $\mathbf{7 3}$ as a beige solid ( $2.71 \mathrm{~g}, 8.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.28$ (cyclohexane/acetone $2: 1$; UV, CAM); Melting point: $100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.15(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=8.8,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5$, $2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{td}, J=9.0,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{dt} \operatorname{app~q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), $2.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 157.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=235.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.6,136.6,133.0,129.8,127.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.1,124.6,112.1(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 111.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 110.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=26.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 103.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 42.9,25.5$, 21.6; ${ }^{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{F}\left\{{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right\}$-NMR ( 377 MHz, CDCl $_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta-124.4$; IR (neat): $3388(\mathrm{~m}), 3291(\mathrm{~m})$, 1583 ( w ), 1486 (m), 1459 (m), 1406 (m), 1342 (m), 1313 (m), 1303 (m), 1158 ( s), 1095 (m), 1078 (w), 930 (m), 843 (w), 808 (m), 795 (s), 748 (w), 704 (w), 665 (s), 611 (m), $584(\mathrm{~m})$,

[^44]$552(\mathrm{~s}), 540(\mathrm{~s}), 479(\mathrm{~m}), 433(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$333.1068, found 333.1070.

$N$-(2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)ethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (76). ${ }^{89}$ Sulfonamide 76 was prepared according to a literature procedure ${ }^{89}$ and was further purified by recrystallization from EtOAc. The product was obtained as a pale brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.06$ (br, 1H), 7.64 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.41 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{ddd}, J=7.9,7.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 143.4,136.9,136.5,129.8,127.1,127.0,122.7,122.4$, 119.7, 118.6, 111.7, 111.4, 43.2, 25.6, 21.6. HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$315.1162, found 315.1163.


N -(3-(1H-Indol-3-yl)propyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (100). ${ }^{90}$ To a solution of crude 3-(1H-indol-3-yl)propan-1-amine ${ }^{91}\left(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00\right.$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.95 \mathrm{~mL}$, 6.8 mmol , 1.1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added and $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride $\left(1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 6.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.06\right.$ equiv.). The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred at this temperature for 5 h before being diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by the addition of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was washed with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M}$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column

[^45]chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1 to 5:2 gradient) afforded $\mathbf{1 0 0}(1.49 \mathrm{~g}$, $4.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ) as an off-white solid.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.29$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $99-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{dt}, J=8.1,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.1,7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.09 (ddd, $J=8.0,7.1,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{dt}$ app q, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{tt}$ app quin, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 143.4,137.0,136.4,129.8,127.3,127.1,122.0,121.8$, 119.3, 118.8, 114.9, 111.3, 43.0, 29.7, 22.1, 21.6; IR (neat): 3404 (br), 3303 (m), 3049 (w), 2922 (w), 1596 (w), 1458 (m), 1413 (m), 1355 (w), 1317 (m), 1305 (m), 1223 (w), 1152 (s), 1082 (m), 1067 (s), 1037 (w), 952 (m), 891 (w), 849 (w), 816 (s), 778 (w), 732 (s), 704 (w), 732 (s), 704 (w), 667 (br), 609 (m), 579 (m), 526 (br), 492 (s), 476 (m), 422 (m) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 329.1318$, found 329.1318.

$\mathbf{N}$-(2-(5-Bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (99). To a solution of 2-(5-bromo- 1 H -indol-3-yl)ethanamine ${ }^{88}$ ( $964 \mathrm{mg}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.62 \mathrm{~mL}$, 4.5 mmol , 1.1 equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added and $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $807 \mathrm{mg}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.). The resulting reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred at this temperature for 2 h before being diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by the addition of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was washed with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M}$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1 to 3:2 gradient) afforded 99 ( 1.45 g , $3.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ) as a brown solid.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.22$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $91-94{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 8.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.17$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dt} \operatorname{app} \mathrm{q}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 143.6,136.5,135.1$, 129.8, 128.7, 127.0, 125.0, 124.2, 121.1, 113.0, 112.8, 111.2, 42.9, 25.3, 21.7; IR (neat):

3368 (br), 2922 (w), 1597 (w), 1459 (m), 1421 (m), 1317 (m), 1289 (m), 1152 (s), 1091 (m), 882 (m), 812 (m), 795 (m), 749 (w), 663 (s), 576 (m), 548 (s) cm-1; HRMS (ESI) m/z: exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$393.0267, found 393.0266.


N -(2-(5-Methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (98).92 2-(5-methoxy- 1 H -indol-3-yl)ethanamine was prepared according to a procedure used to synthesize 2-(6-chloro- $1 H$-indol-3-yl)ethanamine. ${ }^{93} \quad 2$-(5-methoxy- $1 H$-indol-3-yl)ethanamine ( 399 mg , 2.10 mmol , 1.00 equiv.) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.32 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) was added. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $419 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) was added in one portion. The resulting suspension was allowed to warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at that temperature for 2 h . The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by the addition of 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic solution was washed with 1 M aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 1 \mathrm{M}$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1 to 1:1 gradient) afforded 98 ( $547 \mathrm{mg}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol}, 76 \%$ ) as a brown solid.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.19$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.99(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23$ (dd, $J=8.4,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dt}$ app q, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 154.2,143.4,136.8,131.7,129.7,127.4$, 127.1, 123.5, 112.6, 112.2, 111.3, 100.4, 55.9, 43.0, 25.6, 21.6; IR (neat): 3392 (m), 3297 (w), 2939 (w), 1623 (w), 1587 (w), 1486 (m), 1441 (m), 1318 (m), 1292 (m), 1215 (m), 1151 ( s), $1092(\mathrm{~m}), 1067(\mathrm{~m}), 906(\mathrm{~m}), 835(\mathrm{~m}), 804(\mathrm{~s}), 669(\mathrm{~s}), 624(\mathrm{~m}), 552(\mathrm{~s}), 482(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}-1$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$345.1267, found 345.1271.

[^46]
tert-Butyl (2-(4-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (101). ${ }^{94}$ Carbamate $\mathbf{1 f}$ was prepared according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{94}$ Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 4:1 to 2:1 gradient) afforded $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ as a pale brown solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.61$ (br, 1H), $7.31-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dt}$ app q$, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 1.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 156.3,137.9,125.5,124.3,124.0$, $122.9,114.3,113.9,110.8,79.3,42.3,28.6,26.7$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$339.0703, found 339.0704.


Benzyl (2-(4-bromo-1H-indol-3-yl)ethyl)carbamate (102)..55 Carbamate 102 was prepared according to a reported procedure ${ }^{95}$ and, after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1 to 3:2 gradient), was obtained as ocher brown, amorphous solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.22(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.98(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 5.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.89 (br, 1H), 3.58 (dt app q, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.22 (t, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 156.6, 137.9, 136.8, 128.6, 128.24, 128.21, 125.5, 124.3, 124.2, 123.1, 114.3, 113.8, 110.8, 66.7, 42.6, 26.6; HRMS (ESI-TOF) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$373.0546, found 373.0546.

[^47]
tert-Butyl (2-((5-methoxy-1H-indol-3-yl)methyl)phenyl)carbamate (103).96 Carbamate 103 was prepared following a literature procedure. ${ }^{96}$ After flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1 to 5:1 gradient), the product was obtained as a white solid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.96$ (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.28-7.24$ (m, 2H), 7.06 (td, $J=7.5,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3,} 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 154.2,153.4,136.6,131.8,130.4,130.3,127.7,127.4,124.0,123.2,122.1$, 113.4, 112.7, 112.1, 100.9, 80.4, 56.0, 28.5, 28.4; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+} 370.2125$, found 370.2120.


3-(1H-Indol-3-yl)propan-1-ol (104). ${ }^{97}$ Alcohol 104 was prepared by a literature procedure. ${ }^{97}$ The crude product was obtained as a clear, colorless oil and was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.05(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.0,7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73$ (t, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 136.5,127.5,122.0,121.5,119.2,118.9,115.9,111.3,62.7,33.0$, 21.4; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 175.0992$, found 175.0994.

[^48]

4-(1H-Indol-3-yl)butan-2-one (105). ${ }^{98}$ Following a literature procedure, ${ }^{98}$ ketone 105 was obtained as a pale brown solid after purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1).
${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.99$ (br, 1H), $7.61-7.59$ (m, 1H), 7.36 (dt, $J=8.1$, $0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21 (ddd, $J=8.1,7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13$ (ddd, $J=8.0,7.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-$ $6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.9,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}$ (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 208.9,136.4,127.3,122.2,121.6,119.4,118.8,115.3,111.3$, $44.2,30.2,19.5$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NNaO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 210.0889 , found 210.0893.

## General Procedure and Characterization of Products



General procedure for the racemic reverse $\mathbf{C}$ - $\mathbf{3}$ prenylation of 3 -substituted-1 $\mathbf{H}$ indoles: To a flame-dried 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar were added indole ( $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{KOt}-\mathrm{Bu}(123 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ equiv., stored and handled under air). The flask was sealed with a septum, evacuated and back-filled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (two cycles). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.1$ equiv.) and 1,4-dioxane ( 3.8 mL ) were added at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min before $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ ( $1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and phosphoramidite $87(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in

[^49]1,4-dioxane ( 0.50 mL ) - prepared in a screw-capped vial, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min prior to the addition - was added via Pasteur pipette against a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. To the stirred mixture was then added carbonate $59(282 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40$ equiv.) via syringe and the resulting mixture (often formed a gel, which slowly turned liquid again) was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by the addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Deionized water ( 1 mL ) was added and the clear solutions were transferred into a separation funnel. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was used to assist the transfer. The solutions were separated and the organic solution was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. After purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel the product was isolated as single diastereomer (not applicable for 118 and 119). The regioselectivity (branched to linear) was found to be $>20: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude product.


## ( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-5-Fluoro-3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-

hexahydropyrrolo $[\mathbf{2 , 3}-b]$ indole (74). The general procedure was followed using 0.50 equiv. KOt - $\mathrm{Bu}\left(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, stored and handled under air), 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( 1.0 M , $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4.4 mL 1,4-dioxane. The final concentration of the reaction was unaltered $(0.19 \mathrm{M})$, the ratio of 1,4-dioxane/THF was changed to $10: 1$. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1). The title compound was isolated as a white foam ( $368 \mathrm{mg}, 0.919 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.31$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $121-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.80-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-$ $4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41$ (ddd, $J=10.0,7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{ddd}$ app td, $J=10.6$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ (ddd, $J=12.4,10.7,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.4,5.9$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 156.8(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=235.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 146.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.6,143.5,136.2,131.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.8,127.2$, $114.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=23.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 114.2,112.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 109.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 81.4,64.9(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 47.8,41.0,33.2,22.7,22.5,21.6 ;{ }^{19} \mathbf{F}\left\{{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right\}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta-$
125.8; IR (neat): 3388 (br), 2972 (br), 1712 (w), 1598 (w), 1488 (s), 1445 (m), 1415 (w), 1333 (m), 1256 (w), 1183 (w), 1156 (s), 1091 (m), 1042 (m), 1028 (m), 1003 (m), 918 (m), $864(\mathrm{~m}), 812$ (m), 769 (m), 736 (m), 707 (w), 659 ( s$), 582$ (m), 546 ( s$), 468(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 401.1694$, found 401.1694.

( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-3a-(2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3$b]$ indole (109). The general procedure was followed using 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{KO} t-\mathrm{Bu}$ ( 56 mg , 0.50 mmol , stored and handled under air), 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF $(1.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.50 mmol ) and 4.4 mL 1,4-dioxane. The final concentration of the reaction was unaltered $(0.19 \mathrm{M})$, the ratio of 1,4 -dioxane/THF was changed to $10: 1$. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc $8: 1$ ). The title compound was isolated as a white solid ( $363 \mathrm{mg}, 0.949 \mathrm{mmol}, ~ 95 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / n$-hexane at $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.31$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $115-116{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ $(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{br}$, 1 H ), 3.41 (ddd, $J=10.0,7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.05 (ddd app td, $J=10.6,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.44 (s, 3 H ), 2.03 (ddd, $J=12.3,10.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93$ (ddd, $J=12.3,6.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98$ (s, 3H), 0.84 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 150.1,144.0,143.6,136.5,129.8$ (two coincident resonances), 128.7, 127.2, 124.9, 118.8, 113.9, 109.4, 80.7, 64.7, 47.9, 41.1, 33.3, 22.8, 22.7, 21.7; IR (neat): 3379 (m), 2970 (m), 1714 (w), 1606 (m), 1483 (m), 1466 (m), 1403 (w), 1369 (w), 1333 (s), 1257 (w), 1211 (w), 1157 (s), 1116 (w), 1088 (m), 1059 (m), 1028 (m), 1008 (w), 988 (m), 949 (m), 926 (m), 884 (m), 861 (w), 813 (m), 785 (m), 750 ( s$)$, $738(\mathrm{~s}), 706(\mathrm{w}), 659(\mathrm{~s}), 606(\mathrm{~m}), 570(\mathrm{~s}), 543(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$383.1788, found 383.1783.

( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-5-Methoxy-3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-
hexahydropyrrolo $[\mathbf{2 , 3 - b}]$ indole (110). The general procedure was followed using 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{KOt}-\mathrm{Bu}\left(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, stored and handled under air), 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( 1.0 M , $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $4.4 \mathrm{~mL} 1,4$-dioxane. The final concentration of the reaction was unaltered $(0.19 \mathrm{M})$, the ratio of 1,4-dioxane/THF was changed to $10: 1$. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1). The title compound was isolated as a white foam ( $397 \mathrm{mg}, 0.962 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.23$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $105-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.68-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.53-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-$ $4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04$ (ddd app td, $J=10.6$, $6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.00 (ddd, $J=12.3,10.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.90 (ddd, $J=12.4,6.0$, $1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.97 (s, 3H), $0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 153.4,144.1$, $144.0,143.5,136.5,131.6,129.8,127.3,113.9,113.1,112.2,109.9,81.5,65.1,56.1,47.9$, 41.1, 33.2, 22.9, 22.7, 21.7; IR (neat): 3381 (br), 2970 (w), 1708 (w), 1598 (w), 1490 (m), 1436 (w), 1334 (m), 1302 (w), 1282 (w), 1207 (w), 1156 (s), 1091 (m), 1038 (m), 914 (m), 859 (w), 812 (m), 766 (m), 736 (m), 707 (w), 659 (s), 568 (m), 546 (s), 461 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 413.1893$, found 413.1895.

( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-5-Bromo-3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1-tosyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-
hexahydropyrrolo $[\mathbf{2 , 3} \mathbf{3} \boldsymbol{b}]$ indole (111). The general procedure was followed using 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{KOt}-\mathrm{Bu}\left(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, stored and handled under air), 0.50 equiv. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( 1.0 M , $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4.4 mL 1,4-dioxane. The final concentration of the reaction was unaltered $(0.19 \mathrm{M})$, the ratio of 1,4-dioxane/THF was changed to $10: 1$. The crude product was
purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1). The title compound was isolated as a white solid ( $427 \mathrm{mg}, 0.925 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.35$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $121^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.72$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.31 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.17 (dd, $J=8.3,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4$, $10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{ddd}, J=10.1,7.9,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.06 (ddd app td, $J=10.6,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02$ (ddd, $J=12.4,10.6,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 1.89 (ddd, $J=12.5,5.9,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.96 (s, 3 H ), 0.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 101 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 149.1,143.7,143.4,136.2,132.4,131.4,129.9,127.8,127.2,114.3,110.7$, 110.3, 80.9, 64.8, 47.8, 41.1, 33.3, 22.7, 22.6, 21.7; IR (neat): 3387 (br), 2971 (w), 1600 (m), 1475 (m), 1428 (w), 1333 (m), 1256 (w), 1155 (s), 1122 (w), 1092 (m), 1041 (m), 1006 (m), $919(\mathrm{~m}), 882(\mathrm{w}), 811(\mathrm{~m}), 754(\mathrm{~m}), 737(\mathrm{~m}), 659(\mathrm{~s}), 619(\mathrm{~m}), 576(\mathrm{~s}), 544(\mathrm{~s}), 460(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 461.0893$, found 461.0893 .

( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-tert-butyl 4-bromo-3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-3,3a,8,8a-
tetrahydropyrrolo $[\mathbf{2 , 3 - b}]$ indole- $\mathbf{1 ( 2 H )}$-carboxylate (112). The catalyst loading was increased to $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (consequently [\{Ir(cod)Cl$\left.\}_{2}\right](6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.) and $87(7.6 \mathrm{mg}$, $20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were mixed in $0.50 \mathrm{~mL} 1,4$-dioxane) and the reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1 to 12:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a colorless solid ( $365 \mathrm{mg}, 0.895 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / n$-hexane at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.21$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, mixture of rotamers, major peaks reported): $\delta 6.91-6.82$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-$ $3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, mixture of rotamers, major peaks reported): $\delta 154.5$, 153.6, 145.2, 130.1, 126.9, 124.3, 120.5, 113.5, 108.6, 79.9, 78.8, 65.3, 46.5, 42.9, 28.6, 27.5,
25.6, 23.9; IR (neat): 3356 (br), 2974 (w), 2879 (w), 1676 (s), 1598 (m), 1566 (m), 1445 (m), 1393 (s), 1365 (m), 1313 (w), 1298 (w), 1265 (m), 1217 (w), 1159 (s), 1118 (m), 1096 (w), $1060(\mathrm{w}), \quad 1005(\mathrm{w}), 900(\mathrm{~m}), \quad 882(\mathrm{~m}), 771(\mathrm{~m}), 733(\mathrm{~m}), 691(\mathrm{w}), 632(\mathrm{w}), 553(\mathrm{w})$, $521(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$407.1329, found 407.1328 .

( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-benzyl 4-bromo-3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-3,3a,8,8a-
tetrahydropyrrolo $[2,3-b]$ indole- $\mathbf{1 ( 2 H )}$-carboxylate (113). The general procedure was followed using 1.10 equiv. tert-BuOK ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1.1 equiv. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in $\mathrm{THF}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$, $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3.8 mL 1,4-dioxane. The catalyst loading was increased to $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (consequently $\left[\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right](6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$.) and $87(7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were mixed in 0.50 mL 1,4-dioxane). The final concentration of the reaction was unaltered $(0.19 \mathrm{M})$, the ratio of solvents was changed to $4: 1$ (1,4-dioxane/THF). The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . NMR analysis of crude $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ showed a complex mixture of rotamers (at 298 K in chloroform $-\mathrm{d}_{1}$, methanol- $\mathrm{d}_{4}$ and dimethyl sulfoxide- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) and no regioselectivity was determined. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1). The title compound was isolated as clear oil ( $398 \mathrm{mg}, 0.901 \mathrm{mmol}$, $90 \%)$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.36$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, mixture of rotamers): $\delta 7.43-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.12-$ $6.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, mixture of rotamers, major peaks reported): $\delta 154.8,153.3,145.1,136.5,130.1,128.6,128.1,127.9$, $126.6,124.5,120.5,113.6,108.7,79.2,66.8,65.3,46.3,42.9,27.6,25.6,23.8$; IR (neat): 3358 (br), 2970 (w), 2880 (w), 1684 (s), 1598 (m), 1567 (m), 1498 (w), 1444 (m), 1415 (s), 1353 (s), 1312 (w), 1264 (m), 1213 (m), 1196 (m), 1061 (m), 1004 (w), 957 (w), 899 (m), 864 (w), 753 (s), 734 (s), 696 (s), 644 (w), 585 (w) 549 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z: exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 441.1172$, found 441.1174.

( $\pm$ )-(4aS,9aR)-4a-(2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1-tosyl-2,3,4,4a,9,9a-hexahydro-1H-
pyrido[2,3-b]indole (114). The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h then 2-aminoethanol $\left(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0\right.$ equiv.) was added and the reaction was stirred further at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1 to 5:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a colorless, waxy solid ( $314 \mathrm{mg}, 0.793 \mathrm{mmol}, 79 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.34$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ : $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5$, $1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43-6.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-$ $4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.64$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta$ $149.9,144.4,143.6,137.3,129.9,129.0,128.2,127.4,125.5,118.0,113.7,107.8,73.1,55.7$, 43.1, 37.2, 24.0, 22.5, 21.7 (two coincident resonances), 18.2; IR (neat): 3382 (br), 2964 (br), 2878 (w), 1607 (w), 1485 (w), 1467 (m), 1384 (w), 1334 (m), 1216 (w), 1156 (s), 1091 (m), 1027 (w), 973 (m), 915 (w), 853 (w), 814 (w), 741 (s), 707 (w), 663 ( s), 593 (m), 574 (m), $545(\mathrm{~s}), 485(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 397.1944, found 397.1947.

( $\pm$ )-(5aR,10bS)-tert-Butyl 9-methoxy-10b-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-5a,6,10b,11-tetrahydro-5H-indolo[2,3-b]quinoline-5-carboxylate (115). The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1). The title compound was isolated as a pale brown solid ( 369 mg , $0.877 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%)$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.25$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $131-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.24$ (br, 1H), $7.04-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.15 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 153.4,152.6,144.6,144.5,137.4,132.8$, $131.6,128.2,126.1,125.0,124.8,113.9,112.6,112.3,108.3,81.1,74.6,62.7,56.0,43.1,33.2$, 28.5, 22.7, 22.1; IR (neat): 3363 (br), 2974 (w), 1683 (s), 1589 (w), 1494 (s), 1454 (w), 1435 (w), 1392 (w), 1367 (m), 1334 (s), 1299 (w), 1279 (w), 1248 (w), 1215 (m), 1160 (s), 1041 (s), 1013 (s), 911 (m), 856 (w), 806 (w), 731 (s), 582 (w), 462 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 421.2486$, found 421.2482.

( $\pm$ )-(3aS,8aR)-3a-(2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-3,3a,8,8a-tetrahydro-2H-furo[2,3-b]indole (116). ${ }^{99}$ The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1). The title compound was isolated as a white solid ( $165 \mathrm{mg}, 0.719 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.21$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $44-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.18-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{td}$, $J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.11 (dd, $J=10.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.5$, $7.3,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.48 (ddd, $J=11.3,8.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.36 (ddd app td, $J=11.5,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.99-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 150.5$, 144.7, 130.8, 128.1, 125.2, 118.3, 113.3, 108.0, 95.4, 67.7, 64.7, 40.5, 36.0, 23.4, 22.9; IR (neat): 3346 (br), 3056 (w), 2970 (m), 2885 (w), 1607 (m), 1469 (s), 1416 (w), 1369 (w), 1340 (w), 1317 (w), 1255 (w), 1184 (w), 1152 (w), 1089 (w), 1071 (w), 1032 (m), 999 (m),

[^50]947 (m), 918 (s), 847 (w), 731 ( s), 687 (w), 656 (m), 602 (w), 526 (m), 463 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 230.1539$, found 230.1543 .

( $\pm$ )-(4aS,9aR)-4a-(2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-2,3,4,4a,9,9a-hexahydropyrano[2,3-b]indole (117). The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc $8: 1$ to $7: 1$ gradient). The title compound was isolated as a pale brown solid ( $148 \mathrm{mg}, 0.607 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.24$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $54-56{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{1}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 7.10-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.9,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-$ $1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $298 \mathrm{~K}): \delta 150.6,144.9,129.6,128.0,125.7,117.8,113.1,107.6,89.5,57.0,53.5,42.2,22.4$, 22.2, 22.0, 19.8; IR (neat): 3341 (br), 3081 (w), 2962 (m), 2879 (w), 1635 (w), 1608 (m), 1484 (m), 1467 (s), 1414 (w), 1381 (w), 1364 (w), 1315 (w), 1243 (w), 1152 (w), 1076 (s), 1030 (m), 1008 (s), 914 (s), 872 (w), 738 (s), 687 (w), 637 (w), 514 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 244.1696$, found 244.1699.

( $\pm$ )-4-(3-(2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-3H-indol-3-yl)butan-2-one (118). The catalyst loading was increased to $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (consequently $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](6.7 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.) and $\mathbf{8 7}$ ( $7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were mixed in 0.50 mL 1,4-dioxane) and the reaction was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 4:1 to 1:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a clear, colorless oil ( $225 \mathrm{mg}, 0.883 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.28$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 1:1; UV, CAM); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97(\mathrm{dd}, ~ J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.0,9.4,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69$ (ddd, $J=18.2,9.6,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{ddd}, J=18.2,9.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 208.0,178.0,156.2,144.0,139.8,128.2,126.0$, 123.8, 121.3, 114.1, 67.0, 41.3, 37.8, 30.1, 23.7, 23.0 (two coincident resonances); IR (neat): 3083 (w), 2969 (m), 2937 (w), 1714 (s), 1637 (w), 1556 (m), 1463 (m), 1452 (m), 1416 (m), 1363 (s), 1277 (w), 1164 (s), 1107 (w), 1006 (m), 917 (s), 849 (w), 777 (m), 754 (s), 691 (w), $563(\mathrm{~m}), 549(\mathrm{w}), 482(\mathrm{w}), 438(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 255.1618$, found 255.1623 .

## Synthesis of Linear Prenylated 75


( $\pm$ )-(3aR,8aR)-5-Fluoro-3a-(3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl)-1-tosyl-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-
hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (75). To sulfonamide 73 ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) and KOt - Bu ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv., stored and handled under air) at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.24 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.2$ equiv.) and 1,4-dioxane ( 0.4 mL ) and the resulting mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min before being added to tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium( 0 ) ( $4.5 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 3.9 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 3.9 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.) in 1,4 -dioxane $(0.20 \mathrm{~mL})$ - prepared in a screw-capped vial, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . To the stirred mixture was then added carbonate $\mathbf{8 4}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) via syringe and the resulting mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, filtered through a plug of silica gel with copious washings ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), and purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1). The title compound was isolated as a clear, colorless oil ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}, 56 \%$ ). The regioselectivity (linear to branched or $\mathbf{7 5}$ to 74, analyzed by ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR) was determined to be 29:1 for the crude product and 33:1 after purification.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.30$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta 7.72$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77$ (ddd, $J=9.2,8.5,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70$ (dd, $J=8.4,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (br, 1H), 3.40 (ddd, $J=10.3,8.0,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.12 (ddd app td, $J=10.5,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.43 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.5,6.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.5,10.5$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 157.3(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=235.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) 144.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 143.7,136.2,135.9,133.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 129.9,127.2$, $118.5,114.7(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=23.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 110.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=24.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 110.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 83.2$, 58.8 (d, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 47.6,35.5,35.2,26.0,21.7,18.0 ;{ }^{19} \mathbf{F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}\right\}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(377 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta-$ 125.6; IR (neat): 3389 (br), 2968 (w), 2926 (w), 1598 (w), 1486 (s), 1447 (m), 1378 (w), 1334 (m), 1304 (w), 1292 (w), 1242 (w), 1180 (w), 1157 (s), 1092 (m), 1034 (br), 922 (w), $861(\mathrm{w}), 812(\mathrm{~m}), 782(\mathrm{~m}), 737(\mathrm{w}), 707(\mathrm{w}), 672(\mathrm{~m}), 658(\mathrm{~s}), 587(\mathrm{~m}), 546(\mathrm{~s}), 455(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 401.1694$, found 401.1691.

### 8.1.3 Diastereoselective Reverse Prenylation

## Synthesis of (S)-Tryptophan methyl ester (23)


(S)-Tryptophan methyl ester ((-)-23). ${ }^{100}$ Following modified literature procedures, ${ }^{100}$ thionyl chloride ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 43 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4$ equiv.) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to a suspension of ( $S$ )-tryptophan ( $6.30 \mathrm{~g}, 30.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The ice bath was removed and after 30 min the clear solution was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h then was allowed to cool to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded an off-white solid, which was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and concentrated again under reduced pressure. The flask was cooled in an ice bath and sat. aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was added. The mixture was transferred into a separation funnel and the transfer was assisted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Deionized water and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ were added, the phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted once with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The combined organic solutions were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure to affored

[^51]an oil. Dissolving the oil in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by concentration under reduced pressure ( $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 20 mbar then $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C},<0.1$ Torr for 15 h ) provided ( - )-23 as an off-white solid ( 6.14 g , $28.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ), which was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.30(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.33$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7$, $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.55$ (s, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 175.9, 136.4, 127.6, 123.1, 122.3, 119.6, 118.9, 111.35, 111.26, 55.1, 52.1, 30.9; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$219.1128, found 219.1130.

## Synthesis of Boc-protected Hexahydropyrroloindole 90 and 91



To a flame-dried 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar was added Boc-( $S$ )-tryptophan methyl ester ( $318 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) and $\mathrm{KOt} t-\mathrm{Bu}(123 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ equiv., stored and handled under air). The flask was sealed with a septum, evacuated and back-filled with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (two cycles). $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.1 equiv.) and 1,4-dioxane ( 3.8 mL ) were added at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was stirred at this temperature for 25 min before $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](1.7 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and phosphoramidite $87(1.9 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in 1,4 -dioxane $(0.50 \mathrm{~mL})$ - prepared in a screw-capped vial, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min prior to the addition - was added via Pasteur pipette against a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. To the stirred mixture was then added carbonate $59(282 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 261 \mathrm{mg}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40$ equiv.) via syringe and the resulting mixture was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and quenched by the addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Deionized water ( 1 mL ) was added and the clear solutions were transferred into a separation funnel. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was used to assist the transfer. The solutions were separated and the organic solution was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1 to 5:1 gradient) afforded the product as a clear, colorless, sticky oil ( $327 \mathrm{mg}, 0.846 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ). The exo to endo diastereoselectivity was determined to be $\sim 1: 1$ by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR analysis; both in the crude and purified product. The regioselectivity
(branched to linear) was found to be $>20: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude product. An analytical sample of the exo-diastereomer was obtained by partial separation by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane/Et $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 6:1 to $5: 1$ gradient, the exo-diastereomer elutes first). Crystals of the exo-diastereomer suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n$-hexane at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Analytical data for the exo-diastereomer (-)-(2S,3aR,8aS)-1-tert-butyl 2-methyl 3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-3,3a,8,8a-tetrahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole-1,2(2H)-dicarboxylate ((-)-exo-90): TLC: $R_{f}=0.33$ (pentane/ $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} 5: 1$; UV, CAM ); Melting point: $135-137{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-333.4$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, mixture of rotamers, all peaks reported): $\delta 7.11-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-$ $6.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04-5.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1$, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.06-0.98(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, mixture of rotamers, all peaks reported): $\delta 173.5$, $172.9,153.8,153.3,150.0,149.4,144.0,130.3,130.1,128.8,128.7,125.11,125.05,118.9$, $118.3,114.0,109.5,109.3,81.3,80.9,79.3,78.6,63.0,61.8,59.49,59.45,52.2,52.0,41.1$, 37.3, 36.6, 28.7, 28.3, 23.1, 23.0, 22.5, 22.4; IR (neat): 3414 (w), 2974 (w), 1744 (m), 1684 (s), 1610 (w), 1483 (w), 1468 (w), 1435 (w), 1391 (m), 1355 (s), 1328 (w), 1302 (w), 1276 (w), 1256 (w), 1201 (s), 1170 (s), 1150 (s), 1131 (m), 1087 (w), 1053 (m), 1005 (m), 924 (m), 890 (m), 873 (m), 849 (w), 785 (m), 775 (m), 754 (m), 744 (s), 693 (w), $640(\mathrm{~m}), 596$ (w), $539(\mathrm{w}), 515(\mathrm{w}), 463(\mathrm{~m}), 431(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 409.2098$, found 409.2098.

## Generation of 9-BBN-n-C6H13


( $1 s, 5 s$ )-9-Hexyl-9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane. In a glove box, 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane dimer ( $4.27 \mathrm{~g}, 35.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ of monomer, 1.00 equiv.) was added to a flame-dried Schlenk flask. The flask was sealed with a septum and removed from the glove box. To the solid was subsequently added 1,4-dioxane ( 20 mL ) and 1-hexene ( $4.56 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) at $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Additional 1,4 -dioxane ( 6.5 mL ) was added to give a total volume of 35 mL . The suspension was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and the resulting solution of $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}(1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in

1,4-dioxane) was kept in the sealed Schlenk flask under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the same manner a 0.50 M stock solution of $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ in 1,4-dioxane was prepared.

Synthesis of Hexahydropyrroloindole (-)-33

(-)-(2S,3aR,8aR)-methyl 3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-
hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole-2-carboxylate ((-)-exo-33). ${ }^{101}$ To a flame-dried 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar and a septum was added ( $S$ )-tryptophan methyl ester (23) ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) and subsequently in a glove box was added KHMDS ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.). The flask was removed from the glove box, immersed in an ice bath before $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ in 1,4-dioxane ( $0.50 \mathrm{M}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) and THF ( 1.0 mL ) were added via syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min before a solution of $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $87(3.8 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in THF ( 0.67 mL ) - prepared in a screw-capped vial, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 min prior to the addition - was added via Pasteur pipette against a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 min before carbonate $59(211 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.05 equiv.) was added via syringe and the resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction was diluted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ) and quenched with by the addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Deionized water ( 1 mL ) was added, the mixture was allowed to warm to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then was transferred into a separation funnel. The transfer was assisted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ). The combined organic solutions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1 to $1: 2$ gradient) afforded hexahydropyrroloindole ( - )-exo- $\mathbf{3 3}$ as a pale yellow, clear oil ( $167 \mathrm{mg}, 0.584 \mathrm{mmol}, 58 \%$ ). The regioselectivity (branched to linear) was found to be $>20: 1$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis of the crude product. The product was isolated as single diastereomer and there was no detectable racemization by SFC analysis.

[^52]Notes: Combining the reagents as solutions (H-Trp-OMe 0.4 M in 1,4-dioxane, KHMDS 1.0 M in THF and $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} 1.0 \mathrm{M}$ in 1,4-dioxane) led to a comparable result ( $56-60 \%$ yield). When KOt - Bu ( 1.0 equiv.) was used instead of KHMDS under otherwise identical conditions, the yield was lower ( $48-50 \%$ for $\mathrm{KO} t$ - Bu handled and stored under air as well as for $\mathrm{KO} t-\mathrm{Bu}$ handled and stored in a glove box).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.56$ (EtOAc; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-84.0$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.13-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71$ (td, $J=7.5,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10.8,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.58$ (dd, $J=10.9,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 1.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta 174.3,151.1,144.6,131.0,128.4$, $125.4,118.5,113.7,109.0,80.0,65.9,60.0,52.2,41.6,41.1,23.4,23.0$; IR (neat): 3316 (br), 2966 (m), 1734 (s), 1636 (w), 1605 (m), 1484 (m), 1467 (m), 1437 (w), 1414 (w), 1381 (w), 1363 (w), 1315 (m), 1251 (m), 1211 (m), 1198 (m), 1182 (m), 1152 (w), 1112 (w), 1092 (w), 1074 (w), 1023 (w), 1010 (w), 915 (m), 821 (w), 739 (s), 688 (w), 595 (w) 485 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$287.1754, found 287.1757; SFC (Daicel Chiralpak IA, $93 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 7 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 204 nm ): $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 17.2 \mathrm{~min}$.

(+)-(2R,3aS,8aS)-methyl 3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-
hexahydropyrrolo $2,3-b]$ indole-2-carboxylate ((+)-exo-33). Hexahydropyrroloindole $(+)$-exo- $\mathbf{3 3}$ was prepared from ( $R$ )-tryptophan methyl ester according to the procedure described above for $(-)$-exo- $\mathbf{3 3}$.

Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+80.3$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); SFC (Daicel Chiralpak IA, $93 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, $7 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 204 nm$)$ : $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} .14 .0 \mathrm{~min}$.

## Synthesis of Hexahydropyrroloindole (+)-endo-97


(+)-(2S,3aS,8aS)-methyl 3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-
hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole-2-carboxylate ((+)-endo-97). The endo-diastereomer 97 can be ontained by using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ instead of $9-\mathrm{BBN}-n-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and subsequent separation of the two diastereomers: To a flame-dried 25 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a stir bar and a septum was added ( $S$ )-tryptophan methyl ester (23) ( $218 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) and subsequently in a glove box was added KHMDS ( $199 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.). The flask was removed from the glove box, immersed in an ice bath before 1,4-dioxane ( 3.5 mL ) and after $2 \mathrm{~min}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{3} \mathrm{~B}$ in THF ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.5$ equiv.) were added via syringe. The resulting suspension was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , then a solution of $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 5.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$, $0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and $87(4.0 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in 1,4 -dioxane $(0.70 \mathrm{~mL})$ - prepared in a screw-capped vial, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 min prior to the addition - was added via Pasteur pipette against a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to the by then clear solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 min before carbonate $59(211 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.05 equiv.) was added via syringe and the resulting mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The ice bath was removed and the reaction was further stired at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h before it was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ) and quenched with by the addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was transferred into a separation funnel. The transfer was assisted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The layers were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( 10 mL ). The combined organic solutions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1 to $100 \%$ EtOAc gradient) afforded hexahydropyrroloindole (-)-exo-33 as a yellow, clear oil ( $88 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \%$ ) and (+)-endo-97 as pale yellow, clear oil ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 12 \%$ ). Both products were isolated substantially racemized, therefore only the sign of the specific roation is given for (+)-endo-97.

Analytical data for (+)-endo-97: TLC: $R_{f}=0.22$ (EtOAc; UV, CAM); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.08-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.4,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.91$ (s, 1 H ), 3.83 (dd, $J=7.9,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{dd}$,
$J=12.7,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 174.5$, $150.9,144.7,131.1,128.5,126.2,118.4,113.6,109.6,80.2,64.0,60.7,51.9,41.2,38.5,23.1$, 22.7; IR (neat): 3355 (br), 3081 (w), 2958 (m), 1732 (s), 1636 (w), 1605 (m), 1484 (m), 1467 (m), 1434 (w), 1414 (w), 1381 (w), 1365 (w), 1316 (w), 1246 (m), 1211 (s), 1154 (m), 1116 (m), 1087 (m), 1017 (m), 981 (w), 914 (m), 877 (w), 821 (w), 741 (s), 687 (w), 616 (w), $522(\mathrm{w}), 464(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 287.1754, found 287.1754.

## Synthesis of (+)-Aszonalenin (130)


(+)-Aszonalenin ((+)-130). ${ }^{102}$ To a solution of (+)-33 ( $48.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.168 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was subsequently added 2-aminobenzoic acid ( 32.2 mg , $0.235 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40$ equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(47 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) and HATU ( 89.1 mg , $0.234 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40$ equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h before EtOAc ( 5 mL ), sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and deionized water $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The organic phase was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. Filtration and concentration under reduced pressure yielded brown oil, which was azeotropically evaporated with toluene $(2 \times 4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product was dissolved in toluene $(1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a solution of $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ in toluene ( $2.0 \mathrm{M}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.0$ equiv.) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , then $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.58 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the clear solution and the resulting suspension was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h . EtOAc ( 5 mL ) and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added, the mixture was stirred at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min before it was filtered through a pad of celite. The filter cake was washed with EtOAc $(4 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the filtrate and the phases were separated. The organic solution was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/acetone 3:1) to give synthetic

[^53](+)-aszonalenin ( $40.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.108 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \%$ over two steps) as an off-white solid. The product was isolated as single diastereomer. Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from acetone $/ n$-hexane at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.24$ (cyclohexane/acetone 3:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $246-247{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}+55.3\left(\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.92$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.83 (dd, $J=7.9,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.43 (td, $J=7.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4$, $0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.18 (s, 1H), 6.11 (dd, $J=17.2,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.58 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=13.9,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 170.4,167.0,149.2,143.9,134.4,132.7,131.32,131.27,128.7$, 126.9, 125.2, 125.0, 120.8, 118.4, 114.3, 109.3, 81.8, 60.8, 57.2, 41.6, 33.5, 22.8, 22.6; IR (neat): 3413 (w), 3231 (w), 3171 (w), 2982 (w), 1694 (s), 1611 (s), 1598 (s), 1573 (m), 1482 (m), 1464 (m), 1406 (s), 1391 (s), 1324 (m), 1251 (w), 1204 (m), 1138 (m), 1091 (w), 1061 (w), 1012 (w), 924 (m), 874 (w), 856 (w), 802 (w), 763 (s), 748 (s), 717 (m), 692 (w), $669(\mathrm{~m}), 644(\mathrm{w}), 603(\mathrm{w}), 514(\mathrm{~m}), 465(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$374.1863, found 374.1863.

## Synthesis of (-)-Brevicompanine B (132)


(-)-(2S,3aR,8aS)-methyl 1-((R)-2-((((9H-fluoren-9-yl)methoxy)carbonyl)amino)-4-methylpentanoyl)-3a-(2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole-2-carboxylate (131). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{103}$ a solution of $(-)-\mathbf{3 3}$ ( $69.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.241 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.4 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was subsequently treated with $N$-Fmoc- $(R)$-Leu ( $128 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.361 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 1.50$ equiv.), HATU ( 101 mg , 0.265 mmol , 1.10 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(67 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.). The resulting mixture was allowed to warm slowly to $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 20 h before the reaction was quenched with sat. aq.

[^54]$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and diluted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ). The organic phase was extracted with EtOAc $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic solutions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtrated and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 4:1) afforded (-)-131 as a white foam ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.198 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ). The product was isolated as single diastereomer.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.32$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}-140.5$ ( $c=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$, mixture of rotamers, all peaks reported): $\delta 7.79-7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.3,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76-6.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.98-$ $5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.29-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.69(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.84(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$, mixture of rotamers, all peaks reported): $\delta 174.0,173.5$, $172.3,172.2,156.6,155.9,149.5,148.8,144.1,144.0,143.9,143.8,143.8,143.4,141.4,141.4$, $141.4,135.3,132.3,129.8,129.1,128.6,127.9,127.8,127.3,127.2,127.2,127.1,125.3,125.2$, 125.1, 125.0, 120.9, 120.1, 120.1, 118.4, 114.3, 114.3, 112.4, 109.1, 80.9, 78.3, 67.4, 67.2, 64.7, $60.9,59.5,59.2,52.8,52.2,51.0,50.6,47.3,47.2,41.5,41.3,41.1,39.6,38.2,35.2,32.3$, 26.5, 24.6, 24.2, 23.7, 23.5, 23.0, 22.9, 22.5, 22.4, 22.1, 21.3; IR (neat): 3281 (br), 2956 (m), 1748 (m), 1714 (m), 1634 (m), 1607 (w), 1521 (w), 1484 (w), 1466 (w), 1449 (w), 1433 (w), 1365 (w), 1317 (m), 1247 (m), 1203 (m), 1173 (m), 1108 (w), 1092 (w), 1046 (w), 1006 (w), $920(\mathrm{~m}), 757(\mathrm{~m}), 739(\mathrm{~s}), 645(\mathrm{w}), 621(\mathrm{w}), 541(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 622.3275$, found 622.3266.

(-)-Brevicompanine B ((-)-132). ${ }^{104}$ Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{103}$ to a solution of (-)-131 ( $98.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.158 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in THF ( 7.9 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ ( $0.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 35$ equiv.) over 5 min . The resulting mixture was allowed to warm slowly to $22{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 14 h before it was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude

[^55]product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1 to 1:2 gradient) to afford synthetic ( - )-brevicompanine B ( $47.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.130 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%$ ) as a white solid. The product was isolated as single diastereomer.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.26$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 1:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $96-9{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-363.2\left(\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{td}, J=7.7,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96$ (br, 1 H$), 6.76(\mathrm{td}, J=7.5,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.59 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.97$ (dd, $J=17.3,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.93 (s, 1H), $3.92-3.87$ (m, 2H), 2.55 (dd, $J=12.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-2.39$ (m, 1H), $1.74-$ $1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 169.6,166.8,150.1,143.6,129.04,128.97,125.2,118.9,114.7$, 109.2, 77.8, 61.3, 57.9, 56.1, 43.0, 41.1, 36.8, 24.4, 23.2, 23.0, 22.6, 21.4; IR (neat): 3243 (br), 2959 (m), 2871 (w), 1659 (s), 1606 (m), 1483 (w), 1466 (m), 1431 (m), 1385 (w), 1366 (w), 1315 (m), 1295 (w), 1249 (w), 1213 (m), 1180 (w), 1139 (m), 1079 (m), 1069 (w), 1007 (w), 917 (m), 877 (w), 743 (s), 670 (w), 556 (w), 488 (w), 445 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 368.2333$, found 368.2333.

### 8.2 Part II. Rh-Catalyzed Stereoselective Synthesis of Allenes

### 8.2.1 Selected Optimization Studies

Table 1. Selected Ligand Screening.

1.0 eq. $96 \%$ ee


137
2.0 eq.


185

| Entry | Ligand | Ligand/Rh | Conversion | $\boldsymbol{e e}(\mathbf{1 8 5})$ | $\boldsymbol{e s}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $2 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $2 / 1$ | $46 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $98 \%$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | $2 / 1$ | $<5 \%$ | n. d. | n. d. |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | $1 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | $1 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $87 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 8 9}$ | $1 / 1$ | $>95 \%$ | $90 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ | $3 / 1$ | $61 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
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Typical procedure for the ligand screening: To a suspension of benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( 18 mg , 0.050 mmol , 1.0 equiv.), phenylboronic acid ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( 21 mg , $0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane $(0.20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](1.0 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.040$ equiv.), the appropriate ligand ( 0.080 equiv., 0.16 equiv., or 0.24 equiv.) in 1,2 -dichloroethane ( 0.10 mL ). The resulting suspension was stirred in a screw-capped vial at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before it was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered through a plug of silica gel with copious washings $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. The solution was concentrated and the conversion was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the unpurified reaction mixture. After purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel the enantiomeric excess was determined by supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) on a chiral stationary phase.

## Reaction with Other Phenyl Boron Nucleophiles. ${ }^{a}$


${ }^{a} \mathrm{PhBpin}=$ phenyl pinacol boronic ester, PhBneop $=$ phenyl boronic acid neopentylglycol ester, $(\mathrm{PhBO})_{3}=$ phenylboroxine.

Procedure for the reaction with various phenyl boron nucleophiles: To a suspension of benzoate $\mathbf{1 8 4}$ ( $9.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.025 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.), the corresponding phenyl boron reagent
( $0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.050 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.) in 1,2-dichloroethane $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a stock solution of $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](2.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}-$ $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.030$ equiv. per reaction), $72(7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.019 \mathrm{mmol}-3.1 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.13$ equiv. per reaction) in 1,2-dichloroethane $(0.30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting suspension was sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and stirred in a screw-capped vial at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 13 h . It was then diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and filtered through a plug of silica gel with copious washings ( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). The solution was concentrated and the conversion was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the unpurified reaction mixture.

### 8.2.2 Synthesis and Characterization of Starting Materials and Ligand 72



5-(Dibenzo[d,f][1,3,2]dioxaphosphepin-6-yl)-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepine (72). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{105}$ a 50 mL Schlenk flask was charged with 2,2'-biphenol ( 1.86 g , $10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.). $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}(8.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 98.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 9.86$ equiv.) and DMF ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$, 0.065 equiv.) were added at $23{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting brown suspension was was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The now brown solution was left stirring at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while excess $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ was removed through short path distillation into a flask cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right.$ was later quenched with sat. aq. $\left.\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$. To the remaining oil was added toluene $(2 \times 2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ which was removed under reduced pressure. The Schlenk flask was evacuated for 10 min and 30 min after the first and second cycle respectively. The flask was allowed to cool to $23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the oil was dissolved in THF ( 20 mL ). In a separate 200 mL , single-necked, round-bottomed flask a solution of $5 H$-dibenzo $[b, f]$ azepine $(2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05$ equiv.) in THF ( 20 mL ) was treated with $n$-BuLi in hexanes ( $1.60 \mathrm{M}, 6.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting dark violet mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before the solution of the phosphorchloridite in THF was added via cannula. The Schlenk flask was rinsed with THF ( 10 mL ). The dark green mixture was allowed to warm to $14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 18 h . The now orange solution was concentrated to give an orange gum. The crude product was suspended in 30 mL cyclohexane/toluene 2:1 containing $0.5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. Celite ( 18 g ) was added and the volatiles were removed under reduced

[^56]pressure. The solid was loaded onto a column and the product was obtained after flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/toluene $2: 1$ with $0.5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ) as a white solid $(3.15 \mathrm{~g}, 7.73 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%)$. The title compound was stored under an inert atmosphere in a freezer $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.51$ (cyclohexane/toluene $2: 1$ with $0.5 \% \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; UV, CAM); Melting point: $164-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.39-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.09(\mathrm{~m}$, 12H), $7.03-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 151.0,150.9$, 142.8, 142.7, 136.14, 136.12, 131.5 (two coincident resonances), 130.62, 130.59, 129.64, 129.63, 129.2 (two coincident resonances), 129.05, 128.99, 128.96 (four coincident resonances), 126.7 (two coincident resonances), 124.44, 124.43, 122.12, 122.11; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}\left\{{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\right\}$-NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3,} 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 137.8; IR (neat): 3024 (w), 1599 (w), 1567 (w), 1497 (w), 1486 (m), 1475 (w), 1459 (w), 1435 (m), 1281 (w), 1243 (m), 1196 (s), 1185 (m), 1165 (w), 1153 (w), 1117 (w), 1105 (w), 1095 (m), 1040 (w), 1008 (w), 984 (m), 946 (w), 920 (w), 891 ( s), 885 ( s ), 861 (m), 848 (m), 836 (m), 800 (m), 774 ( s), 769 ( s$), 760$ ( s$), 747$ ( s$)$, $731(\mathrm{~m}), 711(\mathrm{~m}), 699(\mathrm{~m}), 678(\mathrm{~m}), 623(\mathrm{~m}), 596(\mathrm{~m}), 553(\mathrm{~m}), 542(\mathrm{~m}), 519(\mathrm{~m}), 511(\mathrm{~m})$, $485(\mathrm{~s}), 474(\mathrm{~m}), 462(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{P}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 408.1148$, found 408.1148 .

## General Procedure A: Enantioselective Addition of Terminal Alkynes to Aldehydes.

Following a slightly modified reported procedure, ${ }^{106}$ a 100 mL , single-necked, round-bottomed flask containing a magnetic stir bar, was charged in a glovebox with $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}(2.40 \mathrm{~g}$, $6.60 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.10$ equiv.). The flask was removed from the glovebox and heated to $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under vacuum (the initial pressure of 0.6 mbar decreased to 0.1 mbar within the first $20 \mathrm{~min})$. The flask was allowed to cool to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before $(-)-\mathrm{N}$-methylephedrine $(1.29 \mathrm{~g}$, $7.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20$ equiv.) was added in a glovebox. The flask was sealed with a septum and removed from the glovebox. Toluene ( $18.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.333 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.00 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.20 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1.20 equiv.) were added under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The white suspension was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , then the corresponding alkyne ( $6.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) was added in one portion. After 15 min the appropriate aldehyde ( $7.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.20$ equiv.) was added, again in one portion. The resulting suspension was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time indicated for each substrate. The reaction was quenched at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was diluted with

[^57]$\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(180 \mathrm{~mL})$, the layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 50 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solution was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel.

(+)-(S)-6-Hydroxy-7-methyloct-4-yn-1-yl benzoate (243). General procedure A was followed on a 10.0 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1 to 2:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a clear, colorless oil ( $2.29 \mathrm{~g}, 8.79 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.32$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+1.0$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=2.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.06-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.56$ (tt, $J=6.9,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42$ (td, $J=7.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{app} \mathrm{t}$, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta$ 166.7, 133.1, 130.4, 129.7, 128.5, 84.6, 81.0, 68.2, 63.7, 34.8, 28.1, 18.2, 17.6, 15.8; IR (neat): 3424 (br), 3064 (w), 2960 (w), 2873 (w), 1717 (s), 1602 (w), 1584 (w), 1468 (w), 1452 (w), 1386 (w), 1366 (w), 1353 (w), 1315 (w), 1271 (s), 1177 (w), 1147 (w), 1115 (m), 1070 (w), 1026 (m), 980 (w), 935 (w), 912 (w), 844 (w), 806 (w), 749 (w), 710 (s), 687 (w), 675 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) m/z: exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right]^{+}$217.0860, found 217.0856; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak AS-H, $98 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 219 nm ): $96 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $9.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 9.4 min .

(-)-(S)-2-(4-Hydroxy-5,5-dimethylhex-2-yn-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (244). General procedure A was followed on a 6.00 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel
(cyclohexane/EtOAc 4:1 to $2: 1$ gradient). The title compound was isolated as a white solid ( $1.37 \mathrm{~g}, 5.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.36$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 2:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-0.7\left(\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.89-7.84(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dt}, J=6.1,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 167.2,134.3,132.1$, 123.7, 83.1, 79.2, 71.4, 36.0, 27.4, 25.4; IR (neat): 3446 (m), 2961 (w), 2869 (w), 1770 (m), 1708 (s), 1611 (w), 1478 (w), 1465 (w), 1429 (m), 1401 (m), 1352 (m), 1328 (m), 1319 (m), 1242 (w), 1181 (w), 1134 (m), 1122 (m), 1088 (w), 1050 (m), 1011 (m), 946 (m), 900 (w), 850 (w), 798 (w), 761 (w), 710 (s), 724 (s), 639 (m), 601 (w), 544 (w), 529 (m), 463 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]^{+}$215.0577, found 215.0579; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H, $98 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 218 nm ): $96 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $13.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 15.0 min .

(+)-(S)-1-Cyclohexyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-yn-1-ol (245). General procedure A was followed on a 4.00 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1 to $3: 1$ gradient). The title compound was isolated as a clear, pale light yellow oil ( 1.07 g , $3.70 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%)$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.30$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{27}+2.5$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.30-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71-1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.03(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 298 K): $\delta 159.5,129.9,129.6,114.0,86.7,81.8,71.3,67.4,57.2,55.4,44.2,28.7,28.3,26.5$, 26.01, 25.99; IR (neat): 3410 (br), 3000 (w), 2924 (m), 2851 (m), 1612 (m), 1586 (w), 1513 ( s), 1450 (m), 1385 (w), 1350 (w), 1302 (m), 1247 (s), 1174 (m), 1112 (w), 1070 ( s), 1033 (s), 1011 (s), 941 (w), 920 (w), 893 (w), 846 (w), 819 (s), 758 (w), 710 (w), 674 (w), $577(\mathrm{~m}), 516(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$288.1720,
found 288.1723; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H, $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 226 nm ): $98 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $12.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 14.4 min .


7-Bromohept-4-yn-3-one (246). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{107}$ to a solution of 4-bromo-1-butyne ( $0.930 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.91 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in THF ( 33.0 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $n$-BuLi in hexanes ( $1.60 \mathrm{~m}, 6.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.92 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) over 4 min . The resulting solution was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min before a solution of N -methoxy- N methylpropionamide ${ }^{108}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 9.90 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added via cannula at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 7 min . The cooling bath was removed and the clear, yellow solution was allowed to warm to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h . The brown suspension was quenched at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(80 \mathrm{~mL})$. Deionized water $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the clear solution was transferred into a separation funnel. EtOAc ( 60 mL ) was used to assist the transfer. The solutions were separated and the aqueous solution was extracted with EtOAc ( 60 mL ). The EtOAc solutions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(75 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1 to 8:1 gradient) afforded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 5.62 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.24$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1; UV, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 298 K ): $\delta 3.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 188.5,89.5,81.8,38.9,27.9,23.4$, 8.1; IR (film, CDCl $_{3}$ ): 2979 (w), 2939 (w), 2905 (w), 1672 (s), 1459 (w), 1437 (w), 1409 (w), 1379 (w), 1349 (w), 1330 (w), 1270 (m), 1216 (m), 1174 (s), 1141 (w), 1073 (w), 1023 (m), 991 (w), 934 (m), 897 (w), 842 (w), 797 (w), 743 (w), 724 (w), 695 (w), 670 (w), 632 (w), $561(\mathrm{~m}), 518(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{BrO}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$187.9832, found 187.9829 .

[^58]
(-)-(S)-7-Bromohept-4-yn-3-ol (247). Following a modified literature procedure, ${ }^{109}$ to a stirred solution of (s)-2-Methyl-CBS-oxazaborolidine ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 2.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.01$ equiv.) in THF ( 26.0 mL ) was added 7-bromohept-4-yn-3-one ( $508 \mathrm{mg}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(1.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.88$ equiv.) was added dropwise over 10 min . The resulting clear, pale light yellow solution was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h before $\mathrm{MeOH}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The solution was allowed to warm to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 1 h and was then partitioned between sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, and the combined organic solutions were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1 to 4:1 gradient) afforded the title compound as a clear, colorless oil ( $438 \mathrm{mg}, 2.29 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.30$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1; UV, $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ ); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-6.1$ $\left(\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 4.34-4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 83.3,82.2,64.0,31.1,29.7$, 23.3, 9.5; IR (neat): 3339 (br), 2967 (m), 2934 (m), 2877 (w), 1456 (w), 1434 (w), 1418 (w), 1378 (w), 1333 (w), 1271 (s), 1239 (w), 1212 (s), 1154 (w), 1096 (w), 1073 (w), 1040 (m), 1006 (s), 962 (s), 924 (w), 889 (w), 859 (w), 832 (w), 814 (w), 749 (w), 702 (w), 659 (m), $557(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{BrO}\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]^{+}$160.9597, found 160.9596 .


Typical procedure for the synthesis of racemic, secondary propargylic alcohols using LDA. A solution of LDA in THF was prepared by the dropwise addition of $n$-BuLi in hexanes $\left(1.60 \mathrm{~m}, 3.28 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.05\right.$ equiv.) to a solution of $i-\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(0.775 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.10$ equiv.,

[^59]$5.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(6.45 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The fresh LDA solution was allowed to stir at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 min and then added via cannula to a solution of pent-4-yn-1-yl benzoate ${ }^{110}$ ( 946 mg , $5.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.) in THF ( 12.5 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min before isobutyraldehyde ( $0.640 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.40$ equiv.) was added via syringe. The reaction was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min and then quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by the addition of sat. aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The cooling bath was removed and the mixture was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Deionized water ( 2 mL ) was added and the clear solution was transferred into a separation funnel. EtOAc ( 100 mL ) was used to assist the transfer. The solutions were separated and the organic solution was washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1 to 3:1 gradient) afforded ( $\pm$ )-6-hydroxy-7-methyloct-4-yn-1-yl benzoate ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 4.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ ) as a clear, colorless oil. Characterization data were in accordance with (+)-(S)-6-hydroxy-7-methyloct-4-yn-1-yl benzoate (243).

General Procedure B: Benzoylation of Propargylic Alcohols. To a solution of propargylic alcohol ( $4.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $22 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ ) at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added pyridine ( $0.65 \mathrm{~mL}, ~ 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.0$ equiv.), DMAP ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, ~ 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.050$ equiv.) and benzoyl chloride ( $0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.). The solution was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the time indicated for each compound before $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The resulting biphasic mixture was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then transferred to a separation funnel. The transfer was assisted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, the organic solution was washed with 1.0 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$, then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was evaporated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel.

(-)-(S)-7-Methyloct-4-yne-1,6-diyl dibenzoate (184). General procedure B was followed on a 8.60 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The crude product was

[^60]purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1 to 8:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a clear, colorless oil ( $2.90 \mathrm{~g}, 7.96 \mathrm{mmol}, 92 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.34$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 8:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-27.6$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.09-8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $7.46-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.5,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{td}$, $J=7.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{p}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{dd}, J=10.8$, $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta 166.6,165.8,133.1,133.1,130.4$ (two coincident resonances), 129.9, 129.7, 128.5 (two coincident resonances), 85.4, 77.3, 70.0, 63.7, 32.9, 28.0, 18.4, 17.9, 15.9; IR (neat): 3063 (w), 2965 (w), 2932 (w), 1717 (s), 1602 (w), 1584 (w), 1491 (w), 1451 (m), 1387 (w), 1361 (w), 1335 (w), 1314 (w), 1264 (s), 1176 (m), 1158 (w), 1107 (s), 1096 (s), 1069 (s), 1026 (m), 973 (m), 936 (w), 915 (w), 805 (w), 750 (w), $708(\mathrm{~s}), 687(\mathrm{~m}), 674(\mathrm{w}), 595(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) m/z: exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$382.2013, found 382.2011; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H, $98 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 225 nm ): $96 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $14.9 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 16.9 min .

(-)-(S)-1-Cyclohexyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-yn-1-yl benzoate (204). General procedure B was followed on a 3.57 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (pentane/Et $\mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 8:1 to $5: 1$ gradient). The title compound was isolated as a clear, colorless oil ( 1.22 g , $3.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 87 \%)$. The enantiomeric excess was determined for the starting material ( $(+)-(S)-1-$ cyclohexyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-yn-1-ol (SI-3), 98\% ee).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.33$ (pentane/Et ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 5:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-32.6$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.12-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50$ $-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-$ 1.17 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 165.7,159.5,133.2,130.2,130.0,129.9$, 129.5, 128.5, 114.0, 83.3, 82.4, 71.2, 69.0, 57.1, 55.4, 42.2, 28.8, 28.5, 26.4, 25.94, 25.89; IR (neat): 2929 (m), 2853 (w), 1719 (s), 1612 (w), 1585 (w), 1513 (m), 1451 (m), 1347 (w),

1315 (w), 1248 (s), 1175 (m), 1155 (w), 1106 (m), 1096 (m), 1068 (s), 1035 (m), 1026 (m), 971 (m), 950 (w), 891 (w), 846 (w), 819 (m), 758 (w), 710 (s), 688 (w), 661 (w), 576 (w), $517(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$410.2326, found 410.2335 .

(-)-(S)-1-cyclohexyl-4,4-diethoxybut-2-yn-1-yl benzoate (206). ${ }^{44 \mathrm{a}}$ The title compound was synthesized according to general procedure B from (S)-1-cyclohexyl-4,4-diethoxybut-2-$\mathrm{yn}-1-\mathrm{ol}^{44 \mathrm{a}}$ on a 4.0 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred for 11 h and the crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1 to 12:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a colorless oil ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 3.72 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.30$ (cyclohexane/ EtOAc 12:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{23}-27.8$ (c = 1.00, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.07-8.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.9,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.69$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 165.5, 133.2, 130.1, 129.9, 128.5, 91.4, 81.9, 81.6, 68.5, 61.1, 61.0, 42.1, 28.7, 28.5, 26.3, 25.9, 25.8, 15.2; IR (neat): 2976 (w), 2929 (m), 2855 (w), 1722 (s), 1602 (w), 1585 (w), 1451 (m), 1328 (m), 1315 (m), 1261 (s), 1176 (w), 1152 (m), 1138 (m), 1096 (s), 1067 ( s), 1050 ( s), 1025 (s), 973 (m), 892 (w), 710 (s), 688 (w), 585 (w), 527 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$ 362.2326, found 362.2331 ; SFC (Daicel Chiralpak IA, $99 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 226 nm ): $97 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $9.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 11.3 min .

(-)-(S)-6-(1,3-Dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-2,2-dimethylhex-4-yn-3-yl benzoate (207). General procedure B was followed on a 4.89 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 18 h .

The crude product was purified by recrystallization from EtOAc/n-hexanes. Therefore the crude product was dissolved in 7 mL of hot EtOAc and $60 \mathrm{~mL} n$-hexanes were added. The solution was allowed to cool to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then placed in a freezer $\left(-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for 15 h . The title compound was isolated as a white solid ( $1.68 \mathrm{~g}, 4.46 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ) in one crop.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.36$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $123-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc/n-hexane); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}-39.2$ (c $=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.09-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 167.1,165.6,134.3,133.2,132.1,130.1,129.9,128.5$, 123.7, 79.7, 79.6, 72.2, 35.8, 27.5, 25.8; IR (neat): 2979 (w), 2963 (w), 1771 (m), 1713 (s), 1618 (w), 1599 (w), 1474 (m), 1449 (w), 1423 (m), 1394 (m), 1367 (w), 1339 (m), 1322 (m), 1299 (m), 1259 (s), 1248 (m), 1188 (w), 1175 (w), 1141 (m), 1121 (m), 1105 (s), 1095 (m), 1067 (m), 1024 (m), 972 (m), 944 (m), 923 (w), 793 (w), 727 (w), 706 ( s$), 685(\mathrm{~m}), 633(\mathrm{~m})$, $570(\mathrm{w}), 530(\mathrm{~m}), 471(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+} 393.1809$, found 393.1812; SFC (Waters Acquity UPC ${ }^{2}$, Trefoil CEL2, $85 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, $15 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 254 nm ): $97 \% \mathrm{ee}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $1.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 1.5 min .

(-)-(S)-7-Bromohept-4-yn-3-yl benzoate (208). General procedure B was followed on a 2.10 mmol scale. The reaction was stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 11 h . The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1). The title compound was isolated as a clear, colorless oil ( $556 \mathrm{mg}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.25$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{D}^{21}-26.2$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.08-8.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.55(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{td}$, $J=7.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(101 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 165.7,133.2,130.2,129.9,128.5,82.9,79.8,66.0,29.4,28.4,23.4,9.6$; IR (neat): 2972 (w), 2937 (w), 2879 (w), 1718 (s), 1601 (w), 1585 (w), 1491 (w), 1451 (m), 1382 (w), 1341 (w), 1314 (w), 1299 (m), 1264 (s), 1213 (m), 1176 (w), 1176 (w), 1167 (w), 1106 (m), 1069 (m), 1042 (w), 1025 (m), 999 (w), 959 (w), 927 (m), 896 (w), 804 (w), 709 (s),
$687(\mathrm{~m}), 674(\mathrm{w}), 591(\mathrm{w}), 568(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrNaO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 317.0148$, found 317.0153; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OB-H, $99 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 215 nm ): $86 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $7.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 8.6 min .

### 8.2.3 Synthesis and Characterization of Products

General procedure C: Stereoselective synthesis of allenes from propargylic benzoates and arylboronic acids. To a non-dried two necked 25 mL round bottomed flask equipped with a stir bar was added the propargylic benzoate ( $0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.00$ equiv.). The side neck was connected to a gas inlet adapter and the flask was purged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 5 min , then the top neck sealed with a glass stopper. To the flask were subsequently added 1,2-dichloroethane $(2.50 \mathrm{~mL})$, the corresponding arylboronic acid ( 1.00 mmol , 2.00 equiv.), and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(212 \mathrm{mg}$, $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.00$ equiv.) against a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (while replacing the glass stopper). Finally a clear, red solution of $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right](7.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and phosphoramidite 72 $(25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0625 \mathrm{mmol}, 12.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in 1,2-dichloroethane ( 1.00 mL ) - prepared in a screwcapped vial, sparged with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 min and stirred at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min prior to the addition was added via Pasteur pipette against a flow of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The flask was sealed and immersed in an oil bath. The orange heterogeneous mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The mixture was allowed to cool to $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and partitioned between $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with sat. aq. $\mathrm{NaCl}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and filtered. The solution was concentrated and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel. All deviations from the general procedure, and the solvent system for chromatography are given below for each substrate.

(+)-(S)-7-Methyl-4-phenylocta-4,5-dien-1-yl benzoate (185). General procedure C was followed. (-)-(S)-7-methyloct-4-yne-1,6-diyl dibenzoate (184) ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ee) and phenylboronic acid $(122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used. The crude product was purified by
flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 50:1 to 20:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow, clear oil ( $132 \mathrm{mg}, 0.412 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.25$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+75.6$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.08-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43$ (app td, $J=6.4,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.09 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 202.1,166.8,137.3,133.0$, 130.6, 129.7, 128.5, 128.5, 126.7, 125.8, 106.0, 102.8, 64.8, 28.9, 27.4, 26.6, 22.9; IR (neat): 3061 (w), 2958 (m), 2925 (w), 2868 (w), 1946 (w), 1717 (s), 1600 (w), 1493 (m), 1451 (m), 1381 (w), 1363 (w), 1314 (w), 1270 (s), 1176 (m), 1113 (s), 1070 (m), 1027 (m), 977 (w), 935 (w), 813 (w), 754 (m), 710 (s), 693 (s), 676 (w), 648 (w), 617 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 343.1669$, found 343.1671; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak IB, $99 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 253 nm ): $94 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $15.0 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 15.8 min .

(+)-(S)-7-Methyl-4-(o-tolyl)octa-4,5-dien-1-yl benzoate (213). General procedure C was followed but the reaction was stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . (-)-(S)-7-methyloct-4-yne-1,6-diyl dibenzoate (184) ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) and 2-methylphenylboronic acid ( 136 mg , 1.00 mmol ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow, clear oil ( $153 \mathrm{mg}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol}, 91 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.33$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{28}+40.7$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.06-8.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-$ $2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.04(\operatorname{app} \mathrm{dd}, J=6.8,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 200.8$, 166.8, 138.5, 135.9, 133.0, 130.6 (two coincident resonances), 129.7, 128.5, 128.3, 126.9, 125.9, 105.0, 99.9, 64.7, 30.5, 28.6, 27.2, 22.9, 22.8, 20.5; IR (neat): 3063 (w), 2957 (m), 2925 (w), 2868 (w), 1958 (w), 1718 (s), 1602 (w), 1585 (w), 1489 (w), 1451 (m), 1380 (w), 1363 (w), 1314 (w), 1270 (s), 1176 (w),

1112 (m), 1070 (w), 1027 (w), 975 (w), 936 (w), 806 (w), 756 (w), 710 (s), 675 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 357.1825$, found 357.1825; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak IB, $98 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 209 nm ): $93 \% e e$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $9.0 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 10.3 min .

(+)-(S)-4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-7-methylocta-4,5-dien-1-yl benzoate (214). General procedure C was followed but the reaction was stirred at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $12 \mathrm{~h} .(-)-(S)-7$-methyloct-4-yne-1,6-diyl dibenzoate (184) ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \% ~ e e)$ and 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid $(152 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow, clear oil ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.427 \mathrm{mmol}, 85 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.30$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{28}+77.2$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.07-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.54(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-$ $4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.08$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right.$ ): $\delta 201.5,166.8,158.6,133.0,130.6$, 129.7, 129.6, 128.5, 126.9, 114.0, 105.5, 102.7, 64.8, 55.4, 28.9, 27.3, 26.8, 22.9; IR (neat): 2957 (m), 2867 (w), 2836 (w), 1717 (s), 1605 (m), 1589 (w), 1509 (s), 1451 (m), 1381 (w), 1363 (w), 1314 (w), 1271 (s), 1246 (s), 1176 (s), 1112 (s), 1070 (w), 1027 (m), 978 (w), 833 (m), 805 (w), 710 (s), 6878 w ), 594 (w) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$373.1774, found 373.1770; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak $\mathrm{IB}, 98 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 253 nm ): $94 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $15.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 17.1 min .

(+)-(S)-4-(2,3-Dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-yl)-7-methylocta-4,5-dien-1-yl benzoate (215). General procedure C was followed. (-)-(S)-7-methyloct-4-yne-1,6-diyl dibenzoate (184) ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \% ~ e e$ ) and 2,3-dihydrobenzo[b][1,4]dioxin-6-ylboronic acid ( 180 mg , 1.00 mmol ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow, clear oil ( $168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.443 \mathrm{mmol}, 89 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.35$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+39.4$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.07-8.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.53(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.41 (app td, $J=6.4,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.56-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.01$ - 1.98 (m, 2H), 1.07 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 201.6,166.8$, $143.5,142.5,133.0,130.8,130.6,129.7,128.5,119.1,117.2,114.6,105.4,102.8,64.8,64.6$, 64.5, 28.9, 27.3, 26.7, 22.8, 22.8; IR (neat): 3062 (w), 2958 (w), 2871 (w), 1945 (w), 1715 (s), 1602 (w), 1583 (m), 1504 (s), 1451 (m), 1430 (w), 1382 (w), 1304 (m), 1272 (s), 1175 (m), 1114 (s), 1068 (s), 1027 (m), 1001 (w), 930 (w), 891 (m), 873 (w), 811 (m), 749 (w), 711 (s), $687(\mathrm{w}), 675(\mathrm{w}), 631(\mathrm{w}), 602(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$379.1904, found 379.1907; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak IB, $95 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 271 nm ): $94 \%$ ee, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $21.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 23.8 min .

(+)-(S)-7-Methyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)octa-4,5-dien-1-yl benzoate (216). General procedure C was followed. (-)-(S)-7-methyloct-4-yne-1,6-diyl dibenzoate (184) ( 182 mg , $0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) and 1-naphthaleneboronic acid ( $172 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc

50:1 to $20: 1$ gradient). The title compound was isolated as a yellow, clear oil ( 176 mg , $0.476 \mathrm{mmol}, 95 \%)$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.25$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+54.7$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 8.19-8.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.02(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $7.88-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $5.38-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.44$ (app td, $J=6.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.35(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), $2.06-1.99$ (m, 2H), 1.07 (app dd, $J=6.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 298 K): $\delta 201.5,166.8,137.1,134.1,133.0,131.4,130.5,129.7,128.5,128.4,127.5,125.9$, 125.8, 125.7, 125.7, 125.6, 104.3, 99.9, 64.6, 31.3, 28.6, 27.4, 22.9, 22.7; IR (neat): 3060 (w), 2957 (w), 2925 (w), 2867 (w), 1957 (w), 1717 (s), 1602 (w), 1583 (w), 1506 (w), 1492 (w), 1451 (w), 1392 (w), 1363 (w), 1314 (w), 1270 (s), 1175 (w), 1112 (m), 1070 (m), 1027 (m), 968 (w), 933 (w), 862 (w), 800 (m), 777 (s), 735 (w), 710 (s), 687 (w), 675 (w), 635 (w), $526(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$393.1825, found 393.1828; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak IB, $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 225 nm ): $93 \% \mathrm{ee}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $11.1 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 14.5 min .

(+)-(R)-4-(4-cyclohexyl-1-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)dibenzo[b,d]furan (217). General procedure C was followed. (-)-(S)-1-cyclohexyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-$2-y n-1-y l$ benzoate (204) ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \% \mathrm{ee}$ ) and 4-dibenzofuranboronic acid $(212 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow, clear oil ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.255 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.16$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+89.5$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.96-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=7.6,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}$,

3H), $2.31-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.93$ (m, 2H), $1.81-1.67$ (m, 3H), $1.42-1.19$ (m, 5H); ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta$ 206.1, 159.2, 156.1, 153.7, 130.6, 129.6, 127.1, 126.2, 124.7, 124.4, 123.0, 122.7, 121.0, 120.7, 119.2, 113.8, 111.8, 99.8, 98.9, 71.2, 70.9, 55.4, 38.1, 33.3, 33.1, 26.33, 26.25, 26.23; IR (neat): 3061 (w), 2998 (w), 2922 (m), 2849 (m), 1947 (w), 1612 (w), 1585 (w), 1512 (m), 1450 (m), 1424 (w), 1397 (w), 1348 (w), 1301 (w), 1246 (s), 1189 (s), 1173 (m), 1104 (w), 1071 (m), 1035 (m), 1011 (w), 951 (w), 891 (w), 844 (m), 811 (m), 795 (w), 748 (s), 702 (w), 627 (w), 604 (w), 572 (m), 517 (w) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}:$ exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 439.2268$, found 439.2267; SFC (Waters Acquity UPC $^{2}$, Daicel Chiralcel OD3, $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 258 nm ): $91 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $5.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 6.1 min .

(+)-(R)-1-(4-Cyclohexyl-1-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)-4-iodobenzene
(218). General procedure C was followed but $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{OH}\}_{2}\right](6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.015 \mathrm{mmol}$, $3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ was used instead of $\left[\{\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) \mathrm{Cl}\}_{2}\right]$ and deionized water $(90.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv.) was added subsequent to the addition of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. (-)-(S)-1-cyclohexyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-yn-1-yl benzoate (204) (196 mg, $0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \% e e$ ) and 4-iodophenylboronic acid ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 30:1 to 20:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a yellow, clear oil ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.282 \mathrm{mmol}, 57 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.22$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 20:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+99.6$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.64-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.36 - 1.11 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 204.4,159.4,137.5,135.3,130.3$, 129.7, 128.3, 113.9, 102.9, 100.7, 92.0, 71.2, 69.7, 55.4, 37.9, 33.4, 33.3, 26.2, 26.14, 26.13; IR (neat): 2999 (w), 2922 (m), 2849 (m), 1945 (w), 1612 (m), 1585 (w), 1512 (s), 1484 (m), 1463 (w), 1447 (m), 1381 (w), 1348 (w), 1302 (w), 1246 (s), 1172 (m), 1076 (s), 1063 (s), 1036 (s), 1003 (s), 949 (w), 910 (w), 890 (w), 823 (s), 807 (s), 757 (m), 738 (m), 709 (w), $637(\mathrm{w}), 629(\mathrm{w}), 580(\mathrm{~m}), 518(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for
$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{INO}_{2}\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+}$492.1394, found 492.1392; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H, $80 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 254 nm ): $91 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $23.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 30.1 min .

(+)-(R)-1-Bromo-3-(4-cyclohexyl-1-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)buta-2,3-dien-2-yl)benzene
(248). General procedure $C$ was followed but deionized water $(90.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv.) was added subsequent to the addition of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. (-)-(S)-1-cyclohexyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-yn-1-yl benzoate (204) ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, 98 \%$ ee) and 3-bromophenylboronic acid ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 30:1 to 20:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a yellow, clear oil ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.314 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.34$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+88.8$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.62$ (m, 1H), $7.34-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): ~ \delta 204.6,159.4,138.0,130.3,129.9,129.7,129.7,129.3$, 124.9, 122.7, 113.9, 102.6, 100.8, 71.3, 69.6, 55.4, 37.9, 33.4, 33.3, 26.2, 26.1 (two coincident resonances); IR (neat): 2999 (w), 2911 (s), 2849 (m), 1945 (w), 1612 (m), 1589 (m), 1559 (m), 1512 (s), 1474 (m), 1464 (m), 1448 (m), 1381 (w), 1348 (w), 1302 (m), 1246 (s), 1172 (m), 1070 (s), 1036 (s), 995 (w), 948 (w), 890 (w), 819 (m), 784 (m), 756 (w), 733 (w), 690 (m), $665(\mathrm{w}), 575(\mathrm{w}), 517(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right]^{+} 444.1533$, found 444.1534; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralpak AS-H, $99 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 1 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 254 nm ): $90 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $29.7 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 31.3 min .


## (+)-(R)-2-(2-(4-Bromophenyl)-5,5-dimethylhexa-2,3-dien-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione

(219). General procedure C was followed but deionized water $(90.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv.) was added subsequent to the addition of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. (-)-(S)-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-2,2-dimethylhex-4-yn-3-yl benzoate (207) ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 97 \%$ ee and 4-bromophenylboronic acid ( $201 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1 to 8:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow solid ( $185 \mathrm{mg}, 0.451 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n$-hexane at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.32$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $126-128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n\right.$-hexane); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+85.8$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.89-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.26$ (m, 2H), $5.51(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 0.84 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$ ): $\delta 199.4,167.9,134.2,134.1,132.3$, 131.7, 127.3, 123.4, 121.0, 110.7, 103.7, 37.6, 33.2, 29.8; IR (neat): 2964 (w), 2928 (w), 1953 (w), 1769 (m), 1710 (s), 1615 (w), 1489 (m), 1466 (m), 1430 (m), 1418 (m), 1395 (s), 1362 (m), 1327 (m), 1248 (w), 1207 (w), 1190 (w), 1168 (w), 1111 (s), 1089 (w), 1073 (m), 1001 (m), 954 (m), 938 (w), 899 (w), 850 (w), 836 (m), 806 (s), 770 (m), 752 (w), 725 (s), 708 (s), 693 (m), 686 (m), 611 (m), 584 (m), 540 (m), 529 (s), 498 (w), 486 (m) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 432.0570$, found 432.0569; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H, $95 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 218 nm ): $98 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $8.8 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 9.9 min .

(+)-(R)-2-(2-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-5,5-dimethylhexa-2,3-dien-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-dione (220). General procedure C was followed but deionized water $(90.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$,
10.0 equiv.) was added subsequent to the addition of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. (-)-(S)-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-2,2-dimethylhex-4-yn-3-yl benzoate (207) ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 97 \%$ ee) and 4-biphenylboronic acid ( $198 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1 to 8:1 gradient). The title compound was isolated as an orange solid ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 0.479 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / n$-hexane at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.32$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 5:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $152-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / n$-hexane); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+99.4$ (c $=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.90-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.48$ (m, 2H), $7.44-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{t}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, 298 K ): $\delta 199.4,168.0,140.8,139.9,134.1$ (two coincident resonances), 132.4, 128.9, 127.4, 127.3, 127.1, 126.1, 123.4, 110.5, 104.2, 37.8, 33.2, 29.9; IR (neat): 3033 (w), 2959 (w), 2926 (w), 2867 (w), 1952 (w), 1770 (m), 1712 (s), 1614 (w), 1598 (w), 1486 (m), 1466 (m), 1430 (m), 1417 (w), 1393 (s), 1384 (m), 1362 (w), 1328 (m), 1249 (w), 1202 (w), 1189 (w), 1168 (w), 1109 (s), 1089 (w), 1072 (w), 1003 (w), 955 (m), 941 (w), 925 (w), 850 (m), 838 (m), 814 (w), 760 (s), 741 (m), 709 (s), 692 (s), 648 (w), 607 (m), 583 (m), 556 (w), $531(\mathrm{~s}), 516(\mathrm{w}), 480(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 408.1958$, found 408.1957; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OJ-H, $90 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 271 nm ): $92 \% \mathrm{ee}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $20.5 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 25.1 min .


## (+)-(R)-2-(2-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-5,5-dimethylhexa-2,3-dien-1-yl)isoindoline-1,3-

dione (221). General procedure C was followed but deionized water $(90.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$, 10.0 equiv.) was added subsequent to the addition of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. (-)-(S)-6-(1,3-dioxoisoindolin-2-yl)-2,2-dimethylhex-4-yn-3-yl benzoate (207) ( $188 \mathrm{mg}, \quad 0.500 \mathrm{mmol}, \quad 97 \%$ ee) and 3,4-dimethoxybenzeneboronic acid ( $182 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 12:1 to 2:1
gradient). The title compound was isolated as a light yellow foam ( $191 \mathrm{mg}, 0.487 \mathrm{mmol}, 97 \%$ ). Crystals suitable for X-ray crystallographic analysis were obtained by slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n$-hexane at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

TLC: $R_{f}=0.29$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 3:1; UV, CAM); Melting point: $117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / n\right.$-hexane); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{21}+85.7$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.88-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{t}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.64(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.4$, $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.88-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 198.9$, 168.0, 149.1, 148.5, 134.1, 132.4, 127.7, 123.4, 117.7, 111.2, 110.3, 109.5, 104.3, 56.1, 55.9, 37.9, 33.1, 29.9; IR (neat): 2953 (m), 2861 (w), 1772 (m), 1713 (s), 1605 (w), 1587 (w), 1514 (m), 1469 (m), 1455 (w), 1433 (w), 1410 (m), 1393 (m), 1363 (w), 1342 (w), 1323 (m), 1259 (m), 1241 (m), 1205 (w), 1192 (w), 1166 (w), 1147 (m), 1110 (m), 1089 (w), 1045 (w), 1023 (m), 975 (w), 952 (m), 857 (m), 824 (w), 798 (m), $760(\mathrm{~m}), 748(\mathrm{~m}), 714(\mathrm{~s}), 633(\mathrm{~m})$, $615(\mathrm{~m}), 596(\mathrm{w}), 527(\mathrm{~m}), 482(\mathrm{w}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NO}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$392.1856, found 392.1858; SFC (Waters Acquity UPC ${ }^{2}$, Trefoil, $95 \%$ $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$, flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 254 nm ): $87 \% \mathrm{ee}$, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) $8.4 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) 9.1 min .

(+)-(S)-(1-Bromohepta-3,4-dien-3-yl)benzene (222). General procedure $C$ was followed but the reaction was conducted on a 0.200 mmol scale and stirred at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $12 \mathrm{~h} .(-)-(S)-7-$ bromohept-4-yn-3-yl benzoate (208) ( $59.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.200 \mathrm{mmol}, 86 \% e e$ ) and phenylboronic acid ( $49.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.400 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (cyclohexane/EtOAc 80:1). The title compound was isolated as a pale brown, clear oil ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%$ ).

TLC: $R_{f}=0.42$ (cyclohexane/EtOAc 30:1; UV, CAM); Specific Rotation: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{22}+32.0$ ( $\mathrm{c}=1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 7.40-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.20$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{tt}, J=6.1,3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-$ $2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\right): \delta 203.4,136.5$, 128.6, 126.9, 125.8, 104.4, 97.9, 33.7, 30.8, 22.3, 13.7; IR (film, CHCl $_{3}$ ): 3060 (w), 3028 (w),

2964 (m), 2930 (w), 2872 (w), 1946 (w), 1597 (w), 1494 (m), 1451 (m), 1374 (w), 1323 (w), 1269 (w), 1204 (m), 1069 (w), 1031 (w), 812 (w), 754 (m), 730 (w), 692 (s), 597 (m) cm ${ }^{-1}$; HRMS (EI) $m / z$ : exact mass calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{Br}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$250.0352, found 250.0351; SFC (Jasco 2080 Plus, Daicel Chiralcel OB-H, $100 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at 100 bar , flow rate $2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \cdot \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, detection 221 nm ): $83 \% e e, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (major enantiomer) $12.6 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ (minor enantiomer) 13.4 min .

## Appendix

## 9 X-Ray Crystallographic Data

### 9.1 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Sulfonamide ( $\pm$ )-109




ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 0 9}$, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1019992. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.

Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless prism was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at 100.0(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization.
Table A2. Crystal data and structure refinement.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 382.51 |
| Temperature/K | $100.0(2)$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |

[^61]| Space group | P2 $1 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| a/Å | 13.5108(6) |
| b/Å | 10.3083(4) |
| c/Å | 16.0724(7) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 121.932(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 1899.73(15) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 1.337 |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.191 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 816.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.28 \times 0.24 \times 0.08$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection | 4.954 to $55.204^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-17 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 17,-13 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 13,-17 \leq 1 \leq 20$ |
| Reflections collected | 32625 |
| Independent reflections | $4397\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0391, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0310\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4397/1/250 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.042 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0372, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0856$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0510, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0933$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.38/-0.42 |

Table A3. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {II }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1 | $4366.7(3)$ | $7105.2(3)$ | $3013.8(3)$ | $12.94(10)$ |
| O1 | $5322.7(9)$ | $6307.9(10)$ | $3695.8(8)$ | $17.8(2)$ |
| O2 | $4443.8(9)$ | $7847.6(10)$ | $2295.0(8)$ | $17.3(2)$ |
| N1 | $3435.7(11)$ | $3834.6(12)$ | $2871.1(10)$ | $14.1(3)$ |
| N2 | $3264.8(11)$ | $6129.0(12)$ | $2414.1(9)$ | $12.7(3)$ |
| C1 | $1382.0(14)$ | $1601.9(15)$ | $895.5(12)$ | $17.9(3)$ |
| C2 | $2452.2(14)$ | $2073.5(14)$ | $1630.0(12)$ | $15.8(3)$ |
| C3 | $2472.4(13)$ | $3219.7(14)$ | $2090.0(11)$ | $13.1(3)$ |
| C4 | $3083.8(13)$ | $5116.4(14)$ | $2982.1(11)$ | $12.0(3)$ |
| C5 | $4040.2(13)$ | $8178.8(14)$ | $3687.9(11)$ | $13.3(3)$ |
| C6 | $4419.7(13)$ | $7901.1(15)$ | $4653.4(11)$ | $15.5(3)$ |
| C7 | $4096.1(14)$ | $8713.2(15)$ | $5158.0(12)$ | $16.9(3)$ |
| C8 | $3394.7(13)$ | $9789.3(15)$ | $4710.6(12)$ | $16.0(3)$ |
| C9 | $3034.7(15)$ | $10653.6(16)$ | $5259.6(13)$ | $20.8(4)$ |
| C10 | $2635.9(14)$ | $5787.7(17)$ | $4964.3(13)$ | $20.8(3)$ |


| C11 | $1861.9(14)$ | $5907.8(15)$ | $4020.7(12)$ | $17.1(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 12 | $1367.8(13)$ | $4836.8(14)$ | $3265.1(11)$ | $13.8(3)$ |
| C 13 | $1730.0(12)$ | $5052.5(14)$ | $2491.7(11)$ | $12.3(3)$ |
| C14 | $3359.8(13)$ | $9271.0(14)$ | $3229.0(11)$ | $15.4(3)$ |
| C15 | $3042.7(13)$ | $10061.3(15)$ | $3743.5(12)$ | $17.0(3)$ |
| C16 | $2140.1(13)$ | $6628.2(15)$ | $1604.8(11)$ | $14.9(3)$ |
| C17 | $1282.2(13)$ | $6327.0(14)$ | $1916.1(11)$ | $14.6(3)$ |
| C18 | $1445.8(13)$ | $3882.5(14)$ | $1824.3(11)$ | $12.7(3)$ |
| C19 | $388.6(13)$ | $3420.8(15)$ | $1065.9(11)$ | $16.0(3)$ |
| C20 | $358.2(14)$ | $2267.2(15)$ | $605.0(12)$ | $18.1(3)$ |
| C21 | $32.6(14)$ | $4891.8(17)$ | $2754.9(13)$ | $21.1(4)$ |
| C22 | $1780.3(15)$ | $3505.3(14)$ | $3747.0(12)$ | $18.1(3)$ |

Table A4. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U 1 3}^{1}$ | U12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | 11.68(18) | 13.97(18) | 14.4(2) | -0.13(14) | 7.76(15) | -0.89(14) |
| O1 | 12.3(5) | 18.7(6) | 21.2(6) | 0.7(4) | 8.1(5) | 1.5(4) |
| O 2 | 18.4(6) | 19.3(6) | 18.5(6) | 0.0(4) | 12.7(5) | -3.4(4) |
| N1 | 10.7(6) | 13.6(6) | 19.0(7) | 0.9(5) | 8.5(6) | $1.5(5)$ |
| N2 | 12.2(6) | 12.5(6) | 12.2(6) | 0.6(5) | 5.6(5) | -0.6(5) |
| C1 | 28.3(9) | 13.8(7) | 18.6(8) | -1.7(6) | 17.2(7) | -3.5(6) |
| C2 | 20.6(8) | 14.0(7) | 19.9(8) | 3.4(6) | 15.6(7) | 2.3(6) |
| C3 | 14.9(7) | 13.9(7) | 13.6(8) | 3.2(6) | 9.7(6) | -0.3(6) |
| C4 | 12.3(7) | 12.4(7) | 12.1(7) | 1.6(6) | 7.1(6) | 1.5(5) |
| C5 | 13.1(7) | 12.6(7) | 14.9(8) | -1.5(6) | 7.9(6) | -2.4(6) |
| C6 | 14.9(7) | 13.3(7) | 16.2(8) | 2.0(6) | 6.8(6) | -0.3(6) |
| C7 | 20.2(8) | 17.4(8) | 14.3(8) | -0.1(6) | 10.0(7) | -4.4(6) |
| C8 | 16.4(8) | 15.2(7) | 19.3(8) | -3.9(6) | 11.4(7) | -5.8(6) |
| C9 | 24.6(9) | 19.0(8) | 23.4(9) | -2.9(7) | 15.8(8) | -1.9(7) |
| C10 | 21.6(8) | 23.7(8) | 22.3(9) | -4.3(7) | 15.1(7) | -3.5(7) |
| C11 | 22.6(8) | 14.1(7) | 21.0(9) | 0.2(6) | 15.9(7) | 1.0(6) |
| C12 | 15.1(7) | 13.5(7) | 16.3(8) | -0.1(6) | 10.6(7) | 1.2(6) |
| C13 | 11.8(7) | 12.6(7) | 13.2(8) | 0.1(6) | 7.0(6) | 0.9(5) |
| C14 | 16.5(7) | 15.0(7) | 11.9(8) | $1.0(6)$ | 5.6(6) | -1.7(6) |
| C15 | 14.6(7) | 13.4(7) | 20.1(8) | 0.8(6) | 7.3(7) | 0.9(6) |
| C16 | 14.7(7) | 15.7(7) | 11.4(7) | 2.4(6) | 5.1(6) | 0.1(6) |
| C17 | 12.8(7) | 14.4(7) | 15.4(8) | 1.8(6) | 6.6(6) | 2.4(6) |
| C18 | 15.8(7) | 12.8(7) | 12.2(7) | 1.6(6) | 9.3(6) | 0.2(6) |
| C19 | 14.4(7) | 18.2(8) | 15.7(8) | 1.9(6) | 8.2(7) | 1.3(6) |
| C20 | 19.7(8) | 20.3(8) | 13.7(8) | -1.0(6) | 8.5(7) | -4.1(6) |
| C21 | 16.0(8) | 27.4(9) | 24.0(9) | -0.4(7) | 13.3(7) | 1.7(7) |


| C 22 | $25.4(8)$ | $14.6(7)$ | $21.1(9)$ | $0.8(6)$ | $17.0(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table A5. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/i̊ | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | O1 | 1.4310(11) | C6 | C7 | 1.387(2) |
| S1 | O2 | 1.4347(11) | C7 | C8 | 1.387(2) |
| S1 | N2 | $1.6249(13)$ | C8 | C9 | 1.504(2) |
| S1 | C5 | 1.7604(15) | C8 | C15 | 1.392(2) |
| N1 | C3 | 1.394(2) | C10 | C11 | 1.316(2) |
| N1 | C4 | $1.4469(19)$ | C11 | C12 | 1.510 (2) |
| N2 | C4 | 1.4896 (18) | C12 | C13 | 1.574(2) |
| N2 | C16 | 1.4747(19) | C12 | C21 | $1.538(2)$ |
| C1 | C2 | 1.384(2) | C12 | C22 | 1.529(2) |
| C1 | C20 | 1.385(2) | C13 | C17 | 1.535(2) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.386(2) | C13 | C18 | 1.522(2) |
| C3 | C18 | $1.395(2)$ | C14 | C15 | 1.381(2) |
| C4 | C13 | 1.564(2) | C16 | C17 | 1.518(2) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.382(2) | C18 | C19 | 1.382(2) |
| C5 | C14 | 1.393(2) | C19 | C20 | 1.390(2) |

Table A6. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom <br> O1 | Sngle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | O2 | $120.17(6)$ |  |
| O1 | S1 | C5 | $105.74(7)$ |
| O2 | S1 | N2 | $107.94(7)$ |
| O2 | S1 | C5 | $107.96(7)$ |
| N2 | S1 | C5 | $107.77(7)$ |
| C3 | N1 | C4 | $108.37(12)$ |
| C4 | N2 | S1 | $117.80(10)$ |
| C16 | N2 | S1 | $120.03(10)$ |
| C16 | N2 | C4 | $111.00(11)$ |
| C2 | C1 | C20 | $121.35(15)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | $118.11(14)$ |
| N1 | C3 | C18 | $110.88(13)$ |
| C2 | C3 | N1 | $127.83(14)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C18 | $121.21(14)$ |
| N1 | C4 | N2 | $113.15(12)$ |
| N1 | C4 | C13 | $105.80(11)$ |
| N2 | C4 | C13 | $104.36(11)$ |
| C6 | C5 | S1 | $119.73(12)$ |
| C6 | C5 | C14 | $120.72(14)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ <br> C7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C8 | C15 | $118.42(14)$ |  |
| C15 | C8 | C9 | $120.66(14)$ |
| C10 | C11 | C12 | $127.00(15)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | $109.99(12)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C21 | $107.26(12)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C22 | $111.17(13)$ |
| C21 | C12 | C13 | $109.92(13)$ |
| C22 | C12 | C13 | $110.15(12)$ |
| C22 | C12 | C21 | $108.28(13)$ |
| C4 | C13 | C12 | $112.16(12)$ |
| C17 | C13 | C4 | $104.52(11)$ |
| C17 | C13 | C12 | $114.13(12)$ |
| C18 | C13 | C4 | $100.39(11)$ |
| C18 | C13 | C12 | $112.13(12)$ |
| C18 | C13 | C17 | $112.41(12)$ |
| C15 | C14 | C5 | $119.13(14)$ |
| C14 | C15 | C8 | $121.26(14)$ |
| N2 | C16 | C17 | $104.37(12)$ |
| C16 | C17 | C13 | $104.33(12)$ |
| C3 | C18 | C13 | $109.44(13)$ |


| C14 | C5 | S1 | $119.49(12)$ | C19 | C18 | C3 | $119.84(14)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | $119.13(14)$ | C19 | C18 | C13 | $130.70(13)$ |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | $121.30(15)$ | C18 | C19 | C20 | $119.34(14)$ |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | $120.91(14)$ | C1 | C20 | C19 | $120.08(15)$ |

Table A7. Torsion Angles.

| A | B | C | D | Angle $/^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1 | N2 | C4 | N1 | -104.06(13) | C4 | C13 | C18 | C3 | 13.46(15) |
| S1 | N2 | C4 | C13 | 141.40(10) | C4 | C13 | C18 | C19 | -167.79(15) |
| S1 | N2 | C16 | C17 | -120.59(11) | C5 | S1 | N2 | C4 | -72.39(11) |
| S1 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -176.09(11) | C5 | S1 | N2 | C16 | 68.21(12) |
| S1 | C5 | C14 | C15 | 175.71(11) | C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 0.5(2) |
| O1 | S1 | N2 | C4 | 42.85(12) | C5 | C14 | C15 | C8 | 0.3(2) |
| O1 | S1 | N2 | C16 | -176.55(11) | C6 | C5 | C14 | C15 | -1.6(2) |
| O1 | S1 | C5 | C6 | -19.22(14) | C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 179.14(14) |
| O1 | S1 | C5 | C14 | 163.48(12) | C6 | C7 | C8 | C15 | -1.7(2) |
| O2 | S1 | N2 | C4 | 171.86(10) | C7 | C8 | C15 | C14 | 1.3(2) |
| O2 | S1 | N2 | C16 | -47.54(12) | C9 | C8 | C15 | C14 | -179.53(14) |
| O 2 | S1 | C5 | C6 | -150.57(12) | C10 | C11 | C12 | C13 | -115.93(17) |
| O2 | S1 | C5 | C14 | 32.13(14) | C10 | C11 | C12 | C21 | 124.55(17) |
| N1 | C3 | C18 | C13 | -0.64(17) | C10 | C11 | C12 | C22 | 6.3(2) |
| N1 | C3 | C18 | C19 | -179.54(13) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C4 | 57.57(16) |
| N1 | C4 | C13 | C12 | 98.08(13) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C17 | -61.06(16) |
| N1 | C4 | C13 | C17 | -137.76(12) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C18 | 169.66(12) |
| N1 | C4 | C13 | C18 | -21.15(14) | C12 | C13 | C17 | C16 | 154.59(12) |
| N2 | S1 | C5 | C6 | 94.56(13) | C12 | C13 | C18 | C3 | -105.79(14) |
| N2 | S1 | C5 | C14 | -82.74(13) | C12 | C13 | C18 | C19 | 73.0(2) |
| N2 | C4 | C13 | C12 | -142.29(12) | C13 | C18 | C19 | C20 | -175.87(14) |
| N2 | C4 | C13 | C17 | -18.13(14) | C14 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 1.2(2) |
| N2 | C4 | C13 | C18 | 98.48(12) | C16 | N2 | C4 | N1 | 112.00(14) |
| N2 | C16 | C17 | C13 | -33.18(15) | C16 | N 2 | C4 | C13 | -2.54(15) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | N1 | 176.78(14) | C17 | C13 | C18 | C3 | 124.03(13) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C18 | 0.1(2) | C17 | C13 | C18 | C19 | -57.2(2) |
| C2 | C1 | C20 | C19 | -1.3(2) | C18 | C13 | C17 | C16 | -76.27(14) |
| C2 | C3 | C18 | C13 | 176.57(13) | C18 | C19 | C20 | C1 | -1.0(2) |
| C2 | C3 | C18 | C19 | -2.3(2) | C20 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 1.7(2) |
| C3 | N1 | C4 | N2 | -91.34(14) | C21 | C12 | C13 | C4 | 175.46(12) |
| C3 | N1 | C4 | C13 | 22.32(15) | C21 | C12 | C13 | C17 | 56.83(16) |
| C3 | C18 | C19 | C20 | 2.8(2) | C21 | C12 | C13 | C18 | -72.46(15) |
| C4 | N1 | C3 | C2 | 168.96(14) | C22 | C12 | C13 | C4 | -65.31(16) |
| C4 | N1 | C3 | C18 | -14.06(16) | C22 | C12 | C13 | C17 | 176.06(13) |
| C4 | N2 | C16 | C17 | 22.44(15) | C22 | C12 | C13 | C18 | 46.78(17) |

## C4 C13 C17 C16 31.70(15)

Table A8. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | $4112(13)$ | $3791(17)$ | $2913(13)$ | 17 |
| H1A | 1349 | 822 | 591 | 21 |
| H2 | 3139 | 1634 | 1810 | 19 |
| H4 | 3469 | 5357 | 3675 | 14 |
| H6 | 4886 | 7179 | 4961 | 19 |
| H7 | 4355 | 8533 | 5809 | 20 |
| H9A | 3395 | 10357 | 5924 | 31 |
| H9B | 2202 | 10628 | 4950 | 31 |
| H9C | 3277 | 11527 | 5255 | 31 |
| H10A | 2937 | 4975 | 5228 | 25 |
| H10B | 2882 | 6516 | 5367 | 25 |
| H11 | 1590 | 6742 | 3795 | 20 |
| H14 | 3122 | 9465 | 2584 | 19 |
| H15 | 2585 | 10789 | 3438 | 20 |
| H16A | 1916 | 6195 | 994 | 18 |
| H16B | 2182 | 7555 | 1523 | 18 |
| H17A | 500 | 6222 | 1349 | 18 |
| H17B | 1277 | 7013 | 2327 | 18 |
| H19 | -296 | 3877 | 866 | 19 |
| H20 | -350 | 1942 | 101 | 22 |
| H21A | -181 | 4775 | 3232 | 32 |
| H21B | -311 | 4215 | 2273 | 32 |
| H21C | -244 | 5719 | 2441 | 32 |
| H22A | 2612 | 3447 | 4060 | 27 |
| H22B | 1418 | 2841 | 3257 | 27 |
| H22C | 1570 | 3391 | 4227 | 27 |

### 9.2 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Carbamate ( $\pm$ )-112



ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of $( \pm)-112$, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1433588. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.
Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless plate was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at $100.0(2) \mathrm{K}$ during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization. The absolute stereochemistry was not determined by X-Ray diffraction.

Table A9. Crystal data and structure refinement.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 407.34 |
| Temperature/K | $100.0(2)$ |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a/A | $7.6804(4)$ |
| b/A | $20.7205(11)$ |


| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $12.2782(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha /^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $97.1240(10)$ |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $1938.89(18)$ |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.395 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.135 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 848.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.18 \times 0.08$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 3.878 to 55.074 |
| Index ranges | $-9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 9,-23 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 26,-15 \leq 1 \leq 15$ |
| Reflections collected | 31551 |
| Independent reflections | $4442\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0318, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0209\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $4442 / 1 / 234$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.015 |
| Final R indexes [I>=2 $\sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0240, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0554$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0313, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0580$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | $0.38 /-0.31$ |

Table A10. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {II }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $3999.8(2)$ | $3220.6(2)$ | $9767.1(2)$ | $20.83(6)$ |
| O1 | $4085.1(13)$ | $4096.9(5)$ | $4629.3(8)$ | $15.0(2)$ |
| O2 | $1162.0(14)$ | $4336.6(5)$ | $4217.0(8)$ | $15.4(2)$ |
| N1 | $40.0(17)$ | $4375.8(6)$ | $6625.4(10)$ | $15.2(3)$ |
| N2 | $2227.1(16)$ | $3769.7(6)$ | $5743(1)$ | $12.7(2)$ |
| C1 | $1960(2)$ | $5053.0(8)$ | $9292.3(14)$ | $25.8(4)$ |
| C2 | $991(2)$ | $5050.2(7)$ | $8261.2(13)$ | $20.1(3)$ |
| C3 | $876.5(19)$ | $4474.2(7)$ | $7675.7(12)$ | $14.5(3)$ |
| C4 | $569.8(19)$ | $3758.9(7)$ | $6231.3(11)$ | $12.1(3)$ |
| C5 | $2385.5(19)$ | $4088.0(6)$ | $4798.7(11)$ | $11.8(3)$ |
| C6 | $4684(2)$ | $4241.5(7)$ | $3560.4(11)$ | $14.2(3)$ |
| C7 | $6665(2)$ | $4193.1(9)$ | $3847.2(14)$ | $24.5(4)$ |
| C8 | $3996(3)$ | $3725.5(8)$ | $2753.5(14)$ | $27.3(4)$ |
| C9 | $3626.8(19)$ | $3390.4(7)$ | $6362.4(12)$ | $13.8(3)$ |
| C10 | $2599.6(19)$ | $2934.8(7)$ | $7012.0(12)$ | $13.3(3)$ |
| C11 | $1062.8(19)$ | $3346.9(7)$ | $7297.2(11)$ | $11.4(3)$ |
| C12 | $1611.3(19)$ | $3892.4(7)$ | $8115.3(11)$ | $12.5(3)$ |
| C13 | $2637(2)$ | $3930.4(7)$ | $9125.7(12)$ | $16.3(3)$ |


| C14 | $2820(2)$ | $4504.6(8)$ | $9721.1(13)$ | $23.4(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C15 | $-530(2)$ | $2940.0(7)$ | $7630.1(12)$ | $15.3(3)$ |
| C16 | $-1246(2)$ | $2507.0(8)$ | $6686.5(14)$ | $24.3(4)$ |
| C17 | $-1224(3)$ | $1871.8(9)$ | $6659.4(18)$ | $35.5(5)$ |
| C18 | $-2041(2)$ | $3381.3(8)$ | $7877.4(16)$ | $25.1(4)$ |
| C19 | $42(2)$ | $2543.3(8)$ | $8664.4(13)$ | $21.3(3)$ |
| C20 | $4169(2)$ | $4917.6(7)$ | $3161.6(13)$ | $18.8(3)$ |

Table A11. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{U} 12$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $24.26(9)$ | $23.92(9)$ | $13.18(8)$ | $3.43(6)$ | $-2.18(6)$ | $4.89(7)$ |
| O1 | $12.9(5)$ | $21.1(5)$ | $11.4(5)$ | $4.7(4)$ | $3.4(4)$ | $-0.2(4)$ |
| O2 | $14.9(5)$ | $16.7(5)$ | $14.6(5)$ | $5.0(4)$ | $0.8(4)$ | $1.7(4)$ |
| N1 | $18.5(7)$ | $12.3(6)$ | $15.2(6)$ | $4.7(5)$ | $3.6(5)$ | $5.5(5)$ |
| N2 | $10.7(6)$ | $15.1(6)$ | $12.7(6)$ | $4.8(5)$ | $3.1(5)$ | $3.6(5)$ |
| C1 | $38.5(10)$ | $14.9(8)$ | $25.2(9)$ | $-5.7(6)$ | $8.4(7)$ | $-3.6(7)$ |
| C2 | $26.3(9)$ | $12.8(7)$ | $22.5(8)$ | $1.2(6)$ | $8.7(7)$ | $2.0(6)$ |
| C3 | $14.3(7)$ | $13.8(7)$ | $16.4(7)$ | $2.6(6)$ | $6.4(6)$ | $0.2(6)$ |
| C4 | $11.1(7)$ | $13.2(7)$ | $12.3(6)$ | $3.1(5)$ | $3.0(5)$ | $1.2(5)$ |
| C5 | $14.8(7)$ | $7.9(6)$ | $12.7(7)$ | $-1.1(5)$ | $2.3(5)$ | $-1.4(5)$ |
| C6 | $18.6(8)$ | $15.0(7)$ | $10.2(7)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $6.8(6)$ | $-0.9(6)$ |
| C7 | $18.8(8)$ | $30.8(9)$ | $25.6(9)$ | $7.3(7)$ | $9.5(7)$ | $4.1(7)$ |
| C8 | $41.2(11)$ | $21.1(8)$ | $20.2(8)$ | $-7.3(7)$ | $6.4(7)$ | $-5.5(8)$ |
| C9 | $12.6(7)$ | $14.5(7)$ | $14.5(7)$ | $3.8(5)$ | $2.4(5)$ | $3.9(5)$ |
| C10 | $15.0(7)$ | $11.6(7)$ | $13.4(7)$ | $2.6(5)$ | $2.1(5)$ | $2.5(6)$ |
| C11 | $13.2(7)$ | $10.5(7)$ | $10.6(6)$ | $2.0(5)$ | $2.0(5)$ | $0.8(5)$ |
| C12 | $13.6(7)$ | $11.8(7)$ | $12.8(7)$ | $1.3(5)$ | $5.0(5)$ | $0.8(5)$ |
| C13 | $18.3(8)$ | $15.9(7)$ | $14.7(7)$ | $3.9(6)$ | $2.4(6)$ | $0.0(6)$ |
| C14 | $31.0(9)$ | $23.3(8)$ | $15.4(8)$ | $-3.2(6)$ | $0.6(7)$ | $-4.6(7)$ |
| C15 | $14.7(7)$ | $14.6(7)$ | $16.9(7)$ | $3.1(6)$ | $3.5(6)$ | $-2.2(6)$ |
| C16 | $25.1(9)$ | $26.1(9)$ | $21.7(8)$ | $1.5(7)$ | $2.2(7)$ | $-11.8(7)$ |
| C17 | $37.3(11)$ | $27.8(10)$ | $41.2(11)$ | $-8.1(8)$ | $4.0(9)$ | $-12.8(8)$ |
| C18 | $14.2(8)$ | $24.1(9)$ | $38.8(10)$ | $5.7(7)$ | $9.9(7)$ | $-0.4(6)$ |
| C19 | $22.2(8)$ | $21.4(8)$ | $20.8(8)$ | $8.3(6)$ | $5.0(6)$ | $-3.8(6)$ |
| C20 | $22.7(8)$ | $15.1(7)$ | $19.7(8)$ | $3.0(6)$ | $7.2(6)$ | $-0.3(6)$ |

Table A12. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | C13 | $1.9167(15)$ |
| O1 | C5 | $1.3472(17)$ |
| O1 | C6 | $1.4743(16)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C6 | C7 | $1.522(2)$ |
| C6 | C8 | $1.508(2)$ |
| C6 | C20 | $1.520(2)$ |


| O 2 | C 5 | $1.2215(17)$ | C 9 | C 10 | $1.518(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N 1 | C 3 | $1.3826(19)$ | C 10 | C 11 | $1.5321(19)$ |
| N 1 | C 4 | $1.4431(18)$ | C 11 | C 12 | $1.5359(19)$ |
| N 2 | C 4 | $1.4729(18)$ | C 11 | C 15 | $1.581(2)$ |
| N 2 | C 5 | $1.3526(18)$ | C 12 | C 13 | $1.387(2)$ |
| N 2 | C 9 | $1.4659(18)$ | C 13 | C 14 | $1.394(2)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.387(2)$ | C 15 | C 16 | $1.514(2)$ |
| C 1 | C 14 | $1.385(2)$ | C 15 | C 18 | $1.537(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.391(2)$ | C 15 | C 19 | $1.531(2)$ |
| C 3 | C 12 | $1.410(2)$ | C 16 | C 17 | $1.317(3)$ |
| C 4 | C 11 | $1.5691(19)$ |  |  |  |

Table A13. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C5 | O1 | C6 | 123.73(11) | N2 | C9 | C10 | 102.15(11) |
| C3 | N1 | C4 | 109.00(12) | C9 | C10 | C11 | 103.81(11) |
| C5 | N2 | C4 | 122.03(12) | C4 | C11 | C15 | 113.04(11) |
| C5 | N2 | C9 | 124.62(12) | C10 | C11 | C4 | 103.04(11) |
| C9 | N2 | C4 | 113.33(11) | C10 | C11 | C12 | 113.77(12) |
| C14 | C1 | C2 | 121.35(15) | C10 | C11 | C15 | 113.87(11) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 117.90(15) | C12 | C11 | C4 | 99.40(11) |
| N1 | C3 | C2 | 126.94(14) | C12 | C11 | C15 | 112.44(11) |
| N1 | C3 | C12 | 110.41(13) | C3 | C12 | C11 | 108.33(12) |
| C2 | C3 | C12 | 122.64(14) | C13 | C12 | C3 | 116.75(13) |
| N1 | C4 | N2 | 114.64(12) | C13 | C12 | C11 | 134.92(13) |
| N1 | C4 | C11 | 104.54(11) | C12 | C13 | Br1 | 123.00(11) |
| N2 | C4 | C11 | 102.49(11) | C12 | C13 | C14 | 121.76(14) |
| O1 | C5 | N2 | 109.32(12) | C14 | C13 | Br1 | 115.16(11) |
| O2 | C5 | O1 | 126.44(13) | C1 | C14 | C13 | 119.25(15) |
| O2 | C5 | N2 | 124.23(13) | C16 | C15 | C11 | 109.73(12) |
| O1 | C6 | C7 | 101.18(11) | C16 | C15 | C18 | 107.00(14) |
| O1 | C6 | C8 | 108.56(12) | C16 | C15 | C19 | 110.88(13) |
| O1 | C6 | C20 | 112.13(12) | C18 | C15 | C11 | 111.18(12) |
| C8 | C6 | C7 | 111.35(14) | C19 | C15 | C11 | 110.43(12) |
| C8 | C6 | C20 | 112.70(13) | C19 | C15 | C18 | 107.56(13) |
| C20 | C6 | C7 | 110.35(13) | C17 | C16 | C15 | 127.38(17) |

Table A14. Torsion Angles.

| A | $\mathbf{B}$ | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | Angle $^{\circ}$ | A | B | $\mathbf{C}$ | $\mathbf{D}$ | Angle $^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br 1 | C 13 | C 14 | C 1 | $176.52(13)$ | C 5 | O 1 | C 6 | C 20 | $61.71(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 3 | C 12 | C 11 | $-5.10(16)$ | C 5 | N 2 | C 4 | N 1 | $-66.55(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 3 | C 12 | C 13 | $174.00(13)$ | C 5 | N 2 | C 4 | C 11 | $-179.17(12)$ |


| N 1 | C 4 | C 11 | C 10 | $-144.84(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N 1 | C 4 | C 11 | C 12 | $-27.60(13)$ |
| N 1 | C 4 | C 11 | C 15 | $91.80(13)$ |
| N 2 | C 4 | C 11 | C 10 | $-24.92(14)$ |
| N 2 | C 4 | C 11 | C 12 | $92.32(12)$ |
| N 2 | C 4 | C 11 | C 15 | $-148.28(12)$ |
| N 2 | C 9 | C 10 | C 11 | $-36.14(14)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | N 1 | $-177.28(15)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | C 12 | $3.9(2)$ |
| C 2 | C 1 | C 14 | C 13 | $-3.1(3)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | C 12 | C 11 | $173.89(14)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | C 12 | C 13 | $-7.0(2)$ |
| C 3 | N 1 | C 4 | N 2 | $-84.43(14)$ |
| C 3 | N 1 | C 4 | C 11 | $26.97(15)$ |
| C 3 | C 12 | C 13 | Br 1 | $-171.42(11)$ |
| C 3 | C 12 | C 13 | C 14 | $5.1(2)$ |
| C 4 | N 1 | C 3 | C 2 | $166.76(15)$ |
| C 4 | N 1 | C 3 | C 12 | $-14.30(16)$ |
| C 4 | N 2 | C 5 | O 1 | $171.11(12)$ |
| C 4 | N 2 | C 5 | O 2 | $-7.4(2)$ |
| C 4 | N 2 | C 9 | C 10 | $21.01(15)$ |
| C 4 | C 11 | C 12 | C 3 | $20.06(14)$ |
| C 4 | C 11 | C 12 | C 13 | $-158.80(16)$ |
| C 4 | C 11 | C 15 | C 16 | $56.72(16)$ |
| C 4 | C 11 | C 15 | C 18 | $-61.44(16)$ |
| C 4 | C 11 | C 15 | C 19 | $179.25(12)$ |
| C 5 | O 1 | C 6 | C 7 | $179.29(13)$ |
| C 5 | O 1 | C 6 | C 8 | $-63.47(17)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |


| C 5 | N 2 | C 9 | C 10 | $-157.22(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 6 | O 1 | C 5 | O 2 | $-18.7(2)$ |
| C 6 | O 1 | C 5 | N 2 | $162.81(12)$ |
| C 9 | N 2 | C 4 | N 1 | $115.17(13)$ |
| C 9 | N 2 | C 4 | C 11 | $2.55(15)$ |
| C 9 | N 2 | C 5 | O 1 | $-10.81(19)$ |
| C 9 | N 2 | C 5 | O 2 | $170.65(13)$ |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 11 | C 4 | $38.26(14)$ |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 11 | C 12 | $-68.32(14)$ |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 11 | C 15 | $161.07(12)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | C 12 | C 3 | $128.90(13)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | C 12 | C 13 | $-50.0(2)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | C 15 | C 16 | $-60.43(16)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | C 15 | C 18 | $-178.59(13)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | C 15 | C 19 | $62.09(16)$ |
| C 11 | C 12 | C 13 | Br 1 | $7.4(2)$ |
| C 11 | C 12 | C 13 | C 14 | $-176.12(15)$ |
| C 11 | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $114.38(19)$ |
| C 12 | C 11 | C 15 | C 16 | $168.30(13)$ |
| C 12 | C 11 | C 15 | C 18 | $50.14(16)$ |
| C 12 | C 11 | C 15 | C 19 | $-69.18(15)$ |
| C 12 | C 13 | C 14 | C 1 | $-0.2(2)$ |
| C 14 | C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $1.3(3)$ |
| C 15 | C 11 | C 12 | C 3 | $-99.78(14)$ |
| C 15 | C 11 | C 12 | C 13 | $81.4(2)$ |
| C 18 | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $-124.9(2)$ |
| C 19 | C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $-7.9(2)$ |

Table A15. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | $-200(20)$ | $4693(8)$ | $6192(13)$ | 18 |
| H1A | 2035 | 5439 | 9713 | 31 |
| H2 | 424 | 5430 | 7964 | 24 |
| H4 | -396 | 3553 | 5728 | 14 |
| H7A | 7064 | 4518 | 4402 | 37 |
| H7B | 7233 | 4268 | 3187 | 37 |
| H7C | 6973 | 3762 | 4137 | 37 |
| H8A | 4306 | 3299 | 3066 | 41 |
| H8B | 4518 | 3780 | 2070 | 41 |
| H8C | 2717 | 3762 | 2600 | 41 |


| H9A | 4425 | 3668 | 6854 | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H9B | 4317 | 3150 | 5866 | 17 |
| H10A | 3329 | 2783 | 7685 | 16 |
| H10B | 2174 | 2556 | 6564 | 16 |
| H14 | 3526 | 4519 | 10413 | 28 |
| H16 | -1771 | 2717 | 6040 | 29 |
| H17A | -715 | 1636 | 7282 | 43 |
| H17B | -1716 | 1650 | 6017 | 43 |
| H18A | -2427 | 3646 | 7231 | 38 |
| H18B | -1637 | 3662 | 8500 | 38 |
| H18C | -3023 | 3117 | 8059 | 38 |
| H19A | -940 | 2276 | 8837 | 32 |
| H19B | 402 | 2835 | 9280 | 32 |
| H19C | 1031 | 2266 | 8539 | 32 |
| H20A | 2905 | 4932 | 2920 | 28 |
| H20B | 4811 | 5031 | 2547 | 28 |
| H20C | 4460 | 5226 | 3761 | 28 |

9.3 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Dicarboxylate (-)-exo-90


ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of (-)-exo-90, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1433589. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.

Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless block was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at 100.0(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization. The absolute stereochemistry was not determined by X-Ray diffraction.

Table A16. Crystal data and structure refinement.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 386.48 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P21 |
| a/Å | 9.1201(2) |
| b/Å | 10.9513(3) |
| c/Å | 20.9141(6) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.6170(10) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 2074.92(9) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.237 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.686 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 832.0 |
| Crystal size/ $\mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.14 \times 0.06$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.254 to 133.264 |
| Index ranges | $-10 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 10,-13 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 12,-17 \leq 1 \leq 17$ |
| Reflections collected | 36373 |
| Independent reflections | $6181\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0279, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0190\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 6181/636/533 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.043 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{l}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0245, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0630$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0247, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0633$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.12/-0.17 |

Flack parameter

$$
-0.02(3)
$$

Table A17. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $U_{e q}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {II }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $-1007.5(13)$ | $5233.3(12)$ | $6629.1(6)$ | $20.7(3)$ |
| O2 | $-1635.6(15)$ | $7232.8(13)$ | $6427.6(8)$ | $31.1(4)$ |
| O3 | $2096.0(16)$ | $5626.9(12)$ | $7269.2(7)$ | $28.8(3)$ |
| O4 | $2255.1(14)$ | $3787.0(12)$ | $6818.6(7)$ | $25.2(3)$ |
| O5 | $4639.9(13)$ | $5333.8(11)$ | $1708.2(7)$ | $20.8(3)$ |
| O6 | $4142.0(13)$ | $7376.3(11)$ | $1586.0(6)$ | $19.7(3)$ |
| O7 | $7863.8(17)$ | $5501.0(14)$ | $2154.3(8)$ | $37.2(4)$ |
| C8 | $8795(3)$ | $3222(2)$ | $2183.6(14)$ | $42.8(7)$ |
| N1 | $429.6(16)$ | $6396.2(14)$ | $6100.2(8)$ | $18.3(3)$ |
| C2 | $7308.3(17)$ | $7003.9(16)$ | $250.1(9)$ | $16.8(4)$ |
| N3 | $5982.8(15)$ | $6465.3(13)$ | $1120.0(8)$ | $16.4(3)$ |
| O9 | $8095.1(16)$ | $3793.0(12)$ | $1602.8(8)$ | $31.8(4)$ |
| C1 | $-3633(2)$ | $5281(2)$ | $6812.0(11)$ | $33.6(5)$ |
| C3 | $-2059.4(19)$ | $5001.5(18)$ | $7102.2(10)$ | $23.3(4)$ |
| C4 | $-817.8(19)$ | $6361.4(17)$ | $6396.3(10)$ | $20.0(4)$ |
| C5 | $760.5(19)$ | $7451.3(16)$ | $5705.9(10)$ | $19.1(4)$ |
| C6 | $2140.8(18)$ | $7002.4(17)$ | $5395.8(10)$ | $18.9(4)$ |
| C7 | $3259(2)$ | $8058.2(18)$ | $5280.9(11)$ | $26.2(5)$ |
| C9 | $4465(3)$ | $7526(2)$ | $4938.2(15)$ | $46.8(7)$ |
| C10 | $5783(3)$ | $7337(4)$ | $5126(2)$ | $45.4(12)$ |
| C11 | $-1603(2)$ | $5742(2)$ | $7701.2(11)$ | $28.7(5)$ |
| C12 | $1494.2(18)$ | $5397.6(16)$ | $6122.5(9)$ | $17.5(4)$ |
| C13 | $2818.8(18)$ | $6003.8(18)$ | $5853.7(10)$ | $21.5(4)$ |
| N12 | $-341.6(17)$ | $7673.7(15)$ | $5160.0(8)$ | $22.8(4)$ |
| C14 | $-48(2)$ | $6898.0(17)$ | $4659.7(10)$ | $22.0(4)$ |
| C15 | $1396.8(19)$ | $6456.6(17)$ | $4773.7(10)$ | $18.8(4)$ |
| C16 | $1918(2)$ | $5631.4(17)$ | $4353(1)$ | $23.6(4)$ |
| C17 | $1010(2)$ | $5277.5(19)$ | $3805.9(11)$ | $30.0(5)$ |
| C18 | $-394(2)$ | $5773(2)$ | $3678.0(11)$ | $32.6(5)$ |
| C19 | $-944(2)$ | $6581(2)$ | $4103.5(11)$ | $30.4(5)$ |
| C1A | $2477(3)$ | $9049(2)$ | $4845.5(12)$ | $35.2(5)$ |
| C20 | $3889(2)$ | $8665(2)$ | $5912.3(11)$ | $32.4(5)$ |
| C21 | $1952.6(18)$ | $4978.2(17)$ | $6802.7(10)$ | $18.6(4)$ |
| C22 | $2746(2)$ | $3290.6(19)$ | $7445.9(12)$ | $34.1(5)$ |
| C23 | $-1850(2)$ | $3642.5(19)$ | $7230.8(12)$ | $30.8(5)$ |
| C24 | $10798(2)$ | $8194(2)$ | $802.2(12)$ | $37.8(6)$ |
| C25 | $9392(2)$ | $8441.0(19)$ | $632.6(11)$ | $26.6(5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| C26 | $8429.7(19)$ | $7980.5(17)$ | $38.9(10)$ | $21.0(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C27 | $6220.0(18)$ | $7524.7(16)$ | $707.8(9)$ | $15.9(4)$ |
| C28 | $4853.3(18)$ | $6466.5(16)$ | $1487.8(9)$ | $16.6(4)$ |
| C29 | $3716(2)$ | $5129.0(18)$ | $2236(1)$ | $23.0(4)$ |
| C30 | $4356(2)$ | $5842.3(18)$ | $2824.4(10)$ | $24.4(4)$ |
| C31 | $3884(2)$ | $3760(2)$ | $2347.2(12)$ | $32.4(5)$ |
| C32 | $6907.4(18)$ | $5408.3(16)$ | $1029.7(9)$ | $17.0(4)$ |
| C33 | $7650.1(18)$ | $4934.5(16)$ | $1665.3(10)$ | $18.7(4)$ |
| C34 | $8072.0(18)$ | $5924.2(17)$ | $625.2(10)$ | $18.5(4)$ |
| C35 | $9365(2)$ | $7414.3(19)$ | $-445(1)$ | $26.7(5)$ |
| C36 | $7599(2)$ | $9086.7(17)$ | $-279.6(11)$ | $24.5(5)$ |
| C37 | $6205.8(18)$ | $6562.6(16)$ | $-305.6(10)$ | $16.9(4)$ |
| C38 | $6370.1(19)$ | $5748.2(16)$ | $-794.6(10)$ | $20.0(4)$ |
| C39 | $5168(2)$ | $5489.0(18)$ | $-1247.3(10)$ | $25.2(4)$ |
| C40 | $3810(2)$ | $6040.4(19)$ | $-1200(1)$ | $26.2(5)$ |
| C41 | $3620.5(19)$ | $6841.3(18)$ | $-699.5(10)$ | $23.1(4)$ |
| C42 | $4825.8(18)$ | $7087.7(15)$ | $-251.2(10)$ | $17.0(4)$ |
| N43 | $4914.2(16)$ | $7864.1(13)$ | $280.1(8)$ | $18.4(4)$ |
| C44 | $2116(2)$ | $5462(2)$ | $2013.1(11)$ | $28.0(5)$ |
| C1B | $5098(8)$ | $7444(8)$ | $4485(4)$ | $44(2)$ |

Table A18. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $22.4(6)$ | $20.6(6)$ | $20.4(9)$ | $3.3(6)$ | $8.6(5)$ | $-1.0(5)$ |
| O2 | $30.3(7)$ | $27.3(7)$ | $38.8(11)$ | $9.5(7)$ | $18.1(6)$ | $10.6(6)$ |
| O3 | $42.8(8)$ | $25.2(7)$ | $16.6(10)$ | $-3.2(6)$ | $-4.0(6)$ | $5.1(6)$ |
| O4 | $34.5(7)$ | $17.6(6)$ | $22.1(9)$ | $1.8(6)$ | $-2.8(6)$ | $4.6(6)$ |
| O5 | $27.1(6)$ | $16.9(6)$ | $20.1(9)$ | $1.1(6)$ | $10.1(5)$ | $-2.0(5)$ |
| O6 | $21.7(6)$ | $19.2(6)$ | $19.1(9)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $6.6(5)$ | $1.9(5)$ |
| O7 | $51.8(9)$ | $35.5(8)$ | $21.0(11)$ | $-7.2(7)$ | $-10.5(7)$ | $18.3(7)$ |
| C8 | $44.1(12)$ | $24.6(11)$ | $54.1(19)$ | $18.6(11)$ | $-17.9(11)$ | $1.0(9)$ |
| N1 | $18.9(7)$ | $18.9(7)$ | $17.8(10)$ | $5.0(7)$ | $5.3(6)$ | $3.8(6)$ |
| C2 | $16.4(8)$ | $18.4(8)$ | $16.0(12)$ | $1.9(8)$ | $3.4(7)$ | $0.0(6)$ |
| N3 | $18.7(7)$ | $17.0(7)$ | $14.1(10)$ | $3.5(6)$ | $4.6(6)$ | $2.6(6)$ |
| O9 | $39.6(8)$ | $15.8(6)$ | $36.3(11)$ | $1.2(6)$ | $-11.7(6)$ | $6.3(6)$ |
| C1 | $22.0(9)$ | $51.5(13)$ | $28.0(15)$ | $8.1(11)$ | $6.4(8)$ | $-5.0(9)$ |
| C3 | $22.5(9)$ | $28.4(10)$ | $20.2(13)$ | $3.6(8)$ | $7.6(8)$ | $-4.0(7)$ |
| C4 | $20.4(8)$ | $22.2(9)$ | $17.9(13)$ | $2.5(8)$ | $4.5(7)$ | $1.5(7)$ |
| C5 | $22.3(8)$ | $17.3(8)$ | $18.9(13)$ | $3.7(8)$ | $7.1(7)$ | $1.5(7)$ |
| C6 | $18.5(8)$ | $20.8(9)$ | $18.4(13)$ | $-0.3(8)$ | $6.9(7)$ | $-1.3(7)$ |
| C7 | $27.5(9)$ | $27.1(10)$ | $24.9(14)$ | $-1.8(9)$ | $7.5(8)$ | $-9.4(8)$ |


| C9 | $41.7(13)$ | $43.4(13)$ | $61(2)$ | $-17.4(13)$ | $31.8(12)$ | $-20.1(11)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C10 | $26.1(16)$ | $55(2)$ | $58(3)$ | $-5.7(19)$ | $14.6(15)$ | $-3.2(14)$ |
| C11 | $29.6(10)$ | $35.4(11)$ | $22.6(14)$ | $2.0(9)$ | $9.9(8)$ | $-3.0(8)$ |
| C12 | $18.1(8)$ | $17.5(8)$ | $16.9(13)$ | $-0.8(8)$ | $1.6(7)$ | $1.8(7)$ |
| C13 | $15.9(8)$ | $28.2(10)$ | $20.7(13)$ | $0.4(8)$ | $2.9(7)$ | $1.2(7)$ |
| N12 | $21.7(7)$ | $25.9(8)$ | $21.9(12)$ | $7.6(7)$ | $7.5(6)$ | $7.5(6)$ |
| C14 | $23.8(9)$ | $22.5(9)$ | $20.2(13)$ | $9.7(8)$ | $4.8(8)$ | $-1.4(7)$ |
| C15 | $21.3(8)$ | $18.5(8)$ | $17.2(13)$ | $2.9(8)$ | $4.9(7)$ | $-3.1(7)$ |
| C16 | $29.5(9)$ | $22.6(10)$ | $20.3(14)$ | $1.2(8)$ | $10.0(8)$ | $-2.8(7)$ |
| C17 | $45.8(11)$ | $26(1)$ | $19.5(14)$ | $-1.9(9)$ | $9.8(9)$ | $-10.3(9)$ |
| C18 | $42.9(11)$ | $38.9(12)$ | $14.8(14)$ | $5.7(9)$ | $-1.7(9)$ | $-17.6(9)$ |
| C19 | $27.2(10)$ | $37.5(12)$ | $25.4(15)$ | $12.8(10)$ | $-2.2(8)$ | $-5.2(8)$ |
| C1A | $48.5(12)$ | $28.7(11)$ | $28.6(15)$ | $4.4(9)$ | $6.2(10)$ | $-16.2(9)$ |
| C20 | $34.0(11)$ | $33.0(11)$ | $30.4(15)$ | $-4.3(10)$ | $4.2(9)$ | $-12.2(9)$ |
| C21 | $17.5(8)$ | $18.2(9)$ | $20.0(13)$ | $-0.2(8)$ | $1.3(7)$ | $0.1(7)$ |
| C22 | $40.2(12)$ | $25.9(11)$ | $33.6(16)$ | $10(1)$ | $-7(1)$ | $4.0(9)$ |
| C23 | $35.0(11)$ | $28.3(11)$ | $29.9(16)$ | $6.3(9)$ | $8.0(9)$ | $-8.9(8)$ |
| C24 | $30.7(11)$ | $45.3(13)$ | $35.1(17)$ | $9.7(11)$ | $-5.5(10)$ | $-14.5(10)$ |
| C25 | $27.9(9)$ | $30.6(10)$ | $21.5(14)$ | $3.3(9)$ | $3.4(8)$ | $-11.4(8)$ |
| C26 | $18.6(8)$ | $23.8(9)$ | $21.1(14)$ | $3.6(8)$ | $3.7(7)$ | $-3.3(7)$ |
| C27 | $18.7(8)$ | $14.8(8)$ | $14.3(13)$ | $2.2(7)$ | $2.6(7)$ | $0.6(6)$ |
| C28 | $17.9(8)$ | $18.5(8)$ | $13.2(12)$ | $1.1(7)$ | $0.4(6)$ | $-2.5(7)$ |
| C29 | $28.5(9)$ | $23.2(10)$ | $19.2(13)$ | $3.2(8)$ | $10.5(8)$ | $-4.5(7)$ |
| C30 | $26.9(9)$ | $28.6(10)$ | $18.2(13)$ | $2.4(9)$ | $5.4(8)$ | $-1.2(8)$ |
| C31 | $45.1(12)$ | $25.5(10)$ | $28.9(16)$ | $6.9(9)$ | $13.6(10)$ | $-6.7(9)$ |
| C32 | $18.1(8)$ | $15.0(8)$ | $18.0(12)$ | $-0.2(7)$ | $2.2(7)$ | $1.3(6)$ |
| C33 | $18.5(8)$ | $15.1(8)$ | $22.6(14)$ | $0.8(8)$ | $2.8(7)$ | $-0.6(6)$ |
| C34 | $16.3(8)$ | $21.5(9)$ | $18.1(13)$ | $2.5(8)$ | $4.2(7)$ | $2.6(7)$ |
| C35 | $22.0(9)$ | $32.4(11)$ | $27.1(15)$ | $2.9(9)$ | $8.7(8)$ | $-2.7(8)$ |
| C36 | $26.9(9)$ | $22.5(10)$ | $24.5(14)$ | $6.2(8)$ | $4.3(8)$ | $-4.3(7)$ |
| C37 | $18.3(8)$ | $17.2(8)$ | $15.8(12)$ | $2.7(7)$ | $4.6(7)$ | $-0.5(6)$ |
| C38 | $24.2(8)$ | $19.4(9)$ | $17.6(13)$ | $1.8(8)$ | $7.8(7)$ | $0.6(7)$ |
| C39 | $34.9(10)$ | $24.7(10)$ | $16.4(13)$ | $-1.4(8)$ | $4.5(8)$ | $-6.1(8)$ |
| C40 | $26.8(9)$ | $32.9(11)$ | $17.7(14)$ | $3.9(9)$ | $-2.2(8)$ | $-8.2(8)$ |
| C41 | $19.5(8)$ | $27.9(10)$ | $21.5(14)$ | $7.3(9)$ | $0.9(7)$ | $0.0(7)$ |
| C42 | $20.0(8)$ | $16.4(8)$ | $15.3(13)$ | $5.4(7)$ | $4.8(7)$ | $1.4(7)$ |
| N43 | $18.8(7)$ | $19.9(8)$ | $17.0(11)$ | $1.4(7)$ | $4.7(6)$ | $5.3(6)$ |
| C44 | $26.0(9)$ | $37.3(11)$ | $21.8(14)$ | $-1.2(9)$ | $7.4(8)$ | $-9.9(8)$ |
| C1B | $33(4)$ | $55(5)$ | $46(6)$ | $-2(4)$ | $18(3)$ | $-5(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A19. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/i̊ | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C3 | 1.477(2) | C7 | C1A | 1.538(3) |
| O1 | C4 | 1.346(2) | C7 | C20 | 1.530(3) |
| O2 | C4 | 1.218(2) | C9 | C10 | 1.238(4) |
| O3 | C21 | 1.202(2) | C9 | C1B | 1.168(9) |
| O4 | C21 | 1.333(2) | C12 | C13 | 1.540(2) |
| O4 | C22 | 1.443(3) | C12 | C21 | 1.508(3) |
| O5 | C28 | 1.345(2) | N12 | C14 | 1.398(3) |
| O5 | C29 | 1.481(2) | C14 | C15 | 1.398(3) |
| O6 | C28 | 1.219(2) | C14 | C19 | 1.386(3) |
| O7 | C33 | 1.193(2) | C15 | C16 | 1.383(3) |
| C8 | O9 | 1.447(3) | C16 | C17 | 1.388(3) |
| N1 | C4 | 1.357(2) | C17 | C18 | 1.388(3) |
| N1 | C5 | 1.471(2) | C18 | C19 | 1.389(3) |
| N1 | C12 | 1.460(2) | C24 | C25 | 1.319(3) |
| C2 | C26 | 1.578(2) | C25 | C26 | 1.522(3) |
| C2 | C27 | 1.564(2) | C26 | C35 | 1.528(3) |
| C2 | C34 | 1.540(2) | C26 | C36 | 1.539(3) |
| C2 | C37 | 1.525(3) | C27 | N43 | 1.453(2) |
| N3 | C27 | 1.476(2) | C29 | C30 | 1.517(3) |
| N3 | C28 | 1.355(2) | C29 | C31 | 1.522(3) |
| N3 | C32 | 1.457(2) | C29 | C44 | 1.524(3) |
| O9 | C33 | 1.325(2) | C32 | C33 | 1.513(3) |
| C1 | C3 | 1.524(3) | C32 | C34 | 1.539(2) |
| C3 | C11 | 1.510(3) | C37 | C38 | 1.378(3) |
| C3 | C23 | 1.521(3) | C37 | C42 | 1.400(2) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.560(2) | C38 | C39 | 1.393(3) |
| C5 | N12 | 1.452(3) | C39 | C40 | 1.391(3) |
| C6 | C7 | 1.578(2) | C40 | C41 | 1.392(3) |
| C6 | C13 | 1.536(3) | C41 | C42 | 1.387(3) |
| C6 | C15 | 1.519(3) | C42 | N43 | 1.394(2) |
| C7 | C9 | 1.499(3) |  |  |  |

Table A20. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle $^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C4 | O1 | C3 | $121.35(14)$ |
| C21 | O4 | C22 | $115.66(16)$ |
| C28 | O5 | C29 | $120.82(14)$ |
| C4 | N1 | C5 | $120.99(15)$ |
| C4 | N1 | C12 | $123.64(15)$ |
| C12 | N1 | C5 | $115.32(14)$ |
| C27 | C2 | C26 | $113.69(14)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ <br> C 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 14 | C 15 | $120.6(2)$ |  |
| C 14 | C 15 | C 6 | $109.22(17)$ |
| C 16 | C 15 | C 6 | $130.53(17)$ |
| C 16 | C 15 | C 14 | $120.23(18)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $119.40(18)$ |
| C 18 | C 17 | C 16 | $119.9(2)$ |
| C 17 | C 18 | C 19 | $121.2(2)$ |


| C34 | C2 | C26 | 113.16(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C34 | C2 | C27 | 104.47(15) |
| C37 | C2 | C26 | 113.15(16) |
| C37 | C2 | C27 | 99.95(13) |
| C37 | C2 | C34 | 111.38(15) |
| C28 | N3 | C27 | 120.20(14) |
| C28 | N3 | C32 | 124.30(15) |
| C32 | N3 | C27 | 115.01(14) |
| C33 | O9 | C8 | 115.78(18) |
| O1 | C3 | C1 | 110.69(16) |
| O1 | C3 | C11 | 108.97(15) |
| O1 | C3 | C23 | 102.03(16) |
| C11 | C3 | C1 | 112.30(18) |
| C11 | C3 | C23 | 111.23(18) |
| C23 | C3 | C1 | 111.13(17) |
| O1 | C4 | N1 | 109.89(15) |
| O2 | C4 | O1 | 126.49(16) |
| O2 | C4 | N1 | 123.60(18) |
| N1 | C5 | C6 | 102.30(14) |
| N12 | C5 | N1 | 113.85(14) |
| N12 | C5 | C6 | 104.15(16) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | 113.50 (15) |
| C13 | C6 | C5 | 104.55(15) |
| C13 | C6 | C7 | 113.32(15) |
| C15 | C6 | C5 | 100.24(14) |
| C15 | C6 | C7 | 113.00(16) |
| C15 | C6 | C13 | 111.22(16) |
| C9 | C7 | C6 | 108.09(16) |
| C9 | C7 | C1A | 107.9(2) |
| C9 | C7 | C20 | 110.98(18) |
| C1A | C7 | C6 | 110.09(16) |
| C20 | C7 | C6 | 111.85(17) |
| C20 | C7 | C1A | 107.87(18) |
| C10 | C9 | C7 | 130.8(3) |
| C1B | C9 | C7 | 151.2(5) |
| N1 | C12 | C13 | 102.26(14) |
| N1 | C12 | C21 | 111.68(15) |
| C21 | C12 | C13 | 109.38(14) |
| C6 | C13 | C12 | 104.96(14) |
| C14 | N12 | C5 | 108.15(15) |
| N12 | C14 | C15 | 109.93(18) |
| C19 | C14 | N12 | 129.42(18) |


| C14 | C19 | C18 | 118.41(19) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O3 | C21 | O4 | 123.74(19) |
| O3 | C21 | C12 | 125.34(17) |
| O4 | C21 | C12 | 110.84(17) |
| C24 | C25 | C26 | 127.1(2) |
| C25 | C26 | C2 | 109.13(16) |
| C25 | C26 | C35 | 111.20 (15) |
| C25 | C26 | C36 | 107.52(16) |
| C35 | C26 | C2 | 109.86(15) |
| C35 | C26 | C36 | 108.49(17) |
| C36 | C26 | C2 | 110.63(14) |
| N3 | C27 | C2 | 102.53(13) |
| N43 | C27 | C2 | 104.45(15) |
| N43 | C27 | N3 | 113.31(13) |
| O5 | C28 | N3 | 110.07(15) |
| O6 | C28 | O5 | 126.51(16) |
| O6 | C28 | N3 | 123.41(16) |
| O5 | C29 | C30 | 109.13(14) |
| O5 | C29 | C31 | 101.96(16) |
| O5 | C29 | C44 | 110.05(16) |
| C30 | C29 | C31 | 111.14(18) |
| C30 | C29 | C44 | 112.98(17) |
| C31 | C29 | C44 | 111.01(17) |
| N3 | C32 | C33 | 111.46(15) |
| N3 | C32 | C34 | 103.06(14) |
| C33 | C32 | C34 | 109.96(14) |
| O7 | C33 | O9 | 123.61(19) |
| O7 | C33 | C32 | 125.98(17) |
| O9 | C33 | C32 | 110.37(17) |
| C32 | C34 | C2 | 105.16(13) |
| C38 | C37 | C2 | 130.70(16) |
| C38 | C37 | C42 | 120.12(17) |
| C42 | C37 | C2 | 109.13(16) |
| C37 | C38 | C39 | 119.46(17) |
| C40 | C39 | C38 | 120.0(2) |
| C39 | C40 | C41 | 121.07(18) |
| C42 | C41 | C40 | 118.26(17) |
| C41 | C42 | C37 | 121.00(18) |
| C41 | C42 | N43 | 128.76(16) |
| N43 | C42 | C37 | 110.20 (15) |
| C42 | N43 | C27 | 107.62(14) |

Table A21. Torsion Angles.

| A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8 | O9 | C33 | O7 | 3.8(3) | C16 | C17 | C18 | C19 | -3.0(3) |
| C8 | O9 | C33 | C32 | -178.56(16) | C17 | C18 | C19 | C14 | 0.8(3) |
| N1 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -147.28(16) | C19 | C14 | C15 | C6 | 176.94(17) |
| N1 | C5 | C6 | C13 | -23.31(18) | C19 | C14 | C15 | C16 | -4.4(3) |
| N1 | C5 | C6 | C15 | 91.96(16) | C1A | C7 | C9 | C10 | 130.9(4) |
| N1 | C5 | N12 | C14 | -82.45(18) | C1A | C7 | C9 | C1B | -5.4(10) |
| N1 | C12 | C13 | C6 | -28.88(19) | C20 | C7 | C9 | C10 | 12.9(4) |
| N1 | C12 | C21 | O3 | -35.0(2) | C20 | C7 | C9 | C1B | -123.4(9) |
| N1 | C12 | C21 | O4 | 148.13(15) | C21 | C12 | C13 | C6 | -147.39(15) |
| C2 | C27 | N43 | C42 | 29.49(17) | C22 | O4 | C21 | O3 | 1.5(3) |
| C2 | C37 | C38 | C39 | 179.46(18) | C22 | O4 | C21 | C12 | 178.40(15) |
| C2 | C37 | C42 | C41 | 179.70(17) | C24 | C25 | C26 | C2 | 107.7(2) |
| C2 | C37 | C42 | N43 | 1.6(2) | C24 | C25 | C26 | C35 | -13.6(3) |
| N3 | C27 | N43 | C42 | -81.29(18) | C24 | C25 | C26 | C36 | -132.2(2) |
| N3 | C32 | C33 | O7 | -21.8(3) | C26 | C2 | C27 | N3 | -147.33(14) |
| N3 | C32 | C33 | O9 | 160.62(14) | C26 | C2 | C27 | N43 | 94.25(17) |
| N3 | C32 | C34 | C2 | -27.07(18) | C26 | C2 | C34 | C32 | 155.95(15) |
| C3 | O1 | C4 | O2 | -16.0(3) | C26 | C2 | C37 | C38 | 77.2(2) |
| C3 | O1 | C4 | N1 | 165.28(15) | C26 | C2 | C37 | C42 | -105.58(17) |
| C4 | O1 | C3 | C1 | 63.4(2) | C27 | C2 | C26 | C25 | 62.08(19) |
| C4 | O1 | C3 | C11 | -60.6(2) | C27 | C2 | C26 | C35 | -175.77(15) |
| C4 | O1 | C3 | C23 | -178.27(17) | C27 | C2 | C26 | C36 | -56.0(2) |
| C4 | N1 | C5 | C6 | -172.27(17) | C27 | C2 | C34 | C32 | 31.77(18) |
| C4 | N1 | C5 | N12 | -60.5(2) | C27 | C2 | C37 | C38 | -161.60(19) |
| C4 | N1 | C12 | C13 | -167.75(17) | C27 | C2 | C37 | C42 | 15.67(18) |
| C4 | N1 | C12 | C21 | -50.9(2) | C27 | N3 | C28 | O5 | 166.38(15) |
| C5 | N1 | C4 | O1 | 169.11(16) | C27 | N3 | C28 | O6 | -12.6(3) |
| C5 | N1 | C4 | O 2 | -9.6(3) | C27 | N3 | C32 | C33 | 130.46(15) |
| C5 | N1 | C12 | C13 | 14.8(2) | C27 | N3 | C32 | C34 | 12.58(19) |
| C5 | N1 | C12 | C21 | 131.62(16) | C28 | O5 | C29 | C30 | -58.6(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C9 | -175.79(19) | C28 | O5 | C29 | C31 | -176.27(16) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C1A | -58.2(2) | C28 | O5 | C29 | C44 | 65.9(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C20 | 61.7(2) | C28 | N3 | C27 | C2 | -165.41(15) |
| C5 | C6 | C13 | C12 | 32.93(19) | C28 | N3 | C27 | N43 | -53.5(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C15 | C14 | 17.50(19) | C28 | N3 | C32 | C33 | -57.6(2) |
| C5 | C6 | C15 | C16 | -161.03(19) | C28 | N3 | C32 | C34 | -175.48(16) |
| C5 | N12 | C14 | C15 | -17.5(2) | C29 | O5 | C28 | O6 | -15.2(3) |
| C5 | N12 | C14 | C19 | 164.52(19) | C29 | O5 | C28 | N3 | 165.93(15) |
| C6 | C5 | N12 | C14 | 28.15(18) | C32 | N3 | C27 | C2 | 6.89(18) |

C6 C7 C9 C10 -110.1(3)
C6 C7 C9 C1B 113.7(9)
C6 C15 C16 C17 $-179.48(19)$
C7 C6 C13 C12 157.02(16)
C7 C6 C15 C14 -103.62(18)
C7 C6 C15 C16 77.8(2)
C12 N1 C4 O1 -8.2(3)
C12 N1 C4 O2 173.03(18)
C12 N1 C5 C6 5.3(2)
C12 N1 C5 N12 117.01(17)
C13 C6 C7 C9 65.2(2)
C13 C6 C7 C1A -177.22(18)
C13 C6 C7 C20 -57.3(2)
C13 C6 C15 C14 127.62(17)
C13 C6 C15 C16 -50.9(3)
C13 C12 C21 O3 77.5(2)
C13 C12 C21 O4 -99.40(17)
N12 C5 C6 C7 93.92(18)
N12 C5 C6 C13 -142.11(15)
N12 C5 C6 C15 -26.84(17)
N12 C14 C15 C6 -1.2(2)
N12 C14 C15 C16 177.50(17)
N12 C14 C19 C18 -179.42(19)
C14 C15 C16 C17 2.1(3)
C15 C6 C7 C9
C15 C6 C7 C1A 55.1(2)
C15 C6 C7 C20 175.02(17)
C15 C6 C13 C12 -74.40(18)
C15 C14 C19 C18 2.8(3)
C15 C16 C17 C18 1.5(3)

C32 N3 C27 N43 118.85(16)
C32 N3 C28 O5 -5.2(2)
C32 N3 C28 O6 175.90(17)
C33 C32 C34 C2 -146.00(15)
C34 C2 C26 C25 -56.9(2)
C34 C2 C26 C35 65.3(2)
C34 C2 C26 C36 -174.99(17)
C34 C2 C27 N3 -23.50(16)
C34 C2 C27 N43 -141.91(14)
C34 C2 C37 C38 -51.7(3)
C34 C2 C37 C42 125.61(16)
C34 C32 C33 O7 91.9(2)
C34 C32 C33 O9 -85.74(18)
C37 C2 C26 C25 175.21(15)
C37 C2 C26 C35 -62.63(19)
C37 C2 C26 C36 57.1(2)
C37 C2 C27 N3 91.81(15)
C37 C2 C27 N43 -26.60(17)
C37 C2 C34 C32 -75.24(18)
C37 C38 C39 C40 -0.7(3)
C37 C42 N43 C27 -20.06(19)
C38 C37 C42 C41 -2.7(3)
C38 C37 C42 N43 179.16(16)
C38 C39 C40 C41 -0.9(3)
C39 C40 C41 C42 0.7(3)
C40 C41 C42 C37 1.1(3)
C40 C41 C42 N43 178.87(18)
C41 C42 N43 C27 161.98(18)
C42 C37 C38 C39 2.4(3)

Table A22. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H8A | 9024 | 2369 | 2093 | 64 |
| H8B | 8125 | 3251 | 2518 | 64 |
| H8C | 9708 | 3658 | 2333 | 64 |
| H1A | -3739 | 6161 | 6736 | 50 |
| H1B | -4325 | 5018 | 7110 | 50 |
| H1C | -3848 | 4843 | 6403 | 50 |
| H5 | 977 | 8202 | 5972 | 23 |
| H9A | 4169 | 7295 | 4505 | 56 |


| H9B | 4967 | 6980 | 5242 | 56 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H10A | 6169 | 7542 | 5554 | 55 |
| H10B | 6408 | 6986 | 4842 | 55 |
| H11A | -579 | 5549 | 7864 | 43 |
| H11B | -2249 | 5545 | 8030 | 43 |
| H11C | -1686 | 6614 | 7598 | 43 |
| H12 | 1101 | 4702 | 5844 | 21 |
| H13A | 3504 | 6363 | 6205 | 26 |
| H13B | 3365 | 5402 | 5619 | 26 |
| H12A | -1240(20) | 7790(20) | 5253(11) | 27 |
| H16 | 2888 | 5310 | 4438 | 28 |
| H17 | 1349 | 4697 | 3519 | 36 |
| H18 | -990 | 5556 | 3292 | 39 |
| H19 | -1910 | 6909 | 4016 | 36 |
| H1AA | 2033 | 8678 | 4443 | 53 |
| H1AB | 3196 | 9669 | 4751 | 53 |
| H1AC | 1704 | 9432 | 5066 | 53 |
| H20A | 3079 | 8980 | 6135 | 49 |
| H20B | 4538 | 9341 | 5820 | 49 |
| H20C | 4455 | 8064 | 6187 | 49 |
| H22A | 2050 | 3523 | 7748 | 51 |
| H22B | 3727 | 3613 | 7597 | 51 |
| H22C | 2794 | 2398 | 7418 | 51 |
| H23A | -2111 | 3187 | 6830 | 46 |
| H23B | -2486 | 3383 | 7552 | 46 |
| H 23 C | -816 | 3482 | 7392 | 46 |
| H24A | 11316 | 7676 | 541 | 45 |
| H24B | 11293 | 8534 | 1186 | 45 |
| H25 | 8929 | 8964 | 911 | 32 |
| H27 | 6651 | 8236 | 964 | 19 |
| H30A | 5397 | 5623 | 2933 | 37 |
| H30B | 3809 | 5645 | 3188 | 37 |
| H30C | 4275 | 6719 | 2733 | 37 |
| H31A | 3501 | 3323 | 1954 | 49 |
| H31B | 3329 | 3515 | 2701 | 49 |
| H31C | 4930 | 3562 | 2458 | 49 |
| H32 | 6319 | 4749 | 790 | 20 |
| H34A | 8376 | 5297 | 326 | 22 |
| H34B | 8956 | 6205 | 906 | 22 |
| H35A | 9871 | 6689 | -255 | 40 |
| H35B | 10097 | 8010 | -555 | 40 |
| H35C | 8726 | 7181 | -835 | 40 |


| H36A | 6944 | 8815 | -657 | 37 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H36B | 8312 | 9677 | -414 | 37 |
| H36C | 7014 | 9473 | 29 | 37 |
| H38 | 7296 | 5367 | -823 | 24 |
| H39 | 5275 | 4935 | -1588 | 30 |
| H40 | 3000 | 5867 | -1514 | 31 |
| H41 | 2689 | 7210 | -666 | 28 |
| H43 | $4130(20)$ | $7970(20)$ | $469(10)$ | 22 |
| H44A | 2054 | 6330 | 1899 | 42 |
| H44B | 1508 | 5301 | 2361 | 42 |
| H44C | 1757 | 4969 | 1636 | 42 |
| H1BA | 4792 | 7913 | 4112 | 52 |
| H1BB | 5919 | 6909 | 4491 | 52 |

### 9.4 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Aszonalenin (+)-130




ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of (+)-130, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1019993. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.

Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless prism was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector
type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at 100.0(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization. The absolute stereochemistry was not determined by X-Ray diffraction.

Table A23. Crystal data and structure refinement.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 373.44 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P2 $1_{1}$ |
| a/Å | 9.0926(7) |
| b/Å | 10.6133(8) |
| c/Å | 9.8276(8) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.206(2) |
| $\gamma^{\prime}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 942.83(13) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.315 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.085 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 396.0 |
| Crystal size/ $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.32 \times 0.2 \times 0.12$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.168 to 61.114 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-15 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6,-13 \leq 1 \leq 14$ |
| Reflections collected | 11450 |
| Independent reflections | 3893 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0178, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0190\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3893/3/261 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.052 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0341, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0898$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0356, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0911$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole /e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.47/-0.20 |
| Flack parameter | 0.1(4) |

Table A24. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $U_{e q}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {II }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $1930.4(13)$ | $5318.4(15)$ | $3283.8(13)$ | $24.1(3)$ |
| O2 | $6480.3(13)$ | $8473.0(13)$ | $3554.5(12)$ | $20.2(2)$ |


| N1 | $4614.2(14)$ | $7362.6(14)$ | $2434.7(13)$ | $13.9(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N2 | $4283.0(14)$ | $5076.7(14)$ | $4203.0(13)$ | $14.6(3)$ |
| N3 | $5363.7(16)$ | $8932.8(14)$ | $816.1(14)$ | $17.1(3)$ |
| C1 | $8183.7(19)$ | $4179(2)$ | $4997.9(17)$ | $25.5(4)$ |
| C2 | $8928.9(18)$ | $5259(2)$ | $4655.0(18)$ | $27.0(4)$ |
| C3 | $8129.2(18)$ | $6282(2)$ | $4125.0(17)$ | $21.9(3)$ |
| C4 | $6573.9(17)$ | $6259.4(17)$ | $3901.0(15)$ | $15.1(3)$ |
| C5 | $5872.4(16)$ | $7437.4(17)$ | $3309.4(15)$ | $15.0(3)$ |
| C6 | $4168.6(17)$ | $8471.0(15)$ | $1545.0(15)$ | $15.0(3)$ |
| C7 | $3022.5(16)$ | $7902.7(16)$ | $412.7(15)$ | $14.5(3)$ |
| C8 | $1722.4(18)$ | $8838.8(18)$ | $-65.7(17)$ | $19.7(3)$ |
| C9 | $960(2)$ | $9261(2)$ | $1172.4(19)$ | $26.1(4)$ |
| C10 | $-313(2)$ | $8878(2)$ | $1531(2)$ | $32.8(5)$ |
| C11 | $6648.9(18)$ | $4136.4(18)$ | $4802.8(16)$ | $19.8(3)$ |
| C12 | $5837.1(16)$ | $5168.7(16)$ | $4255.1(14)$ | $14.1(3)$ |
| C13 | $3240.5(16)$ | $5510.0(16)$ | $3218.6(15)$ | $14.4(3)$ |
| C14 | $3799.9(16)$ | $6206.2(15)$ | $2010.1(15)$ | $12.6(3)$ |
| C15 | $5383.3(17)$ | $8222.8(16)$ | $-375.6(15)$ | $15.5(3)$ |
| C16 | $6520.5(18)$ | $8117.9(18)$ | $-1212.1(17)$ | $20.8(3)$ |
| C17 | $6276(2)$ | $7363(2)$ | $-2377.2(18)$ | $26.5(4)$ |
| C18 | $4951(2)$ | $6734.2(19)$ | $-2686.6(18)$ | $25.9(4)$ |
| C19 | $3813(2)$ | $6853.0(17)$ | $-1842.6(17)$ | $20.6(3)$ |
| C20 | $4028.0(17)$ | $7617.7(15)$ | $-694.0(15)$ | $14.8(3)$ |
| C21 | $2488.3(17)$ | $6688.0(17)$ | $1043.9(16)$ | $16.7(3)$ |
| C22 | $643.2(18)$ | $8207(2)$ | $-1163.7(19)$ | $26.7(4)$ |
| C23 | $2329(2)$ | $10032.4(19)$ | $-690(2)$ | $25.5(4)$ |

Table A25. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $15.0(5)$ | $34.4(8)$ | $23.2(6)$ | $11.8(6)$ | $3.9(4)$ | $-0.9(5)$ |
| O2 | $23.7(6)$ | $20.4(6)$ | $16.6(5)$ | $-6.9(5)$ | $3.6(4)$ | $-6.1(5)$ |
| N1 | $17.1(6)$ | $11.9(6)$ | $12.8(6)$ | $-0.9(5)$ | $2.1(4)$ | $-1.7(5)$ |
| N2 | $14.9(5)$ | $17.4(6)$ | $11.6(5)$ | $2.9(5)$ | $2.2(4)$ | $-0.1(5)$ |
| N3 | $20.5(6)$ | $15.5(6)$ | $15.8(6)$ | $-1.2(5)$ | $4.0(5)$ | $-5.5(5)$ |
| C1 | $20.0(7)$ | $39.4(11)$ | $17.1(7)$ | $2.9(7)$ | $2.0(6)$ | $11.4(8)$ |
| C2 | $15.7(7)$ | $46.2(13)$ | $19.1(7)$ | $2.8(8)$ | $2.0(6)$ | $5.4(8)$ |
| C3 | $15.2(7)$ | $35.1(10)$ | $15.4(7)$ | $-0.2(7)$ | $2.2(5)$ | $-2.7(7)$ |
| C4 | $14.6(6)$ | $21.4(8)$ | $9.7(6)$ | $-2.5(6)$ | $2.6(5)$ | $-0.2(6)$ |
| C5 | $16.3(6)$ | $18.2(7)$ | $11.1(6)$ | $-3.3(6)$ | $4.7(5)$ | $-2.0(6)$ |
| C6 | $20.0(7)$ | $12.4(7)$ | $13.1(6)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $4.6(5)$ | $0.1(6)$ |
| C7 | $15.2(6)$ | $15.2(7)$ | $13.5(6)$ | $2.8(5)$ | $3.4(5)$ | $0.1(5)$ |


| C8 | $19.3(7)$ | $21.6(8)$ | $18.8(7)$ | $7.8(6)$ | $5.7(6)$ | $5.4(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C9 | $27.7(8)$ | $28.8(10)$ | $22.7(8)$ | $5.4(7)$ | $6.3(6)$ | $9.6(8)$ |
| C10 | $27.8(9)$ | $48.4(14)$ | $22.7(8)$ | $7.2(9)$ | $4.9(7)$ | $8.8(9)$ |
| C11 | $21.4(7)$ | $24.2(9)$ | $14.1(7)$ | $2.0(6)$ | $3.4(5)$ | $5.2(7)$ |
| C12 | $14.7(6)$ | $19.7(8)$ | $7.9(6)$ | $-1.0(5)$ | $1.2(5)$ | $2.7(6)$ |
| C13 | $16.3(6)$ | $14.9(7)$ | $12.5(6)$ | $1.3(5)$ | $3.3(5)$ | $0.6(6)$ |
| C14 | $13.8(6)$ | $13.3(6)$ | $10.8(6)$ | $-0.3(5)$ | $2.9(5)$ | $-2.3(5)$ |
| C15 | $19.1(7)$ | $14.1(7)$ | $13.7(6)$ | $2.6(5)$ | $4.1(5)$ | $1.1(6)$ |
| C16 | $20.7(7)$ | $22.0(8)$ | $20.8(7)$ | $6.4(7)$ | $7.7(6)$ | $4.1(6)$ |
| C17 | $34.5(9)$ | $27.0(9)$ | $20.4(8)$ | $6.8(7)$ | $14.1(7)$ | $13.9(8)$ |
| C18 | $44.3(11)$ | $19.2(8)$ | $15.1(7)$ | $-1.4(6)$ | $6.6(7)$ | $7.8(8)$ |
| C19 | $30.9(8)$ | $15.5(7)$ | $14.5(7)$ | $0.5(6)$ | $-0.8(6)$ | $0.4(6)$ |
| C20 | $19.5(7)$ | $13.0(7)$ | $12.2(6)$ | $1.7(5)$ | $2.7(5)$ | $1.3(6)$ |
| C21 | $15.0(6)$ | $18.9(7)$ | $15.6(7)$ | $5.9(6)$ | $-0.9(5)$ | $-2.8(6)$ |
| C22 | $17.9(7)$ | $35.8(11)$ | $25.7(8)$ | $9.1(8)$ | $-0.9(6)$ | $2.2(7)$ |
| C23 | $28.6(8)$ | $21.2(9)$ | $28.1(8)$ | $10.7(7)$ | $9.1(7)$ | $7.8(7)$ |

Table A26. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | C13 | $1.2170(19)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.577(2)$ |
| O2 | C5 | $1.242(2)$ | C7 | C20 | $1.525(2)$ |
| N1 | C5 | $1.3569(19)$ | C7 | C21 | $1.532(2)$ |
| N1 | C6 | $1.495(2)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.531(2)$ |
| N1 | C14 | $1.470(2)$ | C8 | C22 | $1.532(3)$ |
| N2 | C12 | $1.4118(19)$ | C8 | C23 | $1.536(3)$ |
| N2 | C13 | $1.3593(19)$ | C 9 | C10 | $1.310(3)$ |
| N3 | C6 | $1.450(2)$ | C11 | C12 | $1.396(2)$ |
| N3 | C15 | $1.394(2)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.532(2)$ |
| C1 | C2 | $1.391(3)$ | C14 | C21 | $1.530(2)$ |
| C1 | C11 | $1.389(2)$ | C15 | C16 | $1.393(2)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.377(3)$ | C15 | C20 | $1.395(2)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.408(2)$ | C16 | C17 | $1.396(3)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.493(2)$ | C17 | C18 | $1.382(3)$ |
| C4 | C12 | $1.400(2)$ | C18 | C19 | $1.400(3)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.561(2)$ | C19 | C20 | $1.387(2)$ |

Table A27. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C5 | N1 | C6 | $118.75(13)$ |
| C5 | N1 | C14 | $126.36(14)$ |
| C14 | N1 | C6 | $113.22(12)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle $/^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C22 | C8 | C7 | $109.68(15)$ |
| C22 | C8 | C23 | $108.07(14)$ |
| C23 | C8 | C7 | $110.40(13)$ |


| C13 | N2 | C12 | $128.36(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C15 | N3 | C6 | $108.10(13)$ |
| C11 | C1 | C2 | $120.13(18)$ |
| C3 | C2 | C1 | $119.35(16)$ |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | $121.73(18)$ |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | $115.23(15)$ |
| C12 | C4 | C3 | $118.36(16)$ |
| C12 | C4 | C5 | $126.41(14)$ |
| O2 | C5 | N1 | $120.11(16)$ |
| O2 | C5 | C4 | $120.21(14)$ |
| N1 | C5 | C4 | $119.55(15)$ |
| N1 | C6 | C7 | $103.34(12)$ |
| N3 | C6 | N1 | $112.62(13)$ |
| N3 | C6 | C7 | $104.87(12)$ |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | $112.98(13)$ |
| C20 | C7 | C6 | $100.34(11)$ |
| C20 | C7 | C8 | $113.60(12)$ |
| C20 | C7 | C21 | $111.26(14)$ |
| C21 | C7 | C6 | $104.65(12)$ |
| C21 | C7 | C8 | $113.00(13)$ |
| C9 | C8 | C7 | $109.67(13)$ |
| C9 | C8 | C22 | $112.15(15)$ |
| C9 | C8 | C23 | $106.82(16)$ |


| C10 | C 9 | C8 | $127.8(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | C11 | C12 | $120.60(17)$ |
| C4 | C12 | N2 | $123.51(15)$ |
| C11 | C12 | N2 | $116.43(15)$ |
| C11 | C12 | C4 | $119.83(14)$ |
| O1 | C13 | N2 | $121.15(14)$ |
| O1 | C13 | C14 | $122.04(14)$ |
| N2 | C13 | C14 | $116.78(13)$ |
| N1 | C14 | C13 | $112.45(12)$ |
| N1 | C14 | C21 | $103.42(13)$ |
| C21 | C14 | C13 | $109.91(12)$ |
| N3 | C15 | C20 | $110.24(13)$ |
| C16 | C15 | N3 | $128.06(16)$ |
| C16 | C15 | C20 | $121.66(15)$ |
| C15 | C16 | C17 | $117.87(17)$ |
| C18 | C17 | C16 | $121.02(16)$ |
| C17 | C18 | C19 | $120.58(17)$ |
| C20 | C19 | C18 | $119.12(17)$ |
| C15 | C20 | C7 | $109.38(13)$ |
| C19 | C20 | C7 | $130.84(15)$ |
| C19 | C20 | C15 | $119.71(15)$ |
| C14 | C21 | C7 | $105.81(12)$ |

Table A28. Torsion Angles.

| A | B | C | D | Angle $^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | C13 | C14 | N1 | $119.66(18)$ |
| O1 | C13 | C14 | C21 | $5.0(2)$ |
| N1 | C6 | C7 | C8 | $144.26(12)$ |
| N1 | C6 | C7 | C20 | $-94.44(13)$ |
| N1 | C6 | C7 | C21 | $20.94(15)$ |
| N1 | C14 | C21 | C7 | $28.49(15)$ |
| N2 | C13 | C14 | N1 | $-62.36(18)$ |
| N2 | C13 | C14 | C21 | $-176.99(14)$ |
| N3 | C6 | C7 | C8 | $-97.57(14)$ |
| N3 | C6 | C7 | C20 | $23.72(15)$ |
| N3 | C6 | C7 | C21 | $139.10(13)$ |
| N3 | C15 | C16 | C17 | $-178.79(17)$ |
| N3 | C15 | C20 | C7 | $-2.43(18)$ |
| N3 | C15 | C20 | C19 | $-179.65(15)$ |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | $-0.9(3)$ |
| C1 | C11 | C12 | N2 | $-174.81(15)$ |


| C1 | C11 | C12 | C4 | $-0.1(2)$ |  | C14 | N1 | C6 | N3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$-115.98(14)$

Table A29. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H2 | $3950(20)$ | $4580(20)$ | $4810(20)$ | 18 |
| H3 | $6180(20)$ | $9100(20)$ | $1340(20)$ | 21 |
| H1 | 8726 | 3470 | 5366 | 31 |
| H2A | 9979 | 5291 | 4785 | 32 |
| H3A | 8641 | 7023 | 3905 | 26 |
| H6 | 3726 | 9157 | 2067 | 18 |
| H9 | 1471 | 9873 | 1750 | 31 |
| H10A | -876 | 8267 | 994 | 39 |
| H10B | -674 | 9213 | 2328 | 39 |
| H11 | 6147 | 3398 | 5044 | 24 |
| H14 | 4431 | 5636 | 1508 | 15 |
| H16 | 7433 | 8546 | -996 | 25 |
| H17 | 7032 | 7280 | -2967 | 32 |
| H18 | 4811 | 6217 | -3479 | 31 |


| H19 | 2905 | 6416 | -2054 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H21A | 1630 | 6861 | 1555 | 20 |
| H21B | 2193 | 6058 | 323 | 20 |
| H22A | 1165 | 7986 | -1952 | 40 |
| H22B | -167 | 8790 | -1455 | 40 |
| H22C | 241 | 7442 | -787 | 40 |
| H23A | 3037 | 10444 | -9 | 38 |
| H23B | 1512 | 10611 | -968 | 38 |
| H23C | 2827 | 9804 | -1491 | 38 |

### 9.5 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Allene (+)-219



ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of (+)-219, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1437268. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.

Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless block was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector
type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at 100.0(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization. The absolute stereochemistry was not determined by X-Ray diffraction.

Table A30. Crystal data and structure refinement.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula weight | 410.30 |
| Temperature/K | 100.0(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | P21 |
| a/Å | 8.6651(6) |
| b/Å | 5.8524(3) |
| c/Å | 18.9495(10) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 93.712(5) |
| $\gamma^{\prime}$ | 90 |
| Volume/A ${ }^{3}$ | 958.94(10) |
| Z | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.421 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 2.159 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 420.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.28 \times 0.11 \times 0.07$ |
| Radiation | $\operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda=0.71073)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.71 to 61.33 |
| Index ranges | $-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8,-26 \leq 1 \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 5272 |
| Independent reflections | $5272\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0688, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0274\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5272/73/239 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.091 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ ( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0589, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1301$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0720, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1410$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.47/-0.66 |
| Flack parameter | 0.047(15) |

Table A31. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {II }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br 1 | $1991.3(12)$ | $-927.0(15)$ | $5502.3(4)$ | $43.3(3)$ |
| O 1 | $3650(5)$ | $3681(8)$ | $1127(2)$ | $21.4(11)$ |


| O2 | $106(5)$ | $8881(11)$ | $1816(2)$ | $23.8(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $1751(6)$ | $5888(9)$ | $1596(3)$ | $17.4(11)$ |
| C00B | $1498(7)$ | $4479(11)$ | $2208(3)$ | $20.9(15)$ |
| C1 | $2163(9)$ | $941(12)$ | $4687(4)$ | $24.8(14)$ |
| C2 | $3115(10)$ | $2832(14)$ | $4729(4)$ | $33.2(18)$ |
| C3 | $3211(8)$ | $4191(17)$ | $4134(3)$ | $28.2(15)$ |
| C4 | $2379(7)$ | $3669(11)$ | $3497(3)$ | $17.1(12)$ |
| C5 | $1435(8)$ | $1726(12)$ | $3475(3)$ | $18.0(12)$ |
| C6 | $1331(8)$ | $339(12)$ | $4075(3)$ | $22.0(14)$ |
| C7 | $2519(8)$ | $5114(11)$ | $2859(3)$ | $17.9(12)$ |
| C8 | $3482(8)$ | $6842(12)$ | $2846(3)$ | $18.7(13)$ |
| C9 | $4460(7)$ | $8512(10)$ | $2793(3)$ | $18.9(13)$ |
| C10 | $6083(7)$ | $8323(11)$ | $2546(3)$ | $17.5(12)$ |
| C11 | $6566(8)$ | $5828(11)$ | $2484(4)$ | $20.7(13)$ |
| C12 | $7201(8)$ | $9546(11)$ | $3079(4)$ | $22.5(15)$ |
| C13 | $6111(8)$ | $9492(11)$ | $1820(3)$ | $19.4(14)$ |
| C14 | $2821(8)$ | $5350(11)$ | $1101(3)$ | $18.5(13)$ |
| C15 | $2658(7)$ | $7178(11)$ | $552(3)$ | $16.5(12)$ |
| C16 | $1584(6)$ | $8732(11)$ | $762(3)$ | $15.1(12)$ |
| C17 | $1020(7)$ | $7964(11)$ | $1450(3)$ | $16.1(12)$ |
| C18 | $3377(8)$ | $7401(12)$ | $-77(3)$ | $20.3(13)$ |
| C19 | $2954(8)$ | $9314(18)$ | $-493(3)$ | $23.8(16)$ |
| C20 | $1888(8)$ | $10892(12)$ | $-279(3)$ | $22.3(13)$ |
| C21 | $1161(8)$ | $10622(11)$ | $354(3)$ | $17.5(13)$ |

Table A32. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{*}{ }^{2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 1}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 2}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{3 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{2 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br1 | $77.0(6)$ | $37.1(4)$ | $14.9(3)$ | $10.3(4)$ | $-5.7(3)$ | $-21.7(5)$ |
| O1 | $24(2)$ | $22(3)$ | $18(2)$ | $-1.1(19)$ | $-3.5(17)$ | $3.1(19)$ |
| O2 | $25(2)$ | $31(3)$ | $15.6(19)$ | $-4(2)$ | $2.5(16)$ | $2(2)$ |
| N1 | $21(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $12(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ |
| C00B | $24(3)$ | $24(4)$ | $14(3)$ | $5(2)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-3(3)$ |
| C1 | $35(4)$ | $25(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $7(2)$ | $-2(3)$ | $-4(3)$ |
| C2 | $51(5)$ | $34(4)$ | $14(3)$ | $5(3)$ | $-5(3)$ | $-13(3)$ |
| C3 | $38(4)$ | $28(3)$ | $18(3)$ | $2(3)$ | $-5(2)$ | $-15(3)$ |
| C4 | $17(3)$ | $20(3)$ | $14(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $2.9(19)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| C5 | $20(3)$ | $24(3)$ | $10(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ |
| C6 | $29(3)$ | $24(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $5(2)$ | $2(2)$ | $-7(3)$ |
| C7 | $22(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $11(3)$ | $1(2)$ | $0(2)$ | $2(2)$ |
| C8 | $19(3)$ | $27(3)$ | $10(3)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $6(3)$ |
| C9 | $22(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $-3(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $2(2)$ |


| C10 | $18(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C11 | $26(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $21(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $-1(3)$ | $3(2)$ |
| C12 | $25(3)$ | $23(4)$ | $18(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $-6(2)$ | $-6(3)$ |
| C13 | $27(3)$ | $18(4)$ | $14(3)$ | $1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| C14 | $20(3)$ | $22(3)$ | $13(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-2(2)$ | $-1(3)$ |
| C15 | $18(3)$ | $16(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-4(2)$ | $-3(2)$ |
| C16 | $16(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $10(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| C17 | $14(3)$ | $19(3)$ | $15(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| C18 | $19(3)$ | $28(3)$ | $14(3)$ | $-2(3)$ | $0(2)$ | $1(3)$ |
| C19 | $22(3)$ | $38(5)$ | $12(3)$ | $5(3)$ | $0.9(19)$ | $-2(3)$ |
| C20 | $24(3)$ | $24(3)$ | $18(3)$ | $5(2)$ | $-6(3)$ | $-2(3)$ |
| C21 | $21(3)$ | $18(3)$ | $12(3)$ | $2(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $1(2)$ |

Table A33. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Br 1 | C 1 | $1.907(7)$ |
| O 1 | C 14 | $1.212(8)$ |
| O 2 | C 17 | $1.211(8)$ |
| N 1 | C 00 B | $1.451(8)$ |
| N 1 | C 14 | $1.396(9)$ |
| N 1 | C 17 | $1.390(8)$ |
| C 00 B | C 7 | $1.518(9)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | $1.379(10)$ |
| C 1 | C 6 | $1.371(10)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | $1.387(10)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | $1.398(8)$ |
| C 4 | C 5 | $1.400(9)$ |
| C 4 | C 7 | $1.487(9)$ |
| C 5 | C 6 | $1.403(9)$ |

Table A34. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 14 | N 1 | C 00 B | $123.4(6)$ |
| C 17 | N 1 | C 00 B | $124.4(6)$ |
| C 17 | N 1 | C 14 | $112.1(5)$ |
| N 1 | C 00 B | C 7 | $113.6(5)$ |
| C 2 | C 1 | Br 1 | $119.3(5)$ |
| C 6 | C 1 | Br 1 | $118.3(5)$ |
| C 6 | C 1 | C 2 | $122.4(6)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $118.6(7)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | $121.5(7)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | C 5 | $118.1(6)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle $^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 13 | $108.2(5)$ |
| C 11 | C 10 | C 12 | $109.5(5)$ |
| C 11 | C 10 | C 13 | $109.7(5)$ |
| C 12 | C 10 | C 13 | $109.6(5)$ |
| O 1 | C 14 | N 1 | $124.9(6)$ |
| O 1 | C 14 | C 15 | $129.4(6)$ |
| N 1 | C 14 | C 15 | $105.7(6)$ |
| C 16 | C 15 | C 14 | $107.8(6)$ |
| C 16 | C 15 | C 18 | $122.4(6)$ |
| C 18 | C 15 | C 14 | $129.8(6)$ |


| C3 | C4 | C7 | $120.8(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C5 | C4 | C7 | $121.1(6)$ |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | $120.9(6)$ |
| C1 | C6 | C5 | $118.6(6)$ |
| C4 | C7 | C00B | $116.6(5)$ |
| C8 | C7 | C00B | $120.7(6)$ |
| C8 | C7 | C4 | $122.6(6)$ |
| C9 | C8 | C7 | $176.3(7)$ |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | $126.2(6)$ |
| C9 | C10 | C11 | $111.0(5)$ |
| C9 | C10 | C12 | $108.8(5)$ |


| C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $108.7(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 15 | C 16 | C 21 | $121.7(6)$ |
| C 21 | C 16 | C 17 | $129.7(6)$ |
| O 2 | C 17 | N 1 | $125.4(6)$ |
| O 2 | C 17 | C 16 | $129.1(6)$ |
| N 1 | C 17 | C 16 | $105.5(5)$ |
| C 15 | C 18 | C 19 | $116.3(6)$ |
| C 20 | C 19 | C 18 | $121.5(6)$ |
| C 19 | C 20 | C 21 | $121.4(6)$ |
| C 16 | C 21 | C 20 | $116.8(6)$ |

Table A35. Torsion Angles.

| A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Br1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -179.1(7) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | -10.0(9) |
| Br1 | C1 | C6 | C5 | 179.1(5) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C12 | -130.5(7) |
| O1 | C14 | C15 | C16 | -179.1(6) | C8 | C9 | C10 | C13 | 110.4(7) |
| O1 | C14 | C15 | C18 | 2.1(12) | C14 | N1 | C00B | C7 | 90.4(7) |
| N1 | C00B | C7 | C4 | -177.1(5) | C14 | N1 | C17 | O2 | -175.9(6) |
| N1 | C00B | C7 | C8 | 2.1(9) | C14 | N1 | C17 | C16 | 5.0(7) |
| N1 | C14 | C15 | C16 | 2.9(7) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C17 | 0.0(7) |
| N1 | C14 | C15 | C18 | -175.8(6) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C21 | -179.0(6) |
| C00B | N1 | C14 | O1 | -0.4(10) | C14 | C15 | C18 | C19 | 178.8(7) |
| C00B | N1 | C14 | C15 | 177.6(5) | C15 | C16 | C17 | O2 | 178.0(7) |
| C00B | N1 | C17 | O2 | 1.4(10) | C15 | C16 | C17 | N1 | -2.9(7) |
| C00B | N1 | C17 | C16 | -177.7(5) | C15 | C16 | C21 | C20 | -0.6(9) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -0.6(13) | C15 | C18 | C19 | C20 | 0.6(11) |
| C2 | C1 | C6 | C5 | -1.2(12) | C16 | C15 | C18 | C19 | 0.2(10) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 0.2(12) | C17 | N1 | C00B | C7 | -86.7(7) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C7 | -178.7(8) | C17 | N1 | C14 | O1 | 176.9(6) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -0.2(10) | C17 | N1 | C14 | C15 | -5.0(7) |
| C3 | C4 | C7 | C00B | -176.6(6) | C17 | C16 | C21 | C20 | -179.4(6) |
| C3 | C4 | C7 | C8 | 4.2(10) | C18 | C15 | C16 | C17 | 178.9(6) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C1 | 0.7(11) | C18 | C15 | C16 | C21 | -0.2(10) |
| C5 | C4 | C7 | C00B | 4.6(9) | C18 | C19 | C20 | C21 | -1.3(11) |
| C5 | C4 | C7 | C8 | -174.6(6) | C19 | C20 | C21 | C16 | 1.3(10) |
| C6 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 1.2(13) | C21 | C16 | C17 | O2 | -3.1(11) |
| C7 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 178.7(6) | C21 | C16 | C17 | N1 | 176.0(6) |

Table A36. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H00A | 1687 | 2895 | 2090 | 25 |
| H00B | 423 | 4610 | 2318 | 25 |
| H2 | 3682 | 3188 | 5149 | 40 |
| H3 | 3841 | 5478 | 4159 | 34 |
| H5 | 869 | 1349 | 3058 | 22 |
| H6 | 712 | -960 | 4057 | 26 |
| H9 | 4130 | 9960 | 2918 | 23 |
| H11A | 6618 | 5132 | 2943 | 31 |
| H11B | 7562 | 5749 | 2292 | 31 |
| H11C | 5821 | 5034 | 2177 | 31 |
| H12A | 6892 | 11113 | 3121 | 34 |
| H12B | 8229 | 9482 | 2918 | 34 |
| H12C | 7184 | 8811 | 3531 | 34 |
| H13A | 5457 | 8668 | 1480 | 29 |
| H13B | 7150 | 9506 | 1673 | 29 |
| H13C | 5744 | 11033 | 1854 | 29 |
| H18 | 4098 | 6343 | -216 | 24 |
| H19 | 3400 | 9527 | -922 | 29 |
| H20 | 1649 | 12158 | -562 | 27 |
| H21 | 430 | 11662 | 495 | 21 |

### 9.6 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Allene (+)-220



ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of (+)-220, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1446561. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.

Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless block was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at $100.0(2) \mathrm{K}$ during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization. The absolute stereochemistry was not determined by X-Ray diffraction.

Table A37. Crystal data and structure refinement.

| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | 407.49 |
| Temperature/K | $100.0(2)$ |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |


| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2{ }_{1} 2{ }_{1}{ }_{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| a/A | 5.99770 (10) |
| b/A | 8.66900(10) |
| c/A | 42.0392(7) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2185.79(6) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.238 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.607 |
| F(000) | 864.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.14 \times 0.13 \times 0.06$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.204 to 133.2 |
| Index ranges | $-7 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 4,-10 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 10,-50 \leq 1 \leq 49$ |
| Reflections collected | 28660 |
| Independent reflections | $3862\left[\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0430, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0225\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 3862/0/283 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.075 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0299, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0721$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0317, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0732$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.10/-0.18 |
| Flack parameter | -0.01(10) |

Table A38. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{\text {IJ }}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U}(\mathbf{e q})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1 | $-3094(2)$ | $7697.6(15)$ | $4188.9(3)$ | $25.6(3)$ |
| O2 | $1915(2)$ | $4031.2(15)$ | $4506.2(3)$ | $25.3(3)$ |
| N1 | $-201(3)$ | $6009.7(17)$ | $4292.9(3)$ | $19.6(3)$ |
| C1 | $9731(3)$ | $5803(2)$ | $2146.3(4)$ | $25.9(4)$ |
| C2 | $10305(4)$ | $6483(2)$ | $2433.8(5)$ | $27.0(4)$ |
| C3 | $8846(3)$ | $6403(2)$ | $2689.7(4)$ | $23.4(4)$ |
| C4 | $6793(3)$ | $5662(2)$ | $2663.6(4)$ | $20.4(4)$ |
| C5 | $6232(3)$ | $5001(2)$ | $2370.2(4)$ | $23.3(4)$ |
| C6 | $7706(4)$ | $5070(2)$ | $2115.5(4)$ | $25.9(4)$ |
| C7 | $5239(3)$ | $5565(2)$ | $2939.1(4)$ | $19.4(4)$ |
| C8 | $5266(3)$ | $6675(2)$ | $3180.4(4)$ | $22.7(4)$ |
| C9 | $3809(3)$ | $6601(2)$ | $3434.8(4)$ | $22.1(4)$ |
| C10 | $2237(3)$ | $5422(2)$ | $3459.8(4)$ | $18.2(4)$ |
| C11 | $2211(3)$ | $4303(2)$ | $3219.6(4)$ | $21.1(4)$ |


| C12 | $3684(3)$ | $4372(2)$ | $2967.0(4)$ | $21.5(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C13 | $700(3)$ | $5310(2)$ | $3734.5(4)$ | $18.6(4)$ |
| C14 | $-1025(3)$ | $4379(2)$ | $3740.6(4)$ | $19.8(4)$ |
| C15 | $-2751(3)$ | $3465(2)$ | $3752.4(4)$ | $21.1(4)$ |
| C16 | $-2746(3)$ | $1804(2)$ | $3869.3(4)$ | $18.8(4)$ |
| C17 | $-3826(3)$ | $1754(2)$ | $4200.4(4)$ | $24.4(4)$ |
| C18 | $-4150(3)$ | $832(2)$ | $3640.3(4)$ | $25.0(4)$ |
| C19 | $-384(3)$ | $1167(2)$ | $3884.1(5)$ | $23.5(4)$ |
| C20 | $1201(3)$ | $6337(2)$ | $4019.0(4)$ | $21.2(4)$ |
| C21 | $-2236(3)$ | $6719(2)$ | $4354.6(4)$ | $19.3(4)$ |
| C22 | $-3046(3)$ | $6044(2)$ | $4659.4(4)$ | $18.8(4)$ |
| C23 | $-4919(3)$ | $6393(2)$ | $4835.9(4)$ | $21.5(4)$ |
| C24 | $-5220(4)$ | $5569(2)$ | $5118.9(4)$ | $25.2(4)$ |
| C25 | $-3693(4)$ | $4464(2)$ | $5216.6(4)$ | $26.1(4)$ |
| C26 | $-1806(3)$ | $4123(2)$ | $5037.0(4)$ | $23.5(4)$ |
| C27 | $-1520(3)$ | $4936(2)$ | $4756.5(4)$ | $18.9(4)$ |
| C28 | $298(3)$ | $4866(2)$ | $4516.7(4)$ | $19.3(4)$ |

Table A39. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+2 h k a *{ }^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U l 1}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{U 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{13}$ | $\mathbf{U 1 2}^{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | 30.4(8) | 21.0(7) | 25.4(7) | 3.5(6) | -1.0(6) | 2.8(6) |
| O2 | 24.3(7) | 24.4(7) | 27.1(7) | -1.0(6) | 0.3(6) | 5.0(6) |
| N1 | 22.0(8) | 18.5(7) | 18.4(7) | 0.0(6) | 2.3(6) | -1.0(7) |
| C1 | 26.9(10) | 27(1) | 23.8(9) | 5.9(8) | 6.3(8) | 5.7(9) |
| C2 | 21.7(10) | 30.3(10) | 29.1(10) | 5.8(8) | 1.7(8) | 0.5(9) |
| C3 | 24.5(10) | 25(1) | 20.7(9) | 2.4(8) | -0.2(8) | -0.7(8) |
| C4 | 23(1) | 17.4(8) | 20.7(9) | 3.3(7) | -0.2(7) | 2.5(8) |
| C5 | 23.9(10) | 23.6(9) | 22.2(9) | 2.3(8) | 0.2(8) | -1.2(8) |
| C6 | 31.6(11) | 25.9(10) | 20.1(9) | 1.3(8) | 2.3(8) | 3.9(9) |
| C7 | 20.9(9) | 19.9(9) | 17.3(8) | 2.0(7) | -1.7(7) | 1.3(8) |
| C8 | 26.9(10) | 18.5(9) | 22.8(9) | 0.8(8) | -0.7(8) | -4.7(9) |
| C9 | 29(1) | 17.0(9) | 20.3(9) | -1.4(7) | 0.5(8) | -3.5(8) |
| C10 | 19.7(9) | 17.3(9) | 17.5(8) | 2.7(7) | -1.2(7) | 1.4(8) |
| C11 | 24.4(10) | 18.4(9) | 20.5(9) | 0.0(7) | -1.1(7) | -5.2(8) |
| C12 | 25.7(10) | 20.8(9) | 18.0(8) | -2.2(7) | -0.6(7) | -1.2(8) |
| C13 | 22.2(10) | 14.9(9) | 18.8(8) | 1.1(7) | -0.9(7) | 0.1(8) |
| C14 | 23.3(10) | 18.8(9) | 17.1(8) | 0.9(7) | -0.1(7) | 2.9(8) |
| C15 | 18.0(9) | 22.0(9) | 23.4(9) | 0.7(8) | -0.5(8) | 1.2(8) |
| C16 | 16.4(9) | 17.6(9) | 22.3(9) | -0.8(7) | -0.3(7) | -2.5(8) |
| C17 | 25.6(10) | 23.2(10) | 24.5(9) | -1.2(8) | 3.8(8) | -2.2(9) |
| C18 | 21.9(10) | 27.4(10) | 25.7(9) | -4.5(8) | 1.8(8) | -6.3(9) |


| C19 | $20.1(10)$ | $21.4(9)$ | $29(1)$ | $2.9(8)$ | $0.8(8)$ | $1.5(8)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C20 | $24.0(9)$ | $19.4(9)$ | $20.0(9)$ | $-1.3(7)$ | $4.2(8)$ | $-4.1(8)$ |
| C21 | $23.2(10)$ | $15.0(8)$ | $19.5(8)$ | $-2.6(7)$ | $-1.6(8)$ | $-0.8(8)$ |
| C22 | $21.7(9)$ | $16.0(9)$ | $18.8(8)$ | $-2.9(7)$ | $-1.4(7)$ | $-1.7(8)$ |
| C23 | $20.6(10)$ | $18.8(9)$ | $25.1(9)$ | $-3.2(7)$ | $0.4(8)$ | $-0.6(8)$ |
| C24 | $27.8(11)$ | $22.4(9)$ | $25.3(9)$ | $-4.6(8)$ | $7.4(8)$ | $-2.3(9)$ |
| C25 | $37.5(11)$ | $20.6(9)$ | $20.1(9)$ | $0.8(8)$ | $4.9(8)$ | $-4.0(9)$ |
| C26 | $30.7(11)$ | $19.3(9)$ | $20.7(9)$ | $-0.4(7)$ | $-0.6(8)$ | $-0.4(8)$ |
| C27 | $21.8(10)$ | $16.4(8)$ | $18.6(8)$ | $-2.2(7)$ | $-1.1(7)$ | $-2.8(7)$ |
| C28 | $21.3(10)$ | $17.2(9)$ | $19.6(8)$ | $-2.2(7)$ | $-1.9(7)$ | $-2.3(8)$ |

Table A40. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C21 | 1.213(2) | C10 | C13 | 1.481(2) |
| O2 | C28 | 1.211(2) | C11 | C12 | 1.383(3) |
| N1 | C20 | 1.454(2) | C13 | C14 | 1.312(3) |
| N1 | C21 | 1.391(2) | C13 | C20 | 1.522(2) |
| N1 | C28 | 1.399(2) | C14 | C15 | 1.305(3) |
| C1 | C2 | 1.388(3) | C15 | C16 | 1.521(3) |
| C1 | C6 | 1.377(3) | C16 | C17 | 1.536(3) |
| C2 | C3 | 1.388(3) | C16 | C18 | 1.531(3) |
| C3 | C4 | 1.393(3) | C16 | C19 | 1.522(3) |
| C4 | C5 | 1.401(3) | C21 | C22 | 1.490(3) |
| C4 | C7 | 1.489(2) | C22 | C23 | 1.380(3) |
| C5 | C6 | 1.389(3) | C22 | C27 | 1.388(3) |
| C7 | C8 | 1.398(3) | C23 | C24 | 1.399(3) |
| C7 | C12 | 1.398(3) | C24 | C25 | 1.387(3) |
| C8 | C9 | 1.383(3) | C25 | C26 | 1.393(3) |
| C9 | C10 | $1.395(3)$ | C26 | C27 | 1.384(3) |
| C10 | C11 | 1.400(2) | C27 | C28 | 1.486(3) |

Table A41. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| C 21 | N 1 | C 20 | $124.68(15)$ |
| C 21 | N 1 | C 28 | $112.03(14)$ |
| C 28 | N 1 | C 20 | $123.19(15)$ |
| C 6 | C 1 | C 2 | $119.79(18)$ |
| C 1 | C 2 | C 3 | $119.79(19)$ |
| C 2 | C 3 | C 4 | $121.28(18)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | C 5 | $118.05(17)$ |
| C 3 | C 4 | C 7 | $121.20(16)$ |
| C 5 | C 4 | C 7 | $120.75(17)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| C 14 | C 15 | C 16 | $125.85(17)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | C 17 | $108.57(15)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | C 18 | $108.46(15)$ |
| C 15 | C 16 | C 19 | $110.97(15)$ |
| C 18 | C 16 | C 17 | $108.81(15)$ |
| C 19 | C 16 | C 17 | $110.22(15)$ |
| C 19 | C 16 | C 18 | $109.76(15)$ |
| N 1 | C 20 | C 13 | $113.19(15)$ |
| O 1 | C 21 | N 1 | $125.07(17)$ |


| C 6 | C 5 | C 4 | $120.53(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 1 | C 6 | C 5 | $120.56(18)$ |
| C 8 | C 7 | C 4 | $121.19(17)$ |
| C 12 | C 7 | C 4 | $121.64(16)$ |
| C 12 | C 7 | C 8 | $117.17(16)$ |
| C 9 | C 8 | C 7 | $121.45(17)$ |
| C 8 | C 9 | C 10 | $121.29(17)$ |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 11 | $117.47(16)$ |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 13 | $121.86(15)$ |
| C 11 | C 10 | C 13 | $120.63(16)$ |
| C 12 | C 11 | C 10 | $121.10(18)$ |
| C 11 | C 12 | C 7 | $121.51(17)$ |
| C 10 | C 13 | C 20 | $116.84(15)$ |
| C 14 | C 13 | C 10 | $123.14(16)$ |
| C 14 | C 13 | C 20 | $120.02(16)$ |
| C 15 | C 14 | C 13 | $178.8(2)$ |


| O 1 | C 21 | C 22 | $129.06(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N 1 | C 21 | C 22 | $105.86(15)$ |
| C 23 | C 22 | C 21 | $129.95(17)$ |
| C 23 | C 22 | C 27 | $122.01(16)$ |
| C 27 | C 22 | C 21 | $108.02(16)$ |
| C 22 | C 23 | C 24 | $116.77(18)$ |
| C 25 | C 24 | C 23 | $121.27(19)$ |
| C 24 | C 25 | C 26 | $121.50(18)$ |
| C 27 | C 26 | C 25 | $117.03(18)$ |
| C 22 | C 27 | C 28 | $108.20(15)$ |
| C 26 | C 27 | C 22 | $121.41(18)$ |
| C 26 | C 27 | C 28 | $130.38(18)$ |
| O 2 | C 28 | N 1 | $124.76(17)$ |
| O 2 | C 28 | C 27 | $129.54(17)$ |
| N 1 | C 28 | C 27 | $105.70(15)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

Table A42. Torsion Angles.

| A | B | C | D | Angle $/{ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | C21 | C22 | C23 | -2.6(3) | C13 | C10 | C11 | C12 | -178.05(18) |
| O1 | C21 | C22 | C27 | 178.57(18) | C14 | C13 | C20 | N1 | 7.6(2) |
| N1 | C21 | C22 | C23 | 176.47(18) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C17 | 105.0(2) |
| N1 | C21 | C22 | C27 | -2.34(19) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C18 | -136.87(19) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -0.7(3) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C19 | -16.2(2) |
| C2 | C1 | C6 | C5 | -0.2(3) | C20 | N1 | C21 | O1 | -0.1(3) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -0.2(3) | C20 | N1 | C21 | C22 | -179.21(15) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C7 | 179.47(18) | C20 | N1 | C28 | O2 | -0.5(3) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 0.9(3) | C20 | N1 | C28 | C27 | 179.01(15) |
| C3 | C4 | C7 | C8 | 26.8(3) | C21 | N1 | C20 | C13 | -90.6(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C7 | C12 | -153.66(18) | C21 | N1 | C28 | O2 | 176.11(17) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C1 | -0.7(3) | C21 | N1 | C28 | C27 | -4.37(19) |
| C4 | C7 | C8 | C9 | 179.21(17) | C21 | C22 | C23 | C24 | -178.89(18) |
| C4 | C7 | C12 | C11 | -178.60(17) | C21 | C22 | C27 | C26 | 178.87(17) |
| C5 | C4 | C7 | C8 | -153.53(18) | C21 | C22 | C27 | C28 | -0.24(19) |
| C5 | C4 | C7 | C12 | 26.0(3) | C22 | C23 | C24 | C25 | 0.4(3) |
| C6 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 0.9(3) | C22 | C27 | C28 | O2 | -177.80(18) |
| C7 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -178.79(17) | C22 | C27 | C28 | N1 | 2.72(19) |
| C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | -0.6(3) | C23 | C22 | C27 | C26 | -0.1(3) |
| C8 | C7 | C12 | C11 | $1.0(3)$ | C23 | C22 | C27 | C28 | -179.16(16) |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C11 | 0.9(3) | C23 | C24 | C25 | C26 | -0.3(3) |
| C8 | C9 | C10 | C13 | 178.63(17) | C24 | C25 | C26 | C27 | 0.0(3) |
| C9 | C10 | C11 | C12 | -0.3(3) | C25 | C26 | C27 | C22 | 0.2(3) |


| C 9 | C 10 | C 13 | C 14 | $168.39(18)$ | C 25 | C 26 | C 27 | C 28 | $179.04(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C 9 | C 10 | C 13 | C 20 | $-11.7(3)$ | C 26 | C 27 | C 28 | O 2 | $3.2(3)$ |
| C 10 | C 11 | C 12 | C 7 | $-0.7(3)$ | C 26 | C 27 | C 28 | N 1 | $-176.28(18)$ |
| C 10 | C 13 | C 20 | N 1 | $-172.30(15)$ | C 27 | C 22 | C 23 | C 24 | $-0.2(3)$ |
| C 11 | C 10 | C 13 | C 14 | $-13.9(3)$ | C 28 | N 1 | C 20 | C 13 | $85.6(2)$ |
| C 11 | C 10 | C 13 | C 20 | $165.93(17)$ | C 28 | N 1 | C 21 | O 1 | $-176.63(18)$ |
| C 12 | C 7 | C 8 | C 9 | $-0.3(3)$ | C 28 | N 1 | C 21 | C 22 | $4.23(19)$ |

Table A43. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | 10732 | 5843 | 1971 | 31 |
| H2 | 11691 | 7003 | 2455 | 32 |
| H3 | 9256 | 6861 | 2886 | 28 |
| H5 | 4833 | 4502 | 2345 | 28 |
| H6 | 7313 | 4607 | 1919 | 31 |
| H8 | 6309 | 7498 | 3169 | 27 |
| H9 | 3881 | 7369 | 3596 | 27 |
| H11 | 1163 | 3482 | 3230 | 25 |
| H12 | 3638 | 3590 | 2809 | 26 |
| H15 | -4135 | 3873 | 3682 | 25 |
| H17A | -2852 | 2272 | 4354 | 37 |
| H17B | -4045 | 678 | 4265 | 37 |
| H17C | -5271 | 2281 | 4194 | 37 |
| H18A | -5667 | 1249 | 3631 | 38 |
| H18B | -4199 | -237 | 3716 | 38 |
| H18C | -3481 | 863 | 3428 | 38 |
| H19A | 237 | 1112 | 3669 | 35 |
| H19B | -410 | 132 | 3978 | 35 |
| H19C | 544 | 1847 | 4015 | 35 |
| H20A | 2783 | 6202 | 4080 | 25 |
| H20B | 992 | 7428 | 3956 | 25 |
| H23 | -5955 | 7155 | 4769 | 26 |
| H24 | -6494 | 5770 | 5247 | 30 |
| H25 | -3941 | 3928 | 5411 | 31 |
| H26 | -761 | 3366 | 5104 | 28 |

### 9.7 X-Ray Crystallographic Data for Allene (+)-221



ORTEP view ${ }^{111}$ of (+)-221, the thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.
Database Reference. Crystallographic data have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) as supplementary publication no. CCDC-1437269. Data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC.

Experimental. A suitable clear, colorless block was selected, mounted in perfluoroalkyl polyether oil on polyimide Micromounts (supplied by MiTeGen) and measured on a Bruker/Nonius Kappa Apex II diffractometer with a Bruker Apex II area detector. The detector type was a CCD area detector. The crystal was kept at 100.0(2) K during data collection. Using Olex2, ${ }^{112}$ the structure was solved with the XS structure solution program ${ }^{113}$ using Direct Methods and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{113}$ using Least Squares minimization. The absolute stereochemistry was not determined by X-Ray diffraction.

Table A44. Crystal data and structure refinement.

Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/Å
$\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
391.45
100.0
triclinic
P1
12.7971(3)

| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $12.8656(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $14.0933(3)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | $88.9680(10)$ |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | $86.1080(10)$ |
| $\gamma^{\circ}$ | $66.5820(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Volume} / \AA^{3}$ | $2124.21(8)$ |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.224 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.671 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 832.0 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | $0.15 \times 0.13 \times 0.07$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.286 to 133.496 |
| Index ranges | $-11 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 14,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 15,-16 \leq 1 \leq 16$ |
| Reflections collected | 36886 |
| Independent reflections | $11081\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0261, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0255\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $11081 / 3 / 1065$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.020 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0307, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0827$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0313, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0833$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$ | $0.27 /-0.20$ |
| Flack parameter | $0.12(6)$ |

Table A45. Fractional Atomic Coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. $\mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of the trace of the orthogonalised $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IJ}}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1C | $3936.0(16)$ | $2732.8(15)$ | $4309.0(12)$ | $30.1(4)$ |
| O2C | $4439.5(15)$ | $5154.2(14)$ | $6397.9(12)$ | $27.6(4)$ |
| O3C | $-1422.4(14)$ | $9066.3(14)$ | $4621.7(11)$ | $22.6(4)$ |
| O4C | $-471.1(15)$ | $9954.7(14)$ | $3356.9(12)$ | $24.6(4)$ |
| N1C | $4032.5(17)$ | $4170.1(17)$ | $5214.9(14)$ | $20.5(4)$ |
| C1C | $4209(2)$ | $3059(2)$ | $5018.7(16)$ | $20.7(5)$ |
| C2C | $4798(2)$ | $2383(2)$ | $5836.8(16)$ | $18.7(5)$ |
| C3C | $5152(2)$ | $1235(2)$ | $6016.7(17)$ | $24.2(5)$ |
| C4C | $5676(2)$ | $844(2)$ | $6860.7(17)$ | $24.8(5)$ |
| C5C | $5828(2)$ | $1571(2)$ | $7499.2(17)$ | $23.0(5)$ |
| C6C | $5454(2)$ | $2733(2)$ | $7318.8(17)$ | $21.3(5)$ |
| C7C | $4944(2)$ | $3110(2)$ | $6474.9(17)$ | $19.6(5)$ |
| C8C | $4465(2)$ | $4279(2)$ | $6074.3(17)$ | $20.6(5)$ |
| C9C | $3410(2)$ | $5133(2)$ | $4629.4(17)$ | $23.1(5)$ |
| C10C | $2217(2)$ | $5831(2)$ | $5065.1(17)$ | $21.7(5)$ |
| C11C | $1896(2)$ | $5575.5(19)$ | $5906.4(17)$ | $24.2(5)$ |


| C12C | $1645(2)$ | $5320.8(19)$ | $6769.0(16)$ | $26.0(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C13C | $1040(2)$ | $4548(2)$ | $7041.1(17)$ | $26.1(6)$ |
| C14C | $-81(3)$ | $5241(3)$ | $7599(2)$ | $48.3(8)$ |
| C15C | $810(3)$ | $4021(3)$ | $6166(2)$ | $45.3(7)$ |
| C16C | $1798(3)$ | $3609(2)$ | $7674(2)$ | $44.6(7)$ |
| C17C | $1521(2)$ | $6866(2)$ | $4545.8(16)$ | $18.0(5)$ |
| C18C | $366(2)$ | $7461.4(19)$ | $4839.4(16)$ | $18.6(5)$ |
| C19C | $-285(2)$ | $8467.7(19)$ | $4415.8(15)$ | $18.3(5)$ |
| C20C | $230(2)$ | $8930(2)$ | $3708.9(16)$ | $19.0(5)$ |
| C21C | $1354(2)$ | $8331(2)$ | $3394.5(17)$ | $20.5(5)$ |
| C22C | $1995(2)$ | $7294(2)$ | $3801.7(16)$ | $20.5(5)$ |
| C23C | $-2003(2)$ | $8524(2)$ | $5220.5(17)$ | $25.1(6)$ |
| C24C | $28(2)$ | $10451(2)$ | $2644.3(19)$ | $28.7(6)$ |
| O1A | $5734.3(15)$ | $7488.8(14)$ | $-128.2(12)$ | $27.2(4)$ |
| O2A | $2034.4(15)$ | $8627.6(15)$ | $1066.6(12)$ | $26.9(4)$ |
| O3A | $6548.4(14)$ | $4608.4(13)$ | $4790.0(11)$ | $22.0(4)$ |
| O4A | $6725.4(15)$ | $2710.7(14)$ | $4057.9(12)$ | $24.6(4)$ |
| N1A | $3949.7(18)$ | $7798.7(16)$ | $562.9(13)$ | $19.9(4)$ |
| C1A | $4744(2)$ | $8114(2)$ | $54.6(16)$ | $19.4(5)$ |
| C2A | $4121(2)$ | $9323(2)$ | $-203.0(16)$ | $19.2(5)$ |
| C3A | $4511(2)$ | $10060(2)$ | $-687.7(17)$ | $22.6(5)$ |
| C4A | $3712(2)$ | $11166(2)$ | $-812.8(18)$ | $26.8(6)$ |
| C5A | $2585(2)$ | $11495(2)$ | $-456.2(18)$ | $26.4(6)$ |
| C6A | $2207(2)$ | $10750(2)$ | $36.9(17)$ | $24.7(6)$ |
| C7A | $2999(2)$ | $9661(2)$ | $154.1(15)$ | $19.3(5)$ |
| C8A | $2864(2)$ | $8691(2)$ | $653.4(15)$ | $20.5(5)$ |
| C9A | $4257(2)$ | $6718(2)$ | $1041.1(16)$ | $21.7(5)$ |
| C10A | $4610(2)$ | $6770.4(19)$ | $2040.2(16)$ | $21.2(5)$ |
| C11A | $4365(2)$ | $7758.5(19)$ | $2448.3(15)$ | $22.3(5)$ |
| C12A | $4145(2)$ | $8740.4(19)$ | $2842.6(16)$ | $23.8(5)$ |
| C13A | $4951(2)$ | $9344(2)$ | $2823.3(17)$ | $22.2(5)$ |
| C14A | $4425(3)$ | $10463(2)$ | $2288(2)$ | $41.5(7)$ |
| C15A | $5073(3)$ | $9611(2)$ | $3853.8(18)$ | $38.7(7)$ |
| C16A | $6104(3)$ | $8619(2)$ | $2353(2)$ | $40.4(7)$ |
| C17A | $5175(2)$ | $5678(2)$ | $2536.2(16)$ | $20.0(5)$ |
| C18A | $5258(2)$ | $4662(2)$ | $2161.9(17)$ | $22.0(5)$ |
| C19A | $5776(2)$ | $3648(2)$ | $2651.9(17)$ | $22.0(5)$ |
| C20A | $6214(2)$ | $3649(2)$ | $3520.1(16)$ | $19.4(5)$ |
| C21A | $6133(2)$ | $4680(2)$ | $3910.6(16)$ | $18.1(5)$ |
| C22A | $5634(2)$ | $5672(2)$ | $3418.1(16)$ | $18.0(5)$ |
| C23A | $6350(2)$ | $5667(2)$ | $5250.4(17)$ | $24.2(5)$ |
| C24A | $6844(2)$ | $1644(2)$ | $3667.0(18)$ | $26.7(6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| O1D | $-132.4(15)$ | $10976.9(15)$ | $9306.8(12)$ | $25.8(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O2D | $-515.3(16)$ | $8576.1(14)$ | $7131.1(12)$ | $25.9(4)$ |
| O3D | $4307.9(16)$ | $3700.3(14)$ | $10110.1(12)$ | $25.0(4)$ |
| O4D | $5272.3(14)$ | $4683.6(13)$ | $8938.4(11)$ | $21.7(4)$ |
| N1D | $-183.9(17)$ | $9545.1(16)$ | $8361.2(13)$ | $19.4(4)$ |
| C1D | $-362(2)$ | $10657(2)$ | $8578.1(16)$ | $20.4(5)$ |
| C2D | $-899(2)$ | $11338(2)$ | $7741.3(17)$ | $19.9(5)$ |
| C3D | $-1235(2)$ | $12479(2)$ | $7572.4(17)$ | $23.7(5)$ |
| C4D | $-1728(2)$ | $12885(2)$ | $6716.9(18)$ | $26.3(6)$ |
| C5D | $-1865(2)$ | $12167(2)$ | $6054.6(17)$ | $24.7(6)$ |
| C6D | $-1507(2)$ | $11016(2)$ | $6226.4(17)$ | $23.5(5)$ |
| C7D | $-1023(2)$ | $10614(2)$ | $7081.8(16)$ | $18.7(5)$ |
| C8D | $-566(2)$ | $9450(2)$ | $7470.6(16)$ | $19.7(5)$ |
| C9D | $394(2)$ | $8568(2)$ | $8944.7(17)$ | $21.4(5)$ |
| C10D | $1673(2)$ | $8042.1(19)$ | $8704.6(15)$ | $18.4(5)$ |
| C11D | $2174.1(19)$ | $8598.9(17)$ | $8190.2(14)$ | $18.5(5)$ |
| C12D | $2665(2)$ | $9156.5(18)$ | $7689.4(15)$ | $21.1(5)$ |
| C13D | $2928(2)$ | $9079(2)$ | $6620.4(17)$ | $26.6(6)$ |
| C14D | $2479(3)$ | $8292(3)$ | $6160.3(19)$ | $43.2(7)$ |
| C15D | $2400(3)$ | $10275(3)$ | $6215(2)$ | $49.1(8)$ |
| C16D | $4227(3)$ | $8616(2)$ | $6448(2)$ | $38.6(7)$ |
| C17D | $2321(2)$ | $6889(2)$ | $9081.1(16)$ | $19.2(5)$ |
| C18D | $1824(2)$ | $6366(2)$ | $9722.0(17)$ | $21.0(5)$ |
| C19D | $2461(2)$ | $5297(2)$ | $10078.1(17)$ | $21.9(5)$ |
| C20D | $3602(2)$ | $4737(2)$ | $9795.3(16)$ | $20.0(5)$ |
| C21D | $4116(2)$ | $5267.4(19)$ | $9144.2(16)$ | $18.3(5)$ |
| C22D | $3482(2)$ | $6319(2)$ | $8787.6(15)$ | $18.0(5)$ |
| C23D | $5832(2)$ | $5235(2)$ | $8336.7(17)$ | $23.4(5)$ |
| C24D | $3827(3)$ | $3192(2)$ | $10835.4(19)$ | $29.7(6)$ |
| O1B | $8175.6(16)$ | $6209.2(15)$ | $3767.7(12)$ | $27.3(4)$ |
| O2B | $11793.0(16)$ | $5069.4(16)$ | $2378.6(13)$ | $31.8(4)$ |
| O3B | $7326.2(14)$ | $9115.8(13)$ | $-1263(1)$ | $19.3(4)$ |
| O4B | $7209.5(15)$ | $11005.8(13)$ | $-545.3(11)$ | $21.3(4)$ |
| N1B | $9914.1(18)$ | $5899.2(17)$ | $2971.5(13)$ | $21.3(4)$ |
| C1B | $9144(2)$ | $5584(2)$ | $3520.3(16)$ | $21.3(5)$ |
| C2B | $9772(2)$ | $4358(2)$ | $3731.7(16)$ | $21.5(5)$ |
| C3B | $9413(2)$ | $3602(2)$ | $4213.4(17)$ | $25.9(6)$ |
| C4B | $10222(3)$ | $2500(2)$ | $4299.6(18)$ | $29.7(6)$ |
| C5B | $11336(3)$ | $2180(2)$ | $3928.2(19)$ | $30.9(6)$ |
| C6B | $11692(2)$ | $2947(2)$ | $3439.6(18)$ | $26.7(6)$ |
| C7B | $10883(2)$ | $4030(2)$ | $3344.1(16)$ | $22.4(5)$ |
| C8B | $10978(2)$ | $5022(2)$ | $2832.5(16)$ | $22.2(5)$ |
| C13 |  |  |  |  |


| C9B | $9590(2)$ | $6978(2)$ | $2491.9(17)$ | $23.5(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C10B | $8917(2)$ | $7021(2)$ | $1628.3(16)$ | $20.8(5)$ |
| C11B | $8588.5(19)$ | $6195.9(17)$ | $1475.4(14)$ | $18.4(4)$ |
| C12B | $8210(2)$ | $5406.3(18)$ | $1394.2(15)$ | $20.0(5)$ |
| C13B | $8755(2)$ | $4343.3(19)$ | $784.1(16)$ | $20.6(5)$ |
| C14B | $7967(2)$ | $4437(2)$ | $-12.2(17)$ | $29.9(6)$ |
| C15B | $8872(3)$ | $3309(2)$ | $1397.2(18)$ | $30.8(6)$ |
| C16B | $9925(2)$ | $4218(2)$ | $352.8(19)$ | $33.2(6)$ |
| C17B | $8562(2)$ | $8059.1(19)$ | $1042.9(16)$ | $18.2(5)$ |
| C18B | $8130(2)$ | $8066(2)$ | $151.4(16)$ | $18.3(5)$ |
| C19B | $7712(2)$ | $9046(2)$ | $-369.8(16)$ | $17.1(5)$ |
| C20B | $7679(2)$ | $10068.7(19)$ | $5.2(16)$ | $18.2(5)$ |
| C21B | $8130(2)$ | $10058(2)$ | $872.8(16)$ | $21.0(5)$ |
| C22B | $8578(2)$ | $9060(2)$ | $1385.0(16)$ | $21.2(5)$ |
| C23B | $7045(2)$ | $12072(2)$ | $-133.6(19)$ | $26.8(6)$ |
| C24B | $7493(2)$ | $8071(2)$ | $-1715.8(17)$ | $22.1(5)$ |

Table A46. Anisotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a^{* 2} \mathrm{U}_{11}+2 h k a * b^{*} \mathrm{U}_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathbf{U}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{22}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{33}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{23}$ | $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{U}_{12}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1C | $31.8(11)$ | $31.3(10)$ | $24.8(9)$ | $-1.3(7)$ | $-9.1(8)$ | $-8.7(8)$ |
| O2C | $29.4(10)$ | $21.0(9)$ | $31.7(9)$ | $0.9(7)$ | $-3.1(8)$ | $-9.1(8)$ |
| O3C | $17.5(9)$ | $21.6(9)$ | $23.6(9)$ | $0.9(7)$ | $-0.8(7)$ | $-2.4(7)$ |
| O4C | $26.2(10)$ | $18.8(8)$ | $25.3(8)$ | $5.7(7)$ | $-5.3(7)$ | $-5.1(8)$ |
| N1C | $17.8(11)$ | $20.2(11)$ | $20.4(10)$ | $3.8(8)$ | $-1.7(8)$ | $-4.2(9)$ |
| C1C | $14.4(12)$ | $22.8(13)$ | $20.6(12)$ | $-0.3(10)$ | $0.3(9)$ | $-3.2(10)$ |
| C2C | $14.9(12)$ | $21.7(12)$ | $16.8(11)$ | $0.7(9)$ | $-0.3(9)$ | $-4.3(10)$ |
| C3C | $25.7(14)$ | $22.4(13)$ | $23.1(12)$ | $-2.5(10)$ | $-3.8(10)$ | $-7.7(11)$ |
| C4C | $26.5(14)$ | $19.9(12)$ | $24.3(12)$ | $3.8(10)$ | $-4.1(10)$ | $-5.2(11)$ |
| C5C | $19.6(13)$ | $25.7(13)$ | $21.4(11)$ | $4.3(9)$ | $-3.7(10)$ | $-6.5(10)$ |
| C6C | $20.3(13)$ | $23.7(12)$ | $19.7(11)$ | $-0.7(9)$ | $-3.0(9)$ | $-8.3(11)$ |
| C7C | $15.4(12)$ | $19.4(12)$ | $21.9(11)$ | $0.0(9)$ | $1.1(9)$ | $-4.9(10)$ |
| C8C | $15.7(12)$ | $20.8(13)$ | $23.2(12)$ | $2.5(10)$ | $0.9(10)$ | $-5.3(10)$ |
| C9C | $17.5(13)$ | $24.1(13)$ | $21.9(12)$ | $7.4(10)$ | $-0.4(10)$ | $-2.5(11)$ |
| C10C | $17.7(12)$ | $21.7(12)$ | $22.4(11)$ | $2.6(9)$ | $1.7(9)$ | $-4.8(10)$ |
| C11C | $19.3(12)$ | $19.3(11)$ | $30.5(12)$ | $1.3(9)$ | $-3.3(9)$ | $-3.8(9)$ |
| C12C | $28.0(13)$ | $24.2(12)$ | $21.6(11)$ | $2.0(9)$ | $-1.5(10)$ | $-5.8(10)$ |
| C13C | $27.8(14)$ | $30.7(13)$ | $19.2(11)$ | $2.4(10)$ | $3.5(10)$ | $-11.8(11)$ |
| C14C | $43.6(19)$ | $43.7(17)$ | $50.7(18)$ | $5.0(14)$ | $17.8(14)$ | $-13.5(14)$ |
| C15C | $61(2)$ | $57.2(18)$ | $33.4(14)$ | $0.4(13)$ | $1.2(14)$ | $-40.2(17)$ |
| C16C | $54(2)$ | $31.9(15)$ | $44.5(17)$ | $15.9(12)$ | $-2.4(14)$ | $-14.4(14)$ |
| C17C | $18.2(12)$ | $19.6(11)$ | $16.2(10)$ | $0.4(9)$ | $-2.6(9)$ | $-7.3(10)$ |


| C18C | 21.0(13) | 17.2(11) | 17.7(10) | 0.1(9) | -0.8(9) | -7.7(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C19C | 18.1(13) | 19.1(12) | 16.2(11) | -3.4(9) | -2.1(9) | -5.5(10) |
| C20C | 23.9(13) | 15.3(11) | 17.6(11) | 1.4(9) | -7.7(9) | -6.6(10) |
| C21C | 21.0(13) | 23.2(12) | 19.4(11) | 3.1(9) | -2.7(9) | -11.1(10) |
| C22C | 18.7(13) | 21.9(12) | 20.1(11) | -0.1(9) | -0.8(10) | -7.2(10) |
| C23C | 19.7(13) | 27.5(14) | 25.3(13) | -0.1(10) | 1.3(10) | -6.8(11) |
| C24C | 31.7(15) | 22.3(13) | 35.7(14) | 12.4(11) | -8.6(11) | -13.9(12) |
| O1A | 22.7(10) | 22.2(9) | 31.2(9) | 2.0(7) | -0.3(7) | -3.4(8) |
| O2A | 24.1(10) | 32.6(10) | 23.8(8) | 4.8(7) | -0.8(7) | -11.4(8) |
| O3A | 25.6(10) | 21.9(9) | 17.5(8) | 1.6(7) | -5.6(7) | -7.9(8) |
| O4A | 28.2(10) | 16.4(8) | 26.9(9) | 3.5(7) | -7.0(7) | -5.7(7) |
| N1A | 21.8(11) | 17.8(10) | 19.1(9) | 2.8(8) | -4.6(8) | -6.2(9) |
| C1A | 19.7(13) | 21.1(12) | 15.7(11) | 0.1(9) | -4.5(9) | -5.9(11) |
| C2A | 20.3(13) | 21.8(12) | 14.4(10) | -0.7(9) | -3.7(9) | -6.8(10) |
| C3A | 22.8(14) | 22.7(12) | 20.4(11) | 1.9(9) | -1.2(10) | -7.2(11) |
| C4A | 28.3(14) | 22.5(13) | 28.6(13) | 4.2(10) | 0.2(11) | -9.4(11) |
| C5A | 26.4(14) | 18.2(12) | 28.5(13) | 3.3(10) | -2.3(11) | -2.6(11) |
| C6A | 19.6(13) | 27.8(14) | 22.2(12) | 1.4(10) | -2.2(10) | -4.8(11) |
| C7A | 20.0(13) | 23.2(12) | 13.9(10) | -0.6(9) | -4.2(9) | -7.3(10) |
| C8A | 21.5(14) | 25.6(13) | 14.2(11) | 0.9(9) | -3.3(10) | -8.8(11) |
| C9A | 27.9(14) | 17.1(12) | 20.6(12) | 3.7(9) | -6.1(10) | -8.9(11) |
| C10A | 29.4(14) | 17.4(11) | 20.7(11) | 4.3(9) | -6.3(10) | -12.8(10) |
| C11A | 22.8(12) | 26.8(12) | 20.2(10) | 5.5(9) | -5.6(9) | -12.3(10) |
| C12A | 23.6(12) | 23.5(12) | 22.0(11) | 1.2(9) | -1.8(9) | -7(1) |
| C13A | 27.5(14) | 19.7(12) | 20.4(11) | 0.1(9) | -1.5(10) | -10.5(11) |
| C14A | 66(2) | 24.5(13) | 37.7(15) | 6.2(11) | -10.4(14) | -20.2(14) |
| C15A | 56.6(19) | 47.0(16) | 27.1(13) | 1.5(11) | -8.0(12) | -35.4(15) |
| C16A | 35.5(16) | 42.7(16) | 45.3(16) | -5.3(13) | 7.3(13) | -19.4(13) |
| C17A | 22.0(13) | 22.9(12) | 17.5(11) | 3.1(9) | -2.2(9) | -11.4(10) |
| C18A | 28.0(14) | 21.0(12) | 19.7(11) | 4.0(9) | -6.5(10) | -11.8(11) |
| C19A | 24.4(14) | 18.8(12) | 23.6(12) | -1.5(9) | -1.9(10) | -9.4(11) |
| C20A | 15.8(12) | 18.2(12) | 22.4(12) | 4.9(9) | -0.2(10) | -5.1(10) |
| C21A | 13.7(12) | 22.4(12) | 17.0(11) | -0.1(9) | -0.3(9) | -6(1) |
| C22A | 20.1(12) | 17.8(11) | 17.9(11) | 1.2(9) | -1.3(9) | -9.6(10) |
| C23A | 29.7(15) | 23.9(13) | 20.6(12) | -0.7(10) | -5.4(11) | -11.6(11) |
| C24A | 30.4(15) | 14.9(12) | 30.9(13) | -0.5(10) | -3.3(11) | -4.5(11) |
| O1D | 24.9(10) | 29.4(10) | 22.3(8) | 1.1(7) | -7.2(7) | -9.1(8) |
| O2D | 30(1) | 19.3(9) | 27.5(9) | -0.5(7) | -2.3(7) | -8.6(8) |
| O3D | 26.7(10) | 16.3(8) | 27.9(9) | 6.0(7) | -3.2(7) | -4.2(7) |
| O4D | 16.7(9) | 19.8(9) | 23.2(8) | -0.5(7) | 0.2(7) | -1.7(7) |
| N1D | 15.5(11) | 19.3(10) | 20.2(10) | 4.2(8) | -2.5(8) | -3.3(8) |
| C1D | 15.0(12) | 24.1(13) | 20.3(12) | 2.1(10) | -0.7(10) | -5.9(10) |


| C2D | 14.7(12) | 22.3(12) | 21.1(11) | 1.2(9) | 0.2(9) | -5.9(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C3D | 25.7(14) | 20.1(12) | 22.8(12) | 0 (1) | -0.6(10) | -6.6(11) |
| C4D | 25.9(14) | 20.4(12) | 28.9(13) | 6.1(10) | -0.7(11) | -5.7(11) |
| C5D | 23.2(14) | 28.3(13) | 19.8(11) | $6.3(10)$ | -1.6(10) | -7.6(11) |
| C6D | 22.6(14) | 28.1(13) | 20.5(12) | 2.7(10) | -2.4(10) | -10.9(11) |
| C7D | 14.8(12) | 21.0(12) | 19.4(11) | 2.1(9) | -0.2(9) | -6.4(10) |
| C8D | 15.2(12) | 23.7(13) | 19.6(11) | -0.4(10) | 1.1(9) | -7.3(10) |
| C9D | 16.2(12) | 20.4(12) | 23.8(12) | 6.5(9) | -1.1(10) | -3.7(10) |
| C10D | 18.9(12) | 19.0(11) | 14.6(10) | 2.3(8) | 0.3(9) | -4.9(10) |
| C11D | 16.7(11) | 16.5(10) | 17.2(10) | -1.2(8) | -3.5(8) | -0.6(9) |
| C12D | 22.4(12) | 19.6(11) | 21.4(11) | 1.3(8) | -1.2(9) | -8.6(9) |
| C13D | 32.3(15) | 28.1(13) | 20.0(12) | 4.4(10) | 1.3(10) | -13.4(12) |
| C14D | 52.5(19) | 64.1(19) | 23.4(13) | -8.5(12) | 3.1(12) | -34.5(16) |
| C15D | 59(2) | 42.7(17) | 34.3(15) | 17.2(13) | 4.4(14) | -10.3(16) |
| C16D | 38.5(17) | 42.0(15) | 33.2(14) | 1.8(12) | 9.5(12) | -15.6(13) |
| C17D | 19.4(13) | 18.2(12) | 18.4(11) | -0.2(9) | -1.9(9) | -5.6(10) |
| C18D | 16.8(13) | 22.2(13) | 22.7(12) | 3.1(9) | -1(1) | -6.5(10) |
| C19D | 25.0(13) | 22.0(12) | 21.3(11) | 4.6(9) | -2.7(10) | -12.2(10) |
| C20D | 23.2(13) | 17.5(12) | 18.5(11) | 0.0(9) | -4.2(10) | -6.8(10) |
| C21D | 16.2(12) | 17.8(12) | 17.9(11) | -4.8(9) | -2.5(9) | -3.1(10) |
| C22D | 19.3(13) | 21.5(12) | 13.5(10) | 0.5(9) | -1.8(9) | -8.3(10) |
| C23D | 16.2(13) | 25.4(13) | 25.1(12) | -1.2(10) | 0.8(10) | -4.8(10) |
| C24D | 32.9(16) | 21.4(13) | 35.1(14) | 9.5(11) | -7.0(12) | -10.8(12) |
| O1B | 24.9(10) | 24.1(9) | 27.0(9) | 0.8(7) | 1.5(8) | -4.0(8) |
| O2B | 29.0(11) | 38.1(11) | 29.9(9) | 3.9(8) | 1.5(8) | -15.8(9) |
| O3B | 21.9(9) | 17.3(8) | 17.2(8) | -1.2(6) | -5.1(7) | -5.6(7) |
| O4B | 24.4(9) | 14.4(8) | 23.4(8) | 1.8(6) | -7.2(7) | -5.0(7) |
| N1B | 23.5(11) | 24.4(11) | 17.1(9) | 3.1(8) | -4.0(8) | -10.5(9) |
| C1B | 27.6(15) | 22.2(12) | 15.8(11) | 0.3(9) | -4.1(10) | -11.1(11) |
| C2B | 25.4(14) | 22.3(12) | 15.8(11) | 0.0(9) | -4.3(10) | -8.2(11) |
| C3B | 25.8(14) | 28.2(14) | 22.2(12) | 3.6(10) | -1.2(10) | -9.4(11) |
| C4B | 37.3(16) | 25.6(14) | 24.2(12) | 6.5(10) | -2.3(11) | -10.5(12) |
| C5B | 32.6(16) | 23.7(14) | 29.4(13) | 4.9(10) | -7.8(11) | -3.1(12) |
| C6B | 23.7(14) | 28.3(14) | 24.4(12) | 0.2(10) | -3.6(10) | -5.9(11) |
| C7B | 24.5(14) | 25.8(13) | 16.7(11) | 1.8(9) | -6.2(10) | -9.2(11) |
| C8B | 23.8(14) | 28.7(13) | 15.8(11) | 0.5(9) | -5.2(10) | -11.7(11) |
| C9B | 31.9(15) | 22.3(13) | 20.0(11) | 2.4(10) | -6.3(10) | -14.0(11) |
| C10B | 24.0(13) | 20.3(11) | 19.2(11) | 0.4(9) | -4.0(9) | -9.5(10) |
| C11B | 18.6(11) | 18.3(10) | 14.8(9) | 2.6 (8) | -1.3(8) | -3.8(9) |
| C12B | 22.1(12) | 20.1(11) | 18.5(10) | 0.8(8) | -2.0(9) | -9.1(9) |
| C13B | 25.2(13) | 18.0(12) | 20.7(11) | -1.8(9) | -1.9(10) | -10.8(10) |
| C14B | 39.5(16) | 24.7(12) | 27.8(12) | -0.4(9) | -11.1(11) | -13.9(11) |


| C15B | $42.8(16)$ | $19.5(12)$ | $30.7(13)$ | $3.5(10)$ | $-7.5(12)$ | $-12.3(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C16B | $28.8(14)$ | $31.2(13)$ | $37.9(14)$ | $-8.0(11)$ | $7.9(11)$ | $-11.2(11)$ |
| C17B | $17.1(12)$ | $17.6(12)$ | $20.1(11)$ | $1.1(9)$ | $-2.4(9)$ | $-7(1)$ |
| C18B | $17.1(12)$ | $16.9(11)$ | $21.2(11)$ | $-3.1(9)$ | $0.3(9)$ | $-7.2(9)$ |
| C19B | $13.4(12)$ | $21.3(12)$ | $16.9(11)$ | $-0.4(9)$ | $0.6(9)$ | $-7.3(10)$ |
| C20B | $15.5(12)$ | $16.7(12)$ | $20.6(11)$ | $1.2(9)$ | $-0.5(9)$ | $-4.6(10)$ |
| C21B | $24.6(14)$ | $18.8(12)$ | $21.7(12)$ | $-1.9(9)$ | $-2.9(10)$ | $-10.6(11)$ |
| C22B | $24.0(14)$ | $23.5(13)$ | $18.7(12)$ | $-1.2(10)$ | $-3.8(10)$ | $-11.5(11)$ |
| C23B | $27.4(15)$ | $15.1(12)$ | $33.1(14)$ | $-0.7(10)$ | $-6.0(11)$ | $-2.7(11)$ |
| C24B | $28.2(14)$ | $20.5(12)$ | $19.2(11)$ | $-1.9(9)$ | $-2.3(10)$ | $-11.1(11)$ |

Table A47. Bond Lengths.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O1C | C1C | $1.215(3)$ | O1D | C1D | $1.210(3)$ |
| O2C | C8C | $1.211(3)$ | O2D | C8D | $1.206(3)$ |
| O3C | C19C | $1.362(3)$ | O3D | C20D | $1.366(3)$ |
| O3C | C23C | $1.434(3)$ | O3D | C24D | $1.436(3)$ |
| O4C | C20C | $1.373(3)$ | O4D | C21D | $1.379(3)$ |
| O4C | C24C | $1.428(3)$ | O4D | C23D | $1.427(3)$ |
| N1C | C1C | $1.386(3)$ | N1D | C1D | $1.393(3)$ |
| N1C | C8C | $1.397(3)$ | N1D | C8D | $1.402(3)$ |
| N1C | C9C | $1.455(3)$ | N1D | C9D | $1.453(3)$ |
| C1C | C2C | $1.488(3)$ | C1D | C2D | $1.491(3)$ |
| C2C | C3C | $1.386(3)$ | C2D | C3D | $1.377(3)$ |
| C2C | C7C | $1.382(3)$ | C2D | C7D | $1.387(3)$ |
| C3C | C4C | $1.391(3)$ | C3D | C4D | $1.394(4)$ |
| C4C | C5C | $1.387(4)$ | C4D | C5D | $1.393(4)$ |
| C5C | C6C | $1.400(4)$ | C5D | C6D | $1.387(4)$ |
| C6C | C7C | $1.382(3)$ | C6D | C7D | $1.388(3)$ |
| C7C | C8C | $1.497(3)$ | C7D | C8D | $1.485(3)$ |
| C9C | C10C | $1.521(3)$ | C9D | C10D | $1.517(3)$ |
| C10C | C11C | $1.308(3)$ | C10D | C11D | $1.317(3)$ |
| C10C | C17C | $1.488(3)$ | C17D | $1.492(3)$ |  |
| C11C | C12C | $1.306(3)$ | C12D | C12D | $1.299(3)$ |
| C12C | C13C | $1.515(4)$ | C13D | C14D | $1.518(3)$ |
| C13C | C14C | $1.525(4)$ | C13D | C15D | $1.532(4)$ |
| C13C | C15C | $1.518(4)$ | C13D | C16D | $1.530(4)$ |
| C13C | C16C | $1.531(4)$ | C17D | C18D | $1.383(3)$ |
| C17C | C18C | $1.404(3)$ | C17D | C22D | $1.406(3)$ |
| C17C | C22C | $1.388(3)$ | C19D | C20D | $1.380(4)$ |
| C18C | C19C | $1.382(3)$ |  |  |  |


| C20C | C21C | 1.380(4) | C20D | C21D | 1.411(4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C21C | C22C | 1.396 (3) | C21D | C22D | 1.378(3) |
| O1A | C1A | 1.214(3) | O1B | C1B | 1.210(3) |
| O2A | C8A | 1.207(3) | O2B | C8B | 1.208(3) |
| O3A | C21A | 1.369(3) | O3B | C19B | 1.372(3) |
| O3A | C23A | 1.441(3) | O3B | C24B | 1.430(3) |
| O4A | C20A | 1.366(3) | O4B | C20B | 1.368(3) |
| O4A | C24A | 1.435(3) | O4B | C23B | 1.430(3) |
| N1A | C1A | 1.389(3) | N1B | C1B | 1.391(3) |
| N1A | C8A | 1.406(3) | N1B | C8B | 1.384(3) |
| N1A | C9A | 1.452(3) | N1B | C9B | 1.450(3) |
| C1A | C2A | 1.490(3) | C1B | C2B | 1.493(3) |
| C2A | C3A | $1.386(4)$ | C2B | C3B | 1.380(4) |
| C2A | C7A | 1.386(4) | C2B | C7B | 1.386(4) |
| C3A | C4A | $1.400(4)$ | C3B | C4B | 1.393(4) |
| C4A | C5A | 1.391(4) | C4B | C5B | 1.384(4) |
| C5A | C6A | 1.390(4) | C5B | C6B | 1.395(4) |
| C6A | C7A | 1.380(3) | C6B | C7B | 1.377(4) |
| C7A | C8A | 1.484(3) | C7B | C8B | 1.497(3) |
| C9A | C10A | 1.518(3) | C9B | C10B | 1.527(3) |
| C10A | C11A | $1.315(3)$ | C10B | C11B | 1.313(3) |
| C10A | C17A | 1.487(3) | C10B | C17B | 1.484(3) |
| C11A | C12A | $1.306(3)$ | C11B | C12B | 1.298(3) |
| C12A | C13A | 1.516(4) | C12B | C13B | 1.516(3) |
| C13A | C14A | 1.534(3) | C13B | C14B | 1.531(3) |
| C13A | C15A | 1.531(3) | C13B | C15B | 1.535(3) |
| C13A | C16A | 1.511(4) | C13B | C16B | 1.524(4) |
| C17A | C18A | 1.381(3) | C17B | C18B | 1.405(3) |
| C17A | C22A | 1.409(3) | C17B | C22B | 1.391(3) |
| C18A | C19A | 1.400(3) | C18B | C19B | 1.378(3) |
| C19A | C20A | 1.380(3) | C19B | C20B | 1.411(3) |
| C20A | C21A | 1.407(3) | C20B | C21B | 1.384(3) |
| C21A | C22A | 1.379(3) | C21B | C22B | 1.391(3) |

Table A48. Bond Angles.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C19C | O3C | C23C | $116.61(19)$ |
| C20C | O4C | C24C | $116.8(2)$ |
| C1C | N1C | C8C | $112.3(2)$ |
| C1C | N1C | C9C | $124.5(2)$ |
| C8C | N1C | C9C | $123.1(2)$ |
| O1C | C1C | N1C | $125.4(2)$ |


| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C20D | O3D | C24D | $116.5(2)$ |
| C21D | O4D | C23D | $116.70(18)$ |
| C1D | N1D | C8D | $112.21(19)$ |
| C1D | N1D | C9D | $125.2(2)$ |
| C8D | N1D | C9D | $122.5(2)$ |
| O1D | C1D | N1D | $125.7(2)$ |


| O1C | C1C | C2C | 128.6(2) | O1D | C1D | C2D | 128.7(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1C | C1C | C2C | 105.9(2) | N1D | C1D | C2D | 105.58(19) |
| C3C | C2C | C1C | 130.2(2) | C3D | C2D | C1D | 130.0(2) |
| C7C | C2C | C1C | 108.3(2) | C3D | C2D | C7D | 121.6(2) |
| C7C | C2C | C3C | 121.4(2) | C7D | C2D | C1D | 108.3(2) |
| C2C | C3C | C4C | 117.1(2) | C2D | C3D | C4D | 117.2(2) |
| C5C | C4C | C3C | 121.5(2) | C5D | C4D | C3D | 121.5(2) |
| C4C | C5C | C6C | 121.0(2) | C6D | C5D | C4D | 120.7(2) |
| C7C | C6C | C5C | 116.9(2) | C5D | C6D | C7D | 117.7(2) |
| C2C | C7C | C6C | 122.0(2) | C2D | C7D | C6D | 121.2(2) |
| C2C | C7C | C8C | 108.0(2) | C2D | C7D | C8D | 108.21(19) |
| C6C | C7C | C8C | 130.0(2) | C6D | C7D | C8D | 130.6(2) |
| O2C | C8C | N1C | 125.3(2) | O2D | C8D | N1D | 124.6(2) |
| O2C | C8C | C7C | 129.2(2) | O2D | C8D | C7D | 129.8(2) |
| N1C | C8C | C7C | 105.4(2) | N1D | C8D | C7D | 105.68(19) |
| N1C | C9C | C10C | 112.3(2) | N1D | C9D | C10D | 112.3(2) |
| C11C | C10C | C9C | 119.8(2) | C11D | C10D | C9D | 120.5(2) |
| C11C | C10C | C17C | 123.0(2) | C11D | C10D | C17D | 122.3(2) |
| C17C | C10C | C9C | 116.8(2) | C17D | C10D | C9D | 117.2(2) |
| C12C | C11C | C10C | 175.7(3) | C12D | C11D | C10D | 179.4(2) |
| C11C | C12C | C13C | 126.4(2) | C11D | C12D | C13D | 126.6(2) |
| C12C | C13C | C14C | 108.5(2) | C12D | C13D | C14D | 111.5(2) |
| C12C | C13C | C15C | 111.1(2) | C12D | C13D | C15D | 108.2(2) |
| C12C | C13C | C16C | 108.5(2) | C12D | C13D | C16D | 107.0(2) |
| C14C | C13C | C16C | 109.3(2) | C14D | C13D | C15D | 111.0(3) |
| C15C | C13C | C14C | 110.0(3) | C14D | C13D | C16D | 109.6(2) |
| C15C | C13C | C16C | 109.3(2) | C16D | C13D | C15D | 109.4(2) |
| C18C | C17C | C10C | 119.5(2) | C18D | C17D | C10D | 122.2(2) |
| C22C | C17C | C10C | 121.8(2) | C18D | C17D | C22D | 118.7(2) |
| C22C | C17C | C18C | 118.7(2) | C22D | C17D | C10D | 119.0(2) |
| C19C | C18C | C17C | 121.0(2) | C17D | C18D | C19D | 120.9(2) |
| O3C | C19C | C18C | 124.8(2) | C20D | C19D | C18D | 120.6(2) |
| O3C | C19C | C20C | 115.7(2) | O3D | C20D | C19D | 125.4(2) |
| C18C | C19C | C20C | 119.5(2) | O3D | C20D | C21D | 115.7(2) |
| O4C | C20C | C19C | 115.4(2) | C19D | C20D | C21D | 118.9(2) |
| O4C | C20C | C21C | 124.9(2) | O4D | C21D | C20D | 115.4(2) |
| C21C | C20C | C19C | 119.7(2) | C22D | C21D | O4D | 124.2(2) |
| C20C | C21C | C22C | 120.4(2) | C22D | C21D | C20D | 120.4(2) |
| C17C | C22C | C21C | 120.5(2) | C21D | C22D | C17D | 120.6(2) |
| C21A | O3A | C23A | 116.27(18) | C19B | O3B | C24B | 116.70(17) |
| C20A | O4A | C24A | 116.83(18) | C20B | O4B | C23B | 116.82(17) |
| C1A | N1A | C8A | 112.1(2) | C1B | N1B | C9B | 123.3(2) |


| C1A | N1A | C9A | 122.9(2) | C8B | N1B | C1B | 112.7(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C8A | N1A | C9A | 124.6(2) | C8B | N1B | C9B | 123.6(2) |
| O1A | C1A | N1A | 124.5(2) | O1B | C1B | N1B | 125.1(2) |
| O1A | C1A | C2A | 129.4(2) | O1B | C1B | C2B | 129.1(2) |
| N1A | C1A | C2A | 106.1(2) | N1B | C1B | C2B | 105.8(2) |
| C3A | C2A | C1A | 130.2(2) | C3B | C2B | C1B | 130.8(2) |
| C3A | C2A | C7A | 122.0(2) | C3B | C2B | C7B | 121.5(2) |
| C7A | C2A | C1A | 107.8(2) | C7B | C2B | C1B | 107.7(2) |
| C2A | C3A | C4A | 116.9(2) | C2B | C3B | C4B | 116.9(3) |
| C5A | C4A | C3A | 120.7(2) | C5B | C4B | C3B | 121.6(3) |
| C6A | C5A | C4A | 121.9(2) | C4B | C5B | C6B | 121.2(3) |
| C7A | C6A | C5A | 117.1(2) | C7B | C6B | C5B | 116.8(3) |
| C2A | C7A | C8A | 108.8(2) | C2B | C7B | C8B | 108.2(2) |
| C6A | C7A | C2A | 121.5(2) | C6B | C7B | C2B | 122.0(2) |
| C6A | C7A | C8A | 129.7(2) | C6B | C7B | C8B | 129.8(3) |
| O2A | C8A | N1A | 124.6(2) | O2B | C8B | N1B | 126.0(2) |
| O2A | C8A | C7A | 130.1(2) | O2B | C8B | C7B | 128.5(2) |
| N1A | C8A | C7A | 105.2(2) | N1B | C8B | C7B | 105.6(2) |
| N1A | C9A | C10A | 111.79(19) | N1B | C9B | C10B | 111.56(19) |
| C11A | C10A | C9A | 119.8(2) | C11B | C10B | C9B | 119.6(2) |
| C11A | C10A | C17A | 122.7(2) | C11B | C10B | C17B | 122.3(2) |
| C17A | C10A | C9A | 117.44(19) | C17B | C10B | C9B | 117.8(2) |
| C12A | C11A | C10A | 178.5(3) | C12B | C11B | C10B | 175.0(2) |
| C11A | C12A | C13A | 125.7(2) | C11B | C12B | C13B | 126.6(2) |
| C12A | C13A | C14A | 109.2(2) | C12B | C13B | C14B | 107.8(2) |
| C12A | C13A | C15A | 107.5(2) | C12B | C13B | C15B | 109.11(19) |
| C15A | C13A | C14A | 108.6(2) | C12B | C13B | C16B | 111.1(2) |
| C16A | C13A | C12A | 111.2(2) | C14B | C13B | C15B | 109.4(2) |
| C16A | C13A | C14A | 110.0(2) | C16B | C13B | C14B | 109.6(2) |
| C16A | C13A | C15A | 110.2(2) | C16B | C13B | C15B | 109.9(2) |
| C18A | C17A | C10A | 121.9(2) | C18B | C17B | C10B | 120.0(2) |
| C18A | C17A | C22A | 118.6(2) | C22B | C17B | C10B | 121.2(2) |
| C22A | C17A | C10A | 119.5(2) | C22B | C17B | C18B | 118.6(2) |
| C17A | C18A | C19A | 120.8(2) | C19B | C18B | C17B | 120.9(2) |
| C20A | C19A | C18A | 120.4(2) | O3B | C19B | C18B | 124.7(2) |
| O4A | C20A | C19A | 124.9(2) | O3B | C19B | C20B | 115.4(2) |
| O4A | C20A | C21A | 115.6(2) | C18B | C19B | C20B | 119.9(2) |
| C19A | C20A | C21A | 119.4(2) | O4B | C20B | C19B | 115.84(19) |
| O3A | C21A | C20A | 115.5(2) | O4B | C20B | C21B | 125.0(2) |
| O3A | C21A | C22A | 124.6(2) | C21B | C20B | C19B | 119.1(2) |
| C22A | C21A | C20A | 119.9(2) | C20B | C21B | C22B | 120.6(2) |
| C21A | C22A | C17A | 121.0(2) | C17B | C22B | C21B | 120.6(2) |

Table A49. Torsion Angles.

| A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1C | C1C | C2C | C3C | -2.2(5) | O1D | C1D | C2D | C3D | 2.1(5) |
| O1C | C1C | C2C | C7C | 179.4(3) | O1D | C1D | C2D | C7D | -178.6(3) |
| O3C | C19C | C20C | O4C | 3.3(3) | O3D | C20D | C21D | O4D | -2.1(3) |
| O3C | C19C | C20C | C 21 C | -174.2(2) | O3D | C20D | C21D | C22D | -179.6(2) |
| O4C | C20C | C21C | C22C | 180.0(2) | O4D | C21D | C22D | C17D | -175.90(19) |
| N1C | C1C | C2C | C3C | 178.5(3) | N1D | C1D | C2D | C3D | -178.7(3) |
| N1C | C1C | C2C | C7C | 0.0(3) | N1D | C1D | C2D | C7D | 0.6(3) |
| N1C | C9C | C10C | C11C | 4.5(3) | N1D | C9D | C10D | C11D | 14.7(3) |
| N1C | C9C | C10C | C17C | 177.4(2) | N1D | C9D | C10D | C17D | -165.76(19) |
| C1C | N1C | C8C | O2C | 178.5(2) | C1D | N1D | C8D | O2D | -179.2(2) |
| C1C | N1C | C8C | C7C | -1.4(3) | C1D | N1D | C8D | C7D | 0.5(3) |
| C1C | N1C | C9C | C10C | 103.7(3) | C1D | N1D | C9D | C10D | -88.7(3) |
| C1C | C2C | C3C | C4C | -179.2(2) | C1D | C2D | C3D | C4D | -179.5(2) |
| C1C | C2C | C7C | C6C | 179.0(2) | C1D | C2D | C7D | C6D | 179.7(2) |
| C1C | C2C | C7C | C8C | -0.9(3) | C1D | C2D | C7D | C8D | -0.3(3) |
| C2C | C3C | C4C | C5C | 0.6(4) | C2D | C3D | C4D | C5D | -0.7(4) |
| C2C | C7C | C8C | O2C | -178.5(3) | C2D | C7D | C8D | O2D | 179.5(3) |
| C2C | C7C | C8C | N1C | 1.4(3) | C2D | C7D | C8D | N1D | -0.1(3) |
| C3C | C2C | C7C | C6C | 0.5(4) | C3D | C2D | C7D | C6D | -0.9(4) |
| C3C | C2C | C7C | C8C | -179.4(2) | C3D | C2D | C7D | C8D | 179.1(2) |
| C3C | C4C | C5C | C6C | 0.3(4) | C3D | C4D | C5D | C6D | -0.3(4) |
| C4C | C5C | C6C | C7C | -0.8(4) | C4D | C5D | C6D | C7D | 0.8(4) |
| C5C | C6C | C7C | C2C | 0.5(4) | C5D | C6D | C7D | C2D | -0.2(4) |
| C5C | C6C | C7C | C8C | -179.7(2) | C5D | C6D | C7D | C8D | 179.9(2) |
| C6C | C7C | C8C | O2C | 1.6(5) | C6D | C7D | C8D | O2D | -0.5(5) |
| C6C | C7C | C8C | N1C | -178.5(2) | C6D | C7D | C8D | N1D | 179.9(2) |
| C7C | C2C | C3C | C4C | -1.0(4) | C7D | C2D | C3D | C4D | 1.3(4) |
| C8C | N1C | C1C | O1C | -178.5(2) | C8D | N1D | C1D | O1D | 178.5(2) |
| C8C | N1C | C1C | C2C | 0.9(3) | C8D | N1D | C1D | C2D | -0.6(3) |
| C8C | N1C | C9C | C10C | -72.4(3) | C8D | N1D | C9D | C10D | 87.2(3) |
| C9C | N1C | C1C | O1C | 5.0(4) | C9D | N1D | C1D | O1D | -5.2(4) |
| C9C | N1C | C1C | C2C | -175.6(2) | C9D | N1D | C1D | C2D | 175.6(2) |
| C9C | N1C | C8C | O2C | -5.0(4) | C9D | N1D | C8D | O2D | 4.5(4) |
| C9C | N1C | C8C | C7C | 175.2(2) | C9D | N1D | C8D | C7D | -175.9(2) |
| C9C | C10C | C17C | C18C | 171.5(2) | C9D | C10D | C17D | C18D | -8.2(3) |
| C9C | C10C | C17C | C22C | -11.4(3) | C9D | C10D | C17D | C22D | 172.9(2) |
| C10C | C17C | C18C | C19C | 175.5(2) | C10D | C17D | C18D | C19D | -178.5(2) |
| C10C | C17C | C22C | C21C | -173.1(2) | C10D | C17D | C22D | C21D | 177.9(2) |
| C11C | C10C | C17C | C18C | -15.8(4) | C11D | C10D | C17D | C18D | 171.3(2) |


| C11C | C10C | C17C | C22C | $161.3(2)$ |  | C11D | C10D | C17D | C22D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$-7.6(3)$


| C7A | C2A | C3A | C4A | $-0.8(3)$ |  | C7B | C2B | C3B | C4B |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C8A | N1A | C1A | O1A | $-179.0(2)$ | C8B | N1B | C1B | O1B | $177.3(2)$ |
| C8A | N1A | C1A | C2A | $0.5(2)$ | C8B | N1B | C1B | C2B | $-2.0(2)$ |
| C8A | N1A | C9A | C10A | $-84.8(3)$ | C8B | N1B | C9B | C10B | $98.0(3)$ |
| C9A | N1A | C1A | O1A | $8.3(3)$ | C9B | N1B | C1B | O1B | $-10.7(3)$ |
| C9A | N1A | C1A | C2A | $-172.24(19)$ | C9B | N1B | C1B | C2B | $170.1(2)$ |
| C9A | N1A | C8A | O2A | $-7.2(4)$ | C9B | N1B | C8B | O2B | $7.4(4)$ |
| C9A | N1A | C8A | C7A | $172.29(19)$ | C9B | N1B | C8B | C7B | $-171.7(2)$ |
| C9A | C10A | C17A | C18A | $-7.7(4)$ |  | C9B | C10B | C17B | C18B | $168.3(2)$

Table A50. Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathbf{U ( e q )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H3C | 5042 | 737 | 5583 | 29 |
| H4C | 5935 | 61 | 7003 | 30 |
| H5C | 6193 | 1277 | 8068 | 28 |
| H6C | 5547 | 3238 | 7756 | 26 |
| H9CA | 3350 | 4855 | 3993 | 28 |
| H9CB | 3841 | 5622 | 4546 | 28 |
| H12C | 1863 | 5653 | 7275 | 31 |
| H14A | -447 | 4738 | 7834 | 72 |
| H14B | -587 | 5810 | 7182 | 72 |


| H14C | 71 | 5619 | 8138 | 72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H15A | 1535 | 3571 | 5816 | 68 |
| H15B | 332 | 4621 | 5756 | 68 |
| H15C | 414 | 3530 | 6362 | 68 |
| H16A | 1951 | 3947 | 8238 | 67 |
| H16B | 2520 | 3164 | 7318 | 67 |
| H16C | 1408 | 3113 | 7872 | 67 |
| H18C | 27 | 7168 | 5337 | 22 |
| H21C | 1694 | 8626 | 2898 | 25 |
| H22C | 2761 | 6877 | 3568 | 25 |
| H23A | -1900 | 7799 | 4933 | 38 |
| H23B | -2818 | 9011 | 5291 | 38 |
| H23C | -1687 | 8390 | 5847 | 38 |
| H24A | 246 | 9977 | 2068 | 43 |
| H24B | 708 | 10508 | 2879 | 43 |
| H24C | -525 | 11209 | 2496 | 43 |
| H3A | 5284 | 9826 | -924 | 27 |
| H4A | 3942 | 11697 | -1145 | 32 |
| H5A | 2059 | 12250 | -552 | 32 |
| H6A | 1438 | 10982 | 283 | 30 |
| H9AA | 4894 | 6131 | 667 | 26 |
| H9AB | 3597 | 6498 | 1074 | 26 |
| H12A | 3418 | 9108 | 3170 | 29 |
| H14D | 3649 | 10897 | 2560 | 62 |
| H14E | 4401 | 10303 | 1615 | 62 |
| H14F | 4891 | 10904 | 2348 | 62 |
| H15D | 5582 | 10013 | 3864 | 58 |
| H15E | 5394 | 8903 | 4207 | 58 |
| H15F | 4322 | 10088 | 4149 | 58 |
| H16D | 6017 | 8469 | 1689 | 61 |
| H16E | 6428 | 7900 | 2691 | 61 |
| H16F | 6615 | 9017 | 2372 | 61 |
| H18A | 4959 | 4650 | 1565 | 26 |
| H19A | 5827 | 2955 | 2385 | 26 |
| H22A | 5600 | 6363 | 3677 | 22 |
| H23D | 6737 | 6069 | 4869 | 36 |
| H23E | 6649 | 5520 | 5883 | 36 |
| H23F | 5528 | 6132 | 5312 | 36 |
| H24D | 7282 | 1515 | 3051 | 40 |
| H24E | 6086 | 1655 | 3580 | 40 |
| H24F | 7244 | 1035 | 4103 | 40 |
| H3D | -1135 | 12970 | 8020 | 28 |
| H2 |  |  |  |  |


| H4D | -1976 | 13670 | 6583 | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H5D | -2208 | 12468 | 5479 | 30 |
| H6D | -1590 | 10519 | 5774 | 28 |
| H9DA | 76 | 7992 | 8852 | 26 |
| H9DB | 247 | 8801 | 9623 | 26 |
| H12D | 2881 | 9665 | 8026 | 25 |
| H14G | 2705 | 8222 | 5478 | 65 |
| H14H | 1644 | 8604 | 6252 | 65 |
| H14I | 2797 | 7544 | 6454 | 65 |
| H15G | 2698 | 10767 | 6525 | 74 |
| H15H | 1568 | 10576 | 6331 | 74 |
| H15I | 2597 | 10248 | 5529 | 74 |
| H16G | 4519 | 9100 | 6776 | 58 |
| H16H | 4429 | 8607 | 5764 | 58 |
| H16I | 4565 | 7844 | 6694 | 58 |
| H18D | 1039 | 6739 | 9922 | 25 |
| H19D | 2106 | 4951 | 10519 | 26 |
| H22D | 3833 | 6662 | 8340 | 22 |
| H23G | 5500 | 5376 | 7717 | 35 |
| H23H | 6647 | 4751 | 8252 | 35 |
| H23I | 5732 | 5957 | 8628 | 35 |
| H24G | 3187 | 3066 | 10591 | 45 |
| H24H | 3554 | 3696 | 11392 | 45 |
| H24I | 4411 | 2465 | 11018 | 45 |
| H3B | 8651 | 3824 | 4474 | 31 |
| H4B | 10003 | 1955 | 4621 | 36 |
| H5B | 11868 | 1423 | 4008 | 37 |
| H6B | 12456 | 2733 | 3185 | 32 |
| H9BA | 10286 | 7104 | 2285 | 28 |
| H9BB | 9121 | 7595 | 2942 | 28 |
| H12B | 7521 | 5504 | 1753 | 24 |
| H14J | 7891 | 5098 | -403 | 45 |
| H14K | 7214 | 4523 | 266 | 45 |
| H14L | 8291 | 3751 | -409 | 45 |
| H15J | 8122 | 3408 | 1692 | 46 |
| H15K | 9396 | 3234 | 1894 | 46 |
| H15L | 9172 | 2625 | 997 | 46 |
| H16J | 10238 | 3552 | -68 | 50 |
| H16K | 10438 | 4126 | 862 | 50 |
| H16L | 9849 | 4897 | -13 | 50 |
| H18B | 8126 | 7386 | -96 | 22 |
| H21B | 8133 | 10738 | 1121 | 25 |
| H5 |  |  |  |  |


| H22B | 8897 | 9062 | 1974 | 25 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H23J | 6646 | 12682 | -569 | 40 |
| H23K | 7787 | 12083 | -20 | 40 |
| H23L | 6587 | 12181 | 471 | 40 |
| H24J | 7264 | 8216 | -2371 | 33 |
| H24K | 7030 | 7722 | -1366 | 33 |
| H24L | 8301 | 7558 | -1721 | 33 |

## 10 SFC and HPLC Traces

### 10.1 Part I. Ir-Catalyzed Reverse Prenylation of 3-Substituted Indoles

## SFC trace for (-)-33



| CH | $\mathrm{tR} / \mathrm{min}$ | Area $/ \mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}$ | Height $/ \mu \mathrm{V}$ | Area\% | Height\% | NTP | Symmetry Factor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 17.187 | 13012933 | 198726 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 1982 | 2.764 |

SFC trace for (+)-33


| CH | $\mathrm{tR} / \mathrm{min}$ | Area $/ \mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}$ | Height $/ \mu \mathrm{V}$ | Area\% | Height $\%$ | NTP | Symmetry Factor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 14.013 | 14161452 | 234491 | 100.000 | 100.000 | 1548 | 3.572 |

SFC trace for ( $\pm$ )-33


| CH | $\mathrm{tR} / \mathrm{min}$ | Area $/ \mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}$ | Height $/ \mu \mathrm{V}$ | Area $\%$ | Height $\%$ | NTP | Symmetry Factor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | 14.280 | 6983678 | 123233 | 51.227 | 52.910 | 1664 | 2.864 |
| 9 | 17.453 | 6649093 | 109676 | 48.773 | 47.090 | 2033 | 2.168 |

SFC traces for (-)-33, (+)-33 and ( $\pm$ )-33 superimposed


### 10.2 Part II. Rh-Catalyzed Stereoselective Synthesis of Allenes



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 12 | 9.093 | 5160990 | 293138 | 49.526 | 52.881 | N/A | 5394 | 1.338 | 1.933 |
| 2 Unknown | 12 | 9.800 | 5259778 | 261197 | 50.474 | 47.119 | N/A | 4831 | N/A | 2.018 |  |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Symmetry Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unknown | 12 | 9.073 | 267298 | 21737 | 1.963 | 3.572 | N/A | 13248 | 0.832 | 0.893 |
| Unknown | 12 | 9.447 | 13349165 | 586821 | 98.037 | 96.428 | N/A | 4171 | N/A |  |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 13.593 | 6467741 | 284591 | 46.765 | 50.159 | N/A | 9517 | 2.396 | 1.736 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 15.027 | 7362670 | 282788 | 53.235 | 49.841 | N/A | 8759 | N/A | 1.723 |




| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area $\%$ | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 10 | 12.847 | 11658589 | 396001 | 49.731 | 53.416 | N/A | 4431 | 1.709 | 2.042 |
| 2 Unknown | 10 | 14.260 | 11784701 | 345357 | 50.269 | 46.584 | N $/ \mathrm{A}$ | 4141 | N/A | 2.160 |  |





| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Unknown | 9 | 14.880 | 17270165 | 449445 | 98.083 | 97.666 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2954 | 2.112 | 2.031 |  |
| 2Unknown | 9 | 16.940 | 337611 | 10743 | 1.917 | 2.334 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 6198 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | 1.597 |




| \# | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 8.773 | 156021 | 9232 | 2.430 | 3.463 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 5408 | 1.787 | 1.108 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 9.773 | 6264404 | 257373 | 97.570 | 96.537 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 3674 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.450 |







| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Symmetry Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 7.420 | 281140 | 16573 | 6.914 | 8.901 | N/A | 4294 | 2.337 |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 7.753 | 8671674 | 379925 | 50.287 | 59.857 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2617 | 2.578 | 1.292 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 9.687 | 8572802 | 254795 | 49.713 | 40.143 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1863 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.181 |




| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Symmetry Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 15.020 | 4518708 | 247615 | 49.925 | 54.023 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 15827 | 1.838 |
| 2Unknown | 9 | 15.967 | 4532315 | 210740 | 50.075 | 45.977 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 13217 | N/A | 1.978 |




| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area $\%$ | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 8.920 | 4851250 | 462156 | 50.026 | 57.110 | N $/ \mathrm{A}$ | 17338 | 4.091 | 1.752 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 10.213 | 4846116 | 347089 | 49.974 | 42.890 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 12670 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2.362 |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 9.007 | 109464 | 10256 | 3.440 | 4.233 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 21281 | 4.341 | 1.061 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 10.280 | 3072385 | 232048 | 96.560 | 95.767 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 14520 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |



| \# | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 15.620 | 4079345 | 216174 | 49.486 | 53.523 | N $/ \mathrm{A}$ | 16595 | 2.850 | 1.649 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 17.100 | 4164109 | 187719 | 50.514 | 46.477 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 15116 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 15.727 | 161148 | 11975 | 3.143 | 5.374 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 24235 | 2.684 | 1.103 |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 17.067 | 4966245 | 210880 | 96.857 | 94.626 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 13074 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area $\%$ | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Unknown | 9 | 21.573 | 3512786 | 84196 | 50.311 | 52.866 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 6327 | 1.562 | 1.760 |  |
| 2 Unknown | 9 | 23.360 | 3469375 | 75066 | 49.689 | 47.134 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 5970 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  | 1.923 |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 21.680 | 3889076 | 89703 | 96.833 | 95.551 | N $/ \mathrm{A}$ | 6106 | 1.978 | 1.857 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 23.760 | 127212 | 4177 | 3.167 | 4.449 | N $/ \mathrm{A}$ | 9054 | N/A |  |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 11.120 | 4293038 | 213661 | 50.271 | 56.714 | N/A | 8151 | 5.871 | 1.680 |
| 2 Unknown | 9 | 14.460 | 4246701 | 163075 | 49.729 | 43.286 | N/A | 7962 | N/A |  |  |




|  | Processed Channel | Retention <br> Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | PDA $258.0 \mathrm{~nm}(190-400) \mathrm{nm}$ | 5.539 | 598909 | 49.94 | 83274 |
| 2 | PDA $258.0 \mathrm{~nm}(190-400) \mathrm{nm}$ | 5.892 | 600394 | 50.06 | 78817 |



|  | Processed Channel | Retention <br> Time $(\mathrm{min})$ | Area | \% Area | Height |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | PDA $258.0 \mathrm{~nm}(190-400) \mathrm{nm}$ | 5.775 | 2279533 | 95.69 | 316344 |
| 2 | PDA $258.0 \mathrm{~nm}(190-400) \mathrm{nm}$ | 6.103 | 102767 | 4.31 | 13915 |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 23.213 | 6587440 | 126352 | 50.135 | 56.410 | N/A | 5100 | 4.244 | 1.986 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 29.540 | 6552020 | 97636 | 49.865 | 43.590 | N/A | 4891 | N/A |  |





| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 29.720 | 301006 | 7671 | 5.046 | 11.530 | N/A | 7956 | 0.805 | 0.896 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 31.273 | 5663954 | 58860 | 94.954 | 88.470 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 2432 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |



| \# | Peak Name | CH | tR [min] | Area [ $\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}$ ] | Height [ $\mu \mathrm{V}$ ] | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 8.647 | 9303466 | 565794 | 49.226 | 56.209 | N/A | 7638 | 2.454 | 1.787 |
|  | Unknown | 9 | 9.787 | 9595896 | 440793 | 50.774 | 43.791 | N/A | 5335 | N/A | 1.770 |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area $\%$ | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 8.840 | 10917057 | 617655 | 99.002 | 98.826 | N/A | 6757 | 2.340 | 1.644 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 9.900 | 110025 | 7338 | 0.99 | 1.174 | N/A | 6853 | N/A | 1.247 |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | $\mathrm{tR}[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height\% | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 Unknown | 9 | 21.100 | 7404778 | 201253 | 51.986 | 59.613 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 9186 | 4.341 | 1.715 |  |
| 2 Unknown | 9 | 25.700 | 683893 | 136346 | 48.014 | 40.387 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 6825 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1.717 |  |



| $\#$ | Peak Name | CH | tR $[\mathrm{min}]$ | Area $[\mu \mathrm{V} \cdot \mathrm{sec}]$ | Height $[\mu \mathrm{V}]$ | Area\% | Height $\%$ | Quantity | NTP | Resolution | Symmetry Factor |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | Unknown | 9 | 20.453 | 9340140 | 248778 | 95.845 | 96.062 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 8233 | 4.842 | 1.744 |
| 2 | Unknown | 9 | 25.080 | 404917 | 10199 | 4.155 | 3.938 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 9777 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |




|  | Processed Channel | Retention <br> Time (min) | Area | \% Area |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | PDA Ch1 254nm@1.2nm-Compens. | 8.382 | 181708 | 6.41 |
| 2 | PDA Ch1 254nm@1.2nm-Compens. | 9.055 | 2651802 | 93.59 |



## 11 NMR Spectroscopic Data

### 11.1 Part I. Ir-Catalyzed Reverse Prenylation of 3-Substituted Indoles



87
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 400 MHz $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$



87
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}, 162 \mathrm{MHz}$ $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, 101 MHz
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 400 MHz
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, 400 MHz $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}$






| M |
| :--- |
| U |
| U |
| N |
|  |

~~~

\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\(\stackrel{+}{\stackrel{+}{+}}\)
\({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\) NMR, 377 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)

\section*{ \\ 1}
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)





\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



\(\underbrace{1}_{1}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz


\section*{\(\frac{\stackrel{0}{0}}{\text { 둘 }}\)}


\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
mixture of diastereomers ( \(\sim 3: 1\) ) an arbitrary diastereomer of \(( \pm)\)-78 is shown



\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
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パ





\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\[
\underbrace{\int_{T}^{1 / 1}}_{\substack{146.1 \\ \mathrm{f1}(\mathrm{ppm})^{145.9}}}
\]

11 -
\(-81.4\)



\(\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{N}{\mathrm{~N}}} \stackrel{-}{\mathrm{N}}\)

Nơ
-81.4
-77.2 CDCl 3

\(\stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\infty}{\dot{+}}} \stackrel{0}{\dot{G}}\)
 \(1 \quad V\)
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\({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\) NMR, 377 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)




\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\), mixture of rotamers



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \ddagger \\
& \stackrel{\infty}{\circ}
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l} 
'1 \\
- \\
\hline 0
\end{tabular} & \[
\] & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& b \\
& \dot{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
\] & & &  & \[
\underset{\sim}{\sim}
\] & & \[
\stackrel{+}{\overleftarrow{+}}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { H} \\
& \infty \\
& \infty
\end{aligned}
\] & & & \\
\hline 11.0 & 10. & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1.5 & & 1 & 1 & 1, & 1 & 1 & & , & 1 & & 1 & 15 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline 11.0 & 10.5 & 10.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & \[
\stackrel{5.5}{\mathrm{f} 1} \mathrm{(ppm)}
\] & 5.0 & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\) mixture of rotamers


\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



NiNu00600000
\(\square \square\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & \[
\stackrel{T}{\underset{\sim}{\top}}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { W} \\
& \text { ion }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\stackrel{T}{\stackrel{T}{\circ}}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 厄్ర } \\
& \stackrel{y}{\circ} \\
& \hline 0
\end{aligned}
\] & & 「্ড & & & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { N } \\
& \text { o }
\end{aligned}
\] & & & & & & \\
\hline 11.0 & 10.5 & 10.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & \[
\begin{gathered}
5.5 \\
\mathrm{f} 1(\mathrm{pr}
\end{gathered}
\] & \[
5.0
\] & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz
\[
\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}
\]
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \(\stackrel{\sim}{N}\) & ¢ & \% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)




\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



\begin{tabular}{l} 
은 \\
지 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
 \(\underbrace{\text { mim min min }}\) \(\underbrace{\circ}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR， 101 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR， 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)





\({ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\) NMR, 377 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\footnotetext{
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\) 298 K , mixture of rotamers


\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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0
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


은
돈
 \(\underbrace{\text { Nocon }}_{\mid}\)\(\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \stackrel{\infty}{\circ}\)

\(\qquad\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & &  & \[
\dot{C}^{\prime \prime}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Wo } \\
& \text { oj } \\
& \hline 0
\end{aligned}
\] & &  & & & & &  & & &  & & \\
\hline 11.0 & 10.5 & 10.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & \({ }_{\text {f1 }}^{5.5}\) & & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\(\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{\text { N}}{\sim}}\)
Aszonalenin (130)
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
mixture of rotamers


\begin{tabular}{l} 
읒 \\
도 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}



\(\underbrace{\text { Non }}\)

Brevicompanine B(132)
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, \(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



Brevicompanine B (132)
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, \(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\subsection*{11.2 Part II. Rh-Catalyzed Stereoselective Synthesis of Allenes}
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 OBz
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
左


\section*{ \\ NイNNNイNNNNNNNNN}

\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
+


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & 1 & & & &  & & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & & & & \(\stackrel{\text { i }}{ }\) &  & & & & ¢ & & \[
\stackrel{F}{\sigma}
\] & & & \\
\hline 11.0 & 10.5 & 10.0 & 9.5 & 9.0 & 8.5 & 8.0 & 7.5 & 7.0 & 6.5 & 6.0 & \[
5.5 \quad 5.0
\] & 4.5 & 4.0 & 3.5 & 3.0 & 2.5 & 2.0 & 1.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.0 & -0.5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\(\stackrel{\sim}{\circ}\)

-
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


嫗
\(\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\infty}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}\)
\(\stackrel{\infty}{\infty} \stackrel{-}{\sim} \stackrel{+}{\sim} \stackrel{+}{\sim}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\section*{ \\ 过 \(\xrightarrow{\text { ancranconanirin }}\)}

\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)




\section*{ -}

\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
207
\[
\begin{gathered}
{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}, 101 \mathrm{MHz} \\
\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}
\end{gathered}
\]
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


乡isis
\(\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}\) \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\section*{}
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\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)


\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR, 400 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)



\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)
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        220
    1 H NMR, 400 MHz
        CDCl3,298 K
```

\(\stackrel{+}{\sigma}\)
```
\(\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{~}}{\stackrel{1}{1}}\)
ल⿵冂卄一巛
バへ
```

\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR， 101 MHz
\(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)




\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR, 101 MHz \(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 298 \mathrm{~K}\)~~~
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