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Physiological protein blocks direct the Mre11–Rad50–Xrs2 and Sae2 nuclease complex to initiate DNA end resection

Giordano Reginato,1,2,3 Elda Cannavo,1,3 and Petr Cejka1,2

1Institute for Research in Biomedicine, Università della Svizzera italiana, Bellinzona 6500, Switzerland; 2Department of Biology, Institute of Biochemistry, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zurich, Zurich 8093, Switzerland

DNA double-strand break repair by homologous recombination is initiated by DNA end resection, which is commenced by the Mre11–Rad50–Xrs2 complex and Sae2 in yeast. Here we report that the nonhomologous end joining factor Ku limits the exonuclease activity of Mre11 and promotes its endonuclease to cleave 5′-termini of DNA strands at break sites. Following initial endonuclease cleavage past the obstacle, Exo1 specifically extends the resection track, leading to the generation of long 3′ overhangs that are required for homologous recombination. These experiments provide mechanistic insights into how short-range and long-range DNA end resection enzymes overcome obstacles near broken DNA ends to initiate recombination.

Supplemental material is available for this article.
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DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) arise accidentally or in a programmed manner (Jackson and Bartek 2009; Lam and Keeney 2014). Depending on the mechanism of DSB formation, DNA breaks can either be chemically clean or contain various secondary DNA structures, chemical adducts, or protein blocks. DSBs can be repaired by either template-independent nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ), which is often mutagenic (Chang et al. 2017), or template-dependent homologous recombination (HR), which is largely accurate (Kowalczykowski 2015). How short-range and long-range DNA end resection enzymes overcome obstacles near broken DNA ends to initiate recombination.
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Results and Discussion

Both Ku and MRX are recruited quickly and independently to DNA ends in both G1 and S/G2 phases of the cell cycle (Lisby et al. 2004; Wu et al. 2008). Previously, we showed that recombinant MRX and Sae2 preferentially cleave the 5′-terminated DNA strand in the vicinity of streptavidin-blocked DNA ends (Cannavo and Cejka 2014). To investigate the interplay of MRX–Sae2 and Ku, we expressed and purified the recombinant S. cerevisiae Yku70–80 heterodimer [Ku] (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Ku binds dsDNA (Supplemental Fig. S1B), as established previously [Blier et al. 1993; Gottlieb and Jackson 1993]. The recombinant MRX complex degraded a 70-base-pair (bp)-long dsDNA substrate primarily using the 3′-5′ exonuclease activity of Mre11, releasing the radioactive label from the 3′ end (Fig. 1A, lane 3) independently of Sae2 (Fig. 1A, lane 5). Strikingly, when we titrated Ku into the reaction, we observed an inhibition of the Mre11 exonuclease and the appearance of novel exonuclease products (Fig. 1A, lanes 6–8). Very high Ku concentrations fully protected the substrate (Fig. 1A, lane 9), as multiple Ku molecules likely occlude the dsDNA substrate and thus prevent the access of MRX (Fig. 1A, lane 9). Similar behavior was observed with a 100-bp-long dsDNA substrate (Supplemental Fig. S1C). These results indicate that in the presence of Ku, DNA ends are likely to be efficiently processed not by the 3′-5′ exonuclease of Mre11 but rather by its endonuclease activity. The endonucleolytic clipping depends on the nuclease of Mre11, as the nuclease-dead Mre11 125–126 HD/LV (Mre11 ND) variant did not support this cleavage (Fig. 1B, lane 5). Furthermore, Sae2, Rad50, and ATP were required, while Xrs2 was dispensable under our experimental conditions, in agreement with previous data [Fig. 1B, Supplemental Fig. S1D; Cannavo and Cejka 2014; Oh et al. 2016].

Genetic experiments suggested that Ku inhibits DNA end resection, particularly in the G1 phase of the cell cycle. In G2, Ku is inhibitory when overexpressed but not when present at physiological levels (Clerici et al. 2008). Efficient resection in G2 cells is dependent on the nuclease of Mre11 and also on Exo1 [Bonetti et al. 2010; Mimitou and Symington 2010; Foster et al. 2011]. DNA end resection is under cyclin-dependent kinase (CDK) control, with the main target being S267 of Sae2 at S/G2 (Huertas et al. 2008). In accord with this, we observed the endonucleolytic cleavage near Ku-bound ends when using recombinant phosphorylated Sae2 but not when Sae2 was treated with λ phosphatase during purification [Fig. 1C, all experiments in this work use phosphorylated Sae2 unless indicated otherwise]. These experiments demonstrate that phosphorylated Sae2 stimulates MRX to cleave near Ku-bound DNA ends, which likely recapitulates events that occur during the G2 phase in vivo.

Previously, we observed that MRX cleaved the streptavidin-bound DNA ~20 nucleotides (nt) away from the 5′ DNA end (Fig. 2A, lane 5; Cannavo and Cejka 2014). Therefore, unlike the 3′→5′ exonuclease of Mre11, the Mre11 endonuclease degrades DNA with the right polarity for recombination [White and Haber 1990; Cannavo and Cejka 2014; Cejka 2015]. In contrast to streptavidin-blocked ends, Ku-bound DNA was cleaved further away, ~35 nt from the 5′ DNA end (Fig. 2A, lane 10, Fig. 2B). This is in agreement with the Ku DNA-binding site size of ~30–35 bp [Blier et al. 1993], which likely occludes a longer DNA stretch than terminally bound streptavidin (Fig. 2B). The cleavage position did not change when using a longer, 100-bp-long DNA substrate (up to ~35 nt from the 5′ end) (Supplemental Fig. S1E), demonstrating the preferential cleavage of the 5′-terminated strand. Instead, the site of DNA cleavage changed when we shifted the position of biotin–streptavidin away from the DNA end [Fig. 2C], suggesting that MRX–Sae2 cuts DNA near obstacles located also internal to DNA ends.

Careful examination of assays with Ku-blocked DNA ends revealed differences in product lengths when comparing reactions carried out with 5′- or 3′-labeled DNA substrates. In case of the 5′-labeled strand, MRX–Sae2 consistently gave rise to “fuzzy” signals, indicating end products of various lengths [Fig. 2A, lane 10]. In contrast,

Figure 1. Ku bound to DNA ends limits the exonuclease and stimulates the endonuclease activity of MRX–Sae2. [A] A representative experiment showing the effect of Ku on the nuclease activities of MRX–Sae2. The recombinant proteins, as indicated, were incubated with 3′-labeled oligonucleotide-based dsDNA of 70 bp in length. The reaction products were separated by denaturing polyacrylamide gel (15%) electrophoresis. The red asterisk indicates the location of the 32P label. The positions of exonuclease and endonuclease products are indicated at the right. [B] The endonucleolytic cleavage near Ku (10 nM)-bound DNA ends requires the nuclease of Mre11, Rad50, Sae2, and ATP. A representative experiment is shown. (C) Sae2 needs to be phosphorylated in order to promote MRX cleavage near Ku (10 nM)-bound DNA ends. The reaction in lane 3 included phosphorylated Sae2. The reaction in lane 4 contained λ phosphatase-treated Sae2. A representative experiment is shown.
MRX–Sae2 nuclease led to a “sharp” band when using a substrate with the same strand but 3'-labeled [Fig. 2A, lane 15; see also Supplemental Fig. S1F]. This likely indicates that MRX–Sae2 initially cleave endonucleolytically at a relatively precise position away from the 5’ end determined by the end-bound Ku and then continue degrading DNA back toward the 5’ end, likely using the 3’ → 5’ exonuclease of Mre11, which terminates at various positions [Fig. 2B]. We believe that the exonuclease degradation following endonucleolytic cleavage is more likely to occur with Ku that has the capacity to slide on DNA rather than with tightly bound streptavidin.

Stretches of ssDNA are bound by the RPA in vivo. To investigate whether RPA can direct DNA cleavage by MRX–Sae2, we compared the processing of blunt-ended DNA and DNA with an overhang by MRX–Sae2 in the absence or presence of RPA [Fig. 3A,B, Supplemental Fig. S2A]. Without RPA, the substrates were preferentially degraded by the 3’ → 5’ exonuclease activity of Mre11 independently of Sae2 [Fig. 3A,B]. Strikingly, when ssDNA overhangs were present, the inclusion of RPA led to the stimulation of endonucleolytic DNA cleavage in conjunction with Sae2 [Fig. 3A,B, Supplemental Fig. S2A]. In particular, we observed that RPA-coated 3’ overhangs stimulated MRX–Sae2 cleavage of the opposite 5’-terminated DNA strand [Fig. 3A, lane 5]. At the same time, RPA inhibited the 3’ → 5’ exonuclease processing of DNA with overhangs [Fig. 3B, cf. lanes 3,5 and 6,8], which can be also seen with a ssDNA oligonucleotide [Supplemental Fig. 2B,C]. In contrast, we did not see stimulation of the endonuclease activity of MRX–Sae2 by RPA in the case of blunt-ended DNA [Fig. 3A,B]. RPA bound to ssDNA overhangs thus also directs preferential 5’ DNA cleavage by MRX–Sae2 while protecting 3’ overhangs from degradation.

According to the DNA end resection model, endonucleolytic cleavage by MRX–Sae2 creates entry sites for the long-range DNA end resection enzymes such as Exo1 [Cejka 2015]. Previously, it had been demonstrated that MRX has a structural (i.e., nuclease independent) role to recruit Exo1 to DNA ends and stimulate its exonuclease activity [Nicolette et al. 2010; Cannavo et al. 2013]. This function is likely relevant for the processing of “clean” DNA ends. In contrast, genetic experiments also suggested that the endonucleolytic cleavage by Mre11 precedes resection by Exo1 in the case of blocked DNA ends, such as Spo11-bound ends in meiosis or Ku-bound ends in mitotic cells in G2 [Keeney and Kleckner 1995; Neale et al. 2005; Bonetti et al. 2010; Mimitou and Symington 2010; Foster et al. 2011]. Streptavidin bound at DNA ends inhibited resection by Exo1, as expected [Supplemental Fig. S3A]. The exonuclease activity was intrinsic to Exo1 because nuclease-dead Exo1 D173A possessed no activity [Supplemental Fig. S3B, Tran et al. 2002]. To investigate the interplay of the MRX–Sae2 nuclease complex with Exo1, we carried out reactions with streptavidin-blocked dsDNA and MRX–Sae2 with or without Exo1. While Exo1 did not affect the efficiency of the endonucleolytic cleavage by MRX–Sae2, it was clearly capable of extending the degradation of products resulting from clipping by MRX–Sae2 [Fig. 4A]. We observed that the same concentration of Exo1 (25 nM) was required to efficiently degrade DNA downstream from
the MRX–Sae2 cleavage as well as free DNA [cf. lane 10 in Fig. 4B and lane 5 in Supplemental Fig. S3A], showing that the DNA degradation by Exo1 following MRX–Sae2 clipping is very efficient.

To determine the specificity of DNA degradation by Exo1 downstream from MRX–Sae2, we performed kinetic experiments with unblocked, streptavidin-blocked, or Ku-blocked dsDNA [Fig. 4C–H; Supplemental Figure S3D–J]. In the absence of Exo1, the endonucleolytic products of MRX–Sae2 appeared in a time-dependent manner [Fig. 4C,H; Supplemental Fig. S3]. Inclusion of Exo1, but not nuclease-dead Exo1 D173A, resulted in further degradation of most of these cleavage products [Fig. 4D,E,H; Supplemental Fig. S3]. This showed that Exo1 can function directly downstream from MRX–Sae2 clipping also during the processing of Ku-bound DNA ends. We next used the T7 exonuclease, which is a 5′ → 3′ DNA exonuclease acting on dsDNA from ends or nicks as Exo1. We selected T7 exonuclease concentration with higher specific activity than 25 nM Exo1 on unprotected DNA [2 U of T7 exonuclease] [Supplemental Fig. S3, cf. A and C]. This amount of T7 exonuclease led to degradation of DNA with unblocked ends similar to that by Exo1 in conjunction with MRX–Sae2 [Supplemental Fig. S3E, G,H]. Strikingly, the inclusion of 2 U of T7 exonuclease in the MRX–Sae2 reactions resulted in only a minimal degradation of the endonucleolytic cleavage products downstream from MRX–Sae2 in the case of both streptavidin-blocked and Ku-blocked DNA [Fig. 4, cf. C and F; Supplemental Fig. S3]. In contrast, both 25 nM Exo1 and 2 U of T7 exonuclease were sufficient to degrade ~90% of nicked circular DNA [Supplemental Fig. S3K]. This suggested that Exo1 functions downstream from MRX–Sae2 clipping in a highly specific manner and that the endonucleolytic cleavage sites are likely protected from unscheduled degradation [Fig. 4H; Supplemental Fig. S3]. In all cases, the efficiency of DNA cleavage by MRX–Sae2 was not notably affected by the addition of the exonucleases, as seen by overall substrate utilization [Fig. 4G; Supplemental Fig. S3].

Together, our results implicate that MRX in conjunction with phosphorylated Sae2 is a versatile complex that is likely to cleave 5′-terminated DNA strands at DSBs past various covalently or noncovalently bound polypeptides. We showed that MRX–Sae2 efficiently cleaves near Ku-blocked DNA ends, which shows how recombination competes with NHEJ in the S/G2 phase when Sae2 is phosphorylated and thus capable of promoting endonucleolytic DNA cleavage by MRX. As MRX also promotes NHEJ, our results support a model in which DNA ends that are initially bound by Ku and MRX first channel DSB repair to NHEJ [Chen et al. 2001]. In cases when this does not occur in a timely fashion, the subsequent recruitment of phosphorylated Sae2 and the endonucleolytic cleavage of DNA by MRX then direct the repair toward HR [Shibata et al. 2014].

Genetic experiments showed that MRX may initially cleave further away from the ends (in some cases, up to ~300 bp) [Garcia et al. 2011]; in agreement with this, we observed that internally bound streptavidin also directed MRX–Sae2 cleavage [Fig. 2C]. To this point, it is interesting that DNA end resection end points of MRX–Sae2 resection tracks in meiotic cells correlated with nucleosome positions [Mimitou et al. 2017]. Our observations thus raise a possibility that nucleosomes might also direct the endonucleolytic cleavage by MRX–Sae2, however, whether this is indeed the case and whether any chromatin mark specifically regulates this cleavage remain to be determined. Here, we directly demonstrate bidirectional resection by MRX–Sae2 and Exo1. Following endonucleolytic cleavage by MRX, the complex proceeds in a 3′ → 5′ direction back toward the end [Garcia et al. 2011; Shibata et al. 2014]. Concurrently, we show that cognate Exo1 is capable of continuing resecting 5′ → 3′ downstream from the MRX–Sae2 catalyzed clipping in a highly specific manner. These experiments thus reconstitute resection of blocked DNA ends where both short-range

**Figure 4.** Exo1 efficiently and specifically functions downstream from the MRX–Sae2 nuclease in the processing of dsDNA with blocked ends. [A] A representative experiment showing the processing of streptavidin-blocked dsDNA by MRX–Sae2 in the absence [lane 2] or presence [lane 3] of Exo1. [B] Representative DNA clipping reactions with MRX–Sae2 with various concentrations of Exo1, as indicated. [C–F] Exo1 degrades the MRX–Sae2 nuclease products in a specific manner. Representative kinetic nuclease assays with MRX–Sae2 and either no additional exonuclease [(C), Exo1 (D), Exo1 D173A (nuclease-dead); E], or T7 exonuclease (F). The DNA was either blocked by streptavidin [left] or bound by 30 nM Ku [right]. [G] Quantitation of experiments such as those from C–F showing overall substrate utilization in reactions with Ku-blocked dsDNA. Averages are shown. n ≥ 3. Error bars indicate SEM. [H] Quantitation of experiments such as those from C–F showing the fraction of endonuclease products versus total DNA in reactions with Ku-blocked dsDNA. Averages are shown. n ≥ 3. Error bars indicate SEM.
(MRX–Sae2) and long-range [Exo1] nucleases are activated sequentially.

Materials and methods

Preparation of recombinant proteins

The recombinant *S. cerevisiae* MRX complex, Xrs2, Exo1, and nuclease-dead Exo1 D173A were expressed in *Spodoptera frugiperda* 9 (S9) cells and purified as described previously (Cannavo et al. 2013; Cannavo and Cejka 2014; Oh et al. 2016). Mre11 and Nde1 ND were expressed in S9 cells using pFB-MBP-Mre11-his and pFB-MBP-Mre11-his vectors, respectively, and purified similarly as described previously for Sgs1 (Cejka and Kowalczykowski 2010). Both Mre11 variants contained an MBP tag at the N terminus that was cleaved during purification as well as a 10× His-tag at the C terminus. Rad50 was expressed in S9 cells using a pFB-RAD50-Flag vector using anti-Flag affinity chromatography; the recombinant Rad50 construct contained a C-terminal Flag tag. Yeast RPA was expressed from p1ld-seRPA vector [a kind gift from M. Wold, University of Iowa] in *Escherichia coli* and purified using procedures similar to those used for human RPA (Bint et al. 2006). T7 exonuclease was purchased from New England Biolabs [M0263]. Yeast Sae2 was prepared as described previously (Cannavo and Cejka 2014) with the following modification: Okadaic acid (100 nM final concentration) [Calbiochem] was added to the S9 cells expressing Sae2 for the last 3 h before harvesting. Lysis buffer was additionally supplemented with the following phosphatase inhibitors: 25 nM okadaic acid [Calbiochem], 1 mM Na3VO4 (Sigma), 20 nM NaF [Applichem], and 15 mM Na3P04 (Applichem). This preserved phosphorylation of Sae2 [details provided elsewhere] (E Cannavo and P Cejka, in prep.). To prepare dephosphorylated Sae2, the S9 culture and lysis buffer were not supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors. Additionally, 20,000 U of λ phosphatase [New England Biolabs] for purification from 1.6 l S9 cells was added in the course of protein purification during the step when MBP-Sae2 was digested with PreScission protease (Cannavo and Cejka 2014); the reaction was supplemented with 1 mM MnCl2 and incubated for 30 min at room temperature and 90 min at 4°C together with PreScission protease. Mock treatment (without λ phosphatase) of phosphorylated Sae2 did not affect its capacity to promote MRX [data not shown]. The preparation of the Ku complex is detailed in the Supplemental Material.

DNA substrates

The DNA substrates for the in vitro assays were used and radioactively labeled as described previously (Cannavo and Cejka 2014); the 70-bp-long dsDNA substrate with biotin labels was prepared by annealing oligonucleotides PC210 and PC211, and the 100-bp-long substrate was prepared by annealing oligonucleotides 100TOP and 100BOTTOM (Cannavo and Cejka 2014). The preparation of other substrates is detailed in the Supplemental Material.

Nuclease and DNA-binding assays

Unless indicated otherwise, the nuclease assays were carried out in a 15-µl volume. They were assembled on ice in reaction buffer containing 25 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.5), 1 mM dithiothreitol, 5 mM magnesium acetate, 1 mM manganesate, 1 mM ATP, 80 µM pyruvate kinase [Sigma], 1 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.25 mg/ml bovine serum albumin [New England Biolabs], and 1 nM [in molecules] DNA substrate. Where indicated, the substrate with reaction buffer was first incubated with 30 nM recombinant streptavidin [Sigma] per tetramer [in principle, 4 nM tetrameric streptavidin is sufficient to saturate 1 nM substrate with four biotin labels] or Ku [concentration as indicated] for 5 min at room temperature. The reaction was then returned on ice, where recombinant proteins were added. The reactions were incubated for 30 min at 30°C unless indicated otherwise and stopped by adding 0.5 µL of 14–22 mg/ml proteinase K [Roche], 0.5 µL of 10% (w/v) sodium dodecy sulfate, and 0.5 µL of 0.5 M ethylene-diaminetetraacetic acid [EDTA] for 30 min at 50°C. The stopped reactions were mixed with an equal volume of loading dye [95% formamide, 20 mM EDTA, 1 mg/ml bromophenol blue]. The reaction products were separated by denaturing electrophoresis on 15% polyacrylamide gels [acylamide:bisacrylamide 19:1; Bio-Rad] containing 7 M urea. Radioactively labeled low-molecular-weight marker [Affymetrix, 176410] was used where indicated. The samples were separated by electrophoresis in 1× TBE buffer (89 mM Tris, 89 mM boric acid, 2 mM EDTA), and the resolved gels were fixed in fixing solution [40% methanol, 10% acetic acid, 5% glycerol] for 30 min at room temperature. The gels were then dried, exposed to storage phosphor screen, and scanned by a Typhoon imager [GE Healthcare]. Quantitations were carried out using ImageJ software. The Ku DNA-binding assay was carried out in the same buffer as the nuclease assays for 15 min on ice. The reactions were mixed with 4 µL of loading dye [50% glycerol, 1 mg/ml bromophenol blue] and separated by native electrophoresis in 6% polyacrylamide gels [acylamide:bisacrylamide 19:1; Bio-Rad] in TAE buffer [40 mM Tris, 20 mM acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA]. The gels were dried and analyzed as above.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Cejka laboratory for discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by grants from the Swiss National Science Foundation and the European Research Council to P.C.

References


Physiological protein blocks direct the Mre11–Rad50–Xrs2 and Sae2 nuclease complex to initiate DNA end resection

Giordano Reginato, Elda Cannavo and Petr Cejka

Genes Dev. 2017, 31: originally published online January 10, 2018
Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gad.308254.117

Supplemental Material
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/suppl/2018/01/10/gad.308254.117.DC1

Related Content
Plasticity of the Mre11Rad50Xrs2Sae2 nuclease ensemble in the processing of DNA-bound obstacles
Weibin Wang, James M. Daley, Youngho Kwon, et al.
Genes Dev. December , 2017 31: 2331-2336
Keeping it real: MRXSae2 clipping of natural substrates
Robert Gnügge and Lorraine S. Symington
Genes Dev. December , 2017 31: 2311-2312

References
This article cites 40 articles, 15 of which can be accessed free at:
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/31/23-24/2325.full.html#ref-list-1

Articles cited in:
http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/31/23-24/2325.full.html#related-urls

Creative Commons License
This article is distributed exclusively by Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press for the first six months after the full-issue publication date (see http://genesdev.cshlp.org/site/misc/terms.xhtml). After six months, it is available under a Creative Commons License (Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International), as described at http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

Email Alerting Service
Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or click here.