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Highlights

- Treatment with human CASPR2-Abs causes mechanical hypersensitivity in mice
- CASPR2-Abs remain PNS restricted and enhance pain behavior without neural injury
- Pain behavior is increased in Cntnap2−/− mice (lacking full-length CASPR2)
- CASPR2 regulates DRG cell excitability via Kv1 channel trafficking to the membrane
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In Brief

Dawes et al. show that CASPR2, the target of an autoimmune pain disorder, regulates sensory function through tuning of primary afferent excitability. Human autoantibodies to CASPR2 cause pain-related hypersensitivity and enhance DRG cell excitability through reduced Kv1 channel function.
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SUMMARY

Human autoantibodies to contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CASPR2) are often associated with neuropathic pain, and CASPR2 mutations have been linked to autism spectrum disorders, in which sensory dysfunction is increasingly recognized. Human CASPR2 autoantibodies, when injected into mice, were peripherally restricted and resulted in mechanical pain-related hypersensitivity in the absence of neural injury. We therefore investigated the mechanism by which CASPR2 modulates nociceptive function. Mice lacking CASPR2 (Cntnap2−/−) demonstrated enhanced pain-related hypersensitivity to noxious mechanical stimuli, heat, and algogens. Both primary afferent excitability and subsequent nociceptive transmission within the dorsal horn were increased in Cntnap2−/− mice. Either immune or genetic-mediated ablation of CASPR2 enhanced the excitability of DRG neurons in a cell-autonomous fashion through regulation of Kv1 channel expression at the soma membrane. This is the first example of passive transfer of an autoimmune peripheral neuropathic pain disorder and demonstrates that CASPR2 has a key role in regulating cell-intrinsic dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neuron excitability.

INTRODUCTION

Autoantibodies against contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CASPR2-Abs) have been linked to a number of clinical syndromes. These include neuromyotonia, in which there is clinical and electrophysiological evidence of excessive motor unit activity due to enhanced motor axon excitability; Morvan’s syndrome, in which neuromyotonia is associated with autonomic and CNS dysfunction (particularly insomnia); and limbic encephalitis, which is characterized by cognitive impairment and epilepsy (Irani and Vincent, 2016). A common feature described in patients seropositive for CASPR2-Abs is the presence of neuropathic pain, and in some patients this was the sole presenting symptom (Irani et al., 2012; Klein et al., 2012). Furthermore, immunosuppression to reduce levels of CASPR2-Ab can lead to a reduction in neuropathic pain (Klein et al., 2012). Whether CASPR2 has a direct role in nociceptive signaling and the mechanisms by which such CASPR2-Abs could drive neuropathic pain are unknown.

CASPR2 is a neuronal adhesion molecule of the neurexin superfamily that is known to form a protein complex with shaker-type voltage-gated potassium channels (such as Kv1.1 and Kv1.2) (Horresh et al., 2008). CASPR2 is, therefore, one of a group of proteins that form the voltage-gated potassium channel complex (VGKCC) that also includes LGI1 and contactin-2 (Irani et al., 2010). Antibodies to the VGKCC are not directed against Kv1 channels themselves but to proteins with which they form a complex (Irani et al., 2010). Of the components of this complex, it is particularly antibodies to CASPR2 that have been associated with neuropathic pain (Irani et al., 2012; Klein et al., 2012).
The extracellular domain of CASPR2 binds to Contactin-2 and is required for the correct longitudinal localization of Kv1 channels to the juxtaparanode (JXP) of myelinated axons (Poliak et al., 2003). In mice lacking CASPR2 or Contactin-2, Kv1 channels were no longer clustered at the JXP. The functional implications of this mislocalization have hitherto been unclear because, in the naive state, Kv1 channels at the JXP are electrically isolated from the node of Ranvier and paranode by compact myelin, and axonal excitability was reported to be unaltered in these mice (Poliak et al., 2003). Following injury to myelin or the distal axon, however, Kv1 channels (and CASPR2) are redistributed to the paranode and can suppress axonal hyperexcitability (Calvo et al., 2016; Rasband et al., 1998). Kv1.1 and Kv1.2 also have important roles in modulating somatic excitability of DRG neurons (Gold et al., 1996; Hao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013). However, although CASPR2 is known to regulate longitudinal clustering of Kv1 channels along myelinated fibers, its function in trafficking Kv1 channels to the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) soma membrane and the effects on excitability are unknown.

CASPR2 has also been identified as a synaptic protein with a role in synapse development/maintenance and has recently been linked to neurodevelopmental processes (Rodenas-Cuadrado et al., 2014): humans with homozygous loss-of-function mutations in CNTNAP2 (the gene encoding CASPR2) develop epilepsy and developmental delay with a number of core features of autistic spectrum disorder (ASD) (Strauss et al., 2006). Mice lacking CASPR2 have been shown to develop autistic traits at a behavioral level, associated with deficits in the migration of cortical inhibitory interneurons (Peñagarikano et al., 2011). Somatosensory abnormalities have recently been recognized as a common feature of ASDs (Cascio, 2010) and are now part of diagnostic criteria. Given the reported association of CASPR2-Abs with pain as well as the increasing recognition of somatosensory abnormalities as a core feature of ASDs, we undertook a detailed characterization of the role of CASPR2 in the regulation of sensory function.

RESULTS

Patient CASPR2-Abs Cause Pain-Related Hypersensitivity in Mice

To investigate the potential pathogenicity of CASPR2-Abs, we injected mice with patient-derived purified IgG (obtained from two CASPR2-Ab-positive patients with neuropathic pain who had received plasma exchange treatment) and assessed pain-related behavior. Patient 1 had Morvan’s syndrome with typical features of neuromyotonia, dysautonomia, pain, and severe insomnia. He improved considerably with plasma exchange related behavior. Patient 1 had Morvan’s syndrome with typical associated with deficits in the migration of cortical inhibitory interneurons. Patient 2 had neuromyotonia (patient 2 information shown in Data S1). The antibodies in patient 1 were originally identified by radioimmunoprecipitation of VGKC complexes from rabbit brain tissue, but were then shown to be directed against CASPR2 using a live cell-based assay (CBA) (Irani et al., 2010). Both patients 1 and 2 had very high titers of CASPR2 IgG in their sera, plasmas, and purified IgG preparations (1:62,500 or higher; Figure 1A). Antibodies to LG11, the other main VGKC complex protein, were only just detectable (1:20) in patient 1 IgG and negative in patient 2 IgG.

We gave mice systemic injections of purified patient IgG or IgG from a healthy control for either 14 or 22 days (dosing and behavioral testing regime is shown in Figure 1B). At the end of the experiment, the CASPR2-IgG-treated mice had very high CASPR2 titers (maximal binding at 1:100, titrating out to 1:12,500 or higher). No LG1 antibodies were detected in the mice. Over the course of the experiment, there was no significant weight loss compared to baseline or between groups (Figures S1A and S1D). Mice treated with purified IgG from patient 1 developed a significant delayed-onset mechanical hypersensitivity when compared to control IgG-treated mice, beginning after 11 days of injections (withdrawal threshold to von Frey hair of 0.58 ± 0.04 g control IgG versus 0.41 ± 0.09 g patient 1 IgG (Figure 1C), with a greater effect seen after 14 days (0.6 ± 0.05 g control IgG versus 0.31 ± 0.05 g patient 1 IgG) (Figure 1C). Mice treated with purified IgG from patient 2 also developed a delayed-onset mechanical hypersensitivity, which was significantly different from the control IgG group 15 days after the initial injection (0.6 ± 0.1g control IgG versus 0.32 ± 0.07 g patient 2 IgG) (Figure 1E). Although a significant reduction in thermal withdrawal thresholds was seen for mice treated with patient 2 IgG at day 15 (Figure 1F), in general thermal thresholds were similar to those of control mice (Figures 1D and 1F). We found no difference between treatment groups in spontaneous locomotor activity or rearing behavior in the open field test (Figures S1B and S1E, and S1C and S1F, respectively). We did not observe any spontaneous nociceptive behavior such as licking, biting, or paw-lifting.

Patient CASPR2-Abs Bind In Vivo but Do Not Cause Overt Inflammation or Substantial Damage to the Nervous System

Using anti-human IgG antibodies to detect bound IgG, we assessed CASPR2-Ab deposition in tissue taken from the mice. No immunoreactivity for human IgG was found in the spinal cord (Figure 2A), suggesting that patient IgG did not cross the blood-cord barrier. We did see some human IgG deposited in the sciatic nerve (Figure S2A), but did not see any specific binding to the JXP. A previous study using patient CASPR2-Abs also did not see binding to axons in intact nerve, suggesting that Abs are unable to cross the tight junction at the paranode to access their target within the JXP (Manso et al., 2016). This is in stark contrast to permeabilized frozen nerve sections, where clear binding in the JXP can be seen (Figure S2B). At the level of the DRG, however, CASPR2 is more easily accessible on the neuronal soma and clear binding of human IgG can be seen on the surface of sensory neurons (Figure 2B); this suggests that the ability of CASPR2 to increase pain-related behavior in mice could be due to its action in the peripheral nervous system, particularly at the level of the DRG.

CASPR2-Ab could cause neuropathic pain as a consequence of neuro-inflammation. To address this, we assessed cellular infiltration of neutrophils, macrophages, and lymphocytes, using the markers Ly6G, IBA1, and CD3, respectively, in the DRG. There was no difference in any of these markers between control conditions.
Additionally, there was no difference in IBA1-positive cells in the sciatic nerve between treatment groups (Figures 2C and S2C). We also measured the expression of a number of cytokines and chemokines in the DRG and nerve using qPCR and saw no significant differences between animals treated with patient IgG versus control (Table S1).

Similarly, we did not observe a significant inflammatory response within the spinal cord. There were no Ly6G-positive cells in the spinal cord (Figures S3A and S3B). Counts for CD3-positive cells were low and no difference was found between treatment groups (Figures S3C and S3D). We also saw no evidence of astrocytosis as measured using GFAP (Figures S3E and S3F). Although we observed a small but significant increase in the density of IBA1-positive microglia in the spinal cord in mice treated with patient 1 IgG (Figure S3G), we did not see any differences for patient 2-treated mice (Figure S3H). Recent work has shown that exposure of mice in utero to CASPR2-Abs can cause microglia activation in specific brain regions (Coutinho et al., 2017). Therefore, we assessed microglia in the primary somatosensory cortex, but saw no difference in microglia in terms of both cell density and morphology (Figures S3I–S3O). These data, coupled with lack of weight loss (Figures S1A and S1D), suggest that patient autoantibodies did not cause a gross inflammatory response.

To investigate possible Ab-mediated neural injury, we measured intra-epidermal nerve fiber density (IENFD) in the paw that did not differ between animals treated with IgG from either healthy control or CASPR2-Ab-positive patients (Figures 2G and S2G). This is in line with patient data describing normal IENFD density (Data S1). Activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) is upregulated by sensory neurons and patient IgG-treated mice (Figures 2D–2F and S2D–S2F). Additionally, there was no difference in IBA1-positive cells in the sciatic nerve between treatment groups (Figures 2C and S2C). We also measured the expression of a number of cytokines and chemokines in the DRG and nerve using qPCR and nerve fiber density (IENFD) in the paw that did not differ between animals treated with IgG from either healthy control or CASPR2-Ab-positive patients (Figures 2G and S2G). This is in line with patient data describing normal IENFD density (Data S1). Activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) is upregulated by sensory neurons and patient IgG-treated mice (Figures 2D–2F and S2D–S2F). Additionally, there was no difference in IBA1-positive cells in the sciatic nerve between treatment groups (Figures 2C and S2C). We also measured the expression of a number of cytokines and chemokines in the DRG and nerve using qPCR and
following injury (Tsuji et al., 2000). No difference was found in DRG neuronal ATF3 expression from control and patient 2 IgG-treated mice (Figure 2H); a small but significant increase in ATF3 immunoreactive DRG profiles was found in mice treated with IgG from patient 1 (Figure S2H) and may explain the small increase in microglial density observed in Figure S3G. We also assessed the structure of peripheral nerve in more detail using electron microscopy (EM). We saw no evidence of autoantibody-mediated demyelination. G ratios were the same between the control and patient IgG-treated groups (Figures S4A–S4C and S4E), and there were no significant differences in axon diameter or the total number of axons (Figures S4D, S4F, and S4G; Table S2). We also studied the organization of nodal sub-domains. No differences were seen in the total number of nodes (Figures S4H and S4K), or in those nodes containing CASPR2 or Kv1.1 comparing between treatment groups (Figures S4I, S4J, S4L, and S4M). However, when CASPR2 and Kv1.1 immunostaining was assessed there was a significant reduction in the immunopositive area in those animals treated with patient IgG when compared to controls (Figures 2I, 2J, S4N, and S4O). Therefore, it seems that the pain-related phenotype seen in mice treated with patient IgG was not due to any gross structural injury or inflammation of the peripheral or CNS. The patient autoantibodies did, however, reduce the levels of CASPR2 and Kv1.1 protein found clustered in the JXP of axons. This reduction was observed despite the fact that we did not detect direct binding of patient-IgG to the JXP in vivo; we did observe patient-IgG binding at the level of the neuronal cell body within the DRG that could deplete the total protein available. Given the lack of Ab-mediated injury, we hypothesized that CASPR2-Ab may regulate sensory function by reducing CASPR2 protein levels leading to increased excitability in peripheral sensory neurons.

**CASPR2 Expression in DRG Neurons**

Because CASPR2-Abs were restricted to the periphery and clearly deposited in the DRG, we initially analyzed the expression of CASPR2 within sensory neuron sub-types. RNA in situ hybridization (ISH) showed that >99% of mouse primary sensory neurons from lumbar DRG (defined as those cells that had a signal greater than the mean background signal plus 2 SDs) expressed CASPR2 mRNA (Figure S5A). It was clear, however, that sensory neurons expressed CASPR2 to varying degrees. Large diameter DRG cells expressed higher levels of CASPR2 than small diameter DRG cells, consistent with its known expression within myelinated nerve fibers (Polia et al., 2003) (Figure S5B). A combination of ISH and immunohistochemistry analyses showed that the highest level of CASPR2 expression was in cells that express NF200 (a marker of myelinated afferents including Aβ and Aδ afferent mechanoreceptors) (Figures S5C and S5D). Peptidergic and non-peptidergic small diameter afferents (principally C-fiber nociceptors) can be identified through expression of CGRP and binding of the lectin IB4, respectively. Both of these populations clearly expressed CASPR2, albeit at a lower level than the NF200 population (Figures S5C and S5D). Very low levels of expression were seen in neurons immunopositive for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), a marker of non-nociceptive C-fibers (Figures S5C and S5D).

**CASPR2 Regulates Pain-Related Hypersensitivity in Mice**

We next investigated whether a loss of CASPR2 might alter pain-related behavior using mice that no longer express the full-length (FL) version of CASPR2 (Ctnnap2−/−) (Polia et al., 2003). Although a short isoform lacking the majority of the extra-cytoplasmic domain is still expressed in these mice (Figure 5F) (Chen et al., 2015), we found that the loss of FL-CASPR2 resulted in pain-related hypersensitivity (Figure 3), Ctnnap2−/− mice were hypersensitive to von Frey hair application, demonstrating a significantly lower withdrawal threshold compared to wild-type (WT) littermates (Ctnnap2+/+ 0.64 ± 0.06 g versus Ctnnap2−/− 0.37 ± 0.04 g; Figure 3A). Ctnnap2−/− mice were also hypersensitive to noxious pinprick application, which causes a rapid reflex withdrawal response mediated by Aδ fibers (Arcourt et al., 2017) (Figure 3B). We also assessed the response to dynamic mechanical stimuli, but saw no differences between genotypes (Figure 3C). There was no difference in withdrawal latency to a radiant heat source (Hargreaves method) or the hot plate set at 50°C when comparing Ctnnap2−/− and control mice (Figures 3D and 3E). However, when the hotplate was set at 53°C there was a significant difference between groups, with Ctnnap2−/− mice having a shorter latency to response (Ctnnap2+/+ 10.0 ± 0.5 s versus Ctnnap2−/− 8.3 ± 0.4 s; Figure 3F). This suggests that a loss of CASPR2 results in hypersensitivity to suprathreshold noxious heat. Sensitivity to cold temperatures, measured with a thermal preference paradigm, was unchanged between genotypes (Figure 3G). We also tested mechanical and

![Figure 2. Patient CASPR2-Abs Bind In Vivo but Do Not Cause Gross Inflammation or Nerve Damage](https://example.com/figure2.png)

(A and B) Representative image of a spinal cord (A) and DRG (B) section from a mouse treated with patient IgG. No deposition of human IgG (green) seen in the spinal cord (A). In the DRG, human IgG binds to sensory neurons (B), Scale bars, 200 μm (A), 50 μm (B).

(C and D) Representative images of sciatic nerve (C) and DRG (D) sections from mice treated with either control or patient 2 IgG stained for IBA1 (red). Quantification shows no difference between treatment groups; n = 4 mice. Scale bars, 50 μm.

(E and F) Representative images of sciatic nerve sections from mice treated with either control or patient 2 IgG stained for Ly6G (red, E) and CD3 (red, F). The number of positive cells was very low, and no difference was found between groups; n = 4 mice. Scale bar, 25 μm.

(G) Representative images of mouse glabrous skin. PGP9.5 (green) was used to mark nerve fibers. No difference was seen in the IENFD between treatment groups; n = 4 mice. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(H) Representative images of mouse DRG sections stained for the injury marker ATF3 (red). Quantification showed no difference between treatment groups; n = 4 mice. Scale bar, 50 μm.

(I and J) High-power representative images of single nodes (marked by CASPR2 [red]) from mouse sciatic nerve (I). Kv1.1 (green, top) and CASPR2 (green, bottom) staining is reduced in mice treated with patient 2 IgG. Quantification shows a significant reduction in the area of both Kv1.1 and CASPR2 staining in the patient IgG group versus control (J); n = 4 mice.

Data shown as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05 versus control IgG group. See also Figures S2–S4.
Data shown as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus formalin (J), as well as in the second phase of the behavioral response (K); n = 8 for both groups.

Cntnap2

(C) No difference in dynamic allodynia measured following brush application to the hindpaw between genotypes (G) compared to WT littermates, (B) Withdrawal latency to pinprick application is significantly reduced in Cntnap2

(D–F) In comparison to control mice, Withdrawal latency to pinprick application is significantly reduced in Cntnap2

When using the hot plate set at 53°C, mice lacking FL-CASPR2 were significantly greater than in mice expressing FL-CASPR2 (Cntnap2+/+ 162.5 ± 11.3 s versus Cntnap2−/− 208.5 ± 10.5 s; Figure 3J). This difference subsided, but became evident again in the second phase (Figures 3J and 3K). Assessment of c-fos in the spinal cord did not reveal any difference between genotypes (Figures S6A and S6B), suggesting that the increased behavioral response in the second phase may be driven by increased primary afferent activity (Fischer et al., 2014). Without the application of a chemical algogen, no nocifensive behavior was seen in Cntnap2−/− mice. We also studied proprioception and motor behavior using the beam test, accelerating rotarod, and open field test (Table S3). There were no significant differences in Cntnap2−/− mice compared to controls (Table S3). However, mice lacking
FL-CASPR2 had a significantly longer latency to fall on a constantly moving rotarod (Table S3), suggesting they also display some degree of motor hyperactivity in line with previous findings (Pen˜agarikano et al., 2011).

Using immunohistochemical markers, we saw no differences in the populations of sensory neuron subtypes within the DRG or IENFD (where the majority of fibers are nociceptors) from Cntnap2−/− mice compared to control (Figures S6C–S6F). Given previous reports of a reduced number of cortical inhibitory interneurons in Cntnap2−/− mice (Peňagarikano et al., 2011), we looked at CASPR2 expression within the spinal cord and saw that many Pax2-positive neurons (a marker of inhibitory interneurons) expressed CASPR2 (Figures S6G and S6H). We also confirmed its expression within inhibitory interneurons using glycine transporter 2-EGFP reporter mice (Zeilhofer et al., 2005) (Figure S6I). Quantification of Pax2 interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, however, showed that numbers were unchanged between genotypes (Figures S6J and S6K) and that there was no difference in the number of inhibitory synapses (Figures S6L and S6M). We also assessed gene expression in the DRG using qPCR and found no difference in the transcription of a number of pain-related genes (Table S4). Therefore, Cntnap2−/− mice display pain-related hypersensitivity with no major anatomical or transcriptional changes at the level of the DRG or spinal cord.

**CASPR2 Regulates Sensory Neuronal Excitability and Membrane Kv1 Channel Expression**

We next used in vivo intracellular calcium imaging as a measure of primary sensory neuron activity to see whether CASPR2 impacted neuronal excitability at the level of the cell soma. Cntnap2−/− mice and WT littermates were given intrathecal injections of an AAV9 delivery vector encoding the calcium indicator GCaMP6. DRG neuronal activity was indicated by a change in fluorescence signal from baseline levels that occurred following the application of sensory stimuli to the hindpaw (Figure 4A). For our analysis, we separated neuronal responses by cell profile area and found that on average both small (<500 μm²) and medium (500–1,000 μm²)-sized DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− mice exhibited larger increases in intracellular calcium following sensory stimulation. Medium-sized DRG neurons were significantly hyper-responsive to mechanical stimulation, both brush and noxious pinch, applied to the hindpaw when compared to controls (Figures 4B and 4C). Small-sized DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− were also more responsive to pinch application, as well as noxious heat (Figure 4D). We also measured the activity of sensory neurons following capsaicin application and observed that a population of medium-sized neurons from Cntnap2−/− was activated by capsaicin in contrast to zero cells from WT mice (Figure 4E). Calculation of the median cell profile size of a capsaicin responder showed this had shifted from 234.8 ± 28.3 μm² in controls to 438.8 ± 35.8 μm² in Cntnap2−/− mice with a statistically significant shift in the population distribution (p < 0.0001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). We also looked at spontaneous activity in DRG neurons prior to application of sensory stimuli and found that there was no difference between genotypes (Cntnap2+/+ 8.5% ± 2.6% versus Cntnap2−/− 7.3% ± 1.1%, p > 0.05, Student’s t test). These findings are in agreement with the behavioral phenotype (Figure 3), suggesting that CASPR2 can regulate excitability at the level of the DRG, and we therefore used patch-clamp analysis to assess neuronal excitability of dissociated DRG cells.

In line with in vivo calcium imaging, we found that both small-(diameter <25 μm) and medium-sized (diameter 25–35 μm) DRG neurons showed increased basal Kv1 current (Figures S6C–S6F). Given previous reports of a reduced number of cortical inhibitory interneurons in Cntnap2−/− mice (Pen˜agarikano et al., 2011), we looked at CASPR2 expression within the spinal cord and saw that many Pax2-positive neurons (a marker of inhibitory interneurons) expressed CASPR2 (Figures S6G and S6H). We also confirmed its expression within inhibitory interneurons using glycine transporter 2-EGFP reporter mice (Zeilhofer et al., 2005) (Figure S6I). Quantification of Pax2 interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, however, showed that numbers were unchanged between genotypes (Figures S6J and S6K) and that there was no difference in the number of inhibitory synapses (Figures S6L and S6M). We also assessed gene expression in the DRG using qPCR and found no difference in the transcription of a number of pain-related genes (Table S4). Therefore, Cntnap2−/− mice display pain-related hypersensitivity with no major anatomical or transcriptional changes at the level of the DRG or spinal cord.

**CASPR2 Regulates Sensory Neuronal Excitability and Membrane Kv1 Channel Expression**

We next used in vivo intracellular calcium imaging as a measure of primary sensory neuron activity to see whether CASPR2 impacted neuronal excitability at the level of the cell soma. Cntnap2−/− mice and WT littermates were given intrathecal injections of an AAV9 delivery vector encoding the calcium indicator GCaMP6. DRG neuronal activity was indicated by a change in fluorescent signal from baseline levels that occurred following the application of sensory stimuli to the hindpaw (Figure 4A). For our analysis, we separated neuronal responses by cell profile area and found that on average both small (<500 μm²) and medium (500–1,000 μm²)-sized DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− mice exhibited larger increases in intracellular calcium following sensory stimulation. Medium-sized DRG neurons were significantly hyper-responsive to mechanical stimulation, both brush and noxious pinch, applied to the hindpaw when compared to controls (Figures 4B and 4C). Small-sized DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− were also more responsive to pinch application, as well as noxious heat (Figure 4D). We also measured the activity of sensory neurons following capsaicin application and observed that a population of medium-sized neurons from Cntnap2−/− was activated by capsaicin in contrast to zero cells from WT mice (Figure 4E). Calculation of the median cell profile size of a capsaicin responder showed this had shifted from 234.8 ± 28.3 μm² in controls to 438.8 ± 35.8 μm² in Cntnap2−/− mice with a statistically significant shift in the population distribution (p < 0.0001, Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). We also looked at spontaneous activity in DRG neurons prior to application of sensory stimuli and found that there was no difference between genotypes (Cntnap2+/+ 8.5% ± 2.6% versus Cntnap2−/− 7.3% ± 1.1%, p > 0.05, Student’s t test). These findings are in agreement with the behavioral phenotype (Figure 3), suggesting that CASPR2 can regulate excitability at the level of the DRG, and we therefore used patch-clamp analysis to assess neuronal excitability of dissociated DRG cells.

In line with in vivo calcium imaging, we found that both small-(diameter <25 μm) and medium-sized (diameter 25–35 μm) DRG neurons showed increased basal Kv1 current (Figures S6C–S6F). Given previous reports of a reduced number of cortical inhibitory interneurons in Cntnap2−/− mice (Pen˜agarikano et al., 2011), we looked at CASPR2 expression within the spinal cord and saw that many Pax2-positive neurons (a marker of inhibitory interneurons) expressed CASPR2 (Figures S6G and S6H). We also confirmed its expression within inhibitory interneurons using glycine transporter 2-EGFP reporter mice (Zeilhofer et al., 2005) (Figure S6I). Quantification of Pax2 interneurons in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord, however, showed that numbers were unchanged between genotypes (Figures S6J and S6K) and that there was no difference in the number of inhibitory synapses (Figures S6L and S6M). We also assessed gene expression in the DRG using qPCR and found no difference in the transcription of a number of pain-related genes (Table S4). Therefore, Cntnap2−/− mice display pain-related hypersensitivity with no major anatomical or transcriptional changes at the level of the DRG or spinal cord.
membrane expression (Figure S7A) and became hyperexcitable, with a significant reduction in their rheobase (Figure 5G); interestingly, we also noted reduced mRNA expression of Cntnap2 over the same time course (Figure S7B). We therefore set out to rescue this phenotype by overexpressing CASPR2. In addition to FL-CASPR2, we studied the effects of the shorter isoform (SH-CASPR2) that lacks most of the extracellular domain (Figure 5F). qPCR showed that SH-CASPR2 is still expressed in DRG from Cntnap2/−/− mice, but expression in WT DRG is 10-fold lower than that of the main FL-CASPR2 isoform (Figures S7Ca and S7D). DRG cells were electroporated with plasmids containing either FL-CASPR2 or SH-CASPR2, both tagged with EGFP in the cytoplasmic domain, or an EGFP plasmid control. Overexpression of FL-CASPR2-EGFP resulted in membranous EGFP staining in a subset of DRG neurons (Figure S7E). At 5 DIV, SH-CASPR2-EGFP overexpression had no effect on the rheobase (Figure 5G). By contrast, FL-CASPR2-EGFP overexpressing cells had a significantly higher rheobase than EGFP-expressing cells at the same time point (EGFP 255.8 ± 32.5 pA versus FL-CASPR2-EGFP 605.4 ± 88.9 pA; Figure 5G), and this effect was lost with DTX treatment, suggesting that it was due to enhanced activity of Kv1 channels (Figure 5I). Overexpression of SH-CASPR2 had no effect on IKD (Figure 5H), whereas IKD was greater in the FL-CASPR2-EGFP versus EGFP cells after 5 DIV and was similar to the levels seen after 1 DIV (Figure 5H). Again, the effect was significantly prevented by DTX (Figure 5J). The lack of effect of SH-CASPR2 is most likely due to its diminished ability to be expressed at the membrane (Figure S7F). Interestingly, patient CASPR2-Ab only bound to the FL-CASPR2 isoform, and not the SH-CASPR2 isoform (Figures S8A–S8C). These data therefore suggest that...
Figure 5. CASPR2 Regulates the Excitability of DRG Neurons
(A) Representative traces showing action potential firing to short incremental current injection in medium (25–35 μm) diameter neurons. Small (<25 μm; Cntnap2+/+, n = 47; Cntnap2−/−, n = 45 cells) and medium (25–35 μm; Cntnap2+/+, n = 20; Cntnap2−/−, n = 21 cells) diameter DRG neurons cultured from Cntnap2−/− mice have a significantly reduced rheobase when compared to neurons from control mice. There were no differences between genotype in large diameter neurons (Cntnap2+/+, n = 11; Cntnap2−/−, n = 13 cells).
(B) Representative traces showing action potential firing to supra-threshold prolonged current injection in small (<25 μm) and medium (25–35 μm) diameter neurons. Quantification across a range of current steps showed that both small (Cntnap2+/+, n = 23 cells; Cntnap2−/−, n = 21 cells) and medium (Cntnap2+/+, n = 20; Cntnap2−/−, n = 21 cells) diameter DRG neurons displayed increased firing frequency in comparison to Cntnap2−/− neurons.
(C) Example traces from medium diameter neurons of outward currents evoked by depolarizing pulses. IKD was measured pre- and post-application of 100 nM DTX. Current voltage relationships for IKD demonstrating increased current in Cntnap2+/+ neurons (n = 12 cells) compared to Cntnap2−/− neurons (n = 14 cells) that was not present following DTX treatment.
(D) Representative images showing Kv1.2 membrane staining in DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− and Cntnap2+/+ mice. Scale bar, 25 μm. Profile plots were used to define membrane staining.
(E) Cultured DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− mice have less Kv1.2 membrane staining when compared to control neurons. β-III-tubulin used to mark all neurons (n = 4 coverslips from two independent experiments).
(F) Diagram highlighting the difference in the extracellular domain between the full-length (FL) and the short (SH) CASPR2 isoform.
(G) Case studies show action potential firing to short incremental current injection in medium (25–35 μm) diameter neurons. Small (<25 μm; Cntnap2+/+, n = 47; Cntnap2−/−, n = 45 cells) and medium (25–35 μm; Cntnap2+/+, n = 20; Cntnap2−/−, n = 21 cells) diameter DRG neurons cultured from Cntnap2−/− mice have a significantly reduced rheobase when compared to neurons from control mice. There were no differences between genotype in large diameter neurons (Cntnap2+/+, n = 11; Cntnap2−/−, n = 13 cells).
(H) After 5 DIV, there is a significant reduction in IKD (EGFP 1 DIV versus EGFP 5 DIV) for WT neurons transfected with a plasmid containing EGFP only (EGFP 1 DIV, n = 11 cells; EGFP 5 DIV, n = 13 cells). FL-CASPR2-EGFP overexpression at 5 DIV (n = 12 cells) restores the IKD to 1 DIV levels. Overexpression of SH-CASPR2-EGFP did not affect IKD (n = 12 cells).
(I and J) The restoration of IKD (I) and IKD (J) due to the overexpression of FL-CASPR2-EGFP at 5 DIV is reduced by the application of DTX. Red lines show the average (rheobase, n = 7 cells; IKD, n = 5 cells).
Data shown as mean ± SEM. For (A–C), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 versus Cntnap2+/+ group. For (G) and (H), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 versus EGFP 24 hr and +p < 0.05, ++p < 0.01 versus EGFP 5 DIV. For (I) and (J), *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 versus pre-DTX.
See also Figure S7.
the FL isoform of CASPR2 has a cell-autonomous effect on a population of small-/medium-sized DRG cells through its regulation of Kv1 channel membrane expression.

**CASPR2 Is Required for Normal D-Hair Primary Afferent Excitability**

In order to study the effects of CASPR2 on the transduction properties of peripheral sensory terminals in the hindpaw glabrous skin, we used the tibial nerve-skin nerve preparation (Milenkovic et al., 2014) and, given the behavioral findings from both the CASPR2-Ab-treated and Cntnap2-/- mice, focused on mechanotransduction. Evoked responses to mechanical and electrical stimuli were assessed. We recorded from Aβ low-threshold rapidly adapting and slowly adapting mechanoreceptors (RAM and SAM, respectively), Aδ low-threshold mechanoreceptors (D-hair afferents; note that these are present in plantar paw skin; see Discussion), Aδ mechano-nociceptors (A-Ms), C-mechano-nociceptors (C-Ms), and polymodal C-mechano-heat-nociceptors (C-MHs) (Figure 6A). Consistent with previous findings in Cntnap2-/- mice (Polia et al., 2003), we did not find changes in the conduction velocities of any primary afferent subtype in mice lacking FL-CASPR2 compared to Cntnap2+/- mice (Figure 6B). When using an increasing velocity or an increasing force protocol (STAR Methods), we observed no changes in the stimulus-response functions of RAMs, SAMs, A-Ms, C-Ms, or C-MHs (Figure 6C; Table S6). We did, however, find markedly enhanced excitability in D-hair primary afferents. The mechanical stimulation protocol for D-hairs consisted of a ramp and hold phase and the typical response of a D-hair from Cntnap2+/+ and Cntnap2-/- mice is shown in Figure 6D. As D-hairs characteristically respond only to moving stimuli, we initially analyzed the velocity stimulus-response function during the ramp phase only (Figure S9A). D-hair primary afferents are exquisitely sensitive to slow-moving stimuli (Lechner and Lewin, 2013). Cntnap2-/- D-hairs show significant hyperexcitability to slow stimulus velocities compared to Cntnap2+/+ D-hairs (Figures 6E, S9B, and S9C). We next analyzed the firing frequency of D-hairs during the entire stimulus. Compared to Cntnap2+/+ mice, D-hairs from Cntnap2-/- mice showed markedly impaired adaption and continued to fire during the static phase of the stimulus at velocities of 75 μm/s (Figure 6F) and 150 μm/s (Figure 6G). It is unusual for D-hair mechanoreceptors to fire during the hold phase of the stimulus. However, the Cntnap2-/- D-hair firing frequency was strikingly and significantly increased during the stimulus hold phase compared to Cntnap2+/+ D-hairs (Figures 6H and 6I). On average, only 24.29% ± 6.0% of WT D-hairs responded during the hold phase of the stimulus while 60.0% ± 7.95% of Cntnap2-/- D-hairs responded (Figure 6J). Finally, to rule out a developmental role of CASPR2 on target innervation, we assessed D-hair innervation in both glabrous and hairy skin (Figures S9D and S9E). TrkB is expressed by low-threshold mecanosensitive afferents and can be used to mark D-hair lanceolate endings in the skin (Li et al., 2011). We saw no differences between genotypes in the number of TrkB-positive lanceolate endings in both glabrous and hairy skin (Figures S9D and S9E). The loss of CASPR2, therefore, alters the stimulus response of a surprisingly selective population of primary afferent terminals, the D-hairs, resulting in enhanced responses to low-threshold mechanical stimuli and markedly impaired adaption.

**Loss of CASPR2 Leads to Dorsal Horn Hyperexcitability**

Given the integration of sensory inputs at spinal level and potential synaptic role of CASPR2, we investigated the role of CASPR2 in dorsal horn processing of nociceptive stimuli. We performed in vivo extracellular recordings from wide dynamic range (WDR) dorsal horn neurons. Neurons were characterized from depths corresponding to the deep dorsal horn laminae (Cntnap2+/+, 650 ± 23 μm; Cntnap2-/-, 602 ± 89 μm) and responded to mechanical and thermal stimuli in an intensity-dependent manner.

The reduced mechanical withdrawal thresholds observed in behavioral assays were supported by enhanced neuronal response to punctate mechanical stimuli in Cntnap2-/- mice compared to Cntnap2+/+ mice, most notably to noxious intensities of stimulation (Figure 7A). Heat-evoked responses were less affected by loss of CASPR2 with heat hypersensitivity only observed at supramaximal noxious intensities of stimulation (Figure 7B). This is consistent with the behavioral findings (Figure 3). Although there was a trend toward increased neuronal responses in Cntnap2-/- mice, we did not observe a statistically significant difference compared to control in response to dynamic brush stimulation of the receptive field (Figure 7C). No differences were observed between genotypes following application of innocuous (acetone) or noxious (ethyl chloride) evaporative cooling (Figure 7D). Receptive field maps were produced in response to a pinch stimulus applied to the skin; neurons characterized in Cntnap2-/- mice exhibited similar receptive field sizes to neurons from WT littermates (Figure 7E). Electrical stimulation of the receptive field revealed reduced thresholds in Cntnap2-/- mice for the activation of A- and C-fibers (Figure 7F). A train of supra-threshold electrical stimuli (3xC-fiber threshold) was delivered to the receptive field, and neurons from Cntnap2+/+ and Cntnap2-/- mice exhibited comparable levels of wind-up (the potentiated response and measure of postsynaptic hyperexcitability) (Figures 7G–7I). The cumulative total of neuronal events evoked by both A- and C-fibers was increased in Cntnap2-/- mice compared to Cntnap2+/+ (Figure 7G). Furthermore, the input (the non-potentiated response more indicative of pre-synaptic events) and post-discharge, a property of spinal neurons, were both elevated in Cntnap2-/- mice (Figure 7G). These data demonstrate hyperexcitability within the pain-signaling pathway at the level of the spinal cord in Cntnap2-/-; the modality-specific changes, coupled with alterations in input, but not wind-up, suggest a pre- rather than postsynaptic locus of action for CASPR2 in regulating dorsal horn hyperexcitability. To further address this, we have used spinal cord slice preparations and recorded from dorsal horn neurons in lamina II. We did not see any evidence of altered synaptic function due to loss of FL-CASPR2. There was no significant difference in the frequency or amplitude of either spontaneous or capsaicin-evoked excitatory postsynaptic currents (EPSCs) between genotypes (Figures S10A–S10C). These findings, coupled with primary afferent hyperexcitability seen in Figures 4, 5, and 6, strongly suggest that the increased response measured in WDR neurons from Cntnap2-/- mice has a peripheral locus of action.
Patient CASPR2-Abs Cause a Loss of Kv1 Channel Membrane Expression and Hyperexcitability in Sensory Neurons

Finally, we used cultured mouse DRG neurons to see if plasma from CASPR2-Ab-positive patients could affect the properties of these cells in a similar manner to that seen following genetic ablation of FL-CASPR2. At the time of plating, DRG neurons were treated with complement-deactivated plasmas from the healthy control subject, patient 1, or patient 2. Initially, we confirmed the ability of patient Abs to bind sensory neurons in vitro. Using the anti-human IgG antibody, we saw membrane staining in patient plasma-treated cells, but not in those treated...
with healthy control plasma (Figures S8D–S8F). Furthermore, we confirmed the specificity of these patient autoantibodies for the FL version of CASPR2 by using DRG neurons cultured from Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice. No binding of patient IgG was seen on DRG neurons lacking FL-CASPR2 (Figure S8G). Patient Ab binding was predominantly seen in NF200-positive cells. In line with experiments carried out on neurons from Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup>, we went on to look at the membrane expression of Kv1.2 in cells treated for 24 hr at 37°C. Similar to that seen following genetic ablation of FL-CASPR2, there was a significant reduction in the membrane expression of Kv1.2 on DRG neurons treated with patient plasma versus control (control, 35.4% ± 6.4%; patient 1, 12.7% ± 3.6%; patient 2, 17.2% ± 3.5%) (Figures 8A–8C). DRG neurons treated with plasma from both patient 1 and 2 also had a significant reduction in rheobase compared to control (Figure 8D). Furthermore, DRG neurons treated with patient 1 plasma displayed significantly increased repetitive firing in response to supra-threshold stimuli compared to control cells, although this effect was not seen in cells treated with plasma from patient 2 (Figure 8E). These findings suggest that, as in genetic ablation studies, patient CASPR2-Ab increase the excitability of DRG neurons due to reduced Kv1 channel function, and the behavioral hypersensitivity is mediated via enhanced neuronal excitability rather than destructive or pro-inflammatory effects.

**DISCUSSION**

The immune system is increasingly recognized as making an important contribution to persistent pain states (McMahon et al., 2015). Hitherto, studies of the immune system in neuropathic pain have focused on immune cells and cytokines while the role of autoantibodies in persistent pain is less well established. Autoantibodies against the VGKCC and in particular to CASPR2 have been linked to neuropathic pain and furthermore in some cases pain has been shown to improve following immunotherapy (Klein et al., 2012). These clinical findings, in combination with the phenotype seen in Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, suggest that CASPR2-Ab contribute to pain in these patients. We used passive transfer of purified IgG from two patients with high titers of CASPR2-Ab and immunotherapy-responsive pain into mice and found that IgG was deposited in the peripheral, but not central, nervous system (consistent with a peripheral mode of action). Both IgG preparations induced mechanical pain-related hypersensitivity in mice confirming pathogenicity of these antibodies in driving pain-related behavior.

Autoimmune neuropathies such as Guillain-Barre syndrome (Ruts et al., 2012) are associated with a high incidence of neuropathic pain that occurs as a consequence of small fiber injury. We therefore considered whether CASPR2-Ab caused pain-related hypersensitivity as a consequence of direct injury to sensory neurons. We found that the IENFD was unchanged, and there was no evidence of axon loss or demyelination on detailed nerve morphometry. Autoantibodies from patients with rheumatoid arthritis and complex regional pain syndrome can cause abnormal pain behavior in mice through modulation of the inflammatory response and altered production of immune mediators (Tékus et al., 2014; Wigerblad et al., 2016). We did not, however, find evidence of a significant inflammatory response within the peripheral or CNS in mice treated with CASPR2-Ab, and we hypothesized that CASPR2-Ab altered sensory function through the novel mechanism of an acquired channelopathy.

We examined the expression of CASPR2 in sensory neurons and used Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice to study the effects of CASPR2 levels on pain-related behavior and primary afferent excitability. A recent study assessing a CASPR2 reporter line during embryonic development reported CASPR2 expression in neural circuits sub-serving multiple sensory modalities including somatosensory afferents projecting to footpad skin and the dorsal horn of the spinal cord (Gordon et al., 2016). In adulthood, Cntnap2 mRNA was broadly expressed by DRG neurons; it is present in small diameter DRG cells with unmyelinated axons with higher expression in those small diameter DRG cells that are presumptive nociceptors (IB4- and CGRP-positive) versus C low-threshold mechanoreceptors (TH-positive) (Li et al., 2011). CASPR2 is expressed at higher levels in medium- to large-sized cells that are NF200 positive. To address the role of CASPR2 in sensory function, we initially studied the response of Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice, which lack the FL version of CASPR2 (FL-CASPR2), to a diverse range of sensory stimuli. Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice displayed robust hypersensitivity to mechanical stimuli, enhanced response to supra-threshold noxious heat, and increased nociceptive behavior to the chemical algogens capsaicin and formalin.

Humans with homozygous loss-of-function mutations in CNTNAP2 demonstrate a number of core features of ASD (Strauss et al., 2006), and somatosensory abnormalities are increasingly recognized in ASD patients (Cascio, 2010). Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice have been shown to have a number of behavioral features consistent with autism (Peñagarikano et al., 2011). A number of other autism-related genes (Mecp2, Shank3, or

![Figure 7. Increased Activity of Lamina V/VI Dorsal Horn Neurons to Sensory Stimuli Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> Mice](image-url)

(A and B) Evoked neuronal responses to punctate mechanical stimuli (A) are significantly greater in Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> (n = 10 cells) compared to Cntnap2<sup>+/+</sup> (n = 11 cells) mice. Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice also display increased neuronal responses to heat stimuli (B). Histogram traces represent typical single unit responses.

(C and D) No significant differences were seen between evoked neuronal responses to dynamic brush (C) or innocuous (acetone) and noxious (ethyl chloride) evaporative cooling (D).

(E) No differences were seen in the size of the receptive field.

(F) WDR neurons in Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice display a significantly reduced threshold for both A- and C-fibers following electrical stimulation.

(G) Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> display an increased neuronal response following electrical stimulation.

(H and I) No difference in the degree of windup was seen between genotypes (H). Representative single-unit traces also shown for the first and last stimulus for both Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> and Cntnap2<sup>+/+</sup> (I).

Data shown as mean ± SEM, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 versus Cntnap2<sup>+/+</sup> group. Cells recorded from 7 mice per genotype. See also Figure S10.
Fmr1) have recently been shown to contribute to sensory disorders via specific roles within primary sensory neurons (Han et al., 2016; Orefice et al., 2016). Given that genetic or immune-mediated ablation of CASPR2 could cause behavioral hypersensitivity, we examined the role of CASPR2 in regulating excitability within DRG cells.

Using in vivo calcium imaging of populations of DRG cells, we found an enhanced response in both small- and medium-sized cells representing both C and Aδ fibers to a range of sensory stimuli including noxious heat, brush, pinch, and the algogen capsaicin in Cntnap2−/− mice. These data were in agreement with patch-clamp analysis of dissociated DRG cells in which small- and medium-sized neurons demonstrated a reduced rheobase, indicating enhanced excitability. When examining the peripheral terminals of DRG cells in Cntnap2−/− mice, we focused on mechanical stimulus-response function given that this was the most striking behavioral finding. We observed changes in mechanosensitivity in a surprisingly selective population of primary afferents, the D-hair mechanoreceptors, which may indicate that CASPR2 has differential effects on DRG cells dependent on the compartment examined (i.e., soma versus peripheral terminal). D-hairs are Aδ low-threshold afferents that form lanceolate terminal endings around hair follicles (Li et al., 2011), arise from medium-sized neurons, and show high expression of Kv1.1 (Shin et al., 2003). Although thought to be present only in hairy skin, we do find D-hair afferents also in the glabrous skin of plantar hindpaw. D-hairs are the most mechanically sensitive of all primary afferents (Lewin et al., 1992). In the Cntnap2−/− mouse, they show increased firing frequency both in the ramp and hold phases of the mechanical stimulus. Thus,
adaption that is normally very prominent in these afferents was impaired. In the “naive state,” it was proposed that D-hairs are involved in priming central mechanisms prior to stimulus detection from other mechanoreceptors (Lechner and Lewin, 2013). Recent direct evidence for this arises from experiments in which optogenetic activation of TrkB expressing afferents (many of which are D-hairs) results in lowering of mechanical pain thresholds (Peng et al., 2017). Furthermore, in the context of pathological pain, D-hairs can contribute to the increased pain-related behavior (Li et al., 2017; Venteo et al., 2016).

Given the integration of sensory inputs at the level of the spinal dorsal horn, we undertook extracellular recordings of WDR neurons. There was an enhanced response in Cntnap2−/− mice, particularly to mechanical and noxious heat stimulation. These neurons also demonstrated a lower response threshold to A-fiber strength and C-fiber strength electrical stimulation. There was no significant difference in the “wind up” properties of these neurons; whereas the response to the initial sensory input was significantly greater suggesting that the locus of action could be pre- rather than a postsynaptic event. Consistent with this, we did not observe any change in capsaicin-evoked synaptic responses in recording from lamina II dorsal horn neurons in Cntnap2−/− mice. We subsequently investigated the mechanism by which CASPR2 can regulate primary afferent excitability.

CASPR2 is known to associate with the shaker-type VGKCs. In Cntnap2−/− mice, Kv1 channels no longer cluster at the JXP, and we found that treatment of mice with human CASPR2-Abs led to a reduction in the JXP expression of CASPR2 and Kv1.1. Using Cntnap2−/− mice, Poliak et al. (2003) reported that there was no change in the conduction velocity or the refractory period. In agreement with this, when using single-unit recordings, we also found no change in the conduction velocity of sensory afferents in Cntnap2−/− mice. The lack of effect of altered Kv1 channel distribution in axons is a likely consequence of the fact that in normal circumstances juxtaparanodal Kv1 channels are electrically insulated from the node of Ranvier by compact myelin and do not modulate conduction characteristics (Rasband et al., 1998). We therefore investigated the function of CASPR2 in other neuronal compartments: DRG terminals and soma in which we do find a cell-autonomous effect of CASPR2 on neuronal excitability.

In recordings from cultured DRG neurons, we found that a loss of the FL-CASPR2 isoform resulted in enhanced excitability particularly of medium-sized DRG cells. Reduced expression of Kv1.1 and 1.2 has been associated with increased DRG cell excitability and enhanced behavioral responses to both noxious mechanical and thermal stimuli (Chi and Nicol, 2007; Hao et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013). We found a large reduction in the DTX-sensitive outward current in neurons from Cntnap2−/− mice, indicating a reduction in the function of Kv1 channels. WT neurons become hyper-excitable after 5 days in culture co-incident with reduced CASPR2 expression. This hyper-excitability could be reversed in an isoform-specific manner: overexpression of FL-CASPR2, but not of the short isoform, suppressed this hyper-excitability in a Kv1 channel-dependent manner. Kv1.1 is known to be highly expressed by D-hair afferents (Shin et al., 2003) that may partly explain why this specific population of afferents showed such enhanced mechanosensitivity of their terminals in the absence of FL-CASPR2. We also observed enhanced excitability in small DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− mice, although this was less marked than that seen in medium-sized cells. The relative expression of Kv1 α subunits differs in distinct DRG neuronal populations with higher expression of Kv1.1 and 1.2 in medium and large DRG neurons and higher expression of Kv1.4 in small cells (Rasband et al., 2001). To summarize, CASPR2 is not only important for the longitudinal movement of Kv1 channels to the JXP domain of myelinated axons (Poliak et al., 2003), but FL-CASPR2 dynamically regulates the excitability of small- and particularly medium-sized DRG neurons. It is required for the expression of Kv1 channels at the soma membrane and in its absence these neurons become hyper-excitable.

We observed deposition of human IgG in the DRG (but not in spinal cord), and we therefore investigated whether CASPR2-Abs were able to directly affect soma excitability. IgG from both patients bound DRG neurons and did indeed increase their excitability. Binding of IgG, which is divalent, causes target internalization, which is one of the major pathological mechanisms in neurological autoimmune conditions (Ludwig et al., 2017). We found a reduction in Kv1 channel surface expression on DRG neurons following plasma treatment, consistent with this proposed mechanism. These autoantibodies, therefore, contribute to clinical pain by directly targeting neuronal molecules that regulate cell excitability. Although both patient IgGs reduced rheobase of DRG neurons, only patient 1 IgG enhanced repetitive firing in response to a supra-threshold stimulus. Given that both antibodies reduce surface expression of Kv1 channels, this difference is not easy to explain; however, multiple epitopes within CASPR2 have been shown to be recognized by CASPR2 autoantibodies that could contribute to heterogeneity in their functional effects (Olsen et al., 2019).

Gene ablation of CASPR2 leads to enhanced pain-related behavior in response to noxious mechanical, thermal stimuli, or aigogens. This occurs as a consequence of increased DRG soma excitability due to impaired Kv1 channel function, increased mechanosensitivity of D-hair terminals, and is also associated with hyper-excitability at a spinal level. This is a further example of an ASD-linked gene mutation causing altered sensory function due to altered transduction/transmission within DRG neurons. We show for the first time that passive transfer of human CASPR2-Abs to WT mice can enhance neuronal excitability in a cell-autonomous manner and produce a peripheral neuropathic pain state as a consequence of an acquired channelopathy. This demonstrates antibody pathogenicity and, given the potential reversibility of excitability changes, provides a rationale for the identification of CASPR2-Abs in chronic pain patients and the appropriate use of immunotherapy.

**STAR METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:

- **KEY RESOURCES TABLE**
- **CONTACT FOR REAGENT AND RESOURCE SHARING**
- **EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
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### STAR METHODS

#### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-AFT3 (C-19)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-188, RRID: AB_2258513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep anti-CGRP</td>
<td>Enzo Life Sciences</td>
<td>Cat# BML-CA1137, RRID: AB_2050885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CGRP</td>
<td>Peninsula Laboratories</td>
<td>Cat# T-4032, RRID: AB_2313775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolectin B₄ (IB4), conjugated to biotin</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# L2140, RRID: AB_2313663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-PGP9.5</td>
<td>UltraClone</td>
<td>Discontinued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-NF200</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# N0142, RRID: AB_477257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken anti-NF200</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab6850, RRID: AB_304560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CASPR2</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab33994, RRID: AB_2083506</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guinea pig anti-CASPR</td>
<td>Gift from Bhat MA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-IBA1</td>
<td>Wako</td>
<td>Cat# 019-19741, RRID: AB_839504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Kv1.1</td>
<td>Gift from Trimmer J</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Kv1.2</td>
<td>UC Davis/NIH NeuroMab Facility</td>
<td>Cat#75-008, RRID: AB_2296313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse anti-Tubulin III, beta</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat#T8578 RRID: AB_1841228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-PAX2</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# 71-6000, RRID: AB_2533990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-NeuN</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab177487, RRID: AB_2532109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken anti-NeuN</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# ABN91, RRID: AB_11212808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-TrkB</td>
<td>R and D Systems</td>
<td>Cat# AF1494, RRID: AB_2155264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep anti-Tyrosine Hydroxylase</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
<td>Cat# AB1542, RRID: AB_90755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-Gephyrin</td>
<td>Frontier Institute</td>
<td>Cat# Gephyrin-Rb-Af1330, RRID: AB_2571705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-VGAT</td>
<td>Frontier Institute</td>
<td>Cat# VGAT-Go-Af620, RRID: AB_2571623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-c-FOS</td>
<td>Santa Cruz</td>
<td>Cat# sc-52, RRID: AB_2106783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-CD3</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab16669, RRID: AB_443425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-CD68</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
<td>Cat# MCA1957, RRID: AB_322219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat anti-Gr-1(Ly-6G)</td>
<td>R and D Systems</td>
<td>Cat# MAB1037, RRID: AB_2232806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit anti-GFAP</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>Cat# Z0334, RRID: AB_1001338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken anti-GFP</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab13970, RRID: AB_300798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-21206, RRID:AB_2535792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-rabbit IgG Cy3</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs</td>
<td>Cat# 711-166-152, RRID: AB_2313568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rabbit IgG Pacific blue</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# P-10994, RRID: AB_2539814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-rabbit IgG Alexa 546</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A10040, RRID: AB_2534016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey Anti-sheep IgG Cy3</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs</td>
<td>Cat# 713-166-147, RRID: AB_2340729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin Pacific blue</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# S11222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin Alexa 405</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# S32351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-mouse IgG Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-21202, RRID:AB_141607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-mouse IgG Pacific blue</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# P31582, RRID: AB_10374586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-guinea pig IgG Alexa 568</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-11075, RRID: AB_2534119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-human IgG Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-11013, RRID: AB_2534080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-human IgG Alexa 546</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-21089, RRID: AB_2535745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuroTrace 530/615 Red Fluorescent Nissl Stain</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# N21482, RRID: AB_2620170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donkey anti-sheep IgG Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-11015, RRID: AB_2534082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rat IgG Alexa 488</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A-11006, RRID: AB_2534074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti-rat IgG Alexa 546</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat# A11081, RRID: AB_10563603</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
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Further information and requests for resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, David Bennett (david.bennett@ndcn.ox.ac.uk).

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Mouse lines and animal care
All procedures were carried out in accordance with UK home office regulations and in line with the Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986 at a licensed facility within the University of Oxford, following institutional review board approval. Animals were group housed in
IVC cages in temperature and humidity controlled rooms where food and water was available ad libitum, with a 12 hour light dark cycle. The welfare of all animals was continually assessed throughout all procedures.

_Cntnap2_<sub>-/-</sub> mice, were generated by E Peles and have previously been described (Poliak et al., 2003). These mice were maintained on a C57BL/6J background. Heterozygous mice were bred together to obtain both Cntnap2<sup>+/−</sup>, Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> and Cntnap2<sup>+++</sup> littermates. Genotyping of offspring was performed by PCR of genomic DNA using primers to detect either the wild-type (5′-TGCTGCCAGCCAGAATGG-3′ to 5′-TCAGAGTTGATTACCCGAGGCC-3′) or mutated allele (5′-AGCAGCCGATGTCTGTT GTG-3′ to 5′-CTACACAAATCTCACAACAAAG-3′) of Cntnap2. Glycine transporter 2-eGFP reporter mice have been previously described (Zeilhofer et al., 2005). Both male and female adult Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup>/Cntnap2<sup>+++</sup> mice were used for experimental studies. For human IgG studies male C57BL/6J mice were used. For all behavior and tissue analysis studies, mice were aged between 8-16 weeks. For cell culture mice were aged between 4-8 weeks. This study conforms to the ARRIVE guidelines.

**Cell culture**

Adult male and female mice of 4-8 weeks of age were sacrificed in a CO2 chamber. The spinal column was rapidly removed and bisected to reveal the DRG. DRG were taken from all levels and placed directly into Hanks’ Balanced Salt solution (HBSS without Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Invitrogen). DRG were then subjected to enzymatic digestion using collagenase II (12mg/ml, Worthington) and dispase II (5/0, The Art Shop) was modified by trimming the tip to make it blunt. This was used to gently stroke the plantar surface of the paw. A small paintbrush (5/0, The Art Shop) was modified by trimming the tip to make it blunt. This was used to gently stroke the plantar surface of the paw. A scoring system was used as follows to determine a dynamic allodynia score, (0) (a none painful response) lifting of the paw for less than 1 s, (1) sustained lifting of the paw or a single flinch, (2) lateral paw lift above the level of the body or a startle like jump and (3)

Cell culture

Adult male and female mice of 4-8 weeks of age were sacrificed in a CO2 chamber. The spinal column was rapidly removed and bisected to reveal the DRG. DRG were taken from all levels and placed directly into Hanks’ Balanced Salt solution (HBSS without Ca<sup>2+</sup> and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, Invitrogen). DRG were then subjected to enzymatic digestion using collagenase II (12mg/ml, Worthington) and dispase II (14mg/ml, Roche) diluted in HBSS for 1.5 hours at 37°C. DRGs were then washed in HBSS and mechanically dissociated using fire polished pipettes. Dissociated cells were suspended in culture medium (Neurobasal medium, B27, glutamax, GIBCO) supplemented with mouse NGF (50ng/μl, Peprotech) and GDNF (10ng/μl, Peprotech), and plated on to laminin/poly-D Lysine coated coverslips before being incubated at 37°C.

Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles’s medium with 10% fetal calf serum (TCS Cellworks Ltd) in 6 well plates at 37°C. Penicillin G and streptomycin were added to the culture medium to prevent infection.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**IgG purification**

The IgG fraction was purified from the plasma of both CASPR2-Ab patients and the healthy control using protein G Sepharose beads (Sigma). The plasma was diluted 1:4 with Hartmann’s solution and passed through a column containing the Sepharose beads at a flow rate of ~0.5ml/minute. Once the diluted plasma had passed through the column, additional Hartmann’s solution was used to wash the beads to ensure no non-specific proteins were present. The IgG was then eluted with 0.1M glycine solution (pH 2.3) and immediately neutralised with 1M Tris (pH 8). Coomassie Plus assay kit (Pierce) was used to determine the protein content of the eluted fractions. Fractions with high protein content were dialysed against Hartmann’s solution, concentrated using polyethylene glycol and filter sterilized. IgG concentration was determined by spectrometry. For Patient 1 the purified IgG was concentrated to 15mg/ml, for Patient 2 to 11mg/ml and for healthy control to 15mg/ml and 12.5mg/ml, respectively.

**Passive transfer**

C57BL/6 male mice (8-12 weeks of age) received daily intraperitoneal injections of sterile purified human IgG for either 2 or 3 weeks. All injections were given between 5-6pm and were therefore carried out subsequent to any behavioral testing that had occurred the same day. For experiments involving patient 1, mice received 6mg of purified IgG daily of either patient or healthy control IgG. For experiments involving patient 2, mice received 10mg of purified IgG daily of either patient or control IgG. Mice were closely observed throughout the dosing regime and their weight monitored. At termination of the injections, blood was taken for serology and tissue taken for histology as described below.

**Behavioral studies**

The same designated room was used for all behavioral studies and testing was performed at a consistent time of day. Mice were acclimatised to the testing equipment and baseline values were obtained by averaging data from 2-3 sessions. For human IgG studies, baseline values were used to allocate mice into matching groups prior to treatment. Sample sizes were chosen based on a power calculation using historical data relating to mechanical and thermal threshold responses (α error of 0.05 and a power of 80%). It was calculated that groups of 8 would be needed, using the assumption that an effect size of 25% would be biological meaningful. In total 45 Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> 7 Cntnap2<sup>−/−</sup> mice and 48 Cntnap2<sup>+++</sup> littermates were used in behavioral studies (56 males and 44 females). Mechanical hypersensitivity was replicated in a second independent cohort of mice. Male C57BL/6 mice were used for all human IgG studies. In total 17 mice were injected with healthy control IgG, 8 with patient 1 IgG and 9 with patient 2 IgG. For all behavior experiments mice from different experimental groups were assessed at the same time. Mechanical sensitivity was assessed by placing mice in a Perspex box situated on top of a wire mesh. Calibrated Von Frey hairs (Ugo Basile) were then applied to the plantar surface of the hind paw and a reflex withdrawal response was used to calculate the 50% withdrawal threshold (Chaplain et al., 1994). Dynamic mechanical allodynia was assessed using a recently published protocol (Cheng et al., 2017). A small paintbrush (5/0, The Art Shop) was modified by trimming the tip to make it blunt. This was used to gently stroke the plantar surface of the paw. A scoring system was used as follows to determine a dynamic allodynia score, (0) (a none painful response) lifting of the paw for less than 1 s, (1) sustained lifting of the paw or a single flinch, (2) lateral paw lift above the level of the body or a startle like jump and (3)
multiple flinching responses or licking of the affected paw. The response to a clear noxious mechanical stimulus was also assessed using the pin prick test as previously described (Arcourt et al., 2017). A dissecting pin was attached to a 1 g Von Frey filament and applied to the plantar surface of the hind paw to elicit a rapid withdrawal reflex. The latency to withdraw was recorded using an iPhone 6 (Apple) at 240fps (4.16ms per frame) and analyzed using the video editing program Avidemux. Thermal sensitivity was initially assessed using the Hargrave’s method (Hargreaves et al., 1988). Here using the Hargrave’s apparatus (Ugo Basile) a radiant heat source was applied to the plantar surface of the hind paw and the latency to withdrawal was used to determine heat sensitivity threshold. Response to a suprathreshold heat stimulus was measured using the hot plate (Ugo Basile) assay. A metallic plate was set so that the surface temperature was at either 50 °C or room temperature. Mice were then placed onto the plate and the latency until a response, in this case shaking, licking or biting of the paw, was measured. To assess cold sensitivity a thermal preference paradigm was used. The thermal preference equipment (Ugo Basile) consisted of two plates with a small connecting bridge. The plates were set at either 16 °C or room temperature. Mice were then assessed over a 10 minute period and the percentage of time spent at 16 °C was calculated. Pilot studies had identified this temperature scenario as optimal (data not shown). For assessment of capsaicin sensitivity, mice received an intraplantar injection of 1.5 µg of capsaicin (Sigma) diluted in sterile saline with 1% ethanol and 0.5% tween in a volume of 10 µl. Mice were placed in a Perspex cylinder and the duration of pain-related behavior, biting/licking/paw lifting, was recorded over a 5 minute period. For the formalin test, mice received an intraplantar injection of 20 µl of 5% formalin diluted from formaldehyde solution (Sigma) in sterile saline. Again, mice were placed in a Perspex cylinder and the duration of pain-related behavior, biting/licking/paw lifting, was recorded over a 60 minute period. The behavioral response is biphasic and therefore further comparisons were made by pooling data in the first (0-15mins) and second (15-60mins) phases. Motor behavior was assessed using a RotaRod. Mice were placed on a rotating rod which was either accelerating from 4-32rpm or at a constant speed (28 rpm). The latency until the mouse could no longer stay on the rod was recorded. For the open field test, a black box displaying a grid system on the floor was used. Mice were placed in this box for 3 minutes and the number of boxes the mouse entered during this period was recorded. Proprioception was also assessed using the beam walk test as previously described (Carter et al., 2001). This test used a wooden beam of about 1 m in length which was elevated from the bench surface. Mice were filmed as they moved along the beam and the percentage of correct steps was calculated by counting the number of missed steps and comparing to the total number of steps taken for each run. All behavior studies were carried out with the experimenter blind to treatment group or genotype. No animals were excluded from the behavioral analysis.

Histology

**Tissue preparation**

For immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization studies, mice were overdosed with pentobarbital and transcardially perfused, initially with sterile saline and then 20mls of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, 0.1M Phosphate buffer (PB)). Once dissected the glabrous skin and sciatic nerves were post-fixed in 4% PFA for 0.5 hours at RT, DRG for 2 hours and spinal cord overnight at 4 °C. All tissue was dehydrated for cyroprotection in 30% sucrose (0.1M PB) at 4 °C for at least 24 hours. Tissue was then embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) medium (Tissue-Tek) and stored at −80 °C. Tissue was then placed into a solution containing only 30% sucrose before being embedded in OCT. Tissue was sectioned onto Superfrost plus slides (VWR) using a cryostat. For skin, gelatine treated slides were used. Sciatic nerve and DRG sections were cut at 10 µm, skin at 14 µm and spinal cord at 30 µm. The slides were then stored at −80 °C.

**Immunohistochemistry (IHC)**

Tissue sections were washed once in PBS and then blocked for 1 hour before being incubated overnight at RT with primary antibody diluted in PBS triton-X (0.3%) (Table S7). For nodal staining in the sciatic nerve, tissue sections were subjected to a mild antigen retrieval protocol. This involved placing slides containing tissue sections into an EDTA buffer (10mM tris Base, 1mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween, pH9.0) at 60 °C for 4-6 hours before blocking and primary Ab incubation. Primary Ab was washed off in PBS triton-X and tissue was then incubated with secondary antibodies (Table S7) at RT for 3-4 hours. Cultured DRG neurons were fixed in 4% PFA for 10 minutes, washed in PBS, and then incubated with primary Ab diluted in blocking solution (Goat or donkey serum, PBS-Tx 0.3%) overnight at 4 °C. Coverslips were washed in PBS and incubated with secondary Ab for 2 hours at RT. Immunostaining was visualized using a confocal microscope (Zeiss) and images acquired using the Zen black software.

**Skin whole-mount IHC**

Briefly, the hind paw hairy and glabrous skin of mice was dissected and post-fixed in 4% PFA for 2 hours. Following this, samples were washed in PBS and then bleached in Dent’s Bleach (33% H2O2, 13.3% DMSO, 53.3% methanol), for 24 hours at 4 °C with rotation. Samples were blocked and incubated with antibodies in the same manner as mentioned above but with longer incubation times (primary antibodies 4 days, secondary antibodies, 2 days). Following antibody incubation the tissue was cleared using 50% 80% and 100% tetrahydrofuran(THF)/ddH2O for 30 minutes (100% for 1 hour) and then in 10% 25% 50% and 97% 2-2 Thiodiethanol (TDE)/PBS/ddH2O, for 2 hours at RT. Samples were stored in 97%TDE/PBS and embedded onto microscope slides using 460µm thick adhesive foam spacers. Immunostaining was visualized using a confocal microscope (Zeiss) and images acquired using the Zen black software.

**In situ hybridization (ISH)**

Once cut, sections were air-dried in the cryostat for 0.5 hours and then stored in the −80 °C. ISH was carried out using the RNAscope 2.5 RED chromogenic assay kit and by following the manufacturer’s instructions (Advanced Cell Diagnostics). Briefly, tissue sections
were removed from the –80, allowed to equilibrate to RT and re-hydrated in PBS. Pre-treatment required a hydrogen peroxide step at RT, followed by a protease treatment in a hybridization oven at 40°C. Slides were then incubated with the target or control probes at 40°C for 2 hours. For CASPR2 mRNA the probes were designed to target position 3708-5086 of NM_001004357.2. Following probe incubation, slides were subjected to 6 rounds of amplification and the probe signal was developed via a reaction with fast red. To combine with IHC, tissue sections were then washed with PBS-Tx (0.3%) and subjected to the standard IHC protocol.

**Electron microscopy and analysis**

Animals which were either treated with purified IgG from either Patient 1, Patient 2 or healthy control were terminally anesthetized using sodium pentobarbital (Euthatal; 80 mg/kg, i.p.) and transcardially perfused with 0.9% saline followed and 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB. A section of the sural nerve was taken and post-fixed in 3% glutaraldehyde and 4% PFA in 0.1 M PB at 4°C overnight, washed in 0.1 M PB, osmicated, dehydrated, and embedded in epoxy resin (TAAB Embedding Materials). Ultrathin sections (90 nm) were taken using a Diatome diamond knife on the Leica UC7 ultramicrotome and mounted onto 200 mesh Cu grids. Sections were post-stained with Reynold’s lead citrate for 5 minutes, washed with degassed water and dried. Samples were transferred to a FEI Tecnai 12 transmission electron microscope and imaged at 120kV. Images were acquired using a Gatan OneView CMOS camera with Digital Micrograph 3.0 software. Full montages of grid squares were taken (~25 pictures per mesh) and randomly chosen images from a given grid square were analyzed. The total number of axons, total number of myelinated axons, Schwann cell-myelinated axons, were counted from these montages of grid squares and normalized to the total area. To calculate G-ratios, axon diameters and non-myelinated axons with a diameter > 1 μm, individual pictures at the same magnification were randomly chosen per animal; analysis was performed on all of the axons within each picture and axon diameter and G-ratio (axon diameter/fiber diameter) were calculated using AxioVision LE Rel. 4.2 Software. The examiner was blind to the treatment group.

**Image Analysis**

Analysis of the signal intensity for in situ hybridization studies on DRG was calculated using ImageJ software. In a single image of a section of either L4 or 5 DRG, neurons with a nucleus were circled and the percentage coverage of red signal for that cell profile area measurement was calculated. By eye the cell was then subpopulation defined by using primary antibodies against NF200, IB4, CGRP or TH and the appropriate secondary antibody (Table S7). For each marker at least 3 sections were imaged per animal. On each image a background reading was taken from an area of tissue not containing neuronal cell bodies. An average of this was calculated for each animal and any cell that had percentage coverage of greater than 2 standard deviations from the background mean were defined as being positive for CASPR2 mRNA. For spinal cord sections, CASPR2 mRNA positive cells were defined as those containing 4 or more red dots and the percentage of Pax2 expressing cells positive for CASPR2 mRNA was calculated. For analysis of neuronal activity following formalin (5%) injection, Cntnap2^−/− and control mice were perfused two hours post injection and tissue was incubated with a primary antibody against c-fos (Table S7). NeuN was used to mark neuronal cell bodies (Table S7). The average number of c-fos positive neurons in the dorsal horn was calculated from 3-5 sections per animal for both ipsilateral and contralateral sides. For the quantification of DRG neuron subpopulations in Cntnap2^−/− mice, 3 sections of the L4 DRG were imaged and used for each animal with > 100 cells counted for each subpopulation. The number of cells for each marker is shown as a percentage of the sum of NF200, IB4 and CGRP positive cells. For intraepidermal nerve fiber density counts, 3-4 sections of glabrous skin taken lateral to the proximal end of the most proximal walking pad were used and imaged for each animal. Nerve fibers were identified using a primary antibody against PGP9.5 (Table S7). The numbers of free nerve endings in the epidermis were calculated using the EFNS guidelines which meant that only fibers that penetrated into the epidermis from the dermal layer were counted. For analysis of Pax2 positive inhibitory interneurons in Cntnap2^−/− mice, tissue was incubated in primary antibody against Pax2 (Table S7) and 3 sections from the L4 segment of the mouse spinal cord were scanned. The number of Pax2 positive neurons in a 10μm depth across the dorsal horn was calculated and shown as the percentage of total neurons (NeuN positive). For human IgG binding studies sciatic nerve, DRG and spinal cord sections were analyzed. Sciatic nerve sections were incubated with a primary antibody against the paranodal marker CASPR. DRG sections with a primary antibody against NeuN to mark neuronal cell bodies and spinal cord sections with Nissl to mark neurons and DAPI for distinguishing cell nuclei (Table S7). Sections were then incubated in the appropriate secondary antibodies including anti-human IgG to visualize CASPR2-Ab binding in vivo (Table S7). Permeabilised (0.3% Triton-X) naive wild-type sciatic nerve sections were incubated with purified patient IgG and an antibody against CASPR (paranode) to show the ability of CASPR2-Ab to bind in the sciatic nerve when it has access to the JXP. For analysis of immune cell infiltration in mice treated with purified human IgG from either healthy control or CASPR2-Ab positive patients, primary antibodies against IBA1, Ly6G and CD3 were used to mark, macrophages, neutrophils and T lymphocytes, respectively (Table S7). All of these markers were used to analyze DRG and spinal cord tissue and primary antibody against NeuN was used to mark neuronal cell bodies. IBA1 was also used in sciatic nerve sections. Between 3 and 7 sections were imaged for each animal and the average number of cells per image calculated. Astrocyte activity was measured using a primary antibody against GFAP (Table S7). The intensity of the GFAP signal in the superficial dorsal horn was measured using the analysis tool in ImageJ and an average taken from 3-5 sections were animal. L4 DRGs were also used for ATF3 analysis. Tissue was incubated with a primary antibody against AFT3 (Table S7) and 3 sections per animal were imaged and the number of AFT3 positive cells was calculated as a percentage of total neurons using a nissl counterstain (Table S7). For nodal counts 3 sciatic nerve sections were imaged for each animal. For the calculation of the number of total nodes, an antibody against CASPR (Table S7) was used to mark the paranode. The number of CASPR positive nodes were counted and shown as the number of nodes per 1000μm² of sciatic nerve. Antibodies against CASPR2 and Kv1.1 (Table S7) were used to mark nodes for these proteins and their amount was calculated as a percentage of total CASPR positive nodes. For analysis of the area of CASPR2 and Kv channel...
immunostaining in the JXP thresholding of the images was performed using the default setting in the ImageJ software. This helped to remove background noise and the area of immunostaining was then measured for the JXP region of each node, where a node was defined by being CASPR positive. For tissue analysis composite images are shown. All quantification was performed with the experimenter blind to treatment groups.

**Brain tissue processing and microglia analysis**

Brains were removed and post-fixed for 24 hours at room temperature before transferring to 40% sucrose in PBS-azide (0.01%). Using a freezing stage sledge microtome, free-floating coronal sections were collected in 15 series at a thickness of 50 μm. Similar to that recently described (Coutinho et al., 2017), reactive microglial cells were identified by immunofluorescence using rat anti-CD68 and rabbit anti-IBA1 primary antibodies (Table S7) on free-floating sections. Sections were fixed with 4% formaldehyde, washed with PBS then blocked with 10% normal goat serum in PBS-Triton X-100 (0.3%) for an hour then incubated for 48 hours with primary antibodies at 4°C. The sections were washed the next day with PBS-Triton X-100 (0.3%) then incubated for three hours at room temperature with goat anti-rat (488) and goat anti-rabbit (568) Alexa Fluor secondary antibodies (Table S7) and kept in the dark. Sections were subsequently washed in PBS-T (0.3%), final wash was in PBS then sections were mounted on slides after a brief TNS wash (pH = 7.4) and counterstained with DAPI mounting medium from Vector Laboratories (UK), left to dry for an hour then sealed and stored protected from the light at −20°C until ready for confocal imaging.

Quantification of activated microglia (defined as CD68/IBA1 positive cells) was performed in layers I, II-IV and V-VI in the primary somatosensory cortex (Bregma −1.46 mm). The area was 110.87 μm x 110.87 μm in (x,y) and the z-step/interval was 2 μm and microglial cells were counted within a 50 μm depth. 8 z stacks for layer 1 and 6 stacks for remaining layers were imaged. An average density was obtained (cells/mm³) in both hemispheres. At least 150 cells per layer per condition were counted. Microglial morphology was assessed in 20 confocal z stacks/case at 40X magnification (26 μm thickness with imaging every 2 μm) detecting fluorescence in IBA1 expressing cells in layers of the somatosensory cortex. Minimum resolution of 512x512 was used and with a line averaging of 4 to allow for a detailed assessment of microglial cells in each case. A macro (see below) from Fiji (image analysis software) was used to obtain in each stack a clear representation of the cell body and processes of 100 microglial cells per group. Soma size (μm²) and number of processes per cell was recorded manually in Fiji. Microglia morphology macro: run(“Z Projection...”,”projection=[Max Intensity]”); run(“Duplicate...”, “duplicate channels=3”); setAutoThreshold(“Huang”); setOption(“Black-Background.” false); run(“Convert to Mask”); run(“Despeckle”); run(“Invert”); run(“Analyze Particles...,” “size=500-Infinity pixel show= Masks clear”);

**IHC of synaptic proteins**

Rabbit anti-Gephyrin antibody and goat anti-VGAT antibody were used to identify the post-synaptic density of symmetric synapses, and IB4 conjugated to biotin was used to label non-peptidergic primary afferents (Table S7). 30 μm sections were mounted onto SuperFrost slides, air-dried for 48 hours, rehydrated in PBS for 15 min then placed in a solution of 50% ethanol: PBS-Azide (0.01%) for 30 min at room temperature. Sections were treated in citrate-EDTA buffer (10 mM citric acid, 2 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween-20 at pH = 6.2) to a heat-mediated antigen retrieval step in citrate buffer for 10 min. Proteinase K treatment (4 mg/mL) for 10 min followed by a 10 minute pepsin treatment (0.1 mg/mL) at 37°C in 0.2N HCl solution was performed. Sections were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100 & 0.1% azide (PBSTxAz) for three days at room temperature, washed, then incubated overnight with anti-rabbit and anti-goat secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 546 respectively, and streptavidin conjugated to pacific blue in PBSTxAz (Table S7). Sections were washed; mounted in vecastashield and stored at −20°C prior to confocal imaging.

**Confocal imaging and analysis of synapses**

Images were captured on the Zeiss LSM 700, using 405nm, 488nm and 546nm diode lasers. One z stack per section was taken at an interval of 0.1 μm across the central portion of the superficial dorsal horn, covering lamina 1-3 dorsoventrally. Approximately 50 optical sections per image were taken for analysis.

All image processing and analysis was performed in ImageJ. Z stacks were deconvolved using the WPL deconvolution algorithm in the Parallel Iterative Deconvolution ImageJ plugin (Wendykier and Nagy, 2010) based on the Iterative Deconvolve 3D algorithm, utilizing a theoretical 3D point spread function generated in PSF Lab. Image stacks were filtered with a 3x3 median filter and thresholded using the OTSU method. Lamina 1, 2outer, 2inner and 3 were defined using IB4 labeling, and saved to the ROI Manager. To assess synapse profile density in each lamina, individual z-slices separated by 0.4 μm were isolated and gephyrin+ & VGAT+ synaptic puncta profiles were analyzed separately and for each lamina using the Analyze Particles algorithm in ImageJ. Puncta profile counts in each lamina were averaged over three sections per animal, and plot as profile counts per 100 μm².

**RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis**

Mice were culled using a CO₂ chamber. Dissected DRGs were immediately frozen on liquid nitrogen and stored at −80. RNA was isolated using a combination of TriPure (Roche) and a High Pure RNA tissue kit (Roche). Briefly, tissue was homogenized in TriPure using a handheld homogenizer (Cole-Parmer) treated with chloroform and then subjected to column purification before being eluted in RNAse free water. Synthesis of cDNA was carried out using Transcriptor reverse transcriptase (Roche), random hexamers (Invitrogen) and dNTPs (Roche).
Quantitative Real Time PCR
For analysis of mRNA expression using SYBR green cDNA (5ng) and primers (0.5µM) were mixed with LightCycler 480 SYBR Green Master (Roche) in a 1:1 ratio and added to white 384 well plates (Roche). Plates were run on a 45 cycle protocol using the LC 480 II system (Roche). Primers were designed using Primer-BLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). Primer efficiency and specificity were validated before experimental use. Gene expression for each target primer was normalized against 3 reference genes (18 s, GAPDH and HPRT1) using the delta delta CT method. Primer sequences are shown in Table S8. For mRNA expression analysis by means of Taqman technology, custom-made microfluidic Taqman array cards were designed. These cards contained primers and probes to detect a number of pain-related genes as well as 3 reference genes (18 s, GAPDH and HPRT1). Each cDNA sample was diluted in PCR grade water and added to Taqman Universal master mix to produce a final concentration of 1-4ng/µL. Cards were run on a 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) and gene expression calculated using the delta delta CT method. Assay IDs are shown in Table S8.

Electroporation
Electroporation was performed prior to plating using the Neon transfection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Dissociated neurons were resuspended at 5x10⁵ cells/ml in 10 µL Buffer R with 1 µg of total plasmid DNA. The electrical protocol applied was three 1500 V pulses of 10 ms duration. After electroporation cells were immediately plated as described above.

Plasmid construction
A plasmid containing full length (FL) human CASPR2 tagged with eGFP was generated as previously described (Irani et al., 2010). This plasmid was used to generate the plasmid containing the short form of CASPR2 (ENST00000463592.3). A PCR reaction was set up using DNA from the plasmid containing FL-CASPR2 and the short transcript isoform was amplified using the following primers GAGATGGTCGTCCGCCACCGAC and GATCCCCGGGAAATGAGCCATTCCTTTTTGCTT. These primers were also used to add XhoI and XmaI restriction sites to the 5' and 3' ends, respectively. This product was run on an agarose gel and extracted using a DNA gel extraction kit (QIAGEN). The DNA plasmid was digested with Xmal and Xhol to remove the FL-CASPR2 sequence. The SH-CASPR2 sequence was then ligated into the cut plasmid using T4 ligase at 16°C overnight. The DNA plasmid was sequenced to confirm insert of the SH-CASPR2 isoform in frame with eGFP. A plasmid containing EGFP only was used as a control (pAcEGFP-C1, Clontech).

Cell based assay
Patient and health volunteer serum were initially tested for antibodies against CASPR2 and other related proteins such as LGI1, as previously described (Irani et al., 2010). Briefly, Human embryonic kidney 293 (HEK293) cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles’ medium with 10% fetal calf serum (TCS Cellworks Ltd) in 6 well plates at 37°C. Penicillin G and streptomycin were added to the culture medium to prevent infection. Cells were transfected with a plasmid containing either full length or the short isoform of human CASPR2 tagged with eGFP. Forty-eight hours after transfection, live HEK cells were treated with diluted serum from patients or healthy control. Samples were diluted in Dulbecco’s modified Eagles’ medium buffered with HEPES plus 1% bovine serum albumin and a scoring system of 0-4 was used; where 0 indicated no human IgG binding and 4 very high levels of binding. Samples were titrated until a score of 1 was achieved. Titers indicate the last dilution when a score of 1 was given. This same protocol was used on human plasma and purified IgG as well as mouse samples.

Treatment of DRG neurons with human plasma
Plasma was obtained from both CASPR2-Ab positive patients. Before being used on cells, plasma was heated to 56°C for 30 minutes to inactivate any potential complement and then placed on ice. Plasma was then added at a concentration of 1:100 to cell cultured medium and added to the cells 3 hours after plating. Electrophysiological recording were performed 1 and 2 days post plasma treatment. For analysis for Kv1.2 staining, cells were fixed 1 day after treatment.

Quantification of membrane Kv1.2
For analysis of DRG neurons from Cntnap2−/− mice, cells were cultured for 1 day. For plasma analysis, cells were cultured in the presence of plasma for 1 day. Following fixation DRG coverslips were treated with primary antibodies against Kv1.2, NF200 and Beta-III Tubulin and the appropriate secondary antibodies (Table S7). Coverslips were then imaged using a confocal microscope and around 20 images were taken from each coverslip using the x40 objective. Using ImageJ software profile plots of each cell were made spanning the cell diameter for kv1.2 immunoreactivity and a background reading was also taken. An average of the signal intensity was then taken for the portion of the plot relating the membrane and that relating to the cytoplasm (avoiding the nucleus). Only signal intensities greater than background were used. A ratio of membrane to cytoplasm was calculated and those cells with greater than 1.5 times cytoplasm intensity were defined as being kv1.2 membrane positive.

Electrophysiology
Patch clamping of DRG neurons in vitro
Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were performed at room temperature (22°C) using an Axopatch 200B amplifier and Digidata 1550 acquisition system (Molecular Devices). GFP+ DRG were detected with an Olympus microscope with an inbuilt GFP filter set
(470/40x excitation filter, dichroic LP 495 mirror and 525/50 emission filter). Data were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz. Series resistance was compensated 70%–90% to reduce voltage errors. Patch pipettes (2–4 MΩ) were pulled from filamental borosilicate glass capillaries (1.5 mm OD, 0.84 mm ID; World Precision Instruments). Current clamp; Patch pipettes were filled with internal solution containing (mM): 130 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 5 MgATP, 10 HEPES, and 0.5 EGTA; pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH and osmolarity set to 305 mOsm. Extracellular solution contained (mM): 140 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 1.2 MgCl2, 2.5 CaCl2, 10 HEPES and 10 glucose; pH was adjusted to 7.3 with NaOH and osmolarity was set to 315 mOsm. α-Dendrotoxin (α-DTX, Alomone) was prepared as a 10,000x stock in H2O and added via the perfusion system. Unless otherwise stated, post-DTX recordings were always made 5 minutes after addition of the drug. Resting membrane potential was assessed in bridge mode, while firing properties were assessed in current clamp mode. Input resistance (Rinput) was calculated from the voltage deflections caused by increasing (Δ20 pA) hyperpolarising current pulses. To determine rheobase, cells were depolarised from a holding potential of –60 mV by current steps (50 ms) of increasing magnitude (Δ25 pA) until an action potential was generated. Repetitive firing was assessed by 500 ms depolarising current steps of increasing magnitude (50pA). Voltage clamp; Patch pipettes were filled with internal solution containing (mM): 120 K+ gluconate, 20 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 1 CaCl2 and 5 MgATP; pH was adjusted to 7.3 with KOH and osmolarity was set to 305 mOsm. Extracellular solution contained (mM): 150 Choline-Cl, 5 KCl, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, 10 HEPES, 0.1 CdCl2 and 10 glucose; pH was adjusted to 7.4 with KOH and osmolarity was set to 315 mOsm. Outward currents were elicited by depolarising the membrane potential from −70 to +40mV for 500ms in 10mV increments, following a 1 s pre-pulse conditioning step to −40mV. The outward current generated at the end of the depolarisation pulse was taken as I0. DTX sensitive currents were obtained by subtracting I0, post-DTX from pre-drug levels. Data were analyzed by Clampfit 10 software (Molecular Devices)

**Ex vivo skin-nerve preparation**

Skin-nerve primary recordings were conducted in a similar fashion to Milenkovic et al. (2014). Briefly, the hind paw glabrous skin and tibial nerve were dissected from adult male and female Cntnap2+/− (n = 16) and Cntnap2−/− (n = 15) mice. The skin was maintained in a perfusion chamber in the outside out configuration (epidermis facing up). The chamber was constantly perfused with synthetic interstitial fluid (SIF): 2.0mM CaCl2, 5.5mM Glucose, 10mM HEPES, 3.5mM KC1, 0.7 mM MgSO4, 123mM NaCl, 1.5mM NaH2PO4, 9.5mM Na-gluconate, 7.5mM Sucrose, 1M NaOH; dH2O) at 32°C. Nerve filaments from the tibial nerve were separated and placed onto a silver recording electrode. To assess conduction velocities and to characterize fiber types, receptive fields were electrically stimulated with pulses supra-threshold currents. The skin was stimulated mechanically using a 0.8mm diameter probe attached to a piezo electric stimulator (Physik Instrument), or a NanoMotor stimulator (Kleindiek), both in conjunction with force sensors (Kleindie). Stimuli of increasing velocities or forces were applied to identify receptive fields on the skin. Stimulus response functions and AP adaptation properties were analyzed in both the ramp and hold phase of the mechanical stimulus. All stimuli evoked action potentials were visualized using an oscilloscope and recorded using a Powerlab 4.0 system in conjunction with LabChart v7.3 software (ADInstruments).

**Extracellular dorsal horn recording**

In vivo electrophysiology was conducted on Cntnap2+/− and Cntnap2−/− male and female mice aged between 9 and 11 weeks old. Animals were initially anaesthetised with 3.5% v/v isofluorane delivered in 3:2 ratio of nitrous oxide and oxygen. Once areflexic, mice were secured in a stereotaxic frame and subsequently maintained on 1.5% v/v isofluorane for the remainder of the experiment. A laminectomy was performed to expose L3-L5 segments of the spinal cord. Extracellular recordings were made from deep dorsal horn wide dynamic range (WDR) lamina V/VI neurones with receptive fields on the glabrous skin of the toes using 0.127 mm2 M parylene-coated tungsten electrodes (A-M Systems). Neurones were characterized from depths relating to deep dorsal horn laminae (350-700 μm from surface of cord), and were selected on the basis of responses to dynamic brushing, and noxious mechanical and thermal stimulation. Electrical stimulation of WDR neurones was delivered transcutaneously via needles inserted into the receptive field. A train of 16 electrical stimuli (2 ms pulses, 0.5 Hz) was applied at three times the threshold current for C-fiber activation. Responses evoked by A- (0–50 ms) and C-fibers (50–250 ms) were separated and quantified on the basis of latency. Neuronal responses occurring after the C-fiber latency band were classed as post-discharge (PD). The input (I) and the wind-up (WU) were calculated as: Iinput = (action potentials evoked by first pulse) × total number of pulses (16), wind-up = (total action potentials after 16 train stimulus) – Input. The receptive field was also stimulated using a range of natural stimuli (brush, von Frey filaments – 0.4, 1, 4, 8 and 15 g and heat – 32, 37, 42, 45 and 48°C) applied over a period of 10 s per stimulus and the evoked response quantified. The heat stimulus was applied with a constant water jet onto the center of the receptive field. Acetone (Sigma) and ethyl chlorode (50 μl) (Miller Medical Supplies) were applied to the receptive field, described previously as an evaporative innocuous cooling and noxious cooling stimulus respectively. Evoked responses to control room temperature water (25°C) were subtracted from acetone and ethyl chlorode evoked responses to control for any concomitant mechanical stimulation during application. Natural stimuli were applied starting with the lowest intensity stimulus with approximately 30 s between stimuli in the following order: brush, von Frey, cold, heat, electrical. Receptive fields were determined using a pinch stimulus. An area was considered part of the receptive field if a response of > 30 action potentials over 5 s were obtained. A rest period of 30 s between applications was used to avoid sensitization. Receptive field sizes are expressed as a percentage area of a standardized paw measured using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda). Data were captured and analyzed by a Cambridge Electronic Design 1401 interface coupled to a computer with Spike2 software (CED, Cambridge, UK) with post-stimulus time histogram and rate functions. A total of 11 neurones were characterized from 7 Cntnap2+/− mice, and 10 neurones from 7 Cntnap2−/− mice.
Spinal cord slice preparations

**Cntnap2**+/− (n = 7) and **Cntnap2**−/− (n = 6) mice 5-8 weeks old were decapitated under general anesthesia with isoflurane (1%-3%). The spinal cord was isolated in ice cold dissecting solution that contained the following (in mM): 3.0 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 0.6 CaCl2, 6.5 MgCl2, 26 NaHCO3, 25 glucose, 240 sucrose, oxygenated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2. The dura mater was removed and ventral and dorsal roots were trimmed from the cord. The lumbar segment was cut in parasagittal slices (300 μm) with a vibrating blade microtome (MicromHM 650V, Fisher Scientific). Slices were kept at room temperature for at least 30 min in recording solution that contained the following (in mM): 127 NaCl, 3.0 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 2.4 CaCl2, 1.3 MgCl2, 26.0 NaHCO3, 15 glucose, oxygenated with 95% O2, 5% CO2.

Cells targeted for patch-clamp recording were selected within the superficial dorsal horn (mostly lamina II), which was visualized under infrared differential interference contrast microscopy on an Olympus BX51WI microscope. Patch pipettes were pulled with a horizontal puller (P-97, Sutter Instruments) from glass capillaries (World Precision Instruments). The pipettes had an electrical resistance of 4 - 6 MΩ when filled with internal solution that contained the following (in mM): 130 potassium gluconate, 10 KCl, 2 MgCl2, 10 HEPES, 0.5 EGTA, 2 ATP-Na2, 0.5 GTP-Na, pH adjusted to 7.3 with 1 M KOH. Patch-clamp signals were amplified and filtered (4 kHz low-pass Bessel filter) with a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices) and acquired at 10 kHz using a Digidata 1440 A A/D board and pClamp 10 software (Molecular Devices).

Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) were recorded in dorsal horn neurons which were voltage-clamped at −60 mV. To excite central terminals of TRPV1 positive C-fibers, capsaicin (1 μM) was bath applied. Three minutes of raw traces were selected for analysis, in baseline and during peak evoked sEPSC response (typically a few minutes after the start of bath application of capsaicin). sEPSCs were detected offline, using Mini Analysis Program software (Synaptosoft). The investigator was blinded to the genotype of the animals until analysis of each recorded cell was complete. A total of 15 cells was collected from **Cntnap2**−/− mice and 16 cells from **Cntnap2**+/− animals. To assess whether there was a selective response to excitation of central C-fiber terminals either in **Cntnap2**−/− and **Cntnap2**+/− mice, the frequency of capsaicin evoked sEPSCs was analyzed. Also, the mean amplitude of these evoked sEPSCs was compared, between genotypes.

AAV injections for DRG GCaMP6 expression

Mice were anaesthetised using isoflurane (2% O2) and their body temperature maintained around 37°C. After an initial skin incision over the lumbar region of the spinal cord the intervertebral space between T12 and T13 was exposed. A small catheter (0.2 mm Ø, Braintree Scientific) was inserted under the dura in the caudal direction through a small cut. A volume of 5ul of AAV9.CAG.GCaMP6s.WPRE.SV40 (UPENN Vector Core, AV-1-PV2833, 1.1x1013 g/ml) was infused at a rate of 1.2μl/min after which the cannula remained subdural for a further 2 minutes before withdrawal. The skin was sutured and Carprieve (0.025 mg; Norbrook Laboratories) was administered subcutaneously for post-operative pain management. The mice were allowed to recover for 0.5-2months before in vivo calcium imaging.

**In vivo** calcium imaging of DRG

**Cntnap2**+/− (n = 4) and **Cntnap2**−/− (n = 5) mice were anaesthetised using urethane (12.5% w/v) injected intraperitoneally (IP). The initial dose of 37.5mg (0.3ml) was administered to all mice and further doses (approximately 15 minutes apart) were titrated to the level of anesthesia until surgical depth was achieved. Core body temperature was maintained around 37°C using a homeothermic heating mat (FHC) with feedback from a rectal probe. A tracheal tube was inserted but mice breathed freely.

Hair was removed and an incision was made in the skin between L3 – L5 spinal segments. The connective tissue and muscle were removed on and around the vertebrae and a small laterally extended laminectomy was performed around the L4 DRG. The dura and perineurium were left intact and covered using silicone elastomer (World Precision Instruments, Ltd). The spinal column was clamped using vertebral clamps (Precision Systems and Instrumentation) and stabilized on a custom made stage. An Eclipse Ni-E FN upright confocal/multiphoton microscope (Nikon) was used to acquire images using a 10X dry objective. A 488 nm Argon ion laser line was used for excitation and GCaMP signal was collected at 500-550 nm. Time series recordings were typically recorded at 4hz with an in-plane resolution of 512 x 512 pixels and a fully open pinhole.

While imaging the response of the DRG, eight brush strokes were applied onto the ipsilateral paw at approximately 0.5hz in the medial to lateral direction. Pinch was applied with an arterial clamp (World Precision Instruments, Ltd) in 4 positions on the paw: at the ankle, between the ankle and the walking pads, along the walking pads and across the toes. Thermal stimulation (50°C) was applied to the hind paw through a Peltier device (TSAII, Medoc) with a 16x16mm probe. Capsaicin cream (10%) was applied at the end of the experiment to both the hairy and plantar surface of the skin and assessed for 5 minutes after application was completed.

The acquired images were drift corrected using NIS Elements AR 4.30.01 (Nikon, align application). The raw data was background subtracted and normalized by subtracting the baseline and dividing the difference with the baseline to generate ΔF/F. Regions of interest (ROIs) around neuronal cell bodies were chosen using a free hand selection tool in Fiji/ImageJ Version 1.48v. ROIs to determine GCaMP fluorescence were chosen stringently, with minimal overlap to ensure less interference from surrounding somata. ROIs for calculation of neuronal cell body size were then chosen with less stringency, allowing for overlapping regions in which cells are in close proximity, to reflect a more accurate calculation of cell diameter. Responding cells (if their response was 70% above baseline fluorescence plus 4 standard deviations (STDEV)) were binned into 200μm² sizes (where the cell body profile was determined by the
GCaMP expression) and compared between Cntnap2+/+ and Cntnap2−/− mice. To assess spontaneous activity, traces of neuronal GCaMP fluorescence (as ΔF/F), from the first 8 minutes of baseline, were generated for each neuron. A blinded examiner visually determined the presence or absence of spontaneous activity, which was expressed as a percentage of spontaneously active neurons per total number of neurons in each DRG.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

Data is shown as the mean ± SEM, unless otherwise stated. A Student’s t test was used to compare the mean of two groups and when data was not normally distributed a non-parametric test was applied (Mann-Whitney). A one-way ANOVA was used when more than two groups existed. For behavioral studies over time, a repeated-measures two-way ANOVA was used with Holm-Sidak posthoc analysis. For *in vivo* calcium imaging an ANOVA on ranks was performed. For patch clamp experiments multiple Mann-Whitney tests were used for repetitive firing assays on DRG cells and a two-way ANOVA with Holm-Sidak posthoc analysis for current voltage relationships. For pre and post DTX treatment a paired t test was performed. For *ex vivo* skin nerve preparation data a two-way ANOVA or a two-way repeated-measure ANOVA with Bonferroni posthoc analysis was used. For dorsal horn recordings a two-way repeated-measure ANOVA with Bonferroni posthoc analysis was applied. Sample sizes for each experimental can be found in the figure legend. Significance for all experiments was placed at p < 0.05. Statistical tests were carried out with GraphPad prism or SigmaStat.