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Abstract: Due to the rapid development of nanotechnologies, engineered nanomaterials (ENMs) and nanoparticles (ENPs) are becoming a part of everyday life: nanotechnologies are quickly migrating from laboratory benches to store shelves and industrial processes. As the use of ENPs continues to expand, their release into the environment is unavoidable; however, understanding the mechanisms and degree of ENP release is only possible through direct detection of these nanospecies in relevant matrices and at realistic concentrations. Key analytical requirements for quantitative detection of ENPs include high sensitivity to detect small particles at low total mass concentrations and the need to separate signals of ENPs from a background of dissolved elemental species and natural nanoparticles (NNPs). To this end, an emerging method called single-particle inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (sp-ICPMS) has demonstrated great potential for the characterization of inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) at environmentally relevant concentrations. Here, we comment on the capabilities of modern sp-ICPMS analysis with particular focus on the measurement possibilities offered by ICP-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (ICP-TOFMS). ICP-TOFMS delivers complete elemental mass spectra for individual NPs, which allows for high-throughput, untargeted quantitative analysis of dispersed NPs in natural matrices. Moreover, the multi-element detection capabilities of ICP-TOFMS enable new NP-analysis strategies, including online calibration via microdroplets for accurate NP mass quantification and matrix compensation.
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1. Introduction

Single-particle inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (sp-ICPMS) was first reported in 2003 by Degueldre and Farvager for the analysis of dispersed natural colloids and later applied for analysis of micro-particle suspensions. Although the basics of the technique were established, it took several years for researchers to adopt the method because of limitations of existing quadrupole or sector-field ICPMS instruments. The trigger for renewed interest in sp-ICPMS was the rapid development and widespread use of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs), followed by the need for analytical techniques able to quantify and determine composition of individual NPs dispersed in natural matrices in order to investigate their impact on human health and ecosystems.

1.1 Potential Health Impact and Environmental Impact

As the use of ENMs keeps expanding, their release into the environment is inevitable and will occur throughout several points in the nanomaterial ‘life cycle’, including during production, use, and disposal. For example, when socks and other pieces of sport clothing impregnated with silver NPs (AgNPs) are washed, the AgNPs used to kill bacteria and inhibit unpleasant odors are released and enter the waste water system, where they may or may not be adequately controlled by wastewater treatment plants. In another study, Gondikas et al. observed an increase in TiO₂ NPs in surface waters during the bathing season, as their use in sunscreen offers a direct route of release into the environment. In combination with presence of ENPs in surface waters, researchers have raised concerns that the very properties that make ENPs interesting – such as high surface reactivity for catalysis or ability to cross cell membranes for drug delivery – may also have a negative impact on human health and the environment. It is difficult to predict the future direction of nanosciences and the timescale over which particular developments will occur; however, the broad range of ENP applications indicates long-term use of nanotechnologies. In the short term, essential questions regarding safety and risk assessment of ENPs should be addressed. Past experiences with innovative products, such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT),
and methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), have revealed that the widespread use of such products is not always without consequences. While the public does not view NMs as a threatening technology,[14] this position could change drastically when finding some negative effects on human health, which could hinder future application and development of nanotechnologies.[13,15] Hence, it is appropriate to carry out risk and safety assessment studies, develop reliable and applicable analytical tools and procedures to measure NPs, and introduce appropriate safety regulations for workers, consumers, and the environment. To this end, several research commissions, such as the SNSF’s ‘Opportunities and Risks of Nanomaterials’ national research program[16] and the EU-funded the ACEnano (http://www.acenano-project.eu/) aim to understand impacts of ENMs and develop analytical techniques and SOPs for NP-risk assessment.

1.2 Analytical Techniques for Nano-material Characterization

Along with the development of nanotechnologies, advanced analytical techniques and instrumentation have been (and continue to be) developed for the characterization of NPs and nanoscale features. Several overviews of these methods have been published.[5,17,18] Synthetic NPs are typically characterized in terms of size, morphology, chemical composition, and surface activity, among other unique optical or electrical properties. Transmission or scanning electron microscopy (TEM/SEM) are the most popular and direct methods to determine physical dimensions and shape of ENPs, and can be combined with energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) or X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) to provide compositions of individual ENPs. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) are used to examine topography and morphological features on structures. Apart from microscopy of individual particles, characterization of ensembles of ENPs is routinely done by dynamic light scattering (DLS) to provide size distribution information, or inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICPMS) or X-ray spectroscopies to measure elemental composition.

1.3 Challenge with Environmental Samples

While microscopy-based methods and bulk ensemble NP measurements are often adequate for synthetic NM characterization, these methods cannot be easily adapted to the characterization and quantification of ENPs dispersed in environmental matrices. While electron microscopy techniques provide very high sensitivity (ability to detect ENPs ranging from 1 to 100 nm in diameter), these techniques are statistically weak and not well-suited for the detection of ENPs found at very low concentrations (<1 µg L–1)[6] in the environment.[19] In fact, mass concentrations of ENPs are often lower than those of dissolved constituent elements, which limits the use of bulk element measurements without combination with a separation technique such as field-flow fractionation (FFF). Additionally, ENPs should be measured in complex matrices (such as surface waters, soils, or sewage sludge[20,21]) that may contain natural nanoparticles (NNPs).[6] Native NNPs are formed by geochemical processes and are distributed throughout the natural soils, grounds, and surface waters,[21] and may interfere with the analysis of ENPs. Natural nano-colligates or NPs with similar composition to their engineered analogues include metal oxides, such as titanium dioxide, cerium oxide, silicon dioxide, and iron oxides. Despite similarities between natural and engineered NPs, specific properties such as chemical composition and size distribution may be used to differentiate between both types. ENPs often present a narrower size distribution than their naturally occurring counterparts, as they have been produced for specific, size-dependent properties. Finally, in 2011, the EU commission (2011/696/EU) adapted its choice of metrics for nanomaterials and now requires that analytical techniques provide particle number concentration (PNC) rather than a mass concentration.[22] Indeed, a low mass fraction of NPs may contain a large number of small nanoparticles, which are more reactive and penetrate organs and cells.[23]

Together, challenges of ENP analysis in environmental samples point toward a need for high-sensitivity, high-throughput, particle-counting methodologies that provide sufficient contrast to separate ENP analytes from complex backgrounds. Reliable risk assessment requires nano-analysis methods that accurately measure size distributions, ENP morphologies, chemical compositions, and PNCs. These analytical requirements remain a challenge and quite possibly will not be met by a single instrumental method; however, as discussed below, recent developments in sp-ICP-TOFMS are positioned to make substantial progress toward accurate detection of ENPs in complex matrices.

1.4 sp-ICPMS, a Promising Technique for the Analysis of Inorganic Nanoparticles

The high sensitivity, low detection limits, broad dynamic range, multi-isotope detection capabilities of ICPMS make it well-suited to tackle the special challenges of ENPs analysis in environmental samples.[17,24,25] Because ICPMS can be used to detect metallic (e.g. Ag, Au) and metal oxide (e.g. FeO, SiO2, TiO2, ZnO, CeO2) NPs, which are among the most widely used types of ENPs, interest in sp-ICPMS has grown rapidly in recent years. Evidence of the impact of sp-ICPMS can be seen in the volume of scientific publications as well as some review articles.[26,27]

2. Theory of sp-ICPMS

Single-particle (sp)-ICPMS enables the measurement of individual particles on a particle-to-particle basis and provides additional information that is otherwise unavailable from simple bulk analysis, such as PNC and particle mass distributions. Fig. 1 describes the basic principle behind sp-ICPMS measurements.

In sp-ICPMS, a dilute suspension of NPs is delivered to the ICP via standard pneumatic nebulization sample introduction. When an NP passes into the ICP source, it is efficiently vaporized, atomized, and ionized, and produces a temporally short burst of ions which passes through the mass analyzer. The typical duration of ion signal from an NP event is 200–500 µs, and so, by collecting ICPMS signals at high time resolution, each NP-generated signal is registered as an intensity spike on the ICPMS signal time trace. The frequency of these discrete NP-induced signals is proportional to particle number concentration (PNC), and the magnitude of these signal spikes correlates to the mass of each particle.

While the measurement strategy behind sp-ICPMS is straightforward, implementation to obtain accurate PNCs and NP sizes can be challenging. To accurately calibrate NP mass, the absolute detection efficiency (counts/atom or counts/mass) for NPs of interest should be determined. While a number of approaches to measure this detection efficiency have been proposed,[25,28] none are without shortcomings.[27,29] The most intuitive calibration approach is to measure the signal intensity of a series of NP standards of different sizes of the analyte of interest and establish a calibration curve. Then – the same way one would do with solution-based ICPMS analysis – one could measure unknown NP samples and calibrate size/mass of the particles. However, even though this approach sounds the most straightforward, it is limited by the availability of well-characterized monodisperse NP standards and solution-based element standards are typically used for sensitivity calibration.
In this work, we make use of a microdroplet-based calibration strategy\(^{[30,31]}\) to determine NP mass. In this approach, microdroplets containing known concentrations of standard salt solutions are introduced into the ICP as dried aerosol particles following desolvation of droplets in helium drying gas. Calibrant droplets can be thought of as a NP proxy,\(^{[33]}\) and, because droplet size is measured, the mass of analyte in each dried droplet is known. Through time-resolved sp-ICP-TOFMS analysis, single-droplet signals are recorded and absolute detection efficiencies for all elements in the droplets are determined. Because the ICP is a robust and high dynamic range atomization and ionization source, analyte responses are linear across several orders of magnitude of analyte mass and single-point calibration is adequate.

3. Results and Discussion: sp-ICP-TOFMS

3.1 Size Determination and Linear Dynamic Range

Gold NPs can be used for cancer therapy as they present low cell toxicity and present useful optical properties.\(^{[33]}\) In this case, as they are commercially available, monodisperse and well-characterized, they were used for size determination by sp-ICPMS with microdroplets. In Fig. 2, monodisperse 30-nm gold ENPs were measured by sp-ICP-TOFMS and microdroplets for particle mass calibration, resulting in a size distribution centered at 30 nm (b). In addition, we demonstrate the linear dynamic range from 30 nm to 250 nm (see Fig. 2c), with a limit of detection in terms of diameter of 19 nm (i.e. 22 ag). In an earlier study, Degueldre et al. obtained a linear response for Au NPs ranging from 80 to 200 nm;\(^{[34]}\) higher sensitivity and high-dynamic range detection electronics of the ICP-TOFMS instrument enable the dynamic range improvement reported here.

3.2 Multi-element Advantage of sp-ICP-TOFMS: Analysis of a Mixture of Au NPs, Ag NPs and Ag/Au Core-Shell NPs

A drawback of sp-ICPMS with conventional ICPMS instruments that employ quadrupole or sector-filed mass analyzers is that only signals from one isotope can be measured per particle. This is particularly disadvantageous when analyzing NPs with multi-element composition, such as core-shell NPs, or when trying to differentiate ENPs from NNP s based on multi-element composition. To detect multi-element NPs by sp-ICPMS, a simultaneous mass analyzer, such as TOFMS, must be used in combination with the ICP ion source. In results provided here, we use a newly introduced ICP-TOFMS mass spectrometer (icpTOF, TOFWERK AG, Switzerland),\(^{[34,35]}\) which enables quasi-simultaneous detection over the whole elemental mass range at time resolutions down to 30 µs. These attractive properties can be particularly useful for screening of ENPs in natural matrices, as well as the analysis of samples without a priori knowledge of the NPs or their composition.\(^{[31]}\) In Fig. 3, the detection capabilities of the ICP-TOFMS instrument are illustrated by monitoring the time-traces of silver and gold isotopes, which enables the detection of pure silver and gold NPs, as well as silver-shelled gold-core NPs. Using conventional quadrupole or sector-field instruments, in which only one isotope is measured per nanoparticle, the above quantitative analysis would not be possible. Sequential mass analysis is a significant disadvantage for measurement of short transients like from multi-component NPs. On the other hand, sp-ICP-TOFMS is a promising technique for high-throughput and untargeted analysis of diverse NPs.

In addition to measuring multiple NP types simultaneously, multi-elemental data provided by ICP-TOFMS may be
used to differentiate between natural and engineered nanoparticles because total chemical composition of the particle types may predictably differ. For example, in a research collaboration between researchers from the University of Vienna and our lab, we demonstrated that CeO₂ ENPs can be quantitatively distinguished from naturally occurring Ce-containing colloids based on conserved multi-element fingerprints of the NPs measured by sp-ICP-TOFMS. Importantly, measurement of $^{140}$Ce only, would not allow for Ce-containing NNP to be differentiated from the CeO₂. Single-particle-ICP-TOFMS uniquely enables the distinction of NPs from dissolved ions and natural particle background species in a complex environmental matrix.

### 3.3 Application of sp-ICP-TOFMS for Food Sample Analysis

While sizing of ENPs in aqueous samples is relatively straightforward by sp-ICPMS methods (see Fig. 2), accurate mass determination of NPs in natural systems is more challenging. In these complex matrices, low PNCs, NP-size polydispersity, NP aggregation, and influence of the matrix on analytical sensitivity all complicate sp-ICPMS measurements. It has been shown that mismatch between solvents used for elemental standards and matrix composition of NP suspensions can cause NP-mass determination errors of up to 90%. This is particularly a problem for real-world samples in which matrices cannot be removed and conventional matrix-matched calibration is impractical or impossible. Here, again, developments in sp-ICP-TOFMS can impact accuracy and robustness of sp-ICPMS analysis.

In recent work, we have combined ICP-TOFMS with a dual-sample introduction first reported by Ramkorn-Schmidt et al. In this system (see Fig. 4), microdroplets with known element amounts are introduced concurrently with the NP samples, which are introduced via a conventional nebulizer and spray chamber system. Because dried-droplet calibrant aerosols are introduced along with the matrix-containing NP suspension, both dried droplets and analyte NPs experience the same plasma conditions. This type of online microdroplet ‘standard addition’ tactic can be used to compensate for plasma-related matrix effects. Here again, the quasi-simultaneous multi-isotope detection with TOFMS is critical because microdroplet signals are identified and differentiated from NP signals based on multi-elemental composition of the droplets. This approach combines advantages of sample-introduction with a conventional pneumatic nebulizer,
including large volume introduction and rapid switching between different NP-containing samples, with the use of microdroplets for calibration, which allows for the analysis of a wide range of NPs.

To demonstrate the utility of the dual sample-introduction setup, we investigated the sizing of gold NPs spiked into beverages, namely orange juice and milk. Food matrices were investigated because exposure to nanomaterials through food products is increasing. In this study, we spiked nominally 150-nm Au NPs into diluted orange juice and milk, and used a 200-ppb multi-elemental solution in the diluent for mass-sensitivity calibration. As shown in Fig. 5, when using 1:10 diluted milk as a matrix, signal intensities were decreased by ~50% for both the calibrant droplets and NP signals. Because the calibrant and analyte NP were affected in a similar manner, the signal attenuation due to the milk matrix could be compensated to a large extent and Au NPs were sized more accurately than they would have been without the microdroplet standard addition approach. For the orange juice matrix, minimal signal enhancement was observed and Au NPs sizing was identical to that obtained in a water matrix.

Demonstration of matrix-effect compensation by this online microdroplet standard addition approach indicates that sp-ICP-TOFMS could be used to quantitatively measure ENPs in a suite of different matrices without need for adjustment of calibration strategy. Moreover, this approach should be extendable to mass determination of a range of NP types in a single run, thus greatly improving measurement throughput. While further investigation is still required, results here indicate that sp-ICP-TOFMS could be an enabling technology for comprehensive inorganic NP measurements in important high-matrix, low-volume samples such as biological fluids.

4. Conclusion

In this overview of sp-ICPMS, we have discussed its potential for the analysis of NPs in environmental samples, including detection, characterization and quantification. While single-particle ICPMS is now a well-accepted technique for analysis of dispersed NPs, the use of sequential mass analyzers for single-particle analysis has many limitations, especially in the ability to distinguish between ENPs and a high background of NPs, colloids, and dissolved elements. On the other hand, sp-ICP-TOFMS analysis allows for untargeted NP detection because it provides complete elemental mass spectra for individual NPs, which has proven to be critical when dealing with mixtures of ENPs and NNPs. Additionally, sp-ICP-TOFMS is ideally suited to monitor transformation processes of NPs, which can significantly impact NP toxicity. The multi-elemental capabilities of TOFMS also enable new creative setups for single particle analysis as demonstrated with the dual inlet calibration approach, which proved to be a successful strategy to manage matrix effects that can otherwise lead to inaccurate NP sizing. Despite the many advantages of ICP-TOFMS for NP detection, a remaining challenge for instrument development is improved sensitivity. When compared to other ICPMS instruments, such as sector-field ICPMS instruments, ICP-TOFMS provides between 10 and 100 times less sensitivity for single-isotope detection. Continued efforts to improve sensitivity and data processing, followed by more application-directed studies using sp-ICP-TOFMS, will help researchers to gain a better understanding of the measurement possibilities offered by Time-of-Flight ICPMS, and should establish sp-ICP-TOFMS as a powerful analytical technique for the measurement of ENPs in real-world samples.
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Fig. 5. a) Average gold signal intensities from microdroplets (left) and average Au NP signal intensities (right) with different matrices were determined from droplets introduced with sample matrices, NP size can be determined accurately regardless of matrix effects. In contrast, if sensitivities are determined without sample matrix, Au signal intensities are aspirated through the pneumatic nebulizer.

b) Size calibration of Au NPs in water.

c) Size calibration of Au NPs in corresponding matrix. NPs that were sized accurately regardless of matrix effects. In contrast, if sensitivities are determined without sample matrix, Au signal intensities are aspirated through the pneumatic nebulizer.