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Abstract

The importance of evaluation and improvement of life cycle performance of buildings in early design stages is widely acknowledged, the wide application of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) however, is restrained by big uncertainty in design and material decisions at this stage. The approach presented in this paper aims to provide a proof-of-concept for an integrated assessment of the environmental impact of building construction using Building Information Modeling (BIM). To support decision-making in the critical early design stages, we propose a workflow of using conceptual BIM models and visual scripting to test a wide variety of possible construction options. The overall effects on the building’s total impact are calculated for the various options to identify design specific hotspots. Different aspects of the results can be visualized to support intuitive design guidance.
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1. Introduction

The built environment is commonly recognized as a major contributor to global environmental impacts. It consumes up to 40% of all raw materials extracted from the lithosphere and is responsible for roughly 50% of global greenhouse gas emissions. Therefore, international goals to mitigate related problems of climate change, loss of biodiversity, and other environmental impacts require ambitious improvements in environmental performance of the built environment \cite{1,2}.

To evaluate and improve building performance the Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) method has been widely accepted and is at the core of current standards for building sustainability assessment. Measures to document and reduce the environmental impact of the construction sector range from product specific certification schemes and labels to target values and regulatory benchmarks for buildings in some countries. \cite{3,4}.

Until recently, main focus was put on the operational stage of the building life cycle, accompanied by an increase of embodied impacts of building construction. As significant research effort has been directed to building energy simulation for improved energy efficiency levels, the amount of embodied energy in current high-performance buildings went up considerably. As recent studies on embodied impacts in building materials show a ‘carbon spike’ from material sourcing and production able to exhaust carbon budgets available for mitigation until 2050, the focus in research and policies is shifting towards earlier life cycle stages to establish a full picture of the environmental impacts of the construction sector \cite{5-11}.

The importance of evaluation and improvement of life cycle performance of buildings in early design stages is widely acknowledged, the wide application of LCA however, is restrained by big uncertainty in design and material decisions at this stage. LCA, being a data intensive methodology, requires a
high level of information, especially for the assessment of building materials’ embodied impacts. It is therefore mostly used once the construction is built and all information clear, limiting the application of the method to being only descriptive ex-post, rather than providing feedback to improve the building design [12–17].

Thus the interest of researchers doing building LCA, is increasingly to integrate LCA in the building design process as soon as possible to provide design guidance and monitor the effect of design decisions [12,14]. To enhance the application of LCA in early design stages, an easy application with feasible effort is required that enables evaluation and comparison of building design options. LCA application can create added value, if supporting identification of the major contributing building elements to improve the design in an early stage. An integrated workflow could even be used to document how design decisions influence the overall result on the building level. Reliable and user-friendly LCA-tools that support a comprehensible communication of results are important for improving the wide applicability of the LCA method in the building design process [18,19].

To support an efficient and user-friendly application in early design stages, strategies for simplification in LCA have been proposed in several studies, taking different approaches towards a simplified yet representative assessment. Some studies are seeking to identify correlation between the various environmental impact to eventually make LCA more accessible and improve applicability to the building sector [20]. Other researchers investigated ways to aggregate LCA data on various levels or analyzed multiple LCA studies trying to derive empirical values representative for the environmental impact of specific building types. While general conclusions of embodied impacts for certain typologies on building level (e.g. impact per net/gross floor area) therein show to be not statistical reliable, aggregating LCA data on building element level could provide a precise and feasible approach for LCA of buildings in early design stages [21–25].

In recent years the use of Building Information Modeling (BIM) promises to support complex decision making through the integration and connection of different aspects and stakeholders. As various disciplines involved in building construction move towards BIM application, also LCA will require BIM-integrated workflows to support complex sustainable decision making in the future [19]. So far, researchers have used BIM-tools mainly to extract quantities to establish the Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) for LCA. However, most studies using BIM to conduct LCA during early design stages have faced the same problem as the LCA done without BIM: the level of geometry and information necessary for LCA is not yet available in the model. Thus, an assessment is still complex and time-consuming. Most BIM-LCA studies carry out a one-time, ex-post assessment, but not an integrated iterative assessment during building design [16,26].

Several approaches towards an application of BIM and LCA have been developed [14,16,26,27], however an integration providing the calculation of impacts and visual guidance in the design process within BIM has not been shown. At the same time other recent studies have taken a parametric approach for the assessment of conceptual building designs using parametric modeling tools, proving the potential of algorithmic optimization of conceptual building design outside of BIM [28].

The approach presented in this paper aims to provide a proof-of-concept for a BIM-integrated assessment of the environmental impact of building construction in early design stages. To support decision-making in the critical early stages, we offer a workflow of using conceptual BIM models to test a wide variety of possible construction options. The overall effects on the building’s total impact are calculated for the various options and different aspects of the results are visualized to support intuitive design guidance.

The goal of this paper is to show how an assessment of embodied impacts integrated in BIM can give architects and designers the freedom to focus on conceptual design while keeping track of the potential effects of design and material decisions.

2. Methodological framework

2.1. Common granularity in LCA and BIM

As in early design stages BIM models generally only provide a low Level of Geometry (LOG) [29,30] the common granularity for information on BIM elements and LCI data is specified on the level of building elements following a modular approach (Fig. 1). Also LCA data is aggregated on this level based on elements’ predefined material composition [22,31]. This approach allows to conduct a comprehensible LCA of a buildings construction based on the low LOG of early stage BIM models.

2.2. LCA data of building elements

LCA datasets pre-calculated on the level of building elements can be obtained from several sources. The presented workflow utilizes Swiss SIA MB 2032 to establish a spreadsheet-based library of potential construction options and their embodied impacts per m² of building element (m²BE) [3,4]. This library contains aggregated information on the embodied impacts of certain types of building sub-elements (e.g. structural layers of walls and floors, insulation and finishing...
layers as well as windows and doors, etc.) based on the building materials contained therein. **Construction options** for building elements were calculated based on all available combinations of options for the respective sub-elements, e.g. External walls are a combination of: external layers including insulation; the structural layer(s); and the interior finishing. The establishment of such a project specific building element library is in line with preparatory measures suggested by various international BIM-specific guidelines and standards.

### 2.3. Common structure and naming convention

To exchange LCA and BIM data effectively a “common language” was specified and introduced to the spreadsheet-based element library as well as to the objects in the BIM model. The common naming convention applied in this study is based on the Swiss building element classification scheme for cost estimation eBKP-H and the element codes used therein.

While organizing the spreadsheet-based building element library according to this common naming convention through additional columns is straightforward, additional **custom parameters** were introduced to the Revit BIM model for this purpose. Parameters were added on **type** level for the respective object **categories** before specific values could be assigned to indicate the respective name of the building element class (e.g. code “C2.1x” for BIM objects representing “External walls”). This preparatory step is required to automate the process of identification and mapping of building element types and properties from the BIM model with respective impact values from the building element library.

### 2.4. Automated link of LCA and BIM

To establish an automated, bidirectional link between the building element library in Microsoft Excel and the BIM model in Autodesk Revit a script was developed in Autodesk Dynamo. The proposed approach was tested on the conceptual BIM model of a residential building design, which was created in Autodesk Revit with LOG 200. Elements included in the BIM model were: foundation slab, external walls, floor and roof elements as well as windows and partition walls. After introducing the required type parameters as well as naming objects in the BIM model accordingly, the relevant elements could be automatically identified and element areas (m²BE) as well as the gross floor area (m² GFA) of the building could be extracted through the developed Dynamo script (see Table 1).

#### 3. Results from application on case study

### 3.1. Presentation of BIM case study

The proposed approach was tested on the conceptual BIM model of a residential building design, which was created in Autodesk Revit with LOG 200. Elements included in the BIM model were: foundation slab, external walls, floor and roof elements as well as windows and partition walls. After introducing the required type parameters as well as naming objects in the BIM model accordingly, the relevant elements could be automatically identified and element areas (m²BE) as well as the gross floor area (m² GFA) of the building could be extracted through the developed Dynamo script (see 

![Fig. 2. Workflow scheme of the proposed LCA-BIM integration](image)

#### Table 1. Areas of building elements [m²BE] and gross floor area [m² GFA].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building element classes and area (m²)</th>
<th>GFA (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building element classes and area (m²)</td>
<td>GFA (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>383.2</td>
<td>569.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>690.4</td>
<td>363.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>348.6</td>
<td>902.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1149.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2. Building element library with construction options

The aforementioned building element library with information on embodied impacts was established based on building elements of SIA MB 2032 (See Annex 9 of [3]). In total 1713 different combinations of potential **construction options** were established for the various building element classes as explained in section 2.2. This information on the embodied impact of building elements’ potential construction
options was then processed in Dynamo to calculate the resulting potential impacts of each building element class and thus the building construction’s potential total impact. Details on the composition of construction options as well as their embodied impacts can be found in the Appendix A.1.

3.3. Calculation and analysis of total impact

Applying the presented workflow an integrated calculation of the total embodied impact on building level could be calculated and the (potential) contribution and relevance of individual building element classes could be identified. Embodied environmental impacts are in the following exemplarily presented as Global Warming Potential (GWP) per m²GFA a (i.e. annual share per year of Reference Service Period (RSP).

Fig. 3 shows the contribution of the different building element classes for all possibly construction options (calculated as described in 3.2). We can see that on average (blue continuous line) floors (C4.1x) and external walls (C2.1x) as well as partition walls (G 1x) are the main contributors to embodied GWP while the other element classes have significantly lower and quite similar impacts on average. Also it can be observed that deviation in impact of different construction options (grey box and whiskers) is highest for these three element classes. While a high sensitivity of the total result towards the construction option chosen for external walls and floors could be expected, the potentially high contribution from partition walls indicates the surprising relevance of this element class in the context of the presented building case.

3.4. Visualization of LCA results

Using the proposed workflow, the contribution as well as other aspects of the results could be visualized in 3D views of the building model. To inform designers about potential improvements by choosing different construction options, it is important to know e.g. how much a specific element class could be improved, if at all. The visualization routine can be used to e.g. highlight elements and their deviation from the average impact for the construction options assessed as well as the effect an improvement on one specific element class would have on the total result. Fig. 4 presents the standard deviation (SDEV) for impact contribution of each element class based on the assessed construction options for this class. We can see that the available construction alternatives for foundations, roofs, external walls and windows only offer low (green) to moderate (yellow) potential to improve the total building impact. In particular, the visualization shows that the total impact could be reduced (or increased) by 0.33 to approx. 0.60 kgCO2eq/m²GFAa depending on the construction option chosen for these elements. The element classes of floors and partition walls however, have high deviation in impact of the assessed construction options. Thus, choosing an alternative construction option for these classes provides moderate to high potential (orange and red) for improving the overall building impact. Specifically, we can observe potential reduction (or increase) of up to 1.26 kgCO2eq/m²GFAa from these element classes. Note that these values are based on standard deviation and thus impacts could even be lower (or higher) when choosing the extreme the impact construction options. However, the evaluation of the various possible construction options and the visualization of the results clearly show which elements should be given special focus in further design decisions.

4. Discussion

The workflow presented in this paper enabled the exchange and processing of information from the BIM model and the established building element library. This supports an integrated calculation of total embodied impacts and identification of the contribution and potential improvements of specific building element classes, within a BIM environment.

Furthermore, this information can be matched with the elements’ geometries in the BIM model to support a visualization of embodied impacts and potential improvements for visual design guidance in early stage.

Requirements for this approach were a common granularity in both LCA data and BIM elements as well as a common naming of elements to support the automated exchange and processing within Dynamo.

The assessment results presented as well as the conclusions drawn from them should not be generalized as they depend on
the quality of input data from both BIM model (e.g. model completeness and accuracy) and LCA data [32]. It should be noted that for the illustrated BIM integrated LCA workflow, the quality of the LCA background data, as well as inherent uncertainty, must be considered in order to achieve representative and comparable results [32–34]. All studies on LCA’s uncertainties are therefore also relevant and could be applied to this study. Further studies on LCA uncertainty and hot spots at building level can benefit from an integrated assessment workflow as presented in this paper. The future application of BIM integrated LCA could further be combined with dynamic energy simulation and parametric optimization and evolved by implementing multi-criteria decision making to manage interdependencies between the various environmental impact drivers in the building context [35–37]. Furthermore, the assessment could be closer integrated into BIM-based planning processes e.g. through integration of product specific information on environmental impacts (e.g. EPD or PEF) in the tender stage – an aspect specifically important in the context of evolving Green Public Procurement (GPP) policies [38].

5. Conclusion

The presented workflow shows that it is possible to accomplish an integration of LCA in BIM when using a common granularity in both, LCA data and BIM-based bill of quantities as well as specifying a common naming convention.

Applying this approach allows to have a BIM-integrated calculation of embodied impacts for different design and construction options in early design stages.

Using a variety of potential construction options this integrated calculation furthermore enables a detailed analysis of individual building element’s contribution to the total impact and the identification of design-specific hotspots.

Finally, the building model geometry can be used for visual design guidance by presenting various aspects in an intuitive way using the BIM models 3D views.

This shall make the application of LCA more accessible and improve assessment and communication of embodied impacts within future design practice.

Appendix

A.1. Details on the construction options

Table 2 shows how the different construction options for building elements were calculated based on the possible combinations of elements provided in SIA MB 2032 [3].

While the results for these construction options presented in Fig. 5, show that the average of impact per m² of building element is similar, considerable variance in impacts and extreme values of different element classes can be observed. For example, building element class G 1x (Partition walls) shows a significantly lower average and median value than the other building elements. At the same time C 2.1x (External walls), while having unremarkable average and mean values, shows a significant number and amplitude of extreme values.

Table 2. Construction options for building elements based on combinations of elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>C 1x</th>
<th>C 2.1x</th>
<th>C 4.4x</th>
<th>C 4.x</th>
<th>E 3x</th>
<th>G 1x</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Building element class</td>
<td>Found.</td>
<td>Ext. walls</td>
<td>Floors</td>
<td>Roofs</td>
<td>Windows</td>
<td>Partit. walls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building sub-elements</td>
<td>C1 +</td>
<td>G2 +</td>
<td>G3 +</td>
<td>E3</td>
<td>G3 +</td>
<td>G1 +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2.1B</td>
<td>+ E2</td>
<td>G4</td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>G3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>C4.4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of options</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>432</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. Comparison of impact per m² building element for all construction options
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