



# The assembly of a plant network in alpine vegetation

**Journal Article****Author(s):**

Losapio, Gianalberto ; de la Cruz, Marcelino; Escudero, Adrián; Schmid, Bernhard; Schöb, Christian 

**Publication date:**

2018-11

**Permanent link:**

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000287871>

**Rights / license:**

In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted

**Originally published in:**

Journal of Vegetation Science 29(6), <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12681>

**The assembly of a plant network in alpine vegetation**

Gianalberto Losapio, Marcelino de la Cruz, Adrián Escudero, Bernhard Schmid, Christian Schöb

E-mail: gianalberto.losapio@usys.ethz.ch

Appendix S2 Summary results of statistical analyses.

**Table S1** Best null models of species independence.

**Table S2** Species list with number of individuals and cover.

**Table S3** Summary results of Principal Component Analysis.

**Table S1** Selection of the best null model among inhomogeneous point process model (IPP), inhomogeneous Poisson process model (PC) and inhomogeneous Poisson cluster process model (IPC). Differences between theoretical and estimated  $K$  functions ( $u$ ) and their  $p$ -values ( $p$ ) are shown. The smaller the differences, the higher the  $p$ -value, the better the pattern estimated from the observed data could be explained by a theoretical function.

Species	IPP $u$	IPP $p$	PC $u$	PC $p$	IPC $u$	IPC $p$	best
antvul	$1.7 \times 10^{10}$	0.21	$5.4 \times 10^9$	0.915	$3.1 \times 10^{10}$	0.275	PC
arabel	$1.1 \times 10^{10}$	0.23	$4.9 \times 10^{11}$	0.31	$2.2 \times 10^{10}$	0.19	PC
astalp	$1.8 \times 10^9$	0.315	$1.8 \times 10^{11}$	0.52	$2.7 \times 10^{10}$	0.63	IPC
creter	$1.2 \times 10^{10}$	0.415	$4.1 \times 10^{10}$	0.57	$1.2 \times 10^{10}$	0.715	IPP
draaiz	$2.4 \times 10^{10}$	0.015	$6.3 \times 10^7$	0.925	$1.7 \times 10^7$	1	IPC
dryoct	$2.5 \times 10^{10}$	0.795	$2.4 \times 10^8$	0.995	$1.2 \times 10^9$	0.72	PC
eriuni	$1.8 \times 10^{11}$	0.06	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	0.88	$7.6 \times 10^{10}$	0.77	PC
eupmin	$2.5 \times 10^{10}$	0.055	$3.9 \times 10^9$	0.735	$1.8 \times 10^{10}$	0.07	PC
galani	$3.3 \times 10^{11}$	0.015	$1.2 \times 10^{10}$	0.995	$7.9 \times 10^9$	0.665	IPC
leomon	$7.0 \times 10^8$	0.01	$1.6 \times 10^6$	0.425	$2.1 \times 10^6$	0.425	PC
linalp	$3.8 \times 10^8$	0.005	$2.5 \times 10^7$	0.685	$3.4 \times 10^7$	0.74	PC
minver	$4.9 \times 10^{12}$	0.005	$3.7 \times 10^{10}$	0.895	$5.5 \times 10^{11}$	0.02	PC
mosssp	$2.8 \times 10^8$	0.995	$1.1 \times 10^9$	0.985	$1.9 \times 10^{10}$	0.125	IPP
oxyjac	$4.0 \times 10^8$	0.765	$3.6 \times 10^8$	0.735	$9.0 \times 10^8$	0.575	PC
poaalp	$1.1 \times 10^{10}$	0.015	$2.6 \times 10^8$	0.77	$4.2 \times 10^9$	0.65	PC
polviv	$8.1 \times 10^{10}$	0.06	$4.6 \times 10^{10}$	0.79	$5.1 \times 10^9$	0.805	IPC
saxaiz	$2.8 \times 10^{10}$	0.405	$9.1 \times 10^{10}$	0.04	$8.3 \times 10^9$	0.715	IPC
sedatr	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	0.005	$3.8 \times 10^7$	0.915	$1.8 \times 10^8$	0.81	PC
thypra	$4.6 \times 10^9$	0.32	$1.9 \times 10^{11}$	0.21	$1.3 \times 10^{10}$	0.13	IPP

**Table S2** Species list with abbreviation and summary data of abundance (ab), relative cover, diameter, height, leaf mass per area (LMA), number of leaves, standardized connections (z-k), *P*-value range. Only species with more than 10 individuals were considered for the analyses, for a total of 19 species. Taxonomy according to Lauber & Wagner (1996).

Species	code	ab	cover	diam	h	LMA	leaves	z-k	<i>P</i>	
<i>Leontodon montanus</i>	leomon	392	2.62	4.4	2.1	5.3	89.5	1.88	0.06	0.975
<i>Poa alpina</i>	poalp	364	2.02	4.3	2.8	12.2	130.8	0.11	0.562	0.672
<i>Linaria alpina</i>	linalp	355	1.57	3.1	1.8	82.7	71.5	3.63	0.003	1
<i>Sedum atratum</i>	sedatr	215	0.13	1.3	1.3	32.6	92.1	0.68	0.332	0.84
<i>Draba aizoides</i>	draaiz	171	0.35	2.1	1.3	75.5	91.3	0.64	0.344	0.821
<i>Oxytropis jacquinii</i>	oxyjac	103	2.41	7.3	2.2	14.8	82.0	-0.44	0.752	0.464
<i>Polygonum viviparum</i>	polviv	98	2.54	5.4	2.5	2.8	80.2	-1.09	0.919	0.227
<i>Euphrasia minima</i>	eupmin	77	0.04	1.3	3.5	11.6	63.5	0.75	0.312	0.86
<i>Anthyllis vulneraria</i>	antvul	54	0.51	5.0	3.0	9.1	89.7	0.16	0.521	0.694
<i>Galium anisophyllum</i>	galani	51	0.17	3.1	3.7	48.7	76.9	-2.25	1	0.011
Moss	mossp	51	2.41	10.5	NA	NA	NA	0.13	0.54	0.678
<i>Dryas octopetala</i>	dryoct	44	10.04	19.7	3.5	368.5	132.5	3.79	0.003	1
<i>Erigeron uniflorus</i>	eriuni	39	0.08	2.4	1.6	3.7	90.2	-1.00	0.92	0.254
<i>Minuartia verna</i>	minver	27	0.07	2.8	1.7	99.0	81.6	-1.02	0.914	0.235
<i>Crepis terglouensis</i>	creter	20	0.42	8.2	2.1	9.7	95.6	-1.00	0.916	0.241
<i>Aster alpinus</i>	astalp	17	0.13	5.1	3.4	7.4	67.1	-1.05	0.92	0.23
<i>Saxifraga aizoides</i>	saxaiz	15	0.36	8.3	3.8	309.0	101.0	-1.61	0.983	0.086
<i>Arabis bellidifolia</i>	arabel	13	0.02	2.0	1.3	11.8	125.8	-0.49	0.781	0.434
<i>Thymus praecox</i>	thypra	13	0.03	2.2	1.2	21.2	80.5	-1.55	0.979	0.09

**Table S3** Summary results of Principal Component Analysis.

Eigenvalues	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
Variance	3.02	1.29	0.82	0.45	0.36	0.07
% of variance	50.34	21.46	13.72	7.44	5.95	1.09
Cumulative %	50.34	71.80	85.52	92.96	98.91	100
Variables	PC1 corr	PC1 cos <sup>2</sup>	PC1 <i>P</i>	PC2 corr	PC2 cos <sup>2</sup>	PC2 <i>P</i>
Abundance	-0.135	0.018	> 0.05	0.780	0.608	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>
Cover	0.873	0.762	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	0.275	0.076	> 0.05
Diameter	0.952	0.907	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	-0.053	0.003	> 0.05
Height	0.506	0.256	<b>0.003</b>	-0.576	0.331	<b>0.012</b>
LMA	0.602	0.362	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	0.506	0.256	<b>0.032</b>
N.leaves	0.846	0.715	<b>&lt; 0.001</b>	-0.116	0.013	> 0.05

