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Abstract—Achieving accurate, high-rate pose estimates from proprioceptive and/or exteroceptive measurements is the first step in the development of navigation algorithms for agile mobile robots such as Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs). In this paper, we propose a decoupled Graph-Optimization based Multi-Sensor Fusion approach (GOMSF) that combines generic 6 Degree-of-Freedom (DoF) visual-inertial odometry poses and 3 DoF globally referenced positions to infer the global 6 DoF pose of the robot in real-time. Our approach casts the fusion as a real-time alignment problem between the local base frame of the visual-inertial odometry and the global base frame. The alignment transformation that relates these coordinate systems is continuously updated by optimizing a sliding window pose graph containing the most recent robot’s states. We evaluate the presented pose estimation method on both simulated data and large outdoor experiments using a small UAV that is capable to run our system onboard. Results are compared against different state-of-the-art sensor fusion frameworks, revealing that the proposed approach is substantially more accurate than other decoupled fusion strategies. We also demonstrate comparable results in relation with a finely tuned Extended Kalman Filter that fuses visual, inertial and GPS measurements in a coupled way and show that our approach is generic enough to deal with different input sources in a straightforward manner.

Video – https://youtu.be/GIZNSZ2soL8

I. INTRODUCTION

Navigation and control of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) requires precise and timely knowledge of the six degree-of-freedom (DoF) robot pose (position and orientation) within space at any time. Though a plethora of systems and algorithms have been proposed in the past to address robot pose estimation, they are usually tailored to a single input source or to a very specific sensor suite. This makes them very sensitive to individual sensor failure modes and do not guarantee 100% availability necessary in real-world conditions.

As a result, literature has turned to more sophisticated approaches for multi-sensor data fusion. In this context, recent advances in robot state estimation combining cues from cameras and Inertial Measurement Units (IMU) have shown promising results for enabling robots to operate in mostly unstructured scenarios [1], [2]. However, these visual-inertial (VI) odometry algorithms accumulate errors in position and heading over time due to sensor noise and modelling errors. While the effect of such drift might be insignificant for small trajectories, reliable large-distance operation requires complementing VI odometry with localization measurements in a global reference frame. As in most state-of-the-art robotics systems, in this work we address this problem using global position measurements, such as GPS, to introduce globally referenced information into the proposed pose estimation framework.

VI odometry and global pose sources offer complementary properties, which make them particularly suitable for fusion. On the one hand, VI odometry measurements are usually available at high frequencies, locally smooth and do not require previous knowledge about the environment. However, as aforementioned, they accumulate drift with growing distance from the starting point and are not globally referenced (only an estimate of the robot pose with respect to the starting point of the trajectory is supported). On the other hand, estimates from global pose sources are globally referenced and their error is independent of the travelled distance. Nonetheless, they are usually available at low frequencies, provide more noisy pose estimates and require previous knowledge (reference maps) or artificial modification (satellite placement, need of ground stations, etc.) of the environment. Combining both types of measurements in a graph-based multi-sensor fusion framework, our goal here is to estimate a trajectory, which is locally smooth and globally
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In this paper, we present an approach for multi-sensor data fusion that decouples pose estimation from sensor fusion and can be executed online in real-time. Pose estimation is formulated as a base frame alignment problem between local frame of the VI odometry and the global coordinate frame. The estimation of the transformation that relates both reference frames is continuously updated by running a sliding window graph-based optimization, leading to the maximum likelihood estimate over the joint probability distribution of robot poses in the current window. Our framework deals with multi-rate, generic sources, non-constant input frequencies and time-varying latencies in a simple and effective manner.

In brief, the main contributions of this paper are:

- a novel, efficient and accurate localization system based on the fusion of generic VI odometry 6 DoF pose and global 3 DoF position inputs,
- a novel graph construction strategy that is especially designed to achieve reliable global orientation estimates when only 3 DoF global position measurements are available,
- an evaluation of the system’s performance in both simulated and large outdoor flights over 1km, and demonstrated improvement of 1.5x to 3x in pose estimation accuracy when comparing our approach with two of the most popular state-of-the-art VI-SLAM systems.

II. RELATED WORK

Most multi-sensor data fusion for navigation systems that currently appear in the literature can be categorised into filtering-based or smoothing approaches.

Conventional filtering-based approaches usually employ some variant of the Kalman filter. For example, in the context of small UAVs, Weiss et al. [3] propose an Extended Kalman Filter (EKF) to fuse inertial measurements with GPS data and a camera-based pose estimate. Their work is generalized by Lynen et al. [4] to a modular multi-sensor fusion framework based on the iterated EKF formulation. In both cases, the propagation step is driven by high frequency inertial measurements, making the IMU an indispensable sensor on the system, and a lot of effort is put to align all other, potentially delayed, sensor readings with the states. With the same goal of achieving precise knowledge of position and orientation, especially in highly dynamic operation of robots, Bloesch et al. [1] propose an EKF-based VI odometry framework able to correct its pose estimate by integrating external pose updates, such as GPS measurements. Though all of these works report satisfactory results, the filtering-based approaches have in common that they restrict the state vector to the most recent state, hence marginalizing all older information, and it is mainly due to this reason that they perform suboptimally when compared to smoothing, as revealed in [5].

In contrast to filtering techniques, smoothing approaches formulate sensor fusion as a graph-based nonlinear least squares optimization problem. Using all past measurements up to the current one and optimizing the entire trajectory is commonly referred to as online batch estimation. This leads to a maximum likelihood estimate over the joint probability of robot poses and produces statistically optimal results. Despite that incremental smoothing techniques, such as iSAM2 [6], are able to keep the problem computationally tractable, an important drawback of this approach lies with the fact that the full state vector is kept in memory over the entire trajectory, thus limiting its applicability to systems without memory constraints or having short operation times.

An alternative to incremental smoothing techniques are the so-called sliding window filters, which keep the size of the state vector bounded by marginalizing out older states. In this context, Rehder et al. [7] propose a sliding window graph-based approach capable of localizing a vehicle in the global coordinate frame by using inertial measurements, long range visual odometry and sparse GPS information. Similarly, Merfels and Stachniss [8], [9] use a sliding window pose graph to fuse multiple odometry and global pose sources for self-localization of autonomous cars. The method that we present in this paper is closer to these approaches in the sense that it treats the fusion problem as a non linear optimization over a history robot poses with a graphical representation. However, after each optimization cycle we do not directly retrieve the most recent estimated state. Instead, following the methodology presented in [10] and [11], we use the optimal solution to infer a base frame transformation that constantly realigns the local base frame of the VI odometry with the global base frame. The advantage of the approach we present here, over [10] and [11], is its ability to achieve global localization without previous knowledge about the environment (e.g. a reference map).

With the proposed method, the global pose of the robot can be estimated whenever a VI odometry pose measurement becomes available by simply applying the transformation that aligns it with the global coordinates, thus introducing minimal delay with respect to the time that the measurement is acquired. Taking advantage of the fact that the VI odometry measurements tend to be locally precise (meaning that the transformation between the VI odometry and the global frames stays quasi-constant over short periods of time), the proposed framework allows reducing the frequency of the optimization cycles w.r.t. the output rate of pose estimation without significantly diminishing accuracy. This is not possible in most state-of-the-art graph-fusion systems [7], [8], [9], in which the required output frequency determines also the frequency of the optimization cycles.

III. METHODOLOGY

Similarly to [10] and [11], we formulate the global localization task as a rigid base frame alignment problem between a local coordinate frame (VI odometry reference frame) and the global coordinate frame. In our case, this is addressed by posing a sliding window graph-based multi-sensor fusion problem that constantly seeks the most recent N optimal, globally referenced, robot states. The solution of each optimization cycle is used to update the quasi-constant transformation between the local and the global base frames,
thus allowing a smooth realignment of the VI odometry pose estimates to the global base frame.

Figure 2 depicts the frame setup of our pose estimation system. Each frame is connected with a certain homogeneous transformation $T$ to another frame. The global base frame $G$ is world fixed and serves as the origin for all global position measurements. The local base frame $L$ forms the origin of the VI odometry pose estimates and is originally placed at the starting point of the trajectory. The body frame $B$ is rigidly attached to the robot’s centre of mass and the camera frame $C$ is centred in the respective sensor of the robot.

Based on visual and inertial information, the VI odometry estimates the transformation $LT_B$ between the local base frame $L$ and the body frame $B$. For the purpose of this section, we assume that the transformation $BT_C$ between the body frame and the camera frame is calibrated online by the VI odometry. Additionally, a GPS sensor provides the 3 DoF position of the body frame in global coordinates, $GP_B$. The final goal of the online localization is to estimate the transformation $GT_B$ in real-time. This transformation can also be expressed as the product of the quasi-constant transformation between the global and local base frames, $GT_L$, which is calibrated online by our multi-sensor fusion framework, and the input VI odometry pose estimate, $LT_B$.

Using this approach, we are able to output a globally referenced pose estimate each time a VI-odometry measurement is available and with minimal delay with respect to the time it is acquired. This allows us to decouple the output rate of the localization system from the frequency of the optimization cycles, as they are only used to update the transformation between the global and local base frames, $GT_L$. Since the VI odometry pose estimates are supposed to be locally precise, the frequency of the optimization cycles can be slightly lower than the output rate of the localization system without inducing considerable losses in accuracy. This way, we are able to achieve accurate, real-time global localization with less frequent optimization cycles than conventional approaches, which directly retrieve the most recent optimal state each time a graph optimization is performed.

Below we describe each stage in the process of estimating the base frame transformation $GT_L$ by means of a sliding window graph-based multi-sensor fusion approach.

### A. Time alignment of input data

Integrating input sources with unknown time behaviour is not straightforward as we have to deal with multi-rate sources, non-constant input frequencies and out-of-sequence estimates. Similarly to [8] and [9], our approach consists in buffering all incoming data before the next graph construction phase. Sorting the data by time allows integrating of out-of-sequence data in a natural way.

At the start of each cycle and in order to force a proper graph structure to solve the multi-sensor fusion problem, the buffered local and global measurements need to be time-aligned. To achieve that, we match each available global measurement with the VI odometry pose estimate that has the closest timestamp and we make them virtually point to a same instant in the past. This strategy is based on the assumption that the output rate of the VI odometry is much higher than the output rate of the GPS sensor. As detailed in section IV, we design a specific experimental setup, in which the VI odometry pose estimates and the GPS readings are provided at frequencies of 100Hz and 5Hz, respectively, meaning that the maximum expected delay between matched measurements is 5ms.

### B. Graph construction

Our approach to multi-sensor fusion consists in constructing and solving a nonlinear optimization problem over a limited history of sensor measurements and robot states that can be represented as a sliding window pose graph. The graph consists of a set of nodes that represent past, globally referenced robot poses at discrete points in time. Sensor measurements induce constraints on these robot poses and are modelled as edges connecting the nodes of the graph.

In contrast to related graph-based approaches [7], [8], [9], we do not generate a node every time a VI odometry pose estimate is available nor tie its generation to a specific time step, which requires lots of interpolations between measurements [8]. Instead, we generate a new node only when a pair of time-aligned local and global measurements is found. By doing this, we increase the temporal resolution of the graph, thus reducing both the number of nodes contained in each window and the time needed to solve the optimization problem.

1) *The conventional graph structure:* A common way to construct the graph is to distinguish between local measurements (VI odometry), that induce edges between two successive nodes, and global measurements (GPS), which impose constraints on the position in a global coordinate frame. By introducing a virtual zero node $G$ at the origin of the coordinate system into the graph (see Figure 3), both classes of constraints can be treated in a unified way [7]. However, as we demonstrate in section IV, if only 3 DoF positions are available as global measurements, this approach is prone to yield wrong global orientation estimates due to the existence of possible multiple local minima.

2) *Adding an extra virtual node to restrict orientations:* In order to constrain absolute orientation, Rehder et al. [7] employ accelerometers as inclinometers. Here, we propose...
the configuration of nodes that best fits all the available constraints between the virtual transformation between the local and the global base frames. Singular value decomposition proposed in [12], is the optimal solution, which can be obtained using the approach based on two sets of time aligned global and local 3 DoF position measurements, which are subjected to noise. We assume this noise to be additive, white and normally distributed with zero mean.

Our approach exploits the well-established graph-based Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) formulation [13], but applies it to the case of sensor data fusion. Let \( \mathbf{x} = (x_1, ..., x_m)^T \) be the state vector, where \( x_i = (p_i, q_i) \) describes the global pose of the node \( i \), with \( p_i \) being the position and \( q_i \) the orientation of the robot. Consider also a set of measurements, with \( z_{ij} = (p_{ij}, q_{ij}) \) and \( \Omega_{ij} \) being the mean and the information matrix, respectively, of a single measurement relating the node \( i \) and the node \( j \) (we denote by \( p_{ij} \) and \( q_{ij} \) the translation and rotation between measured from node \( i \) to node \( j \)). The least squares estimation seeks the state \( \mathbf{x}^* \) that best explains all observations given the \( \ell_2 \) norm. This is equivalent to solving the following equation:

\[
\mathbf{x}^* = \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{C}} e_{ij}^T \Omega_{ij} e_{ij},
\]

where \( \mathcal{C} \) denotes the set of pairs of indices for which a measurement is available and \( e_{ij} = e(x_i, x_j, z_{ij}) \) is a vector error function that measures how well the constraint from the measurement \( z_{ij} \) is satisfied. In our case, the information matrices \( \Omega_{ij} \) are the inverses of the measurement covariance matrices. Additionally, in order to increase the robustness against outliers, we apply the Pseudo-Huber cost function to all constraints.

The solution of (1) can be found by linearising the equation around an initial guess \( \hat{\mathbf{x}} \), which leads to iteratively solving a linear system with the system matrix \( \mathbf{H} \) and the right-hand side vector \( \mathbf{b} \) such that

\[
\mathbf{H} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbf{J}_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{x}})^T \Omega_{ij} \mathbf{J}_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}),
\]

\[
\mathbf{b}^T = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{C}} e_{ij}^T \Omega_{ij} \mathbf{J}_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}),
\]

where \( \mathbf{J}_{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{x}}) \) is the Jacobian of the error function computed in \( \hat{\mathbf{x}} \). For a more detailed explanation, we refer the reader to [13]. To effectively solve the non-linear optimization problem, we use the Google Ceres Solver [14].

### D. Base frame transformation estimation

After each optimization cycle, instead of sending out the most recent optimal robot pose, we perform an additional step that realigns the VI odometry frame to the global coordinate frame. The transformation between the VI odometry and the global coordinate frames is captured by estimation of a base frame transformation \( G_T_L \) that can be expressed as

\[
G_T_L = G_T_B \cdot L_T_B^{-1},
\]

where \( G_T_B \) denotes the most recent optimal, globally referenced robot pose and \( L_T_B \) is the VI odometry local pose estimate that is time-aligned with this most recent state.

In a practical sense, the quasi-constant base frame transformation \( G_T_L \) captures the unobserved global position and orientation and the drift of the VI odometry. By running

---

**Fig. 3: Comparison between the conventional graph structure (top) and the structure proposed in this paper (bottom).** Green arrows represent the constraints generated by the virtual odometry measurements, whereas magenta arrows represent the constraints generated by VI odometry pose estimates. Note that in our approach VI odometry measurements induce two types of constraints: position constraints between consecutive nodes and orientation constraints between each node and the virtual \( \mathcal{L} \) node. The virtual \( \mathcal{L} \) node tracks the orientation between the VI odometry local frame and the global reference frame, thus providing a prior to obtain reliable global orientation estimates.

To add a second virtual node \( \mathcal{L} \) at the origin of the local coordinate system, which is constrained in the global coordinate frame by the current estimation of the rotation between the local and the global base frames. In this new approach, VI odometry measurements induce two types of constraints: orientation constraints between the virtual \( \mathcal{L} \) node and each other node in the graph, and position constraints between consecutive nodes. A comparison between the conventional graph structure and the one that is proposed in this work is illustrated in Figure 3.

During each graph construction phase, the constraint relating the virtual \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) nodes is updated with the \( \mathcal{L} \) optimal pose obtained in the previous optimization cycle. It basically acts as a prior that prevents the algorithm to get stuck into non-desired local minima and ensures obtaining reliable global orientation estimates. Note that this strategy requires a method to initialize the mentioned constraint in the first graph construction phase. For that, we formulate a standard least-squares fitting problem between two sets of time aligned global and local 3 DoF position measurements acquired during an initialization period. The solution, which can be obtained using the approach based on singular value decomposition proposed in [12], is the optimal transformation between the local and the global base frames after the initialization period and thus can be applied as a constraint between the virtual \( \mathcal{G} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) nodes in the first graph construction phase.

**C. Graph optimization**

Once the graph is built, optimizing it consists in finding the configuration of nodes that best fits all the available measurements, which are subjected to noise. We assume this noise to be additive, white and normally distributed with zero mean.
the optimization cycles at a sufficiently high frequency, our approach provides a way to realign the VI odometry pose estimates with the global reference frame and correct the mentioned drift in a smooth way.

E. Graph update

At the beginning of a new graph construction phase, we generate as many new nodes as the number of global measurements acquired during the previous cycle. To keep the size of the graph constant, we simply discard older nodes that do not lay inside the sliding window at the current time. The virtual nodes $G$ and $L$ are always maintained in the graph.

After adding the new nodes into the graph, the time-aligned local and global measurements received in the previous cycle are mapped as edges between them. Additionally, the constraint relating the virtual nodes $G$ and $L$ is updated with the $L$ optimal transformation obtained in the previous cycle. Finally, the optimal poses obtained in the previous cycle are used as initial guess in the new optimization problem. This leads to an effective and efficient solution in practice as very few optimization steps are usually sufficient to integrate the new information of the current time step.

IV. EVALUATION

This section presents our experimental evaluation of the proposed framework. We first introduce a brief description of the pose estimation system that has been used in this work and then we evaluate the performance of our algorithm on both simulated and real data.

A. Overview of the pose estimation system

Figure 4 shows the online pose estimation system with which all the experiments presented in this paper have been conducted. In a first step, based on the information provided by a camera and an IMU mounted together on a single visual-inertial sensor attached to the UAV, the VI odometry estimates the pose of the camera in local coordinates. We test the performance of the system with both OKVIS [2], a keyframe-based VI odometry framework that uses nonlinear optimization, and ROVIO [1], an EKF-based VI odometry approach. Secondly, the Multi Sensor Fusion Framework [4] (MSF) fuses the camera pose from the VI odometry with the measurements acquired by the UAV’s IMU and estimates the pose of the UAV body frame in local coordinates.

To test the accuracy of the proposed system, we compare our results against the solution obtained with two state-of-the-art, filtering-based sensor fusion strategies: the first one consists in fusing the UAV’s IMU measurements, the VI odometry pose estimates and the GPS readings together in the MSF, as proposed in [3], whereas the second one consists in integrating the GPS position updates directly into ROVIO and fusing its output with the UAV’s IMU readings by means of the MSF, as presented in [15]. Diagrams of these alternative approaches are shown in Figures 5 and 6, respectively.

B. Experiments with synthetic input data

The following experiments are designed to show that the proposed multi-sensor fusion framework is able to effectively estimate the global 6 DoF pose of the UAV given a set of locally referenced 6 DoF poses and 3 DoF global positions. We also demonstrate that adding the virtual $L$ node in the graph notably increases accuracy.

To carry out the experiments, we use inertial data and exact 6 DoF ground truth extracted from simulated flights.
The virtual scenario used to generate visual data.

Visual data is created in Blender by exporting the simulated trajectories into a virtual 3D scenario. Finally, global position estimates are generated at runtime with a frequency of 5Hz by adding Gaussian noise to the ground truth positions.

We evaluate the online pose estimation on five different simulated flights performed with the camera pointing forward and over the same virtual scenario: a mining quarry of approximately 250m × 200m. In all of these experiments we run OKVIS to get the VI odometry pose estimates. The standard deviation of the noise used to generate the global position estimates is 0.5m in the X and Y axes and 0.75m in the Z axis.

An example of our framework’s input and output data corresponding to simulated flight no. 2 is shown in Figure 8. As explained above, our inputs are noisy GPS 3 DoF position measurements and VI odometry 6 DoF pose estimates that accumulate drift with the travelled distance. The output of GOMSF is a set of globally referenced poses that follow the ground truth closely, providing more accuracy and smoothness than the simulated GPS raw measurements, while diminishing the VI odometry drift effects.

Figures 9 and 10 illustrate the temporal evolution of the position and orientation errors obtained when running GOMSF in simulated flight no. 2. Additionally, we plot the errors that are obtained using the conventional graph-based sensor fusion strategy (CGSF), that is, representing the 6 DoF pose measurements as constraints between successive nodes without adding the virtual L node in the graph (see Figure 7).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulated Flight</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travelled dist. [m]</td>
<td>765.5</td>
<td>737.6</td>
<td>850.9</td>
<td>785.4</td>
<td>996.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean X error [m]</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std X error [m]</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean Y error [m]</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std Y error [m]</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean Z error [m]</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std Z error [m]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean φ error [°]</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std φ error [°]</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean θ error [°]</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std θ error [°]</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean ψ error [°]</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std ψ error [°]</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>6.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: Mean and standard deviation of the absolute position and orientation (roll-pitch-yaw) errors in all five simulated flights using GOMSF(OKVIS). A sliding window containing the 25 most recent robot states and updated each 0.3 s is used in all experiments.

3). Note that, in the latter case, we obtain frequent wrong orientation estimates that also induce significant errors in the estimate of the robot global position.

Analysing the performance of GOMSF on all five simulated flights (Table I), we can see that the mean translation error lies below 0.4 m in all three axes. Orientations are estimated with a mean error which is below 1.5° in most cases except in flights 4 and 5, corresponding to zig-zag trajectories, where bigger errors in the yaw angle estimates are obtained. With the approach we propose in this paper, the output of the sensor fusion framework improves compared to the individual input sources in all simulated flights (the mean errors of the GPS raw measurements are approximately 0.4m in the X and Y axes and 0.6m in the Z axis).

C. Experiments in large outdoor flights

The following experiments are conducted on real input data and are designed to test the generality of GOMSF in dealing with different VI odometry systems and its ability to run online on a UAV in comparison to the state-of-the-art sensor fusion approaches.

In this case, we present the results obtained with three datasets that were recorded in previous work [11] by performing flights over a vegetable field of 100m × 60m with the camera pointing downwards. A top view of the environment and a representation of the trajectory performed in flight no. 3 is shown in Figure 1. The visual-inertial data was collected with the VI-Sensor [16], which is equipped with two WVGA monochrome cameras running at 20Hz and an ADIS 16448 MEMS IMU running at 200Hz. Body-referenced inertial and global position measurements were acquired by the on-board IMU (200Hz) and GPS (5Hz) modules of the AscTec Neo hexacopter, carrying the VI-Sensor. Finally, accurate ground truth 3 DoF positions were acquired using a Leica Nova TM50 ground station, which is able to track a prism mounted on the UAV with sub-centimetre accuracy.

For each dataset, we run GOMSF to fuse the GPS measurements with OKVIS or ROVIO (see Figure 4). We also run the framework using the conventional graph-based sensor fusion strategy (CGSF) showed in Figure 3 to demonstrate that our approach, which adds the virtual L node in the graph, leads to better accuracy. Additionally, we compare GOMSF’s performance against the setup of Figure 5 to fuse ROVIO, GPS and the UAV’s IMU in the MSF and the setup of Figure 6 to integrate the GPS position updates directly into ROVIO. In all cases, we evaluate the results against the Leica ground truth positions (note that we have no way of capturing orientation ground truth in the real experiments).

Figure 11 shows the temporal evolution of the position errors obtained when running all systems using ROVIO for VI odometry on the longest trajectory, which corresponds to dataset no. 3. A detailed analysis of the mean and the standard deviation of the absolute translation errors obtained in all experiments with the tested datasets is provided in Table II.

Though all compared localization systems are able to provide globally referenced position estimates, results vary from one approach to another. In all tested datasets, the lowest mean translation errors are achieved with our graph-optimization based sensor fusion approach, specially when OKVIS is used for VI odometry. However, the most interesting interpretation of the results derives from the comparison between the experiments performed with ROVIO, which show that, using the same inputs, our algorithm achieves more accurate pose estimates than the two EKF-based approaches. With a generic method for fusing 6 DoF locally referenced pose estimates and 3 DoF global position measurements, we not only outperform the generic MSF framework, but also ROVIO, which was specifically designed to fuse visual-inertial data with external position updates. This reinforces the findings of [5], that non-linear
### TABLE II: Mean and standard deviation of the absolute translation errors for all experiments in the three evaluated datasets. Also the mean and the standard deviation of the computation time, which comprises the time needed to build and solve a graph-optimization problem, is included in the experiments in which GOMSF and CGSF are used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Travelled dist. [m]</th>
<th>Mean transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Std transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Mean comp. time [ms]</th>
<th>Std comp. time [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MSF (ROVIO)</td>
<td>404.1</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROVIO +GPS</td>
<td>483.3</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGSF (ROVIO)</td>
<td>1033.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOMSF (ROVIO)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Std transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Mean comp. time [ms]</th>
<th>Std comp. time [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CGSF (OKVIS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Std transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Mean comp. time [ms]</th>
<th>Std comp. time [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GOMSF (OKVIS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Std transl. error [m]</th>
<th>Mean comp. time [ms]</th>
<th>Std comp. time [ms]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Future directions will focus on detecting significant drift in the VI odometry pose estimates and account for this during optimization.
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### V. CONCLUSIONS

The multi-sensor fusion approach GOMSF presented in this paper is motivated by the need of accurate, high-rate pose estimates during long-term operations performed with UAVs in unstructured outdoor environments. We fulfil these requirements by proposing a sliding window graph-based optimization scheme that continuously realigns the odometry pose estimates with the global reference frame. Furthermore, we describe a particular graph structure that allows us to obtain reliable global orientation estimates given only 3 DoF globally referenced positions.

The proposed system is first evaluated on simulated flights revealing its ability to infer reliable global position and orientation estimates. Tests on real outdoor flights against popular state-of-the-art VI-SLAM approaches reveal consistently more robust and accurate performance of GOMSF, especially when the system runs together with a key-frame based VI odometry framework (OKVIS).

Future directions will focus on detecting significant drift in the VI odometry pose estimates and account for this during optimization.