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Abstract—We introduce an energy harvesting system capable of converting bipolar thermal gradients to electrical energy. An active rectification circuit, electrical impedance matching and commodity thermoelectric generators (TEGs) are used to efficiently extract energy from very small temperature gradients found at the natural ground-to-air boundary. The full harvesting system is modeled in detail from thermal radiation to the electrical load. This end-to-end model enables system dimensioning to meet specific application requirements. A multi-year deployment of the harvesting system supplying a wireless sensor network (WSN) for environment monitoring demonstrates the applicability of this system in a real application. The case study confirms self-sustainable operation of an application with a 550 µW power footprint. With a maximum harvested power of up to 27.2 mW during the day and 6.3 mW during the night, a significant improvement in both average and maximum harvested power is demonstrated compared to the state-of-the-art.

Index Terms—Energy harvesting, Energy neutrality, Internet of Things, Rectifiers, System analysis and design, Thermoelectric generator, Wireless sensor networks

I. INTRODUCTION

With significant increases in integration density and energy efficiency the performance of wireless networked sensors has notably improved, enabling more complex applications in the era of Internet of Things. Even with an optimized power footprint the lifetime of a system is constrained by its power requirements and storage capacity. Harvesting energy from the environment provides an unprecedented opportunity to extend application lifetime [1] and reduce maintenance cost, especially for long-term applications typically found in monitoring networks.

This work focuses on harvesting energy using thermoelectric generators (TEGs) which convert heat flux directly into electric energy. TEGs have been used in numerous applications where they exploit the occurrence of process or waste heat. Temperature monitoring of hot pipes by extracting energy from the large temperature gradient they produce was demonstrated in [2]. In [3] a wireless sensor node for building energy management that harvests from wall heaters was developed.

Thermal energy harvesting was combined with solar in [4] to increase the power harvested in indoor scenarios. In [5] TEGs were used to power the monitoring blade degradation of band saws. Radioisotope thermoelectric generators rely on radioactive decay of isotopes as heat source and are used as long-term energy supply in space applications [6], [7, Ch. 53-56]. Harvesting from human body heat has been integrated into wristbands [8], clothes [9] and headbands [10] to supply smart watches and vital signs monitoring applications.

Unlike the above applications that exploit waste or process heat, this work’s focus is on harvesting energy from naturally occurring gradients found in the ambient at the ground-to-air boundary, i.e. at the boundary of the atmosphere and the earth’s surface. Short-term variations in air temperature are generally large compared to temperature changes in the outermost part of the earth surface or objects of the built environment [11]. Radiation is the main driver of gradients found between ground, buildings or other solid objects and the atmosphere. During the day, especially under direct sunlight conditions, a surface exposed to radiation warms up. The radiative energy absorbed at the surface propagates into the material at a rate and with an attenuation depending on the material’s thermal conductivity resulting in a thermal gradient. The process reverses at night and is influenced by weather conditions, cloud cover, convection, etc. Therefore, thermal harvesting at the ground-to-air boundary exhibits seasonal patterns with a location dependent magnitude as well as daily patterns that can be exploited. Due to the bi-directional nature of the energy transport, these patterns exhibit different temporal properties than other forms of energy harvesting, e.g. photovoltaics.

Under direct sunlight conditions TEGs cannot compete with photovoltaic cells which have conversion efficiencies that are one order of magnitude higher [12] than those of TEGs [13]. However, by design TEGs are symmetric, bipolar devices, therefore capable of converting both directions of heat flux into electrical energy. Further advantages of TEG based energy harvesting are the utilization of a wide radiation spectrum, the tolerance for partial coverage (e.g. drop shadows, partial snow coverage) and the slow degradation of performance (aging, susceptibility to soiling) [14]. This results in significant energy production at times when other approaches like photovoltaics fail, e.g. at night-time or the transition times between day and night. The nearly continual energy generation of a TEG harvesting based approach widens the design space to systems with only minimal energy storage. Such systems immediately use the harvested energy when it is produced, circumventing the losses incurring with further conversion and storage [15].
Moreover, there are no moving or fragile (glass) parts, no acoustic emissions and the integration with a thermally conductive element and a radiator is simple, resulting in a rugged and highly reliable energy harvesting system.

Therefore, a significant number of previous work has exploited TEGs to extract energy from the temperature gradient occurring in the ambient. In [16] thermal heat flux in soil was analyzed and the authors concluded that thermoelectric harvesting is feasible at the ground-to-air boundary. Subsequently they demonstrated in [17] that thermal harvesters embedded in the upper layers of soil generate an average power of 6 mW during the summer months using a TEG of undefined type and size. In [18] this scenario was modeled and thereafter experimentally evaluated with a device that had an area of 144 cm². They reported an average power of 1.1 mW before voltage rectification and conversion. In [19], the gradients in the upper soil layers were monitored and through simulations it was concluded that a wireless sensor node can be supplied from only this energy source. Stevens et al. studied the theoretical optimal placement of a harvester at the ground-to-air boundary [20]. In a later experimental study they harvested an average power of 1 mW with finned thermal guides of 3.8 cm diameter [21]. By also using a finned heatsink [22] exploited the temperature gradient that occurs in railway tracks due to solar radiation. Meydbray et al. evaluated thermal energy harvesting from the surface-to-ambient gradient and reported an average harvested power of 0.575 mW for a system with a 131 cm² ceramic plate on the ambient side [23]. In [24] the authors performed an experimental study of the heat flux for a ground-to-air harvester with a thermal guide into the soil and a power transistor heat sink on the ambient side. Based on their observations they estimated a peak power of 0.4 mW. A similar study that combined a thermal guide reaching 20 cm into the ground amid a 17.6 cm² sink with a TEG reports a peak power of 50 µW [25]. A convection dominated tunnel wall scenario was modeled and evaluated in [26]. Utilizing the optimized source and load matching, they reported 70 mJ of harvested electrical energy per day. Datta et al. focused on an asphalt surface to lower soil layer harvesting scenario, and reported up to 16 mW harvested power around midday utilizing a TEG with an area of 80 cm² [27]. Harvesting directly at the pavement surface, the impact of different TEG surface embedding options, surface colors and materials was experimentally evaluated in [28]. Instead of using the ground as a large thermal capacity, it is also possible to use phase changing materials. In [29], [30] the fast changing ambient in an aircraft was used to generate an average power of 22 mW during an 80 min flight. Verma et al. harvested at the ambient to water storage boundary [31]. During the summer their systems with a form factor of 101.75 cm² generated an average output power of 34.7 mW in an open environment.

Similar to some of the above mentioned work the thermoelectric harvesting system proposed in this work utilizes a thermal guide to contact lower ground layers. However on the ambient side a black body radiator exploits the large solar radiation during the day and maximizes the emission during night.

Contrary to thermal energy harvesting from process or body heat, where design and operation is governed by one heat flux direction, ambient thermal gradients are primarily driven by radiation that reverses its polarity at least once per day. This results in a bidirectional heat flux through the TEG module and consequently in bipolar output voltages. The small bidirectional temperature gradients of typically only a few Kelvin at the ground-to-air boundary present a veritably challenging harvesting scenario. Under these conditions a TEG generates small bipolar voltages in the ±10 mV to ±100 mV range. The voltage levels necessitate efficient low voltage rectification and voltage up-conversion to store the harvested energy in a buffer and/or supply it to an application circuit.

To rectify the voltage before up-conversion the DoubleTip platform [32] uses a harvesting solution that integrates polarity switching into its conversion stage [33]. However the platform has to be optimized at design time for a specific operating point. To enable the use of adaptive unipolar conversion circuits, active rectification is required as traditional diode based rectifications [34, Ch. 1.6] are not feasible for the low TEG voltages. The solution presented in [35] supports cold-starting with a depleted energy storage at the cost of higher quiescent current due to the system’s demand for negative voltages. Similar self-powered rectification designs were optimized for efficiency and low quiescent current [36], [37], but require input voltages of several 100 mV.

To maximize the extracted energy, the thermal harvester system has to exploit the largest gradient possible at the ground-to-air boundary, while matching the TEG’s thermal resistance for maximum harvesting efficiency. Equivalently, the internal electrical resistance of a TEG has to be matched by the energy extraction circuitry for maximum energy transfer.

Addressing the above mentioned challenges, we make the following contributions in this work:

- We present for the first time an end-to-end model of a thermoelectric harvesting architecture for the ground-to-air boundary. This enables use-case specific optimization for long-term autonomous operation and different power requirements.
- A novel low-power rectification circuit to rectify small bipolar voltages with minimal losses is designed. Experimental evaluation demonstrates its superior performance compared to other semiconductor and mechanical switching solutions.
- We perform in-depth validation of our model. The system components are evaluated individually in a controlled lab environment and the end-to-end model is validated by deploying the system in a concrete wall scenario.
- We provide extensive real-world performance evaluation using a multi-year deployed environment monitoring application. The system used for this study is dimensioned according to our model given the constraints implied by the scenario.

The optimized harvesting system consisting of a thermal guide and a 100 cm² radiator is deployed with a fully functional wireless sensor node in a long-term experimental study. The platform harvests up to 27.2 mW under direct solar exposure, while also providing up to 6.3 mW during nighttime when emitting heat into the ambient. This enables self-
sustainable operation of the environment monitoring application with a 550 µW average power footprint that not only senses environmental quantities but also participates in a multihop network and communicates the obtained information.

The remainder is organized as follows: an overview of the harvesting scenario and method is given in Section II. The building blocks of the thermal harvesting subsystem are modeled and validated in Section III. Subsequently, Section IV introduces and evaluates the low voltage rectification circuit and completes the end-to-end model with the electrical sub-system. Section V presents a long-term evaluation of the harvesting system with an environment monitoring application, before concluding in Section VI.

II. THERMAL ENERGY HARVESTING SYSTEM

The harvesting system introduced in this work extracts energy from the naturally occurring temperature gradients at the ground-to-air boundary. After specifying the harvesting scenario, an overview of the system and its components is given.

A. Thermal Harvesting Scenario

The surface temperature of rocks and the built environment is governed by radiation and typically follows short-term variable signals [11]. Because the adaption rate decreases with depth in materials with considerably high thermal capacitance, the strong thermal signal present at the surface is attenuated and delayed when propagating through the material. Consequently, a strong bidirectional temperature gradient is observable over the first few centimeters of a surface exposed to radiation that follows a (daily) recurring pattern. This is illustrated with the example of a surface temperature profile recorded in a rock wall environment in Figure 1. The mean annual temperature gradient between the ambient and a depth of 20 cm in the shown scenario is 0.08 K. Despite the system being in long-term thermal equilibrium, it is possible to harvest energy due to the above mentioned effects. The proposed harvester system adapts its harvesting polarity to the heat flux direction, thus increasing the mean temperature gradient exploitable for harvesting to 3.05 K.

B. Thermal Harvester Architecture

This work presents a thermal energy harvesting platform that exploits the above described temperature gradients using thermoelectric generators (TEGs). The harvesting platform consists of the following components, also illustrated in Figure 2: a TEG (c) is placed between an ambient facing black body radiator (a) that absorbs or emits thermal and solar radiation and a thermal guide (b) connecting the system to the ground at depth. The TEG transduces the resulting heat flux between air and ground into electrical energy. An electrical rectification circuit (d) reverses the polarity of the generator voltage according to the heat flux direction. The voltage converter and battery charge controller (e) converts the low TEG voltages to charge a battery and supply a wireless sensor node application (f).

III. THERMAL SYSTEM MODEL

The thermal harvesting platform is modeled end-to-end for design exploration and system dimensioning. The model requires meteorological data as input and enables dimensioning a system that supports energy neutral operation of a wireless sensing application. Starting with the thermoelectric generator transducing heat flux into electrical energy, the design and corresponding model of the thermal and radiation components are presented.

A. Thermoelectric Generator Characteristics

Exploiting the Seebeck effect, a thermoelectric generator (TEG) directly converts heat flux resulting from temperature gradients into electrical energy [7]. A TEG consists of p- and n-doped semiconductors with dissimilar thermoelectric properties that are electrically arranged in series and thermally in parallel. Applying a temperature gradient $\Delta T_{\text{TEG}}$ across the TEG leads to a heat flux through it, resulting in an open-circuit output voltage $V_{\text{TEG,OC}}$ proportional to the gradient $\Delta T_{\text{TEG}}$.

$$V_{\text{TEG,OC}} = \alpha_{\text{TEG}} \times \Delta T_{\text{TEG}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The Seebeck coefficient $\alpha_{\text{TEG}}$ of a TEG, in units of V/K, is a property of the type and shape of the semiconductor material. For temperature gradients up to several tens of Kelvins, the electrical properties of a TEG can be modeled as a temperature dependent voltage source as in (1) with constant internal resistance $R_{\text{TEG}}$ [38]. Consequently, the maximum power is extracted from the TEG when the resistance of the connected load matches the TEG’s internal resistance, i.e. $R_{\text{load}} = R_{\text{TEG}}$. The resulting maximum harvested power depends quadratic on the temperature gradient across the TEG:

$$P_{\text{max}} = \frac{(V_{\text{TEG,OC}})^2}{4 \times R_{\text{TEG}}} = \frac{(\alpha_{\text{TEG}})^2}{4 \times R_{\text{TEG}}} \times (\Delta T_{\text{TEG}})^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Testbed Characterization of TEGs The quadratic behavior of the harvested power for small temperature gradients was verified using a thermal testbed. The testbed consists of two independently controlled Peltier devices and measures the temperature at multiple points in the system as well as the TEG output voltage and current. It allows fully automated replay of dynamic temperature traces that are applied to the device under test for transient system analysis. Overall the testbed provides a consistent environment which is required to characterize and compare commercially available TEGs. The
exploration of considered TEGs provides the foundation for selecting the module best suited for a specific system.

B. Thermal Model of the Harvesting System

The thermal behavior of the harvester is approximated with a lumped parameter model using thermal resistances. It is based on the energy conservation law and assumes steady state conditions and an ideally insulated thermal guide. The thermal resistances of the components are determined by their respective materials and geometries. A two dimensional model was selected to abstract the system and to keep the model complexity and number of parameters manageable. The inclusion of rock and thermal guide capacities, 3-dimensional geometries, and detailed contact properties with and without glue is expected to further improve the model accuracy, but is beyond the scope of this work. The temperatures at the system boundaries, $T_{\text{ground}}$ and $T_{\text{radiator}}$, are either measured values or calculated based on the radiation model introduced in the following section. As a result the temperature gradient across the TEG module is calculated as:

$$\Delta T_{\text{TEG}} = \frac{\left(T_{\text{radiator}} - T_{\text{ground}}\right) \times K_{\text{TEG}}}{K_{\text{ambient}} + K_{\text{ground}} + K_{\text{TEG}}},$$

where the thermal resistance on the ambient side is $K_{\text{ambient}} = K_{\text{radiator}} + K_{\text{contact}}$, on the ground side is $K_{\text{ground}} = K_{\text{rock}} + K_{\text{guide}} + K_{\text{transition}} + K_{\text{contact}}$, and $K_{\text{TEG}}$ is the thermal resistance of the TEG. Equivalent to the electrical matching, thermal matching, i.e. $K_{\text{TEG}} = K_{\text{ambient}} + K_{\text{ground}}$, maximizes heat flux through the TEG for a given overall temperature gradient [14], resulting in maximum electrical output power (2). The presented thermal model is general to allow using more advanced thermal designs, e.g. heat pipes or a finned design of the thermal guide or a heat sink replacing the black body radiator. Using such an advanced thermal design allows further tuning of the system for a specific scenario and offers the potential for higher harvesting efficiency. In this work the focus is on a simple and highly robust design for deployments in harsh outdoor environments.

Testbed Verification of the Thermal Model The thermal harvester model was experimentally verified using the thermal testbed. For this purpose the thermal system dimensioned for the case study detailed in Section V was used. Forcing of the system boundary temperatures $T_{\text{ground}}$ and $T_{\text{radiator}}$, allows evaluation of specific operating points and emulation of real-world traces as shown in Figure 1. As the contact resistance to the rock is not easily integrated and simulated in the testbed, the temperature at the end of the thermal guide is forced directly, therefore corresponding to a $K_{\text{rock}} = 0$ in the model. Comparison of the experimental to the simulation based TEG open-circuit voltage $V_{\text{TEG,OC}}$ in Figure 4 show a close match of modeled and experimental behavior.

C. Radiation Model

The thermal system model is completed by integrating thermal radiation on the ambient side and consequently in-
corporating meteorological data into the model. The radiation model is based on energy conservation which dictates that the incident (short-wave) solar radiation $S_{in}$, reflected solar radiation $S_{out}$, absorbed (long-wave) thermal radiation $L_{in}$, emitted thermal radiation $L_{out}$, and heat flux through the thermal harvester into the ground $Q_{ground}$ have to be balanced:

$$S_{in} + S_{out} + L_{in} + L_{out} + Q_{ground} = 0$$  \tag{4}

The effective incident solar radiation $S_{in}$ and reflected solar radiation $S_{out}$ are derived from the solar radiation corrected by a multiplicative factor $\lambda \leq 1$. This correction factor accounts for the time-varying and deployment specific reduction of the radiation and includes the azimuth, elevation, altitude and visible horizon. For our deployments this factor is determined experimentally. However for high precision models $\lambda$ needs to be calculated dynamically every few minutes based on celestial data (sun), the true measured horizon, shading, as well as surrounding reflection parameters (albedo) [39]. The thermal radiation $L_{in}$ depends on the air and ground temperature, $T_{air}$ respectively $T_{ground}$, relative humidity $RH$, and cloud cover factor $\varphi$ [40], [41]. The emitted thermal radiation $L_{out}$ is a function of the radiator’s temperature $T_{radiator}$ and emissivity $\varepsilon_{radiator}$. Lastly, $Q_{ground}$ incorporates the thermal model of the harvester which is a function of the harvester’s overall thermal resistance $K_{tot}$ and the temperatures $T_{ground}$ and $T_{radiator}$ (Figure 3). Because no closed form solution for $T_{radiator}$ exists, $L_{out}$ is first computed iteratively using a min search algorithm. Then, the radiator temperature is derived using the Stefan-Boltzmann law [42]:

$$T_{radiator} = \left[ \frac{L_{out}}{A_{radiator} \times \varepsilon_{radiator} \times \sigma} \right]^{1/4} - 273.15 \quad [^\circ C], \tag{5}
$$

where $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-4}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant, $A_{radiator}$ the radiator’s surface area and $L_{out}$ the emitted thermal radiation. The radiator temperature $T_{radiator}$ is utilized to compute the resulting temperature gradient $\Delta T_{TEG}$ according to (3). Subsequently, the TEG output voltage and its maximum electrical power are derived using (1) and (2).

**Radiation Model Verification in Concrete Wall Scenario**

To validate the full thermal model, the thermal harvesting system, dimensioned for the subsequently examined case study (see Section V), was deployed in an urban concrete wall environment. Two independent harvesters were setup in the southwest (SW) facing artificial retaining wall. The deployed sensor nodes were configured to monitor the temperatures of the wall $T_{ground}$, the radiator side of the TEG $T_{TEG,ambient}$ and the thermal guide side of the TEG $T_{TEG,ground}$. The measured temperature $T_{ground}$ in combination with the incident solar radiation and cloud coverage $\varphi$ recorded by the close by (900 m) government meteo station enables the simulation of the radiator temperature $T_{radiator}$ and TEG gradient $\Delta T_{TEG}$. The same configuration as in the thermal guide testbed verification is used for the simulation, except that for contact of the thermal guide to the wall using concrete results in $K_{rock} = 1.25$. The simulation and experiment results are presented in Figure 5: The thermal radiator temperature results are shown on the top and the TEG temperature gradient $\Delta T_{TEG}$ in the middle. The graphs demonstrate a good model accuracy for both the radiator temperature $T_{radiator}$ and TEG gradient $\Delta T_{TEG}$. Over the 43 day experiment period their respective mean absolute errors are $5.7 \ ^\circ C$ and $0.88 \text{ K}$. The errors can predominantly be attributed to the constrained horizon impacting radiation during morning and evening hours and the drop shadow at approximately 11:00. The bottom plot shows the experimentally observed and modeled optimal voltage at which the TEG is operating, which are discussed in the following sections.

**IV. Rectification and Electrical System Model**

A novel low power circuit for rectifying the low voltages of thermoelectric generators (TEGs) is introduced and evaluated in detail. Subsequently, the remaining electrical building blocks of voltage conversion and application are discussed to complete the end-to-end model of the harvesting system.
A. Low-Power/Low-Voltage Rectification

Because the output voltage of the TEG depends on the heat flux direction (1), the bipolar harvesting scenario results in a bipolar output voltage. This demands for voltage rectification prior to supplying an application circuit. A low resistance rectification solution is key to minimize the losses in the power path. In addition, the low resistance is necessary to enable electrical matching to the TEG’s low internal resistance and thus to maximize the harvested power.

Passive rectification circuits based on diodes are not a viable solution due to their forward voltage drop on the order of or higher than the TEG’s output voltage. Consequently an actively switched solution with minimal power footprint and power path loss is required. Solutions based on electromagnetic switches, commercial solid state SPDT switches and a custom designed MOSFET rectifier bridge are examined and compared with respect to their power path resistance and average power requirement. A control circuit consisting of two TLV3691 nano-power comparators is used for all three approaches, where one comparator observes the polarity of the input voltage and the other generates the inverted control signal.

Despite the considerable switching overhead of electromagnetic switches, they provide a competitive solution since the polarity is typically switched only twice a day. The relays as well as the SPDT switches alter which terminal of the TEG is connected to which rectifier output depending on the voltage polarity. For the custom rectification circuit a full active bridge rectifier consisting of four n-type enhancement-mode MOSFETs is built as illustrated in Figure 6. The rectification does not require negative voltage control signals, because the TEG voltage remains at a low level compared to the control circuit supply. This allows keeping the quiescent current draw of the control circuit with 287 nA at a very low level. As a result the circuit has an ultra low power footprint. Short-term SPICE simulations of the transient behaviour of this solution, shown in Figure 7, confirm the correct operation of the proposed rectifier. Despite the very low switching frequency of typically two times a day, timely switching in the order of seconds is important to adapt to input-voltage inversion for continuous harvesting.

**Evaluating Alternative Rectifier Circuits** For real-world performance comparison, all three approaches are evaluated experimentally. The results of these experiments are summarized in Table I. The large resistance measured for the SPDT switches leads to considerable power path losses. The proposed MOSFET and the relay solution demonstrate comparable power path resistances. In addition, the MOSFET design has negligible switching power. Although the relays show good performance for the considered metrics, they have significant drawbacks such as mechanical aging, shock sensitivity, unknown properties for very low voltage/current conduction and therefore unknown contact resistance characteristics in long-term operation. Therefore, the proposed MOSFET is considered the best performing solution.

### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method (Type)</th>
<th>$R_{ON,TEG+}$</th>
<th>$R_{ON,TEG-}$</th>
<th>avg. $P_q$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FET (SiR404DP)</td>
<td>0.22 Ω</td>
<td>0.21 Ω</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relay (TXS2-L2-3V)</td>
<td>0.20 Ω</td>
<td>0.20 Ω</td>
<td>23 nW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPDT (TS3A24159)</td>
<td>0.71 Ω</td>
<td>0.67 Ω</td>
<td>10 nW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Electrical Load Matching and Voltage Conversion

The impedance of a harvesting circuit has to match the internal resistance $R_{TEG}$ of a thermoelectric generator to extract power $P_{\text{max}}$ (2) at the maximum power point. The TEG’s internal resistance $R_{TEG}$ can, with high accuracy, be assumed constant for low temperature gradients [4]. Therefore the impedance can be matched during the system design phase, or adapted dynamically at runtime using maximum power point tracking (MPPT). In the former case, the input impedance of the circuit is matched to $R_{TEG}$. For the latter case the input impedance is dynamically adjusted such that the input voltage $V_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{2}V_{\text{TEG,OC}}$, guaranteeing operation at the maximum power transfer point.

The low temperature gradients of only a few Kelvin, as outlined in Section II-A, lead to input voltages of a few 10 mV to several 100 mV. This voltage needs to be up-converted in order to charge an energy storage or supply a wireless sensor node application.

Commercially available harvesting management circuits combine these two important aspects and often also incorporate battery charge logic and output voltage regulation. Current solutions for TEG harvesting tend to either be passively controlled coupled-inductor converters or actively controlled single inductor circuits [8]. The former enables harvesting from voltages as low as 20 mV. But their efficiency is limited due to the fixed voltage conversion ratio and internal linear...
A. System Dimensioning and Integration

Thermoelectric Generator (TEG) A broad range of thermoelectric generators were experimentally characterized. Comparing their performance for low temperature gradients up to 10 K lead to the selection of a Thermalforce 241-150-29 TEG (30 mm × 30 mm) with a thermal resistance of 2.27 K/W and a large Seebeck coefficient of $\delta_{\text{TEG}} = 111 \text{mV/K}$.

Thermal Harvester The length of the thermal guide is designed to be 200 mm, the maximum length permitted by the boundary conditions. Two diameters with values up to 25 mm are utilized. The thermal guide is made of copper due to its excellent thermal conductivity. A copper plate connects the thermal guide with the TEG. The other side of the TEG is in contact with the radiator. A black powder coated aluminum block is used as an approximation of an ideal black body radiator. Furthermore, the radiator is designed to have a large surface area of 100 mm × 100 mm and a thickness of 30 mm. In addition to absorbing solar radiation and emitting heat, the radiator provides the housing for the thermoelectric generator. The resulting thermal system is of low complexity, mechanically robust, as well as shock proof, thus fulfilling the requirements imposed by the application’s environment.

Electrical Subsystem The custom rectification and bq25570 harvesting circuit are integrated into the wireless sensor node. The extracted power is stored in a Saft MP174565 lithium-ion battery. These cells can be charged down to $-30^\circ \text{C}$ as is necessary for our use-case and allow ample storage to bridge extended periods of energy scarcity.

Application Model and Integration The considered application is modeled as an electrical load with an average power footprint of 550 $\mu$W. The application is integrated to be solely powered from the harvested thermal energy. The sensor node is configured to monitor the performance of the harvesting platform. The results of these in-situ measurements are presented in the following.

An exploded view of the system designed for the rock wall use-case is shown in Figure 8.

B. Real-World Harvesting Performance

The final system was installed for long-term testing in a field site for high-alpine environmental research at $3500 \text{ m.a.s.l.}$ [11]. Two systems were deployed on a steep southeast (SE) facing and one on a near-vertical northwest (NW) facing rock wall. The system on the NW facing wall, position 31, and one on the SE facing wall, position 29, both had a thermal guide diameter of 16 mm, equal to the one in the urban concrete wall experiment. The second system in the SE facing location, position 28, had a larger diameter of 25 mm. Figure 8 illustrates the deployment of the SE facing position 29.

Overview For each position between 6 and 21 months of data were captured. The average harvested power was 1.21 mW,
1.09 mW, and 0.76 mW for positions 28, 29, and 31, respectively. The top of Figure 9 shows the evolution of the battery voltage relative to the marked starting point. The lower part depicts the difference of the battery voltage over 3 days to amplify and visualize the momentary dynamics throughout the year. The curves are wrapped around at the end of the year. Periods with steadily decreasing $\Delta V_{bat}$ are longer bad-weather periods typically coinciding with a local increase in snow cover. Differences in snow cover result in position 28 starting to generate energy at the beginning of the year before position 29 does despite their proximity. The battery voltage for all positions increases during the summer months because of the longer periods of direct incident solar radiation. This effect is more pronounced on the SE than the NW facing deployments. Nevertheless, the NW facing node sustains energy neutral operation during the summer. The harvested energy however is not sufficient to compensate for the harvesting deficit during the winter.

**Operation Mode Analysis** Lastly, the distribution of the temperature gradients and the generated energy on the SE and NW facing deployments is analyzed. The histograms show the duration the harvester was operating at a given TEG temperature gradient $\Delta T_{TEG}$ and the total energy generated during these periods, Figure 11 for position 29 and Figure 12 for position 31. As expected, both systems are in a thermal equilibrium with a mean $\Delta T_{TEG}$ close to zero. However, the harvesting relevant mean absolute gradients are 1.59 K and 0.96 K for positions 29 and 31. The difference between both positions is mainly attributed to the frequency with which high $\Delta T_{TEG}$, resulting from direct incident solar radiation, occur. The SE facing node harvests most of its energy during periods of high temperature gradients whereas the NW facing node is exposed to high $\Delta T_{TEG}$ much less often and therefore harvests most of its energy at lower temperature gradients. We distinguish two operation modes: *net absorption* if the overall heat flux is from the ambient towards the ground, and *net emission* when heat from the ground is emitted into the ambient. The NW facing node operates 43.3 % of the time.
Although the average power is identical to what was achieved in net absorption, harvesting on average 0.96 mW. The SE facing node harvests on average 2.71 mW in net absorption that occurs during 32.4% of the experiment duration, and on average 0.32 mW in net emission. The shorter time in net absorption and smaller harvested power in net emission are a result of the experiment duration that was dominated by winter where snow cover insulated the radiator.

Comparison Due to the numerous design parameters like material, geometry, or thermal contact, to name a few, a detailed comparison is scope of future work. Nevertheless, a comparison with other TEG based systems of a comparable form factor provides a relation to previous work. With an average harvesting power of 1.1 mW the presented system significantly outperforms the system described in [23]. Their system was slightly larger and generated on average 0.575 mW. Although the average power is identical to what was achieved in [18], their system had a larger radiator area. Similarly, the experimentally observed peak harvesting power of 27.2 mW presents the highest value reported in literature for thermoelectric energy harvesting at the ground-to-air boundary with systems that are of comparable size. A systematic comparison to different harvesting modalities, e.g. photovoltaic cells, is highly challenging as numerous factors have to be considered simultaneously. Comparison by area does not suffice, as further aspects including spectral properties, converter architectures, illumination or temperature impact the harvesting efficiency. Developing an appropriate metric and method that incorporates the necessary factors, enables a detailed comparison between different types of harvesting modalities.

VI. Conclusion

We introduced an efficient harvesting platform for extracting electrical energy from small bipolar thermal gradients occurring at the ground-to-air boundary. The harvesting architecture was modeled end-to-end from ambient conditions including meteorological data to the wireless sensor node application. Furthermore, a novel low-power circuit was designed for rectifying the small bipolar voltages generated by thermoelectric generators and incorporated in the overall system model. The model was extensively validated both component-wise in a controlled lab environment, as well as overall in a concrete wall scenario. To evaluate real-world performance, the system was dimensioned and implemented for the use-case of an environment monitoring application. In a long-term case study the harvesting systems was deployed with an wireless monitoring application that senses environmental quantities, participates in a multi-hop network and communicates the acquired information. This experimental evaluation demonstrates self-sustainable operation of the monitoring application with a 550 µW energy footprint. Specifically, the platform harvests up to 27.2 mW in direct sunlight and 6.3 mW during nighttime, considerably outperforming current state-of-the-art both in terms of average and maximum harvested power.
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