A Global Screen for Assembly State Changes of the Mitotic Proteome by SEC-SWATH-MS

Graphical Abstract

HeLa CCL2 → Size exclusion chromatography + SWATH-MS

Interphase → assembled | monomeric

Mitosis → SEC 65 hct.

(i) Global scoring changes & classes

(ii) Recall of known re-arrangement CDK1 re-arrangement

(iii) Performance estimation recall of cell cycle proteins

(iv) Discovery & validation nuclear pore disassembly model

(v) SECexplorer-cc Data exploration tool

HeLa explorer.shinyapps.io/hec_cycle/

Highlights

- Global quantification of assembly state changes in the mitotic proteome
- Improved performance over thermostability measurement of proteome states
- Discovery of a mitotic disassembly intermediate of the nuclear pore complex
- Introduction of SECexplorer-cc, a publicly available online platform

Authors

Moritz Heusel, Max Frank, Mario Köhler, ..., Matthias Gstaiger, Ulrike Kutay, Ruedi Aebersold

Correspondence

aebersold@imsb.biol.ethz.ch

In Brief

This paper describes the employment of size exclusion chromatography in combination with accurate protein quantification by DIA/SWATH-MS for the systems-wide quantification of protein (complex) assembly state changes in the mitotic proteome. The investigation recalls known and uncovers additional instances of mitotic complex remodeling. The method performs competitively compared to alternative methods based on the measurement of protein thermostability. The dataset and results are made available in easily browsable form via the web tool SECexplorer-cc.
A Global Screen for Assembly State Changes of the Mitotic Proteome by SEC-SWATH-MS

Moritz Heusel,1,5 Max Frank,1 Mario Köhler,2 Sabine Amon,1 Fabian Frommelt,1 George Rosenberger,1 Isabell Bludau,1 Simran Aulakh,1 Monika I. Linder,2 Yansheng Liu,3 Ben C. Collins,4 Matthias Gstaiger,1 Ulrike Kutay,2,7 and Ruedi Aebersold1,6,7,8,*

1Institute of Molecular Systems Biology, Department of Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
2Institute of Biochemistry, Department of Biology, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
3Department of Pharmacology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06520, USA
4School of Biological Sciences, Queen’s University of Belfast, Belfast, UK
5Division of Infection Medicine (BMC), Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
6Faculty of Science, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland
7Senior author
8Lead Contact
*Correspondence: aebersold@imsb.biol.ethz.ch
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2020.01.001

SUMMARY

Living systems integrate biochemical reactions that determine the functional state of each cell. Reactions are primarily mediated by proteins. In proteomic studies, these have been treated as independent entities, disregarding their higher-level organization into complexes that affects their activity and/or function and is thus of great interest for biological research. Here, we describe the implementation of an integrated technique to quantify cell-state-specific changes in the physical arrangement of protein complexes concurrently for thousands of proteins and hundreds of complexes. Applying this technique to a comparison of human cells in interphase and mitosis, we provide a systematic overview of mitotic proteome reorganization. The results recall key hallmarks of mitotic complex remodeling and suggest a model of nuclear pore complex disassembly, which we validate by orthogonal methods. To support the interpretation of quantitative SEC-SWATH-MS data-sets, we extend the software CCprofiler and provide an interactive exploration tool, SECexplorer-cc.

INTRODUCTION

Living systems are characterized by a large number of biochemical functions that are tightly interconnected and coordinated (Hartwell et al., 1999; Ideker et al., 2001). Classical biochemical analyses have led to the association of many biochemical functions with proteins and protein complexes. The function of proteins and protein complexes depends on a defined 3D structure of individual proteins, as well as the composition and specific steric arrangement of proteins into protein-protein complexes. Detailed studies on tetrameric hemoglobin have shown that changes in the composition, arrangement, or structure of the complex changes its activity (Pauling et al., 1949), a seminal finding that has since become one of the hallmarks of molecular biology. Whereas much of our biochemical understanding is based on in-depth studies of specific complexes, these are time consuming and more importantly, disregard interactions and coordination between different complexes.

Driven primarily by genomics, life science research has been transformed by high throughput, data-driven approaches. Proteomics is the embodiment of this approach for proteins. To date, most proteomic studies have been carried out by bottom up proteomics, where proteins are denatured and cleaved into peptides that are then analyzed by mass spectrometry. Whereas this technology has reached a high level of maturity, information about the structure, the composition, and steric arrangement of components in a complex are lost. Therefore, for the most part, proteomics has treated proteins as unstructured biopolymers, disregarding structure and organization into complexes as an important layer of function and control.

Recently, several techniques have been proposed that attempt to extend the large-scale analysis of proteins toward the detection of conformational changes between different states (Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018; Leuenberger et al., 2017; Liu and Fitzgerald, 2016; Schopper et al., 2017; Tan et al., 2018), toward the organization of proteins into complexes (Heusel et al., 2019; Kristensen et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2015), and toward assigning protein subcellular localization (Dunkley et al., 2004; Foster et al., 2006). Conformational changes of proteins have been detected by using changes in physico-chemical properties including thermostability (Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018; Tan et al., 2018), stability toward denaturing conditions (Xu et al., 2014), or altered protease susceptibility (Leuenberger et al., 2017; Liu and Fitzgerald, 2016; Schopper et al., 2017) as proxy. Inference of complex composition and subcellular localization has been based on chromatographic fractionation, typically by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) of native complexes (Kristensen et al., 2012; Larance et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2008) and subcellular fractions...
Figure 1. Proteome Rearrangement Screening by SEC-SWATH-MS: Workflow and Dataset Properties

(A) Scheme of the SEC-SWATH-MS workflow to screen for mitotic proteome rearrangement. HeLa CCL2 cells were synchronized in interphase or mitosis by chemical treatment, followed by triplicate extraction of complexes by mild lysis, fractionation by size exclusion chromatography and quantitative profiling of

(legend continued on next page)
(Andersen et al., 2003; Dunkley et al., 2004; Foster et al., 2006; Itzhak et al., 2016), followed by the mass spectrometric analysis of the resulting fractions. Pioneering, comparative analyses of co-fractionation patterns of native complexes have revealed extensive re-organization of the modular proteome across meta-zoans (Wan et al., 2015) and following induction of apoptosis (Scott et al., 2017). However, the co-fractionation approach has been beset by limited SEC resolution, and limitations inherent in data dependent analysis (DDA) mass spectrometry, the method almost universally used in co-fractionation studies to date. These include limited proteomic depth and accuracy of quantification and stochastic peptide sampling (Aebersold and Mann, 2016). Collectively, these limitations resulted in the need for multidimensional separation to assign proteins to specific complexes and, for the most part, unknown error levels of complex assignments (Kristensen et al., 2012; Scott et al., 2017; Stacey et al., 2017; Wan et al., 2015). Recently, we demonstrated increased selectivity and overall performance in co-fractionation-based profiling of cellular complexes using a workflow that is based on single dimension fractionation by high resolution size exclusion chromatography, quantitative measurement of polypeptide elution profiles by SWATH mass spectrometry, an instance of data independent acquisition (DIA) (Gillet et al., 2012, 2016), and the introduction of a complex-centric data analysis strategy (Heusel et al., 2019). We also described a software tool CCprofiler that implements the complex-centric strategy to infer protein complexes and uses a target-decoy model to assign a probability to each complex (Heusel et al., 2019).

Here, we apply SEC-SWATH-MS to detect rearrangements in the modular proteome in HeLa CCL2 cells in two cell-cycle states, the interphase and prometaphase. We developed a quantification module for the CCprofiler that supports the differential and quantitative analysis of thousands of proteins and their association with complexes. We benchmark the reproducibility of the integrated wet lab/computational method and compare its performance to state-of-the-art testosteronability-based methods (Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018). We validate the method by showing that it recapitulates known complex remodeling events between the different states tested. Based on these data, we develop a model of nuclear pore complex disassembly and validate it using orthogonal methods. To support additional exploration of the present dataset and future differential SEC-SWATH-MS datasets we provide an online tool, SECexplorer-cc.

We expect that the parallel quantification of abundance and compositional changes of hundreds of protein complexes will significantly advance our understanding of biochemical mechanisms and processes.

**RESULTS**

Generation of a SEC-SWATH-MS Dataset for the Detection of Changes in the Organization of the Mitotic Proteome

As basis for our study into mitotic changes in the organization of the proteome, we applied the previously described SEC-SWATH-MS workflow, in conjunction with complex centric data analysis (Heusel et al., 2019) in triplicate to cells synchronized in either cell-cycle state, interphase and mitosis, as illustrated in Figure 1A. Mild cellular extracts containing native proteins and protein complexes were fractionated by high resolution SEC, and the proteins in each fraction were digested and quantitatively profiled across the chromatographic fractions by SWATH mass spectrometry (Collins et al., 2017; Gillet et al., 2012; Röst et al., 2014). The samples tested were Hela CCL2 cells synchronized in interphase or mitosis. We inferred changes in complex composition and quantity from the apparent size distribution patterns of the detected proteins. The mitotic arrest of the respective synchronized cell populations was documented by microscopic assessment of cell shape and the detection of mitosis-specific electrophoretic mobility shifts of hyper-phosphorylated Nup53 and Histone H3 phosphorylation (Figures S1A–S1C). For each of the three replicates performed for either condition, 65 consecutive SEC fractions were collected, and for each fraction the proteins were digested and analyzed by SWATH-MS, generating a quantitative dataset consisting of a total of 390 SEC fractions. The resulting dataset was computationally analyzed using the OpenSWATH software suite and a project specific spectral library as prior information (see Figure 1A and STAR Methods for details). Overall, the analysis identified 70,445 peptides associated with 5,514 proteins at a TRIC (Transfer of Identification Confidence, http://proteomics.ethz.ch/tric/) target false discovery rate (FDR) of 5%. Upon SEC-informed filtering as described previously (Heusel et al., 2019), 60,891 peptides and 5,044 proteins were quantified with high confidence across the chromatographic fractions and with an overall decoy-estimated protein level false discovery rate of below 0.4% (see STAR Methods for details). Per mitotic condition we quantified 52,718 and 56,553 peptides resulting in 4,438 and 4,798 protein profiles in interphase and mitosis, respectively (Figure 1B). The SEC conditions we used resolved proteins and eluting proteins by SWATH mass spectrometry (390 injections) using a subsampled set of samples for identification and spectral library generation by classical, data-dependent acquisition mass spectrometry (for details, see STAR Methods). Scoring of SEC elution pattern differences between conditions via CCprofiler reveal proteins with altered abundance and/or complex association states.

(B) Peptide identifications across the three experimental repeats and summary per condition on peptide and protein level, giving rise to the dataset overview in (E).

Of the total 5,044 observed proteins, most were detected independently in both conditions (4,192: 83%).

(C) Semi-preparative scale SEC of interphasic and mitotic complex preparations and size reference protein mix monitored by UV-vis spectroscopy. Elution of standard proteins calibrates the fraction number to apparent MW mapping in the study.

(D) Summary of MS-observed protein level intensity and number of confidently identified proteins along the 65 fractions across the repeats and after normalization (see STAR Methods). The large absorbance at 280 nm observed in the low MW range originates from detergent employed for mild lysis and does not reflect protein mass that was not sampled in the fractionation scheme, as can be extrapolated from protein MS intensities dropping beyond F55, in line with the 30-kDa MW cutoff employed in sample workup. Numbers are mean values across three replicates per condition; error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM).

(E) Dataset overview heat map summarizing the data to 5,044 conditional protein elution patterns observed. Mean intensities of the top 2 cumulatively highest-intense peptides per protein were summarized from 3 replicate measurements and scaled from 0 to 1 per protein for visualization in a heat map. See also Figures S1 and S2.
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Figure 2. Proteome Rearrangement Screening by SEC-SWATH-MS: CCprofiler Differential Analysis Pipeline

(A) Detailed scheme of differential analysis of the six quantitative peptide-level data matrices generated by SWATH-MS across the triplicates of each of the biological conditions (I, Interphase; M, Mitosis) via CCprofiler. Analysis steps are (1) pre-processing, (2) global peak detection, where protein elution peaks observable across the dataset are detected based on proteolytic peptide (“protein fragment”) signal peak groups along SEC retention time/fraction number, and (3) difference testing, where peak-resolved, peptide-level statistical scoring detects proteins with signal changes in defined regions of the SEC profile including such shifting mass distribution across SEC fractions. Protein level difference scores, the underlying raw data and the protein chromatograms in the context of co-complex partners are browseable via the online analysis tool SECexplorer-cc. See also Figures S2 and S6.

(B) Summary of protein elution peaks detected in step 2, A, defining a “master map” of protein peaks observable across the integrated dataset. 6,040 distinct elution peaks were detected for 4,515 proteins, each elution peak detected based on a group of co-eluting, protein-specific peptides. The analysis was performed using the cumulative peptide-level SEC profiles (summed across replicates and conditions) as input to the CCprofiler protein-centric module and

(legend continued on next page)
protein complexes ranging from ca. 5 MDa to 10 kDa with a peak capacity to baseline-separate ca. 20 peaks and showed good reproducibility as apparent from ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometric traces from the respective samples (Figure 1C). The large absorbance at 280 nm observed in the low MW range (fractions 63–80) originated from detergents employed for mild lysis, as evidenced by the drop off of protein-level MS intensities beyond fraction 55 (F55), in line with the 30-kDa MW cutoff employed for sample workup (Figure 1D). Even though a comparatively high-resolution SEC method was used, we detected in the range of ca. 1,200 to 2,000 proteins per fraction (Figure 1D, lower panel). Whereas most proteins were detected in both conditions (4,192; 83%), proteins appeared more readily extractable from mitotic cells (Figure 1B). This is apparent from the lower cumulative ion intensities across most fractions (Figure 1D) and is likely a consequence of mitotic reorganization including nuclear envelope breakdown. In summary, from the acquired peptide level measurements we computed several thousand SEC abundance profiles. This dataset was the basis for the further analyses.

Quantification of Protein Association State Changes from SEC-SWATH-MS Data

The distribution of protein intensities per fraction for either condition provides a bird eye’s view of the acquired dataset (Figure 1E; data in Table S1; Data S1). To detect proteins that show significant changes with respect to their association with specific protein complexes, we applied a scoring system that quantifies protein abundance within distinct elution ranges based on the signal intensity of 2–296 protein-specific peptides quantified by SWATH-MS per each protein and elution peak. According to the apparent hydrodynamic radius the majority of protein fractions tested contained proteins in complexed form. A few late fractions contained predominantly monomeric proteins, thus, displaying the distribution of proteins between monomeric and one or several complex-associated forms. This analysis extends the measurement of altered protein levels that are also detectable by the comparative analysis of total cell lysates toward the detection of changes in the distribution of specific proteins between complexes, changes in the abundance of complexes, or changes in the distribution of proteins between monomeric and complexed forms resolved by SEC. Due to the targeting nature of the SWATH-MS technique, we measured the same peptides with the same fragment ion patterns across all fractions and across all samples, thus providing for each inferred protein peak multiple, consistently sampled data points from multiple peptides across the elution profile of the protein. Differential abundance of proteins in the respective peak range was calculated from the respective integrated protein elution peak areas and associations with the underlying complex assembly state and potential changes therein were derived from differences in SEC-localized abundance profiles of the inferred proteins. Potential differences in protein loading onto the column were accounted for by normalization (See Figure S2A and STAR Methods). The association of proteins with protein complexes was carried out by the CCprofiler (Heusel et al., 2019), a software tool that implements a complex-centric strategy using prior knowledge of protein complex composition. To detect quantitative changes of protein complex quantity and composition in either cellular state, we added to the CCprofiler tool a new module supporting differential quantification. It forms the sequential steps schematically shown in Figure 2A, and exemplified in Figure 2B, as described in the following.

In step 1, “Pre-processing” (Figure 2A, panel 1, for details and tools used see STAR Methods), signal intensities of SEC-fractions are normalized to a spike-in standard, missing values are imputed using the background signals from neighboring SEC fractions, and SEC traces are aligned across experiments. The result of the first step is a calibrated and refined list of peptides and their respective intensities per fraction.

In step 2, “Global Peak Detection” (Figure 2A, panel 2, for details and tools used see STAR Methods), the peptide level data are used to infer protein elution peaks along the SEC dimension. This is achieved by selecting a high-quality set of peptide traces, by summing peptide intensities across replicates and conditions and by then employing the CCprofiler protein-centric analysis module to compute protein elution peaks from the peptide level data. A protein elution peak is inferred from the observation of co-eluting peaks of groups of sibling peptides derived from the same parent protein (an example is provided in Figure 2C, and the properties of detected peaks are summarized in Figure 2B, see also Table ST2). Protein-centric analysis of the summed peptide level data successfully detects peptide co-elution peak groups from 90% of the identified proteins and their peptide SEC profile sets, with no high quality elution signal
detectable for the remaining proteins at the confidence threshold (q value \( \leq 0.05 \), also see Table S2), resulting in a total of 6,040 elution peaks for 4,515 identified proteins. The distinctive elution peaks of proteins that are present in one or more sections of the SEC elution profile represent unique complex assembly states of the respective proteins. Consistent with previous protein-centric analyses of the proteome of cycling HEK293 cells (Heuvel et al., 2019), the majority of the observed protein elution peaks in the present dataset fell into a SEC separation range that is consistent with the association of the protein with a complex (4,117 of 6,040 globally observed peaks, 68%). In sum, 71%–75% of the proteins appeared at least once in a peak in the complex-assembled range (Figure 5A).

In step 3, “Difference Testing” (Figure 2A, panel 3, for details and tools used see STAR Methods), we calculated the log2-transformed abundance of each peptide per replicate for each observed protein elution peak for both biological conditions, resulting in six quantitative measurements per peptide per elution peak, specifically the respective Intensities in interphase replicates 1–3 and in mitosis replicates 1–3. Differential abundance between interphase and mitosis was then tested for each peptide in each elution peak range using a t statistic (exemplified for BAF53 in Figure 2C). The resulting peptide-level fold changes and p values per elution peak were then combined for each protein. The peptide level metrics were combined by (1) averaging the peptide-level fold changes and (2) combining the peptide-level p values based on a scoring scheme that has been shown to improve the robustness of differential protein abundance profiling from data-independent acquisition mass spectrometry data (Suomi and Elo, 2017). To generate the final protein-level score plot that reflects differences between the original experimental conditions (e.g., interphase and mitosis), proteins are represented by the peak with the statistically most significant change between conditions and shifting proteins are assigned based on cutoffs along the Benjamini-Hochberg-adjusted p value (pBHadj score) and absolute SEC-localized fold change (Figure 4A).

The signal differences depicted in differential protein association plots (e.g., Figure 4A) can result from protein mass re-distribution across distinct complex-assembly states, re-distribution between monomeric and one or more assembly states, and/or global changes in protein (complex) abundance in the sample due to altered expression or extractability. This final possibility represents a negative result in this context because protein assembly state is not changing in a manner dependent on cell-cycle phase.

To assess the frequency with which these different scenarios were observed in the dataset, we classified the detected changes into the four following categories: (1) changes affecting a single complex-bound form of the protein. These instances (n = 796) can be further assessed whether the change reflects alterations of the target protein coordinately with its co-complex members (change in peak height but no change in SEC elution position, no association state change and thus suggestive of a negative result as described above) or whether it reflects changes in the stoichiometry or composition of the underlying complex (detectable by intensity ratio changes in comparison to co-complex members and, potentially, a change in SEC elution position, suggestive of a positive result, in which protein assembly state correlates with cell-cycle phase), (2) changes affecting exclusively signals of monomeric proteins (n = 307). These are not bona fide changes of complex assembly states and are thus not considered for the inference of complex-level changes and discarded from future analysis, (3) changes affecting multiple different complex assembly states of a given protein, but with similar fold change (log10(deltaFC) \( \leq 1 \), n = 278 changes of 132 proteins). These instances require manual validation via SECexplorer-cc, where SEC pattern changes can be interpreted in the context of known binding partners to clarify whether a mere change of abundance (negative result) or a change of complex composition (positive result) occurred, and (4) changes affecting multiple different assembly states (including monomeric state) of a protein with dissimilar fold change (log10(deltaFC) > 1, n = 1,375 changes of 591 proteins). These cases clearly indicate protein mass re-distribution across observable assembly states and thus likely different functionality of the protein, that is, positive results (Figure 3A).

Figure 3. Classification of SEC-Observable Changes and Relation to Total Abundance Changes
(A) Classification of the observed SEC-resolved changes into four categories with need for manual follow-up via SECexplorer-cc (single complex change, class 1, single monomer change, class 2, and multi-peak similar change, class 3; cumulatively 50% of the observed changes) and highly conclusive evidence for assembly state changes (multi-peak dissimilar change, class 4).
(B) Assessment of the relationship between total and SEC-feature-localized fold changes per SEC change group as defined in (A) shows strong correlation of total and local change for classes 1–3 and more dissimilar pattern for class 4.
(C) Venn diagram comparing protein sets with significant abundance changes based on total protein intensity cumulatively across all SEC fractions due to altered expression or extractability (left) and proteins with significant abundance changes within distinct SEC features used to infer altered protein association states (right). Significance cutoffs in both cases: pBHadj \( \leq 0.01 \) and signed FC \( \geq 1.5 \).
(D) Comparison of GO term enrichment among the proteins changing total abundance and SEC-resolved abundance shows stronger enrichments among the proteins changing total abundance (http://pantherdb.org/), against the background of all 4,480 proteins covered, FDR \( \leq 0.01 \).
(E) Specific cases of differential SEC patterns in which total abundance differences confound SEC-resolved scoring and manual review in the context of network partners is required (classes 1–3 as defined in A). Peak areas detected by CCprofiler are highlighted in gray. Abundance differences detected in these peaks by CCprofiler are labeled with filled arrows (significant differences, pBHadj \( \leq 0.01 \) and signed FC \( \geq 1.5 \); insignificant differences not fulfilling these criteria are labeled by a dash).
(F) Specific cases of differential SEC patterns in which total abundance differences confound SEC-resolved scoring, but with clear indication of changes in relative protein mass distribution across distinct complex assembly states/peaks with distinct apparent MW (class 4, with the middle panel exemplifying a case erroneously classified as class 1, because the higher MW peak was not detected at the selected FDR criterion). The legend from (E) applies.
(G) Specific cases of differential SEC patterns without total abundance difference but with protein mass re-distribution across different complex formation states observable via SEC-SWATH-MS and SEC-resolved differential association testing (part of class 4 as defined in A and the legend from E applies). See also Figure S6.
To understand the relative frequency of these scenarios, we explored the relationship between total protein abundance changes and changes in the complex profiles in the samples tested. Total abundance changes were determined by summing the MS signal intensities for each protein across all chromatographic fractions, and these values were compared directly to the SEC-feature-resolved fold changes (termed “local” changes). The results showed a strong correlation of total and local fold changes for categories 1–3 and more divergent pattern for the class 4 events (Figure S6B). This suggests that total abundance measurements dominate the signal in categories 1–3 and so results must be manually curated, and care should be taken with interpretation.

To compare SEC-based identification of proteins that undergo state changes during mitosis to a classical, total abundance-based differential view, the summed intensities were further submitted to differential testing equivalent to the tests performed on the SEC-resolved intensities. We found that 735 proteins showed significantly different total abundance across the two states and that these were strongly enriched for cell-cycle-related GO (Gene Ontology) terms (Figure S6D). In contrast, significant changes for 1,202 proteins were exclusively retrieved by SEC-resolved scoring (Figures 3C and 3D), indicating that the SEC-resolved scoring detected hundreds of proteomic alterations between states that are not apparent from total abundance measurements alone. To illustrate the different classes of SEC-observable changes, Figures 3E–3G show specific cases that illustrate the relationship between total abundance change and SEC-resolved scoring of local changes along with the classification of the respective change.

For the cases shown in Figure 3F, protein mass re-distribution across different complex assembly states occurred on top of total abundance change. In many cases, detectable total abundance changes were statistically insignificant, whereas SEC-resolved analysis detected changes with higher sensitivity and provided insights into protein mass re-distribution across different complex formation states (Figures 3F and 3G).

The analysis pipeline deliberately also reports single monomer and single complex proteomic changes that do not or not necessarily reflect changes in protein association state. Single complex signal changes may reflect rearrangements in the abundance of a target protein coordinately with its co-complex members (complex abundance change, no association state change) or they may reflect stoichiometric or compositional changes in a complex (association state change). The frequency of such single-feature cases is increased due to the fact that not all protein elution peaks are detected by the scoring system, limited by the resolution of the SEC method and/or sensitivity of the algorithm (exemplified in Figure 3F, middle panel).

Uncovering the root of such complex changes that are apparent from the SEC-SWATH-MS dataset requires in-depth manual review of protein patterns, including those of possible complex partners, a task that is supported by SECexplorer-cc. SECexplorer-cc is a tool designed to visualize and browse SEC-SWATH datasets as detailed further below. The extension of the CCprofiler tooslet by the quantification module thereby supports the automated detection of altered protein association states and inferred protein complex remodeling from SEC-SWATH-MS data and extends the quantitative proteomics tooslet toward the detection of altered protein complexes that are at the core of the present study.

**Benchmarking the Differential SEC-SWATH-MS Workflow and Software Tool Using the Mitotic Dataset**

The application of the method described above to the triplicate data obtained from two cell-cycle states indicated substantial rearrangement of the proteome. Specifically, 2,189 SEC elution peaks of 1,793 proteins showed significant changes in abundance (pBHadj score ≤ 0.01, absolute SEC-localized fold change ≥ 1.5; Figure 4A) and 1,626 shifts in the SEC elution...
range of assembled higher-order complexes. The changes are composed of abundance and assembly state changes as classified in Figure 3A. In the following, we further assessed the results at three levels: First, the technical reproducibility of data generation and analysis, second, recall of rearrangements of complexes known to be altered between cell-cycle states, and third, comparison of the present results with those obtained from an orthogonal method from samples in comparable cell-cycle states. In particular, we compared the differential SEC-SWATH results with results obtained from the parallel measurement of protein thermostability (Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018) from which changes in protein complexes were inferred.

**Reproducibility**
The availability of three replicate SEC-SWATH-MS measurements of either cell-cycle state allowed us to assess the reproducibility of the method. Specifically, we evaluated technical cross-replicate variability at the level of (1) size exclusion chromatography by the UV-vis photospectrometric traces, (2) SWATH-MS by peptide and inferred protein identities and their relative abundance, and (3) the overall SEC-SWATH-MS workflow by the reproducibility of protein-level SEC chromatograms. SEC fractionation was well reproducible as is apparent from the UV absorbance profiles ($\lambda = 280$ nm) shown in Figure 1C. Further, SWATH-MS identified $>80\%$ of detected peptides in all three replicates per cell-cycle state and 48,380 peptides of 4,192 proteins in both cell-cycle states. A total of 5,044 proteins were profiled across both cell-cycle states and 48,380 peptides of 4,192 proteins in both cell-cycle states. Of these 4,515 showed detectable SEC elution in protein abundance measurements was longitudinally affected by deteriorating mass spectrometer performance because the overall dataset consisted of 390 LC-MS/MS runs. However, these progressive effects were efficiently compensated by normalization based on reference spike-in peptides (Figure S2A and see STAR Methods). The high degree of reproducibility achieved for the overall workflow was further apparent from protein-level SEC chromatograms reconstituted from the independent experimental repeats (see replicate SEC chromatograms of a select set of proteins given in Figure 4G and all protein-level chromatograms with error bars provided in Data S1 and Table S1). Overall, these metrics demonstrate the level of reproducibility of the SEC-SWATH-MS workflow toward the detection of differential protein associations between the two cell-cycle states.

**Recall of Known Biology of Cell-Cycle States**
Mitotic processes have been extensively studied. We therefore related the results of this study to known mechanisms of mitotic biology, first at the level of general patterns and second at the level of specific complexes. First, we calculated the over-representation in gene ontology annotations for the 1,793 proteins with shifts detected by SEC-SWATH-MS. In agreement with previous knowledge the results indicate cell-cycle-state-dependent changes in the functional groups “RNA splicing and mRNA binding events” (Dominguez et al., 2016), “cellular reorganization” (Gong et al., 2007), and “ribonucleoprotein and macromolecular complexes” (Linder et al., 2017) (Figure 4B). Second, we related the results obtained in this study to specific complexes that are known to be present at different assembly states in the cell-cycle states tested (Vermeulen et al., 2003). The best confirmed and generally accepted events of this type include the mitotic activation of cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (CDK1) by binding to its partner cyclin B1 (Gavet and Pines, 2010) and the mitotic disassembly of nuclear pore complexes (NPCs) (Linder et al., 2017). We found both events confirmed by the SEC-SWATH-MS data. Figures 4C and 4D show the rewiring of the CDK1-cyclin B1 (CCNB1) module, supported by high difference scores. Specifically, the size-calibrated subunit elution profiles closely reflected the formation of CDK1-CCNB1 complexes in the cell-cycle state and indicated that CDK1 subunits of the CDK1-CCNB1 complex were recruited from the monomer pool, whereas the overall expression level of CDK1 across cell-cycle states remained stable (Figure 4D). In contrast, CCNB1 subunits showed increased expression in mitosis (Figure 4D, insert), consistent with current models of CDK1 regulation by periodic expression of CCNB1 (Vermeulen et al., 2003). Notably, only part of mitotic CDK1 transitioned to the complex-assembled form, with 69% detected in the assembled and 31% of the total MS signal detected in the monomeric range. Further, the dataset confirmed the mitotic disassembly of NPCs (Figures 4E and 4F) with 26 of the 27 observed canonical subunits detected as SEC-shifting (out of 32 bona fide components as defined by Hoelz et al. [2016]). Nuclearoporin SEC profiles suggested protein mass redistribution from a high molecular weight (MW) population of higher order nuclearoporin complexes (fraction 5, void volume peak with apparent MW $\geq 5$ MDa) to lower MW signals representative of NPC subcomplexes. This is exemplified by the SEC elution profiles of the inner ring complex members NUP188, NUP205, and NUP93 that are known to be part of mitotic sub-complexes of the NPC (Linder et al., 2017) (Figure 4E). Sub-complexes eluted in earlier fractions of elevated MW compared to the respective monomers (Figure 4F, note monomer expected fraction markers). The observed profiles were highly reproducible across the experimental repeats (Figure 4G).

**Validation via Orthogonal Method**
A significant strength of the present method is its ability to quantify changes in protein and protein complex abundance, and chromatographic retention in a highly multiplexed manner. Recently, orthogonal methods have been described that assess thermal stability variation. In these methods, changes in protein thermostability are used as a proxy for changes in protein interaction and activity. This notion is supported by the observation of strikingly similar thermostability profiles among subunits of the same complex (Tan et al., 2018). Two such studies explored altered thermostability across the cell cycle (Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018). They used chemical synchronization in early $S$ and prometaphase, thus matching the biological conditions analyzed in the present study. We therefore compared the results obtained by the two orthogonal methods, SEC-SWATH-MS and thermal profiling, represented by two instances of the approach termed cellular thermal shift assay (CETSA) (Dai et al., 2018) and thermal protein profiling (TPP) (Becher et al., 2018).

First, we compared the proteome coverage achieved by the respective methods. The SEC-SWATH-MS dataset identified 5,044 proteins. Of these 4,515 showed detectable SEC elution peaks of which after statistical filtering, 4,480 protein elution profiles were scored (see STAR Methods). This number is comparable to that achieved by TPP ($n = 4,780$). CETSA
Figure 5. Benchmarking Part 2: Performance of Measuring Proteome State Dynamics by SEC-SWATH-MS versus Thermostability

(A) Comparison of the total set of proteins characterized in SEC-SWATH-MS (n = 4,480, this study), CETSA (Dai et al., 2018) (n = 2,773), and TPP (Becher et al., 2018) (n = 4,780).

(B) Classification of top 1,000 proteins to compare method performance. Proteins were ranked according to method-specific scores that intend to capture alterations in proteome association or thermostability state changes between cell populations chemically synchronized in distinct cell-cycle stages (comparison: interphase and prometaphase). All protocols employ thymidine block and nocodazole release to synchronize cell-cycle states. In SEC-SWATH-MS, top 1,000 proteins are classified by linear combination of score ranks of equally weighted log10(pBHadj) and median log2 fold change and selection along rank sum. Protein thermostability workflows employ two different scores (CETSA: delta fold change; TPP: local and global FDR). The scores of the top 1,000 proteins selected per method are indicated. To obtain protein ranks from the two scores in the TPP dataset, we combined the ranks along local and global FDR to select proteins along the rank sum. This procedure is equivalent to the protein selection from the SEC-SWATH-MS results. Dashed lines indicate the FDR cutoffs employed by the authors of the original study to select the 923 hits reported (Becher et al., 2018).

(C) Comparison of top 1,000 association- or stability-changing proteins detected in either approach shows degree of orthogonality and unexpectedly high dissimilarity of the protein sets reported to alter thermostability.

(D) Gene ontology annotation overrepresentation testing of the top 1,000 proteins per result set obtained from the three methods. Pathway enrichment is given in Figure S3 A.

(E) Comparison of method sensitivity based on method-exclusive recovery of "true positive" proteins, which function in relation to the cell cycle. The protein sets analyzed are defined in the Venn diagram in (C). Cell-cycle-related proteins were defined by UniProtKB annotation column "function" parsed on "cell cycle". Of these 289 proteins, 156 were covered by one or multiple of the compared methods. Recovered numbers and rates with respect to set size suggest highest
achieved a markedly lower coverage at n = 2,773 proteins. More than 600 proteins were exclusively characterized by SEC-SWATH-MS (Figure 5A).

Second, we compared the method’s performance based on the ranking patterns among the 1,881 proteins covered by all three methods (Figures S7A and S7B). We grouped the proteins according to their ranking patterns and rated the “quality” of these groups based on the rate of observing proteins with known functions related to the cell cycle (UniProtKB annotation). We found the highest rates of cell-cycle proteins among proteins ranked highly in all three methods, followed by a group of proteins ranked higher in SEC and CETSA and ranked lower in TPP (Figure S7C; Table S4).

Third, we selected the 1,000 proteins for each method that showed highest scores indicating mitotic change and compared the observability of expected patterns of mitotic change within this set. For SEC-SWATH-MS, the scoring was based on pBHadj/FC rank sum, including changes of both protein abundance and SEC-resolved assembly states (referred to as “size”), for CETSA on deltaFC and for TPP on local FDR and global FDR rank sum, reflecting changes in protein thermostability (Figure 5B and STAR Methods). For TPP, the selection of proteins along the combined rank sum included the majority of the proteins reported as hits in the original study (Figure S3B). Unexpectedly, our analysis did not indicate higher similarity between the results of the two thermostability-based studies than between either of these studies and the SEC-SWATH-MS derived data (see Figure 5C). Whereas the three sets of top ranked proteins showed a small overlap of 108 of 2,294 proteins, the functional and pathway enrichment patterns of the three protein sets were in good agreement (Figures 5D, S3A, and S3C). All workflows uncovered strongest activity changes in RNA processing and splicing processes and corresponding ribonuclear complexes and the RNA binding machinery (Figures 5D and S3C).

The differential SEC-SWATH-MS analysis preferentially retrieves proteins in the GO categories “nuclear transport,” “proteins forming complexes,” and “proteins of the nuclear envelope” including components of the nuclear pore, while TPP exclusively retrieved proteins associated with the ribosomal machinery. Both, SEC-SWATH-MS and CETSA detected proteins associated with chromosome segregation, whereas this activity was not detected by TPP. Membrane proteins appear underrepresented among the hits reported by SEC-SWATH-MS and CETSA, but not TPP. Similarly, metabolic and enzymatic functions appear slightly underrepresented in hits from SEC-SWATH-MS and TPP, but not CETSA (Figure 5D).

SEC-SWATH-MS exclusively showed a tendency to uncover proteins known to engage in binding activities (Figure 5D, molecular function). Pathway enrichment analysis showed a higher number of similar enrichments between SEC-SWATH-MS and CETSA and a more strongly diverging pattern for the TPP results (Figure S3A). To control for biases introduced by our rank-based selection of the 1,000 most regulated proteins from the TPP results, we also included the hit list reported in the original study (897 UniProtKB proteins mapping unambiguously to the 923 reported hit gene names [Becher et al., 2018]; Table S2; see Figure S3B for a comparison of the selected protein sets). Specifically, SEC-SWATH-MS and CETSA both showed significant enrichment of pathway terms “cell cycle/mitotic cell cycle” and only SEC-SWATH-MS captured altered functional states of the core cell-cycle regulator APC/C (anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome) and activation of the mitotic spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC, Figure S3A). To explore potential differences in the protein activities inferred from the protein properties measured by the different methods, we analyzed the biological process annotation of protein sets reported exclusively by SEC-SWATH-MS (n = 568) and by both stability-based approaches (n = 166) (Figure S3C), respectively. Interestingly, the different protein sets converge at the level of core regulated processes (RNA splicing and processing) and highly related processes. For instance, both protein sets point toward the assembly of protein complexes, whereas changes in thermal stability were observed preferably in proteins of ribosome and ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis, and changes in assembly state and size were observed in proteins involved in protein-containing complex subunit assembly and organization. The measurement of both, thermal stability and size indicated alterations in proteins from different metabolic processes, of which alterations in the category “organic substance metabolic processes” were detected by either method. This may suggest that alterations in metabolic processes can manifest in either protein stability or the assembly state of complexes or both properties.

Third, we evaluated the recovery of proteins with known function in the cell-cycle process in the respective datasets (UniProtKB functional annotation parsed on “cell cycle,” Figure 5E). The SEC-SWATH-MS data showed the highest sensitivity for the measurement of altered protein (association) states among the compared methods (Figure 5E, comparing sets from Venn diagram in Figure 5C).

Fourth, we compared the sensitivity of the methods to recapitulate known biochemical events of mitotic disassembly of NPCs. In this comparison, SEC-SWATH-MS showed the highest degree of sensitivity as nucleoporins were ranked highest in the priority lists compared to the priority lists generated by the other methods (Figure 5F). This comparison validates the SEC-SWATH-MS differential workflow to generate biological insights with sensitivity similar to that of CETSA albeit at extended proteome coverage comparable to that achieved in the TPP workflow.

Overall, these three levels of benchmarking showed high performance of the SEC-SWATH-MS differential workflow, including the extended CCprofiler tool, to reveal altered proteomic states, including protein association, in biological samples with high sensitivity and broad proteomic coverage. In addition, the chromatographic profiles contain extended layers of information such as the specific composition and abundance of sensitivity of SEC-SWATH-MS and CETSA with broader proteome coverage of SEC-SWATH-MS (4,480 versus 2,773 proteins in SEC-SWATH-MS versus CETSA).

(F) Comparison of ranks of rearranging NPC component proteins in the three methods. Lowest rank means strongest signal in the respective method. SEC-SWATH-MS ranks truly re-arranging proteins highest.

See also Figures S3 and S7.
distinct complexes and also indicate quantitative changes in protein abundance.

**Inference of Cell-Cycle-Dependent Complex Remodeling**

We used the quantitative, complex-centric SEC-SWATH-MS technique to detect changes in complex quantity and composition between cell-cycle states. These analyses are based on the SEC profiles of 4,515 proteins forming 6,040 distinct peaks (CCprofiler protein-centric q value = 5%, see STAR Methods for details) and constitute a global “master map” set of observable protein features across replicates and conditions (Figures 2A–2C; Table S2). The chromatographic elution profile of each protein in the master map was analyzed with respect to the following dimensions of information. First, the number of peaks in the chromatographic range covering complex-associated proteins indicated a minimal number of distinct complexes a protein was associated with. Second, changes in chromatographic elution between conditions identified proteins with significant changes of complex association, and third, the correlation of peaks in the elution patterns of different proteins confirmed the presence of specific complexes by complex-centric analysis. The data indicate that proteins observed in two or more distinct complex-assembled states were enriched in signaling factors, proteins with known binding functions, and proteins involved in modulating post-translational modification such as acetylation and phosphorylation (Figure S4). The data further indicate that in most cases in which a protein was associated with different complexes the SWATH-MS signals for independent peptides strongly correlated between peaks, indicating overall very robust signal quality. Outlier peptides, i.e., peptides for which the between-peak correlation deviated from the correlation of other peptides, likely indicate cases of post-translational modifications resulting in the differential association of proteoforms to different complexes (Figure 2B).

The ensemble of protein SEC elution profiles further provided a base to estimate the fraction of the proteome detected in monomeric or assembled form in either state. We designated proteins as detected in an assembled state if their apparent MW based on the SEC elution was minimally 2-fold larger than the predicted MW of the protein in monomeric form. The protein SEC elution profiles were interrogated from two perspectives. First, we performed a naive assignment of protein intensity to SEC elution based on two or more subunit proteins in MS analysis (n = 949, Figure 6C, lower panel and Figure 6D). Overall, 405 complexes showed evidence of remodeling based on significant SEC shifts observed for minimally two of their subunits in SEC-fractions-containing protein complexes (Figure 6E). Among these, complexes with known involvement in the cell cycle were enriched (Figure 6F). While many of the complexes showing remodeling between states are associated with known functions in relation to the cell cycle, a significant fraction is not
Figure 6. Inference of Cell-Cycle-Dependent Complex Remodeling

(A) Global proteome assembly states observed in interphase and mitosis. Bar plots show numbers of proteins eluting in one to five distinct peaks. Pie charts show that the majority of proteins peak at least once in the likely complex-assembled range (apparent MW twice or larger than the annotated monomer MW). In either
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(D) Complexes with >2 subunits detected
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(F) Nup 107–160 subcomplex
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and thus presents opportunities for further exploration (Figure 6E). The remodeled complexes and their functional annotation are summarized in Table S3.

The results in Figures 5G and 6H illustrate two complexes without GO annotation for “cell cycle” but with strong evidence for mitotic changes. For both complexes all subunits, as annotated in the CORUM database, were detected. The first is the cell-cycle-dependent assembly of the TRF2-RAP1 complex in mitosis observed by strong SEC shift scores of both subunits and co-elution of RAP1 and TRF2 with apex in SEC fraction 21 (ca. 950 kDa) in mitotic cells but not interphase cells. TRF2 was detected only in mitotic cells. In interphase cells, RAP1 showed no peak in the SEC fraction range of the 950 kDa TRF2-RA P1 complex (Figure 6G). The results are in line with the previously reported recruitment of RAP1 to telomeres via TRF2 and consistent with the co-elution of the two proteins in the void volume (fractions 1–5, analytes > 10 MDa) (Figure 6G, right panels). However, RAP1 was detected in the void volume of interphase cells but without the concurrent detection of TRF2. Notably, we did not observe the TRF2 and RAP1-containing shelterin complex known to occupy telomeres in interphase (Liu et al., 2004), likely due to low abundance and/or low recovery in mild lysis of interphase cells. In either cell-cycle state, RAP1 was observed with an apparent MW of ~180 kDa, in line with preassembly into the tetrameric form in which it participates to form the octameric TRF2-RAP1 complex composed of four copies of each protein (Arat and Griffith, 2012). In mitotic cells, the strongest peak group containing RAP1 and TRF2 centered around 950 kDa, ca. 2.5x the weight expected for TRF2-RAP1 hetero-octamers, suggesting the association of the octamer with as yet unknown proteins. Notably, RAP1 has been known to associate with the I-kappa-B-kinase (IKK) complex to enhance NF-kappa-B target gene expression (Teo et al., 2010). To test whether the observed 950 kDa signal reflected the RAP1 pool likely engaged in an interaction with the IKK complex, we considered in addition the elution profiles of IKK subunits CHUK and IKKB (Figure S5A). The CHUK and IKKB profiles suggest the presence of two distinctly sized and only partly SEC-resolved variants of the IKK complex, one of ca. 2.5 MDa (apex fraction 12) and one of ca. 1.7 MDa (apex fraction 16) (Figure S5A). The majority of the RAP1 signal at ca. 950 kDa appeared independent from the two distinct populations of IKK complex variants observed. However, a peak shoulder in the RAP1 signal at elevated MW (estimated apex fraction 16) conformed with a small fraction of RAP1 bound to the 1.7-MDa but not the 2.5-MDa variant of the IKK complex (Figure S5A, lower panel, fractions 14–18).

As a second example, we observed striking changes in the elution profile of the Nup107-160 sub-complex of the NPC (CORUM ID 87, Figure 6H). All 9 subunits were detected with significant SEC shifts (Figure 6H, middle panel). In mitotic cells, the complex was observed based on a co-elution peak group formed by all subunits at a MW of 2.8 MDa (Apex fraction 13, Figure 6H, right panel). In interphase cells, no defined co-elution peak was detected in this size range, suggesting the presence of the Nup107-160 sub-complex exclusively in mitotic extracts. Interestingly, the subunit SEC13 was observed in a second peak at ~1 MDa in both cell-cycle states, suggesting its presence in an additional complex resolved by SEC. We surmised that this peak may represent SEC13 in the context of its alternative functional role in COPII vesicle-mediated transport (Tang et al., 1997). To test this hypothesis, we overlaid the elution profiles of the SEC13 partners in the coatomer complex and, indeed, observe co-elution with its partner SEC31 but not with the adaptor proteins SEC23A/B and SEC24/B (see Figure S5B). These observations demonstrate the capacity of our method to capture mitotic liberation of Nup107-160 sub-complexes from NPCs in and to resolve protein engagement across different functional contexts. Mitotic disassembly of NPCs is a hallmark of mitotic progression (Linder et al., 2017), but this event has not been annotated in the respective GO terms. This insight into cell-cycle dynamics at sub-complex resolution led us to
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explore whether SEC-SWATH-MS chromatographic profiling could reveal additional aspects of mitotic NPC disassembly (see below).

In summary, these results show that a major portion of the proteome changes at the level of complex association between mitotic states and that hundreds of specific complex remodeling events were apparent from the data. Insights at sub-complex resolution warrant in-depth analysis of chromatographic profiles not only for newly implicated proteins but may also reveal previously unknown roles of proteins with known functions in cell-cycle progression.

**Discovery and Independent Validation of NPC Disassembly Intermediates**

The discovery of compositional rearrangements of protein complexes between cell-cycle states allowed us to propose testable changes of biochemical processes. Among these is the mitotic disassembly of the NPC (Hoelz et al., 2016). The ensemble of SEC protein profiles analyzed in this study contained 27 of the 32 bona fide NPC components (Hoelz et al., 2016), shown in Figure 7A in either state. The patterns show a general, distinctive change toward complexes of lower MW in the mitotic sample, consistent with NPC disassembly into sub-complexes. All but one of the detected subunits (NUP50) showed shifts in protein-centric differential scoring. For eight of the subunits, elution peaks in the monomeric range were detected, equally across both cell-cycle states. The observation of monomeric pools in the SEC experiment may indicate the presence of a subset of NPC components that seem to be present in the cell as assembly-competent monomeric forms, to potentially bind other partners to fulfill other functions or liberated from partner Nups during preparation of the cell extracts. The protein-level pattern changes between the two states are illustrated in Figure S7/D.

Due to the distinctive pattern changes between the two states tested, we focused on the key inner ring complex component NUP93, which functions as an adaptor between the NPC scaffold and the central channel FG NUP62-NUP58-NUP54 sub-complex. In interphase extracts, NUP93 was principally detected in a wide high MW peak (fractions 1–15, >10 MDa to ca. 1.8 MDa) apart from minor amounts detected in two lower MW populations (apex fraction 29, ~360 kDa and apex fraction 37, ~145 kDa). In the mitotic state, NUP93 was detected in three distinct lower MW SEC peaks, with a new signal at an apparent MW of ca. 570 kDa (elution range F21-F27) and two signals of increased intensity when compared to the interphase pattern at apparent MW of ca. 320 kDa (elution range F27-F33) and 145 kDa (elution range F35-F39) (Figure 7B, also see Figure 4G). The observed peak pattern indicates that in mitotic cells NUP93 associates with complexes of different size that elute markedly earlier than monomeric NUP93 (93.5 kDa, expected elution fraction 41), thus suggesting the formation of distinctive NPC sub-complexes containing NUP93. To infer the composition of these complexes, we locally correlated the elution pattern of NUP93 with the elution patterns of other Nups (Figure 7B). For the peak at ca. 320 kDa, this analysis suggested NUP205, NUP155, and NUP214 as NUP93 interaction partners (F27-33, Figure 7B, right panels). Similarly, for the peak at ca. 570 kDa, (Figure 7B, left panel), six proteins, namely the central channel FG Nups NUP62, NUP54, and NUP58 as well as NUP188, NUP50, and TPR eluted in the same peak as NUP93.

The relative mass spectrometric signal intensities of peptides can be used to estimate the abundance of a protein in a SEC peak (Ludwig et al., 2012; Rosenberger et al., 2014). For the peak at ca. 320 kDa quantification of the respective protein signal intensities identified the inner ring proteins NUP155 and NUP205 as the predominant binding partners of NUP93. In contrast, NUP214, whose quantitative pattern correlates well in the SEC dimension, is present at much lower signal intensity, which is not consistent with stoichiometric participation in the complex. The results thus suggest a complex dissociating from the NPC holo-complex in mitosis that is composed of

---

**Figure 7. Discovery and Independent Validation of NPC Disassembly Intermediate**

(A) Quantitative elution patterns of NPC subunits in interphase and mitosis display protein mass re-distribution from large nucleoporin complexes in interphase with void volume elution (>5 MDa) toward lower MW ranges larger than individual components, in line with NPC disassembly into defined sub-complexes. Also compare Figure 4F and relative signal change visualized in Figure S7/D.

(B) Targeted search for co-eluting proteins as candidate co-complex members, using as basis two of the mitotically induced sub-complex signals arising from the inner ring complex component NUP93. Left panel: MS signal correlation analysis in the first peak (apex fraction, 25; apparent MW, ca. 570 kDa; elution range, F21-F27) nominates candidate members of the sub-complex eluting in signal 1 based on co-elution. Right panel, co-elution-based nomination of additional sub-complex membership candidates for signal 2 (apex fraction, 30; apparent MW, 320 kDa; elution range, F27-F33). Chromatograms are mean intensities from three replicates. Bottom, putative sub-complex composition subjected to validation experiments (see C and D). Note that targeted search for co-eluting proteins (on global dataset scale) is a core function of our online data interrogation tool SECexplorer-cc.

(C) Testing mitotic sub-complex composition based on co-purification with central channel complex captured via NUP58 by immunoblotting. As mitotic control NUP53 was included and complete size shifts indicate high homogeneity of cell-cycle state of the analyzed cell populations in interphase (IE) and mitosis (ME). As negative control bait, to control for non-specifically bound background protein, green fluorescent protein was included. Both NUP93 and NUP188 co-purify with the central channel in interphase and continue to do so in mitosis, confirming the results from SEC-SWATH-MS.

(D) Testing mitotic sub-complex composition based on co-affinity-purification of Nups with the central channel complex captured via NUP58 by mass spectrometry (AP-MS). The number of identified mass spectra serves as semi-quantitative measure to estimate protein retrieval from cells in either cell-cycle state and respective controls. Bars show mean number of spectra; bars represent standard deviation (sd) across three biological replicates. The results confirmed the co-purification of NUP93 and NUP188 and also showed the presence of the inner ring complex component NUP205, which is detected in SEC but does not form a defined elution peak in the signal 1 range. Neither TPR nor NUP50 are recovered in amounts above background binding level and are thus likely not part of the mitotic NPC sub-complex.

(E) Model of mitotic NPC disassembly and storage of NUP93 in distinct mitotic sub-complex reservoirs before re-formation of daughter cell nuclear envelopes. A substantial fraction of NUP93 is stored in the newly identified mitotic sub-complex composed of central channel components NUP54, NUP58, and NUP62 as well as inner ring complex components NUP93, NUP188, and NUP205. See also Figure S7.
NUP93, NUP155, and NUP205. Notably, NUP188 displays only low local correlation with NUP93 in the queried target range, suggesting that NUP188 does not participate in the complex of ~320 kDa detected between F27 and F33 (Figure 7B, right panel). The observed MW of 320 kDa is smaller than the cumulative weight of a stoichiometric hetero-trimer (476 kDa). This discrepancy could be due to compact shape and/or interactions with the stationary phase. Similarly, we used the protein intensities to also estimate composition and the abundances of the proteins in the peak at ca. 570 kDa (Figure 7B, left panel). The data suggest that in this complex the inner ring component NUP93 was associated with the central channel sub-complex NUP62, NUP54, and NUP58, as well its inner ring complex partner subunit NUP188. Based on the absolute signal intensity, stoichiometric participation of TPR in the ~570 kDa assembly appears unlikely. These findings are supported by current models of NPC structure (Beck and Hurt, 2017; Hoelz et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016) in which central channel Nups are coordinated by adaptor Nups of the inner ring complex. Based on the holo-complex model, the recovery of both TPR and NUP50 as part of NUP93-containing complexes appears unlikely, whereas interaction between NUP93 and central channel appears probable because NUP93 serves as anchor point for the central channel within the NPC holo-complex (Chug et al., 2015; Hoelz et al., 2016; Lin et al., 2016).

We validated the existence of the previously unknown mitotic sub-complex consisting of NUP93, NUP188, and the trimeric central channel sub-complex NUP62–NUP58–NUP54 by co-purification coupled to immunoblotting or mass spectrometry as orthogonal methods. We inducibly expressed HA-Stagged NUP58 in HeLa cells synchronized in either interphase or mitosis. As a control for the completeness of mitotic arrest, we performed immunoblots of NUP93 in the input samples, demonstrating its efficient mitotic hyper-phosphorylation, as previously reported (Linder et al., 2017). We isolated the native complex associated with the tagged Nup58 under mild conditions and tested the isolate for the presence of the suggested complex components by immunoblotting and liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) in either cell-cycle state (Figures 7C, 7D, S1D, and S1E). Indeed, immunoblotting confirmed co-purification of both the immediate partner Nups (NUP62, NUP54) and the inner ring component NUP93 and NUP188 with NUP58 from interphase cells. Importantly, in mitosis, this connectivity was maintained, in agreement with our SEC-SWATH-MS results (Figure 4C). These data confirm the presence of a mitotic sub-complex involving both central channel and inner ring complex components NUP93 and NUP188. The observed relative signal intensities in immunoblotting show a reduced recovery of NUP93 with the central channel Nups in mitosis compared to interphase. This is consistent with mitotic partitioning of the NUP93 protein pool across multiple macromolecular entities, as indicated by SEC-SWATH-MS (Figure 4C, rightmost two lanes and compare Figure 7B, right panel).

Next, we analyzed proteins co-isolated with Nup58 by mass spectrometry and used the number of identified mass spectra as semi-quantitative measure to estimate protein retrieval from cells in either cell-cycle state and respective controls. The results further confirmed the co-purification of NUP93 and NUP188 and also showed the presence of the inner ring complex component NUP205 (Figure 7D). Notably, NUP205 was consistently detected in the SEC elution range under investigation (F21–F27) but did not show a distinctive co-elution peak. Neither NUP50 nor TPR were detected as significant components of the isolates and are unlikely to represent bona fide components of the detected sub-complex (Figure 7D). Thus, Nup50 is likely part of a different, independent protein complex eluting at a similar position in SEC, which can motivate future research on the mitotic fate of this Nup.

Together, these results indicate that, in contrast to preceding models of NPC disassembly, a fraction of the inner ring complex components NUP93 and NUP188 and likely NUP205 remain attached to the central channel sub-complex composed of NUP62, NUP58, and NUP54 after mitotic entry. This configuration can explain how central channel Nups are efficiently reincorporated into reforming NPCs along with their partner scaffold Nups during mitotic exit. Further, a second population of NUP93 is stored in a mitotic sub-complex with the canonical IRC components NUP155 and NUP205 in absence of NUP188 (see model in Figure 7E).

**Browsing Dynamic Complex Association Maps in SECexplorer-cc**

SEC-SWATH-MS portrays the process of mitosis from the angle of protein mass re-distribution across differently sized stable complexes resolved by SEC. As discussed above, the dataset contains complex patterns of quantitative and qualitative changes in protein assemblies that warrant further, investigator driven exploration, including the discovery of additional proteins involved in cell-cycle regulation or the identification of new components of invariant or state specific assemblies. To support such analyses via manual review and community-based mining and interpretation of our dataset, we provide a web tool, SECexplorer-cc (https://sec-explorer.shinyapps.io/hela_cellcycle/, Figure S6) that offers four functionalities: (1) Interactive viewing of protein SEC fractionation profiles in interphase and mitosis (compare Figures 4D and 4F). (2) Search for locally co-eluting proteins to identify putative new binding partners showing strong co-elution within a certain range of target protein elution (compare Figure 7B). (3) Interactive display and protein selection from the differential association score map (compare Figure 4A). (4) Display of one or multiple protein’s fractionation profiles in reference to the profiles of immediate interaction and/or functional partners dynamically retrieved from StringDB (Szklarczyk et al., 2017), as exemplified in Figure 2D. We expect that SECexplorer-cc will support a community effort to fully leverage the rich information encoded by the mitotic proteome rearrangement SEC-SWATH-MS data, which, ideally, will support better understanding of modular proteome function along cell division.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we introduce a new software module to the CCprofiler suite (Heusel et al, 2019) that supports the differential, quantitative analysis of thousands of proteins and their association with complexes from SEC-SWATH-MS datasets, based on a complex-centric analysis strategy. We benchmarked the tool and applied it to detect proteomic changes, including
rearrangements of protein complexes and abundance differences of macromolecular assemblies in a differential analysis of human cells in two chemically induced cellular states, interphase and prometaphase. The described technique is based on the co-fractionation and protein correlation profiling rationale (Dong et al., 2008; Foster et al., 2006; Liu et al., 2008; Wessels et al., 2009) and includes the improvements with regard to chromatographic resolution, accurate data-independent mass spectrometry (Gillet et al., 2012; Röst et al., 2014) and complex-centric data analysis strategy implemented in SEC-SWATH-MS (Heusel et al., 2019). SEC-SWATH-MS allows the observation of the major fraction of the extractable proteome in assembled form, partitioned into hundreds of protein complexes that remain stable under the conditions they experience during cell lysis, dilution, extraction, and SEC. Through the addition of the quantification module, the workflow now supports the systems-wide differential analysis of >5,000 of proteins and their association with complexes. The data show that the system shows a high degree of reproducibility and performs favorably when benchmarked against protein thermostability measurements, an orthogonal state-of-the-art method to infer changes in protein complexes (Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018). Application of the method to HeLa CCL2 cells recapitulated known cell-cycle-dependent complex remodeling events between the cell-cycle states tested and suggested a new model of nuclear pore complex disassembly, which was subsequently validated by orthogonal methods, thus demonstrating the potential of the method and dataset to reveal new biology. To support additional exploration of the present dataset and future differential SEC-SWATH-MS datasets, we provide an online tool, SECexplorer-cc.

To retrieve information on changes in protein complex quantity and composition, we devised a protein-centric differential analysis strategy that detects altered SEC distribution patterns of ca. 5,000 proteins. Changes in these elution patterns between conditions indicated changes in the abundance and/or association of the respective protein with different complexes and such events could be quantitatively compared between states using the new quantification module implemented in CCprofiler. This computational pipeline was devised to achieve (1) optimal sensitivity to recall changes in protein abundance using all available peptide-level information and (2) maximal coverage by not restricting the differential analysis on previously known protein complexes. With more than 90% of the identified proteins being represented as quantitative SEC elution peaks, the method achieved broad coverage of biological functions. The protein-centric differential analysis pipeline is implemented as additional module of our R/CCprofiler toolset, available at https://github.com/CCprofiler/CCprofiler/tree/heLaCC).

To benchmark the method we compared the differential SEC-SWATH-MS data obtained in this study with results of two studies that investigated cell-cycle-associated changes in protein complexes through inference from protein thermostability changes measured in similarly perturbed cell systems (TPP and CETSA, Becher et al., 2018; Dai et al., 2018). The TPP and SEC studies used HeLa cells (TPP; HeLa Kyoto; SEC, HeLa CCL2), and the CETSA study used K562 cells. Under the assumption of strong conservation of the mitotic regulatory circuitry between the cells tested, our comparison suggests favorable sensitivity to recall known cell-cycle dependent complex rearrangement events of the SEC-SWATH-MS method. Despite the relatively small overlaps between the protein sets showing strongest mitotic changes in either method (15%–16 %, see Figure 5C), the protein sets largely converged at the level of biological processes and pathways that were associated with the selected protein sets. Our results give first insights into the biological information gleaned from the two approaches, thermal stability and SEC-SWATH-MS, respectively, to infer mitotically altered protein states. However, generalized conclusions on the relative merits of detecting alterations in apparent size and abundance versus thermostability of protein complexes are not possible with certainty as in no case the two thermostability-based methods agree on an enriched term that was not also retrieved by SEC-SWATH-MS. More detailed analysis of the approach-specific hits suggests that certain instances of changes in enzymatic and metabolic activities are better-observable based on protein stability rather than size, which could arise from allosteric regulation by small molecule binding that is expected to be better detectable by altered thermostability than by altered complex size. Furthermore, the measurement of thermostability via TPP showed smaller bias against capturing of changes in membrane- and DNA-associated proteins than the results from the SEC-SWATH-MS and CETSA workflows (Figure 5D). Overall our comparison indicates higher sensitivity of the SEC-SWATH-MS method to uncover changes in core cell-cycle machinery when extending quantitative proteomics to include differential assembly states (Figure 5E), such as e.g., increased formation of translation initiation complexes or the inactivation of APC/C complex (Figure S3A), when compared to the stability-based approaches. Overall the data suggest that SEC, as implemented in the SEC-SWATH-MS workflow, currently offers a preferable combination of analytical breadth and sensitivity.

Our study generated new and confirmed known biological insights. For example, only a subset of the CDK1 pool is recruited to upregulated CCNB1 in mitosis (31% appear to remain monomeric, compare Figure 4D). This effect cannot be attributed to incomplete synchronization of the analyzed cell populations. We surmise that the degree of assembly acts as an additional layer of CDK1 activity control by defining the cellular concentration of assembled CCNB1-CDK1 complexes that can be transferred to an active state by protein phosphorylation (Nigg, 1993). This notion is relevant for ongoing drug development programs that target the respective interaction interfaces as alternative anticancer strategy (Peyressatre et al., 2015).

Differential SEC-SWATH-MS data also illustrated the process of mitotic disassembly of NPCs into distinctive sub-complexes. This allowed us to identify a mitotic sub-complex that has, to our knowledge, not been described before, and to extend the model for mitotic NPC disassembly (Laurell et al., 2011; Linder et al., 2017). These observations from the SEC-SWATH dataset were further confirmed with orthogonal methods. Even though several publications reported mechanistic insights into the phosphorylation-driven process of mitotic NPC disassembly (Laurell et al., 2011; Linder et al., 2017), the overall process remains incompletely understood. NPC disassembly must liberate Nups in a state in which they are readily available for rapid reassembly during mitotic exit. Our discovery of a mitotic protein complex between central channel FG-Nups and their anchoring scaffold...
Nups indicates that the efficient incorporation of the FG-Nups may occur together with their anchoring partners. Such mechanism would be ideally suited to explain correct and rapid reassembly during mitotic exit. In this scenario, reintegration will rely on a larger set of protein interactions directed by the scaffold subunits. Detailed knowledge about the mechanistic rules of mitotic NPC disassembly and interphasic re-assembly may well prove helpful in the design of future therapies aiming to modulate the process of cell division.

The specific complexes discussed in this paper, including the identified NPC disassembly intermediate only represent a small fraction of the information contained in the dataset of 5,044 proteins of which 1,793 showed significantly different association with complexes across the two cell-cycle states analyzed. To support further in-depth interrogation of the dataset we disseminate it in an easily browsable form via SECexplorer-cc. To infer strong hypotheses on putative additional players that justify at times costly and lengthy follow-up experiments, it is important to bear in mind inherent limitations of and potential confounding effects in the experimental system and bioinformatic approach. These include (1) differential extractability of proteins due to cellular re-organization, exemplified by breakdown of the nuclear envelope upon mitotic entry, (2) indirect effects, i.e., rearrangement as a mere consequence rather than cause of the altered state of the biological system, and (3) confounding effects of the experimental procedure, such as e.g., stress responses triggered by chemical treatment rather than cell-cycle stage, as has been suggested in comparisons of quantitative proteome profiles employing different protocols to achieve cell-cycle synchronization (Ly et al., 2015). Further, co-elution may be observed among proteins that participate in physically independent complexes that co-elute in chromatography, as exemplified by NUP50, which co-eluted with but does not participate in the newly identified mitotic NPC sub-complex reported here. Further, artificial disassembly of labile complexes is expected to occur due to the significant sample dilution during cell lysis, protein extraction, and SEC and will likely result in preferential detection of stable protein-protein interactions and, when compared to the state in the cell, result in an overestimation of the proteins present in monoformic system. In addition, the bioinformatic strategy of SEC-resolved differential abundance testing supports the concurrent detection of changes in abundance and association state of specific proteins. In some cases, it may be necessary to manually de-convolute the specific types of changes (abundance, compositional, or abundance and compositional) a specific protein experiences. We provide the data analysis platform SECexplorer-cc to support such specific in-depth analyses as well as new discoveries from the data set.

Multiple extensions or optimizations of the SEC-SWATH-MS methodology can be envisioned. For example, given the diverse properties of cellular assemblies methodological adaptations could benefit more focused studies of a given complex class of interest, such as e.g., ribosomal complexes (Yoshikawa et al., 2018). Further, limited sample throughput of the method currently precludes time course or cohort analyses (compare 473 LC-MS measurements and 556 h of gradient time spent here), a bottleneck which may be bypassed by integrating ultra-fast liquid chromatography setups (Bache et al., 2019) in the SWATH/DIA-MS workflow. Such adaptations will likely render the analysis of proteomes including their organizational state a routine procedure in the near future.

Another thrust for further development of the method is bioinformatic information retrieval. We here deliberately chose an approach that makes use of all peptide level information to detect rewiring proteins with maximal sensitivity and at optimal breadth. However, alternative computational strategies can be envisaged that will support the retrieval of additional information from SEC-SWATH-MS data. These include (1) optimizations of bioinformatic processing to reduce the need for manual review, (2) increased sensitivity to detect proteins that exchange interactors without effect on the SEC-SWATH-MS signal (net-0 interaction changes) by interaction-network-centered approaches, (3) improved method throughput by reducing the need for replication and rate of SEC sampling, (4) improved information retrieval on the level of protein complexes and their precise composition across functional states, and (5) retrieval of information on post-translationally modified and alternatively spliced gene products and the respective impact on complex assembly and dynamics thereof. With developments along those lines ongoing, it appears particularly promising to re-interrogate the SEC-SWATH-MS data presented here from these additional perspectives in the future.

In contrast to classical proteomics studies that reduced proteomes to a quantitative list of component parts, SEC-SWATH-MS facilitates quantitative studies of the proteome including its biophysical arrangement into complexes as a core functional layer. This enables deep, systems-wide surveys of changes in protein association and inferred activity states from virtually any experimental system of interest as the method is independent from genetic engineering. The datasets encode protein abundance, assembly and interaction states, the composition of complexes, and alternate roles of distinct proteoforms. Systematic measurements of protein attributes closely correlated to protein function bear profound potential for the discovery of yet unknown players and mechanisms at the core of (disease) phenotypes generated by biomolecular networks and systems.
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## STAR METHODS

### KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibodies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Nup188 antibody</td>
<td>Linder et al., 2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Nup93 antibody</td>
<td>Linder et al., 2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rat monoclonal anti-Nup62 antibody</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>Cat# ab188413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Nup54 antibody</td>
<td>Linder et al., 2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal anti-Nup53 antibody</td>
<td>Linder et al., 2017</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-β-actin antibody</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# A1978; RRID: AB_476692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse monoclonal anti-HA (12CA5) antibody</td>
<td>Roche</td>
<td>Cat# 11583816001; RRID: AB_514505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit monoclonal Phospho-Histone H3 (Ser10) Antibody</td>
<td>Cell Signaling Technology</td>
<td>Cat# 9701; RRID: AB_331535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti rabbit IgG HRP-conjugated</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# 12-348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goat anti mouse IgG HRP-conjugated</td>
<td>Sigma Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# 12-349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biological Samples</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa CCL-2</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat# CCL-2; RRID: CVCL_0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGEPAL CA-630, Nonidet-P40 substitute</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# I8896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC standard proteins</td>
<td>Phenomenex</td>
<td>Cat# AL0-3042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protease inhibitor cocktail</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# P8340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymidine</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# T9250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocodazole</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
<td>Cat# M1404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical Commercial Assays</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention time normalization peptides (iRT kit)</td>
<td>Biognosys</td>
<td>Cat# Ki-3002-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deposited Data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass spectrometric raw data</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>ftp://ftp.pride.ebi.ac.uk/pride/data/archive/2019/05/PXD010288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDA spectrum-centric search TPP results</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>ftp://ftp.pride.ebi.ac.uk/pride/data/archive/2019/05/PXD010288/DDA_SearchResult4SpectrumLibrary_20170627123611964-1309029.zip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral library derived from DDA search results, used for peptide centric query of DIA datasets</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>ftp://ftp.pride.ebi.ac.uk/pride/data/archive/2019/05/PXD010288/SpectrumLibrary_2017080221356808-1323484.zip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIA peptide-centric query and alignment (OpenSwath+TRIC) results</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>ftp://ftp.pride.ebi.ac.uk/pride/data/archive/2019/05/PXD010288/SWATH_QueryResultGlobalAlignment_20170906213658025-1332538.zip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Cell Lines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa CCL-2</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
<td>Cat# CCL-2; RRID: CVCL_0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa Flp-in TRex HAST-GFP</td>
<td>Häfner et al., 2014</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HeLa Flp-in TRex HAST-Nup58</td>
<td>This paper</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw file conversion: MSconvert/Proteowizard</td>
<td>Chambers et al., 2012</td>
<td><a href="http://proteowizard.sourceforge.net/download.html">http://proteowizard.sourceforge.net/download.html</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum-centric DDA data analysis: Trans-proteomic pipeline (includes XTandem and Comet search engines, PeptideProphet for PSM scoring, iProphet for PSM integration and Mayu for FDR control)</td>
<td>Keller et al., 2005</td>
<td><a href="https://sourceforge.net/projects/sashimi/files/latest/download?source=files">https://sourceforge.net/projects/sashimi/files/latest/download?source=files</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on next page)
LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Ruedi Aebersold (aebersold@imsb.biol.ethz.ch). Plasmids and Cell lines (HeLa Flp-in TRex HAST-NUP58 and HAST-GFP) are available upon request. This study did not generate new reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Cell Line HeLa CCL2 Cell Line
The HeLa CCL2 cell line was obtained from the ATCC collection (atcc.org, HeLa (ATCC® CCL-2™, RRID CVCL_0030) and cultured in modified complete Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) at 37 °C and 5% CO2. The sex of the cells is female. No additional cell line authentication was performed.

Cell Lines HeLa Flp-In TRex HAST-NUP58 and HAST-GFP
HeLa cell lines carrying tetracycline-inducible HA-Strep-tagged NUP58 and HA-Strep-tagged GFP were generated using a HeLa Flp-In T-REx cell line (Häfner et al., 2014) (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat.-no. R71407, RRID CVCL_D587). Cells were cultured in DMEM at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Cell lines were authenticated with regard to correct bait protein expression by immunofluorescence and Western blotting as detailed below.

METHOD DETAILS

Cell Culture and Mitotic Arrest
HeLa CCL2 cells were obtained from the ATCC collection and cultured in DMEM at 37 °C and 5% CO2. Cells in interphase (early S-phase) were obtained by double-thymidine block. Cells in mitosis (early prometaphase) were obtained by single thymidine block followed by nocodazole treatment and mitotic shake-off, as follows. Cells were grown to a confluency of approximately 60% and arrested in S phase by addition of 3 mM thymidine (Sigma) for 20 h. After overnight incubation the cells were thoroughly washed twice with warm PBS and left for recovery in complete DMEM medium for 2 h prior to addition of 3 mM thymidine (Sigma) and incubation at 37 °C for 13 h (interphase-arrest by double thymidine-block), or, for synchronization in mitosis, cells were treated with 100 ng/ml nocodazole at 37 °C for 13 h before harvest via mitotic shake-off to ensure recovery of only mitotic cells. Cells arrested in interphase were harvested using EDTA, before cells were pelleted and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Synchronization in interphase and mitosis was confirmed by monitoring phosphorylation of NUP53 by Western blotting and the observed weight gain of mitotic, poly-phosphorylated NUP53 (see Figures S1 C and 7C).

AFFINITY PURIFICATION OF CENTRAL CHANNEL COMPLEXES

HeLa cell lines carrying tetracycline-inducible HA-Strep-tagged NUP58 and HA-Strep-tagged GFP were generated using a HeLa Flp-In T-REx cell line (Häfner et al., 2014). Expression of HAST-NUP58 and HAST-GFP were induced by addition of 0.1 μg/ml tetracycline (Sigma). Correct expression and localization of affinity-tagged Nup58 was validated by immunofluorescence and Western blotting (see below). For affinity purifications (AP), bait expression was induced for 48 hrs before synchronizing the cells in interphase or prometaphase as described above. After washing and pelleting, cells were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Thawed cells were lysed
Validation of Cell-Cycle Arrest and Cell Lines
For immunofluorescence, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, washed with PBS and permeabilized for 5 min with 0.1% detection reagent (GE Healthcare) and the signal was detected using Fuji RX film (Fujifilm) primary antibodies included goat anti–rabbit IgG and goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich). Chemiluminescence was initiated using available. After three washing steps with TBS-T secondary antibody solutions were applied in 5% milk-PBS-T and membranes (Sigma, cat no. A1978), HA (Roche), pH3 (Cell Signaling, cat no. 9701S) and NUP62 (Abcam, cat no. ab188413) are commercially available. After three washing steps with TBS-T secondary antibody solutions were applied in 5% milk-PBS-T and membranes kept shaking for 1 h at RT. Subsequent washing was followed by detection. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies used to detect primary antibodies included goat anti–rabbit IgG and goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich). Chemiluminescence was initiated using ECL detection reagent (GE Healthcare) and the signal was detected using Fuji RX film (Fujifilm)

Western Blotting
Cell pellets from synchronized HeLa CCL2 cells and samples from pulldown experiments were resuspended in SDS-sample buffer and briefly denatured at 95 °C. Protein was resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose blotting membranes (GE Healthcare). Membranes were blocked over night with 5% skim milk powder in PBS-T (PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20). Subsequently, membranes were incubated at RT for 1 h with indicated antibodies diluted in 5% milk-PBS-T. Primary rabbit polyclonal antibodies directed against NUP188, NUP93, NUP53 and NUP54 have been described (Linder et al., 2017). Antibodies directed against actin (Sigma, cat no. A1978), HA (Roche), pH3 (Cell Signaling, cat no. 9701S) and NUP62 (Abcam, cat no. ab188413) are commercially available. After three washing steps with TBS-T secondary antibody solutions were applied in 5% milk-PBS-T and membranes kept shaking for 1 h at RT. Subsequent washing was followed by detection. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies used to detect primary antibodies included goat anti–rabbit IgG and goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich). Chemiluminescence was initiated using ECL detection reagent (GE Healthcare) and the signal was detected using Fuji RX film (Fujifilm)

Native Proteome Extraction and SEC Fractionation
Ca. 5e7 HeLa CCL2 cells were mildly lysed by freeze-thawing into 0.5% NP-40 detergent- and protease and phosphatase inhibitor containing buffer, essentially as described (Collins et al., 2013), albeit without the addition of Avidin. Lysates were cleared by 15 minutes of ultracentrifugation (100,000 x g, 4 °C) and buffer was exchanged to SEC buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl) over 30-kDa molecular weight cut-off membrane at a ratio of 1:2.5 and concentrated to 25–35 mg/ml (estimated by OD280). After 5 min of centrifugation at 16,900 x g at 4 °C, the supernatant was directly subjected to fractionation on a SRT-C-SEC 500 column (dimensions 300 x 21.2 mm, pore size 500 Å, particle size 5 μm, Sepax-Tech, DE, USA). Per size exclusion chromatography (SEC) run, 7.25 mg of native proteome extract (estimated by OD280) was injected and fractionated at 2 ml/min flow rate on ice (0–4 °C), collecting 90 fractions at 0.4 min per fraction from 20 to 56 min post-injection, fractions 1–65 (20 – 46 min elution time, 40 – 92 ml elution volume) of which were considered relevant proteome elution range and considered for quantitative analysis. For library generation purposes, a few fractions from an extended elution range were analyzed (up to fraction 89). In order to minimize time-sensitive artifacts of complex disassembly under dilution, samples were processed independently to achieve a fixed processing time-to-column of 1.5-2 h. Apparent molecular weight per fraction was log-linearly calibrated based on the apex elution fractions of a 5-protein standard sample with known protein mass analyzed with each experimental replicate (Column Performance Check Standard, Aqueous SEC 1, AL0-3042, Phenomenex, CA, USA). An aliquot of the unfraccionated mild proteome extract was included in peptide sample preparation and LC-MS analysis.

MS Sample Preparation
Size exclusion chromatographic fractions and an aliquot of the unfraccionated mild proteome extract were processed as follows. Proteins were denatured by the addition of 1% sodium deoxycholate (SDC, Sigma-Aldrich) and heating to 95 °C for 5 min. Disulfide bonds were reduced by adding tris-(2-carboxyethyl)-phosphine to 5 mM and incubating at 37 °C for 30 min. Subsequent alkylation of free thiol groups was carried out by adding iodoacetamide to 10 mM and incubating in the dark for 30 min. Proteins were digested by 1.4 μg sequencing grade trypsin (Promega) per fraction at 37 °C overnight. 1% TFA and 1% ACN were added for stopping the digestion and precipitating SDC. Additionally, 0.4 pmol of E. coli β-galactosidase digest were added as internal standard, followed by centrifugation at 4,500 x g for 15 min. Subsequently, samples were desalted by means of C18 reversed phase well plates (96-Well MACROSpin Plate, the Nest Group, MA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations and vacuum dried. For MS analysis, de-salted peptide samples were re-suspended in 20 μl LC solvent A (2% ACN, 0.1% FA) supplemented with internal retention time calibration peptides (RT kit, Ki-3002-1, Biognosys Agric. Chem., used at 1:20 instead of 1:10 ratio as indicated in the manufacturer’s protocol).
Tandem affinity purified samples were processed as follows. To remove biotin, proteins were precipitated from the AP eluate by 25% TCA at -20 °C overnight and protein pellets were washed three times with ice-cold acetone and dried. Proteins were denatured by dissolution in 30 µl of 8M urea in 100 mM NH₄HCO₃ at RT, followed by dilution to 0.8 M urea, reduction by 5 mM TCEP (37 °C, 30 min) and alkylation (10 mM iodoacetamide in 100 mM NH₄HCO₃, 37 °C, 30 min in the dark) before overnight digestion by sequencing grade trypsin (1 µg, Promega, 37 °C). After adjustment of sample pH to ~2 (using 5% TFA), peptides were de-salted on C-18 spin columns (3-30-µg capacity, the Nest Group) using 0.1% TFA as acidifier in 2%/50% ACN for washing/elution. For MS measurements, peptides were dissolved in 20 µl 2% ACN. 0.1% FA.

**LC-MS Measurements**

Mass spectrometric analysis of the peptide samples generated from the SEC fractions was carried out on an Eksigent nanoLC Ultra 1D Plus and expert 400 autosampler system (Eksigent, Dublin, CA) coupled to a TripleTOF 6600 (Sciex, Ontario, Canada) equipped with a NanoSpray III ion source. The acquisition software was Analyst TF 1.7.1. A 75 um inner diameter PicoFrit emitter (New Objective, Woburn, MA) was packed in-house with Magic C18 AQ 3 um, 200 Å particles (Bruker, Billerica, MA) to a length of 40 cm. It was operated at a flow of 300 nl/min and at room temperature. The LC solvent A was composed of 98% ultra-high quality water, 2% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid, LC solvent B was 98% acetonitrile, 2% ultra-high quality water and 0.1% formic acid. 3 µl of sample were loaded onto the column and separated by a linear gradient from 5 to 35% B in 60 min (or 120 min for DDA and library generation purposes, see below).

For the quantitative analysis of the 390 SEC fractions constituting the core sample set of the experiment, the TripleTOF was operated in SWATH mode. Samples were acquired alternating between different conditions in blocks of four consecutive fractions from early- to late-eluting fractions per each experimental replicate (i.e. measuring interphase fractions 1,2,3,4; mitosis fractions 1,2,3,4; interphase fractions 5,6,7,8, etc.). The acquisition scheme can be obtained from the annotation tables available with the data-set via ProteomeXchange (ProteomeXchange: PXD010288). One MS1 survey scan was followed by 64 SWATH scans in a looped fashion in SWATH windows covering precursors in the range of 400-1200 m/z. Window widths were chosen to obtain similar precursor intensity densities within all SWATH windows, i.e. resulting in narrower windows for m/z regions with a high density of precursors, as described previously (Collins et al., 2017). Adjacent SWATH windows overlapped by 1 m/z to accommodate for the Q1 isolation profile. The monitored m/z range was 360-1460 in the MS1 scan and 300-2000 in the SWATH scans, the accumulation time was 200 ms and 50 ms, respectively, which resulted in a cycle time of around 3.4 s. For fragmentation, a rolling collisional energy (calculated for a theoretical 2+ ion centered in the corresponding SWATH window) with a collisional energy spread of 15 eV was applied. The ion source was operated with the following settings: spray voltage, 2600 V; ion source gas flow, 16; curtain gas flow, 35; interface heater temperature, 75°C and declustering potential, 100.

For the generation of a sample-specific library of peptide query parameters, 83 of the 390 SEC samples, representing all replicates, conditions and covering an extended elution range up to F89 in experimental replicate 1, were selected for analysis by data-dependent acquisition mode over extended LCMS gradients to allow for deeper coverage of the peptide query parameter library. For DDA runs, samples were separated by a 120 min linear gradient from 5 to 35% LC solvent B. One MS1 scan was followed by 20 MS2 scans with an accumulation time of 250 ms for MS1 and 100 ms for MS2. The monitored m/z range was 360-1460 for MS1 and 50-2000 for MS2. The dynamic exclusion time was set to 20 s.

AP-MS samples were analyzed by LC-MS/MS in DDA mode on an LTQ-Orbitrap XL system (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an EASY nLC II system (Proxeon), acquiring over an LC gradient of 5-35% of LC solvent B (98% ACN, 0.1% FA) in LC solvent A (2% ACN, 0.1% FA) in 90 min up to 10 MS/MS spectra of the up to top10 most-abundant precursors selected from intermitent MS1 survey scans (m/z range, 350-1600 m/z). Precursors analyzed were dynamically excluded from re-selection for 30 s and with an exclusion list size of maximally 300 entries. The automatic gain control (AGC) target value was set to 2e5 for full scans (MS) and 3e4 for MS/MS scans.

**Assignment of Nuclear Pore Complex Components**

Nuclear pore complex members were assigned based on two consecutively applied criteria, i) UniProtKB query (nuclear pore complex AND reviewed:yes AND organism:“Homo sapiens (Human) [9606]”) and ii) structural assignment in Table 1 by Hoelz et al. (Hoelz et al., 2016), resulting in 32 canonical subunits employed for benchmarking and exploration of NPC disassembly sub-complexes.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**DDA-MS Data Processing**

For generation of the peptide query parameter library DDA-MS data acquired in 2 h gradient time from 83 SEC fractions representative of all replicates, condition and SEC elution ranges was converted to mzXML format using Proteowizard/MSconvert (Chambers et al., 2012), analyzed by spectrum-centric analysis and then processed into a spectrum- and reduced peptide query parameter library essentially as described (Schubert et al., 2015), except for the following adaptations: MS spectra were searched for matches to the human UniProtKB reference database (reviewed, canonical entries, build: 2017-06-19, supplemented with typical contaminant (cRAP, https://www.thegpm.org/crap/) and E. coli β–galactosidase sequences). The parameters for spectrum-centric searches were cleavage specificity trypsin with up to two missed cleavages, 50 ppm precursor and 0.1 Da fragment ion mass tolerance, carbamidomethyl (C) as static and oxidation (M) as variable modification. The results from four independent searches using different search
positive proteins are recovered at high confidence, leading to overestimation of peptide scores which would be lost as false negatives under strict peptide level FDR control. In a SEC experiment these true positives can orthogonally be validated by quantitative agreement with high confidence sibling peptides along SEC fractionation as assessed in downstream data filtering in CCprofiler analysis. The spectra were then processed into a spectral library using the tool Spectrast(5) with IRT calibration followed by the generation of a peptide query parameter library, essentially as described(6) and within the iPortal computing infrastructure(9). Query parameters were as follows: as query parameters for peptide precursors, the 6 highest intensity b or y fragment ions within m/z range 350–2000 were selected, allowing fragment charge states 1–2 and no mass gains or losses. The final library contains 111,267 precursors from 90,932 unique proteins mapping to 9603 protein groups that were subsequently targeted for quantification in the 390 60-min gradient SWATH-MS runs of the 390 SEC fractions. Given the strict rules employed for downstream quantification (quantifying only single, unique proteins with at least 2 unique, proteotypic peptides) the number of maximally detectable analytes as constrained by the query parameter library drops to 102,629 precursors of 83,863 peptides mapping to 5,916 unique proteins. 60 min DDA-MS data were data processed equivalently by spectrum-centric analysis to obtain spectral counts across chromatographic fractions as quantitative measure for technical comparisons (Data and intermediate processing results are available via ProteomeXchange, see section Data and Code Availability).

**SWATH-MS Peptide-Centric Analysis**

The SWATH-MS data were analyzed via targeted, peptide-centric analysis, querying 111,267 precursors from the sample-specific peptide query parameter library (see above), using a modified OpenSWATH(1), PyProphet(2,3) and TRIC(4,5). First, one global classifier was trained on a subsampled set of SEC fractions across the experiment using pyProphet-cli (Röst et al., 2017). Specifically, fractions 3, 43 and 44 of each replicate and condition were analyzed jointly in order to generate a stable scoring function from the most analyte-rich measurements (F43 and F44) while including different analytes detected exclusively in the high MW range (F3). Peptides from all fractions were then quantified and scored using the pre-trained scoring function using OpenSWATH, pyProphet and TRIC in the iPortal framework(Kunszt et al., 2015). TRIC was set to recover precursors at an experiment-wide assay/peptide query-level (TRIC target) FDR of 5%. The full result table (E1709051521_feature_alignment.tsv.gz) has been deposited, together with the MS raw data, to ProteomeXchange (see section DATA and Code AVAILABILITY below).

**SEC-SWATH-MS Protein and Complex Feature Detection**

Precursor-level results from E1709051521_feature_alignment.tsv were imported into CCprofiler extended by the protein-centric differential analysis module. Upon import, precursor intensity signals (summed intensity of the 6 most-abundant fragment ion XIC peak areas) were summed per peptide (function: importFromOpenSWATH). Then, missing values flanked by at least two consecutive identifications were imputed by a spline fit (function: findMissingValues). Traces were scaled to the internal standard spike-in peptides of E. coli β-galactosidase (P00722, function: normalizeToStandard) followed by smoothing of total intensities according to a spline fit over total MS intensity sums (function: smootheTraces). The data were further filtered on chromatography-informed scores following final FDR estimation based on the simple target-decoy method. Specific filtering rules were, first, eliminating all values part of consecutive identification stretches below length three and, second, exclusion of peptides based on their quantitative fractionation pattern’s average dissimilarity to those of sibling peptides (originating from the same parent protein). Guided by the fraction of decoys remaining (target: 3% on unique protein identifier level), peptides with average sibling peptide correlation coefficient (spc) below [0.28 - 0.34] were removed, with cut-offs selected to achieve a decoy rate below 3% among the remaining protein entries. Subsequently, proteins were quantified by summing the top2 most-intense peptide signals. Protein intensity across the replicates was averaged and standard errors of the mean calculated. Cumulatively, 5044 proteins were characterized. The FDR on protein level was estimated based on the simple target-decoy method, with correction for the fraction of false protein targets (equivalent to the fraction of absent proteins, πA(7)). We estimate πA as the fraction of library-contained target proteins that were not detected with high confidence in the targeted analysis, i.e. πA = (5,916 – 5,044) / 5,916 = 0.147. This estimation is conservative because not all true positive proteins are recovered at high confidence, leading to overestimation of πA and thus more conservative corrected FDR estimates. Accordingly, 135 decoy entries passing the filters together with the 5044 target proteins (decoy rate, 0.026) point to an estimated global protein level FDR of (135 × 0.147) / 5,044 = 0.0039, ≤ 0.4%.

**Differential Association Testing from SEC Data**

To detect proteins that significantly alter their quantitative SEC elution pattern we devised a protein-centric differential analysis pipeline that leverages all information on peptide level to identify proteins that shift elution behavior across distinct SEC ranges of elution (elution features). First, the global set of protein elution features apparent from the dataset were detected from an integrated set of peptide chromatograms (traces) using the SECprofiler framework, followed by statistical testing for differences based on the intensities observed in the different experimental replicates. Specifically, peptide traces were averaged within the conditions and then artificially combined into one summed set of 60,891 traces (function integrateTraceIntensities). Then, high confidence protein

---

**Data and Code AVAILABILITY**

The spectra were then processed into a spectral library using the tool Spectrast(5) with IRT calibration followed by the generation of a peptide query parameter library, essentially as described(6) and within the iPortal computing infrastructure(9). Query parameters were as follows: as query parameters for peptide precursors, the 6 highest intensity b or y fragment ions within m/z range 350–2000 were selected, allowing fragment charge states 1–2 and no mass gains or losses. The final library contains 111,267 precursors from 90,932 unique proteins mapping to 9603 protein groups that were subsequently targeted for quantification in the 390 60-min gradient SWATH-MS runs of the 390 SEC fractions. Given the strict rules employed for downstream quantification (quantifying only single, unique proteins with at least 2 unique, proteotypic peptides) the number of maximally detectable analytes as constrained by the query parameter library drops to 102,629 precursors of 83,863 peptides mapping to 5,916 unique proteins. 60 min DDA-MS data were data processed equivalently by spectrum-centric analysis to obtain spectral counts across chromatographic fractions as quantitative measure for technical comparisons (Data and intermediate processing results are available via ProteomeXchange, see section Data and Code AVAILABILITY below).
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**SEC-SWATH-MS Protein and Complex Feature Detection**

Precursor-level results from E1709051521_feature_alignment.tsv were imported into CCprofiler extended by the protein-centric differential analysis module. Upon import, precursor intensity signals (summed intensity of the 6 most-abundant fragment ion XIC peak areas) were summed per peptide (function: importFromOpenSWATH). Then, missing values flanked by at least two consecutive identifications were imputed by a spline fit (function: findMissingValues). Traces were scaled to the internal standard spike-in peptides of E. coli β-galactosidase (P00722, function: normalizeToStandard) followed by smoothing of total intensities according to a spline fit over total MS intensity sums (function: smootheTraces). The data were further filtered on chromatography-informed scores following final FDR estimation based on the simple target-decoy method. Specific filtering rules were, first, eliminating all values part of consecutive identification stretches below length three and, second, exclusion of peptides based on their quantitative fractionation pattern’s average dissimilarity to those of sibling peptides (originating from the same parent protein). Guided by the fraction of decoys remaining (target: 3% on unique protein identifier level), peptides with average sibling peptide correlation coefficient (spc) below [0.28 - 0.34] were removed, with cut-offs selected to achieve a decoy rate below 3% among the remaining protein entries. Subsequently, proteins were quantified by summing the top2 most-intense peptide signals. Protein intensity across the replicates was averaged and standard errors of the mean calculated. Cumulatively, 5044 proteins were characterized. The FDR on protein level was estimated based on the simple target-decoy method, with correction for the fraction of false protein targets (equivalent to the fraction of absent proteins, πA(7)). We estimate πA as the fraction of library-contained target proteins that were not detected with high confidence in the targeted analysis, i.e. πA = (5,916 – 5,044) / 5,916 = 0.147. This estimation is conservative because not all true positive proteins are recovered at high confidence, leading to overestimation of πA and thus more conservative corrected FDR estimates. Accordingly, 135 decoy entries passing the filters together with the 5044 target proteins (decoy rate, 0.026) point to an estimated global protein level FDR of (135 × 0.147) / 5,044 = 0.0039, ≤ 0.4%.

**Differential Association Testing from SEC Data**

To detect proteins that significantly alter their quantitative SEC elution pattern we devised a protein-centric differential analysis pipeline that leverages all information on peptide level to identify proteins that shift elution behavior across distinct SEC ranges of elution (elution features). First, the global set of protein elution features apparent from the dataset were detected from an integrated set of peptide chromatograms (traces) using the SECprofiler framework, followed by statistical testing for differences based on the intensities observed in the different experimental replicates. Specifically, peptide traces were averaged within the conditions and then artificially combined into one summed set of 60,891 traces (function integrateTraceIntensities). Then, high confidence protein
elution features were detected as signals of co-peakling peptide traces, grouping the traces by parent protein identifier and including decoys with randomized peptide-to-protein mapping (functions: findProteinFeatures, calculateCoelutionScore and calculateQvalue). At a q-value cut-off of 5%, 6,044 protein elution features were detected to define the ranges for differential abundance tests.

To detect differential SEC elution behavior, peptide abundances in the elution ranges were then tested for significant differences in abundance, using the functions extractFeatureVals and testDifferentialExpression and employing the paired t-test as statistical metric. All tests were based on the raw variability of the data, i.e. minimally processed data points (essentially, only scaled within conditions), to avoid biases introduced by data processing. Missing values were replaced by uniformly sampled intensities in the 5th percentile of quantified values. The test results were collected on protein level by deriving a fold-change adjusted median p-value from all peptide tests mapping to the respective parent proteins (Suomi and Elo, 2017). Using the function aggregatePeptideTests the protein level significance was calculated using a cumulative beta distribution parametrized on the number observed of peptides (Suomi and Elo, 2017). This resulted in protein p-values for 1–5 individual features per protein with separate scoring. To simplify visualization and reduce the results to one set of scores per protein, for each protein the lowest pBHadj and largest fold-change of which was selected for visualization in the screen hit volcano plot (see Figure 1D). The R package CCprofiler, extended by the protein-centric differential analysis module is available via GitHub (https://github.com/CCprofiler/CCprofiler/tree/helaCC).

**AP-MS Data Analysis**

Data-dependent AP-MS data were analyzed by spectrum-centric analysis matching spectra against the human reviewed SwissProt reference sequence database (build 2018-08-01) using three search engines (X!Tandem, Myrimatch and comet) and result integration via the trans-proteomic pipeline (TPP), applying FDR cut-offs of 1% at the peptide level (iprophet-pepFDR, iprobability score ≥ 0.6581) and protein level (ProteinProphet), executed within the iPortal framework (Kunszt et al., 2015). Searches allowed mass tolerances of 15 ppm and 0.4 Da on precursor and fragment ion level. Enzyme specificity was set to trypsin, allowing up to two missed cleavages. Carbamidomethyl was set as static modification, no variable modifications were considered.

**Protein Annotation Overrepresentation Testing**

Statistical over- and under- representation of protein annotations among the protein sets of interest was performed in the PANTHER classification system (Mi et al., 2019) (http://pantherdb.org/, Release 14.1) using the Statistical over representation test (Fisher’s exact test), testing against the background of all proteins covered by the SEC-SWATH-MS and CCprofiler differential analysis (n = 4,480, Figures 4, 5, S3A, and S3D). Overrepresentation testing among multi-complex proteins was performed using the David system (Huang et al., 2009) (https://david.ncifcrf.gov/, v6.8, Functional annotation chart tool with option FDR enabled and against the background of all 4,515 proteins with at least one SEC feature detected, Figure S3C).

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

The mass spectrometry proteomics raw data and processing results, including DDA search results, Spectral library and DIA query and alignment results have been deposited to the ProteomeXchange Consortium (http://proteomecentral.proteomexchange.org) via the PRIDE partner repository (Vizcaíno et al., 2013) with the dataset identifier PXD010288 (ProteomeXchange: PXD010288). The code/R package to perform differential association testing has been deposited to and is available via GitHub (https://github.com/CCprofiler/CCprofiler/tree/helaCC).

**ADDITIONAL RESOURCES**

The dataset in processed form is further available in easily browsable and searchable form via https://sec-explorer.shinyapps.io/hela_cellcycle/.