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ABSTRACT

Photoacoustic (PA) spectroscopy enjoys widespread applications across atmospheric sciences. However, experimental biases and limitations originating from environmental conditions and particle size distributions are not fully understood. Here, we combine single-particle photoacoustics with modulated Mie scattering to unravel the fundamental physical processes occurring during PA measurements on aerosols. We perform measurements on optically trapped droplets of varying sizes at different relative humidity. Our recently developed technique – photothermal single-particle spectroscopy (PSPS) – enables fundamental investigations of the interplay between the heat flux and mass flux from single aerosol particles. We find that the PA phase is more sensitive to water uptake by aerosol particles than the PA amplitude. We present results from a model of the PA phase, which sheds further light onto the dependence of the PA phase on the mass flux phenomena. The presented work provides fundamental insights into photoacoustic signal generation of aerosol particles.

1. Introduction

Photoacoustic (PA) spectroscopy is a highly sensitive light absorption technique that is used across a wide spectrum of scientific disciplines, such as biology [1,2], material sciences [3,4], medicine [5,6] and atmospheric sciences [7,8]. PA spectroscopy measures light absorption directly and hence is widely used for trace gas analysis [9–16] and aerosol absorption measurements [17–27]. Optical properties of aerosols, such as their absorption of sunlight, are of crucial importance for accurate global climate modelling due to the ubiquitous nature of aerosols in the atmosphere and their strong influence on the climate through a combination of direct and indirect effects [28–30].

Several field campaigns used PA spectroscopy to measure absorption of atmospheric aerosol ensembles [20,22–24,31]. Such in situ absorption studies are of a great importance for the vertical profiling of aerosol absorption, which is essential for the calculations of the radiative impact of aerosols [32]. However, there are several limitations and biases associated with PA aerosol measurements. For a better understanding of these limiting factors, fundamental studies are crucial in order to unravel the governing phenomena behind the PA signal generation from aerosols. One way to perform controlled experiments is to study single particles as opposed to ensembles. Experiments on single particles allow one to control the particle size, composition and environmental conditions (temperature and relative humidity) while avoiding the averaging effects present in ensemble measurements [33–38].

Recently, various single-particle studies have been carried out across different scientific fields in order to assess physical properties of aerosols under controlled conditions [38–44]. Optical traps are often used to isolate single aerosols as they afford a good spatial confinement, don’t induce any structural or composition changes to the particles, enable multiple measurements to be performed simultaneously in custom-built cells at ambient conditions and can be used for trapping differently sized particles [45]. In our previous work, we developed the first single-particle PA spectrometer [46], with which we were able to experimentally observe nanofocusing of light inside a trapped particle [46], measure the theoretically predicted size-dependent damping of the PA signal [47,48] and, in our most recent work, retrieve the mass accommodation coefficient of water on miscible organic aerosols at different particle temperatures and concentrations [49].

Environmental conditions, such as relative humidity, influence the optical properties of atmospheric aerosols and hence their impact on the climate. For example, in the areas of high relative humidity (RH), an uptake of water by aerosols affects their scattering and absorption properties [50–52]. Ensemble PA spectroscopy has been used in the past to investigate the RH dependence of aerosols’ absorption, however...
The results of these studies remained inconsistent. Some studies suggested that the PA signal decreased with increasing RH [31,53,54], while a different study observed an increase in the PA signal with increasing RH [55]. These RH-trends originate either from a change in the absorptive properties of aerosols at elevated RH or from an experimental bias that influences the PA signal generation at elevated RH. In order to shed light onto the fundamental processes governing the RH dependence of the PA signal, we decided to perform single-particle PA measurements at varying RH [56]. In this previous work, we found evidence suggesting that the RH-dependence of the PA amplitude (PAA) was a function of particle size – an effect we attributed to the interplay between the heat flux and mass flux contributions to the overall PA signal.

The PA signal provides two measurable quantities – the PAA and the PA phase (PAP) – both of which carry unique information about the system under investigation. The PAP is used across disciplines, for example to measure tissue elasticity [57], to improve biomedical imaging techniques [58], to study ripening of papayas [2] or to perform depth-resolved analysis [59]. In atmospheric sciences, contaminants can be identified using the PAP [9] while high-sensitivity aerosol absorption measurements also require the evaluation of the PAP component [17]. In a recent laboratory study [49], we have employed the PAP to determine the mass accommodation coefficient of water on organic aerosol particles.

The inherent physical processes accompanying any PA measurement of liquid aerosols include an oscillatory size change of the particle occurring at the modulation frequency of the excitation laser. This size change is a result of coupled effects of heat expansion and mass flux. The requisite dependence of elastic light scattering on the size of spherical particles (Mie scattering) allows for the experimental retrieval of such size changes accompanying the PA signal generation process [56]. Such photothermal tuning of Mie scattered light dates back to the 1980s [60-63], when it was used to perform the first ever single particle light absorption measurement [64]. The so-called modulated Mie scattering (MMS) shows a very high sensitivity to even sub-nanometre size changes so that Arnold et al. were able to retrieve particle size changes of 0.1 nm [65]. More recently, a fully reversible 40 nm size change of salt droplets was induced by photothermal tuning [66]. Such sensitive scattering technique proved invaluable for our purpose to unravel the various phenomena that contribute to the PA signal generation.

In the present work, we employ our recently developed technique [49] – photothermal single-particle spectroscopy (PSPS) – that combines simultaneous single-particle photoacoustic and scattering measurements in order to investigate fundamental physical processes inherent to any PA measurement of aerosols. The underlying phenomena that describes the PSPS technique is an oscillatory temperature change of the particle induced by the intensity modulated excitation laser. Such oscillatory temperature change results in a photoacoustic effect as well as in a periodic perturbation of the scattering profile of the particle. The experimental data set presented has been used previously to retrieve the mass accommodation coefficient of water on mixed organic-water droplets [49], and for the analysis of the RH dependence of the PAA [56]. Here, we focus our analysis of the PA signal generation on its PAP component. We analyse the dependence of PAP and MMS on the RH, and we use the MMS data recorded at different relative humidities and for different particle sizes to infer the changes of droplet size and water concentration of the particle during one PA cycle. Using the MMS as an independent probe, we further analyse and discuss the PA signal contributions arising from the heat and mass flux and from the volume change of the particle itself. We support our measurements with a thorough analysis of simulations which show good agreement with the experiment.
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the PA measurement (~ 20 min) [49,56]. The gradual shrinking of the particle allows us to access a wide range of particle sizes (from 3.5 to
0.7 μm in radius) in a single experiment. Note that during a PA cycle (250 μs) only water evaporates significantly, while TEG evaporation
can be neglected on this fast time scale as its vapour pressure is seven orders of magnitude smaller than that of water. Over 390 measure-
ments were performed at different initial particle sizes and over a wide range of relative humidities from 11% to 93%. At the end of each
measurement, the droplet was removed from the trap, and a PA back-
ground measurement was acquired. The complex PA background was
subtracted from the PA signal as the background signal was found to
have a constant phase (further details in the Supporting Information of
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At the maxima of the Mie resonances in the TTAOS signal (Fig. 2, blue trace), the MMS\textsubscript{A} signal (red trace) is characterised by very sharp
minima, whose position is limited by the resolution of the size de-
termination of a few nm. Therefore, it would not be useful to average MMS\textsubscript{A} traces from different measurements. For the same reason the
direct fit of the full traces to the model would not yield satisfactory results. To avoid these issues, we only consider the maxima of the
MMS\textsubscript{A} traces in the statistical averaging and in the subsequent fit of the MMS\textsubscript{A} data. The maxima are determined separately for each measure-
ment with their amplitude normalised to the corresponding value of the
TTAOS signal. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the MMS\textsubscript{B} (orange trace) un-
dergoes a shift of 180° across the Mie resonances (see Fig. 2 blue) re-
flecting the change of sign in the slope of the TTAOS signal. To account
for this in our analysis, 180° was added to all negative MMS\textsubscript{B} values. For additional information see section S1 in the Supplementary Material.

2.3. Model

The model for the PA signal generation presented here is adapted from the work of Murphy [69] and Raspet et al. [70]. Details can be
found in Refs. [49,56]. According to this model, the modulated PA laser introduces a small uniform oscillation of the particle temperature ΔT:

\begin{equation}
\Delta T = \frac{I_0 C_{abs}}{4\pi\kappa \beta \gamma \left( |1 + i m| - |\omega| \right)}
\end{equation}

where \( I_0 \) is the incident light intensity, \( C_{abs} \) is the absorption cross
section of the particle, \( r \) is the particle radius averaged over one PA cycle, \( K \) is the heat conductivity of the surrounding gas, \( \beta_T \) is the heat transition flow correction factor, \( f_\text{m} \) is the ratio of the energies dissipated through heat flux to heat flux, \( \omega \) is the angular frequency of the PA laser and \( t \) is the thermal response time expressed as:

\[
\tau = \frac{r^2 \rho \rho}{3K_T}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the density of the particle and \( \rho_\text{e} \) is the specific heat capacity of the particle. \( \Delta T \) directly yields the corresponding amplitudes of the heat flux (\( \Delta Q \)) and mass flux (\( \Delta M \)) oscillations. Both contribute to the formation of a pressure wave in the surrounding gas phase, adjacent to the particles surface, whose amplitude is proportional to the PA signal \( S \):

\[
S = \frac{R}{p} \left( \frac{\Delta Q}{M_\text{s} \rho_\text{e}} + \frac{\Delta M}{M_\text{w}} \right)
\]

where \( R \) is the universal gas constant, \( p \) is the ambient pressure (1 atm.), \( M_\text{s} \) is the molar mass of the surrounding gas, \( \rho_\text{e} \) is the heat capacity of the gas, \( M_\text{w} \) is the molar mass of water and \( T_\text{w} \) is the ambient temperature (293.65 K). The very small contribution of the oscillations of the particle’s volume are neglected here (see Section 3.3). The contribution from the heat flux to the PAA signal is defined as:

\[
HF = \frac{R \Delta Q}{pM_\text{s} \rho_\text{e}}
\]

while the mass flux contribution to the PAA signal is:

\[
MF = \frac{R \Delta M}{pM_\text{w}}
\]

The PA signal is a complex quantity with amplitude \( |S| \) and phase \( \theta(S) \):

\[
\theta(S) = \arg \left( 1 + \frac{1}{1 + f_\text{m} - i\omega \tau} \right)
\]

where \( \arg(y) \) retrieves the argument of a complex number \( y \). Through \( \Delta M \) and \( f_\text{m} \) [49,56] both the PAA and PAP depend on the mass accommodation coefficient \( (\alpha_M) \), which represents the probability of a gas phase molecule of the volatile particle component (in this case water) to stick to the surface of an aerosol particle upon collision. From the mass flux component, we obtain the amplitude of the oscillation of the water content in the particle, \( \Delta n \):

\[
\Delta n = \frac{\Delta M}{M_\text{w}} = \frac{4\pi \rho_\text{e} \rho_\text{v} \Delta T}{RT_\text{w} \Delta T} \left( \frac{ML_\text{h}}{RT_\text{w}} - 1 \right) \Delta T \Delta t
\]

where \( D \) is the diffusion constant of water in air, \( \beta_M \) is the mass transition flow correction factor, \( \rho_\text{v} \) is the water vapour pressure, \( T \) is the particle temperature averaged over one PA cycle, \( L \) is the latent heat of water, \( \Delta T \) is one quarter of the 4 kHz PA period. The mass transition flow correction factor is defined as:

\[
\beta_M = \frac{1 + Kn_M}{1 + \left( \frac{1}{302} + 0.377 \right) Kn_M + \left( \frac{1}{302} (Kn_M) \right)^2}
\]

where \( Kn_M \) is the Knudsen number calculated as:

\[
Kn_M = \frac{\lambda_0}{r}
\]

where \( \lambda_0 \) is the mean free path of nitrogen at ambient conditions (102 nm).

The change of particle mass is crucial for modelling of the MMSA. The change of mass, particle composition and the heat expansion were considered when calculating the variation in the total Mie scattering. The MMSA \( \frac{\Delta M}{M} \) is related to the change in the particle TTAOS signal divided by the average TTAOS signal (TTAOS):

\[
MMSA = \frac{\left| \text{TTAOS}_1 - \text{TTAOS}_2 \right|}{\text{TTAOS}}
\]

The TTAOS signal is modelled using Mie theory using the particle size \( r \) and complex refractive index \( N \). To calculate the size evolution during a PA cycle, one must take into consideration the density of the particle at its average temperature and water concentration, \( \rho(T, C) \), the mass change caused by the evaporation/condensation of water (from \( T \) to \( T \)) as well as the density at its current temperature and concentration, \( \rho(T, C) \):

\[
r = \left( \frac{3}{4\pi} \frac{4\pi \rho_3^3}{3 - \frac{\Delta T \rho(T, C)}{\Delta m \rho(T, \text{mass change})}} \right)^{1/3}
\]

To calculate the complex refractive index of the particle \( N \), we used the Lorentz-Lorenz approximation as it was shown to be a good approximation for a similar system [71]:

\[
N^2 - 1 = \frac{V_\text{w} N_\text{w}^2 - 1}{V} + \frac{V_\text{TEG} N_\text{TEG}^2 - 1}{V}
\]

where \( N_\text{w} \) and \( N_\text{TEG} \) are the complex refractive index at \( T \) of water and TEG respectively, \( V \) is the volume of the particle, \( V_\text{w} \) and \( V_\text{TEG} \) are the volume of water and TEG inside the particle respectively.

The water concentration \( C \) (in % mass) is RH and droplet size dependent (Fig. 3). For all droplet sizes, the water concentration increases with increasing RH, with the increase being the most pronounced at 85% and 93% RH. The water concentration changes with the particle size due to a change in particle average temperature \( T \), which is higher for larger particle sizes. This is because of larger absorption cross sections for the PA laser at larger particle sizes [49,56]. Fig. 3 illustrates the resulting decrease of water concentration as a function of particle size at all RHs.

3. Results

Fig. 4 shows the experimental PAA and PAP signals that were collected from single optically-trapped TEG-water droplets of different sizes at 29 different relative humidities [49]. The individual curves shown are averages of multiple single-particle measurements at a given RH. Standard deviations were calculated for all averages, but for clarity only average error bars are shown in the plots. The PA signal was modelled as described in Section 2.3. We focus on the analysis of the PAP dependence on RH and droplet size (Section 3.1), as the dependence of PAA on RH and droplet size have been analysed previously [56]. Concomitant MMS measurements performed alongside the PA measurements provide further insight into fundamental physical processes during the PA cycle. The raw MMS data is presented in the
from MMS [49], which served as an independent probe of our system. In Supplementary Material (Section S2) we present the results of this novel analysis and we find that the PAA can be reduced by up to 10–20% due to the difference in phase between the heat and mass flux, which shows the importance of taking this phase difference into account in the analysis of the PAA.

The corresponding experimental data of the PAP are shown in Fig. 4, bottom. The PAP originates from the finite time delay between the energy absorption and its dissipation into the surrounding air. In the size range considered, the PAP increases with increasing particle radius at all RHs, because larger particles have a higher thermal response time ($\tau$), which results in a slower energy dissipation into the surrounding air and hence in a higher phase delay (Eq. (6)). In the experimentally accessed size range (0.7–3.5 $\mu$m), the PAP signal shows an inverse dependence on the RH, i.e. a higher RH speeds up the transfer of energy from the droplet to the surrounding air. The water concentration within a particle increases with increasing RH (Fig. 3) enhancing the energy exchange between the particle and the surrounding air through water evaporation and condensation. As the ratio of the energies dissipated through mass flux to heat flux ($f_M$) increases, the PA phase delay becomes smaller (see Eq. (6)).

Overall, the PAP proves to be more sensitive to the RH than the PAA. This more pronounced dependence on the mass flux makes the PAP a more sensitive probe for the kinetics of water evaporation and condensation from aerosols. A noteworthy difference between the PAA and PAP data is that the PAP shows a smooth dependence on RH for all particle sizes measured without the reversal of this trend. This highlights the complimentary nature of the two measured quantities and their individual dependencies on droplet size and RH. It is important to note that all PA data acquisitions were performed at 4 kHz. Measurements done on the PA background signal showed that the resonant frequency of the acoustic resonator is 4.068 kHz at low relative humidity (11%) and shifts to 4.064 kHz for high RH (99%). This shift of the frequency with RH alters the PA values measured, however to a minimal extent, well within the experimental error bars. This was confirmed by performing RH-dependent measurements on the background PA signal at 4 kHz and at the actual eigenfrequency of the resonator (not shown).

We have performed simulations of the PA signal as described above, to gain further insight into the dependence of the PAP on droplet radius and RH. The simulations for mixed TEG-water droplets cover the same droplet sizes, RH values, and droplet compositions as our experiments and were performed at the same ambient temperature (Fig. 4). The results for the PAP simulations shown in Fig. 5 describe four different scenarios for the mass flux with different values of the mass accommodation coefficient $\alpha_f$ (Section 2.3). The top left panel of Fig. 5 shows the calculated PAP using the mass accommodation coefficients that were previously retrieved from a fit to the experimental data [49]. In this scenario, $\alpha_{fd}$ depends on particle average temperature, which changes with particle radius, but is independent of the water concentration within the particle with values covering the range $\alpha_{fd}$ from 0.03 to 0.001. The PAP in the top right panel of Fig. 5 was calculated under the assumption that no mass flux takes place (no influence of $\alpha_f$).

The bottom panels show the calculated PAP for constant values of $\alpha_{fd} = 0.001$ on the left and for $\alpha_{fd} = 0.01$ on the right, bracketing the range of the experimental $\alpha_f$.

It is instructive to start with a discussion of the “no mass flux” simulation (Fig. 5, top right), as this represents the simplest scenario considered. These simulations neglect evaporation and condensation but are otherwise identical to those shown in the top left panel. The comparison helps to disentangle the individual effects of the heat and mass flux on the PAP. Throughout the entire size range (0–4 $\mu$m), we observe an increasing PAP with increasing RH, exactly opposite to the trend obtained in the top left panel of Fig. 5 that is in agreement with the one measured experimentally (Fig. 4, bottom). Without mass flux ($f_M = 0$ in Eq. (6)), the dependence of the PAP on the RH is dictated by

![Fig. 4. Experimental PAA (top) and PAP (bottom) as a function of droplet average radius $\bar{r}$ and relative humidity RH [56] for mixed TEG-water droplets. The data shown are averages of single-particle measurements. For clarity purposes, only average error bars are shown. Note that our PA set-up does not yield absolute values for the PAA and PAP (see text).](image-url)
that of thermal response time $\tau$. As the RH increases, so does the water concentration within the particle and as a consequence the heat capacity of the particle increases as well. A higher heat capacity slows down the thermal response of the particle, which results in a large phase delay. From this we can conclude that the RH trends observed experimentally are dominated by the mass flux.

When the mass flux using the $\alpha_m$ from the experiment is considered in the simulations (Fig. 5, top left), the experimental trends of the PAP with relative humidity (Fig. 4, bottom) are indeed well represented by the simulations. These simulations can obviously not be extended beyond the experimentally observed RH and droplet size range for lack of $\alpha_m$ values. In the two bottom traces in Fig. 5, we have instead simulated the PAP assuming constant $\alpha_m$ values. They show a distinct feature, namely a crossing of the individual RH curves. The exact particle size where this crossing occurs, depends on the value of the mass accommodation coefficient. For $\alpha_m = 0.001$ (Fig. 4, bottom left), the crossing appears at $\sim 1.2 \mu m$. Particles above that size show the same trend as the experimental data, namely a decrease of the PAP with increasing RH. Below the crossing point around $1.2 \mu m$, the trend switches to that obtained in the “no mass flux” scenario, i.e. an increase of the PAP with increasing RH. Increasing the value of the mass accommodation coefficient to 0.01 (Fig. 4, bottom right), shifts the crossing point of the simulated PAP traces to smaller particle sizes ($\sim 0.5 \mu m$). The mass flux apparently becomes significant already at smaller particle sizes. This is to be expected since the mass flux contribution to the PA signal depends on the value of $\alpha_m$. The higher value of $\alpha_m$ in the bottom right panel compared to the bottom left panel also results in a higher sensitivity of the PAP to RH, which is reflected in a bigger spread of the individual PAP curves with RH. We also note that neither the experimental PAP data (Fig. 4, bottom) nor the corresponding simulations (Fig. 5, top left) show any crossing. The simulations for constant $\alpha_m$ (Fig. 5, bottom) indicate that such a crossing might be present in the experiment at droplet sizes smaller than those accessed experimentally. The simulation for $\alpha_m = 0.01$, for example, predicts a crossing at a particle radius of $\sim 0.5 \mu m$, below the size range of our experiments.

The above results also demonstrate that crossings in the PAA do not necessarily occur at the same droplets radius as crossings in the PAP. In the experimental PAA data (Fig. 4, top), the crossing region is clearly visible in the size range of 2.1–2.6 $\mu m$, with no crossing in the PAP at the same size (bottom panel). Such different behaviour of the PAA and PAP could be exploited to optimise the information content when performing PA measurements. Combined PAA and PAP measurements can, for example, be used to retrieve the values of the mass accommodation coefficient [49]. The crossing regions are attractive regions to avoid strong RH biases because the RH dependence is minimal in those regions. This might be important for PA measurements at varying RH, for example during atmospheric measurements.

### 3.2. Temperature, radius and concentration change during a PA cycle

During a PA cycle, the droplet experiences cyclic perturbations of its temperature, radius, water concentration, and refractive index (as shown in Fig. 1). The MMS measurements (Supplementary Material Section S1) are highly sensitive to droplet size and refractive index changes, and hence can be used to experimentally access these perturbations of the particle equilibrium state. Thereby we were able to identify all key processes which have to be considered in the MMS$_A$ model for a realistic description of the MMS$_A$ experimental data, i.e. temperature-dependent concentration, temperature- and concentration-dependent density, temperature- and concentration-dependent refractive index and mass flux impact on concentration and droplet volume change. Once these key processes were implemented in the model, a good fit of the experimental MMS$_A$ data to the calculated MMS$_A$ data was obtained. From these fits we are now in a position to retrieve experimental values for the amplitudes of oscillation (Fig. 1) in droplet temperature ($\Delta T$), size ($\Delta r$) and composition (water concentration $\Delta C$), based on the model described in Section 2.3 and using the values of $\alpha_m$ from our previous work [49]. To keep the sign information, $\Delta r$ and $\Delta C$ are presented as a difference between the elevated temperature and the average temperature (Fig. 1):

$$\Delta r = r_e - r$$

$$\Delta C = C_e - C$$

Fig. 6 shows the results as a function of droplet size and RH. A
negative sign for Δr or ΔC indicates that the droplet size or water concentration of the particle at the elevated temperature T1 is lower than that at the average temperature T.

The amplitude of the temperature oscillation ΔT (Fig. 6 left) represents the maximum perturbation of the average droplet temperature T due to the absorption of the modulated PA laser light. For all droplet sizes, we observe an inverse dependence of ΔT on RH, as a result of two combined effects: (i) higher RH corresponds to higher water concentrations in the droplet, which decreases the absorption cross section of the droplet because water is essentially non-absorbing at the PA laser wavelength of 9.47 μm (see Eq. (1)); (ii) the mass flux, which is more pronounced at higher RH, enhances the heat dissipation, which in turn reduces ΔT. The radial dependence of ΔT at constant RH can also be understood by looking at Eq. (1). The observed increase of ΔT as a function of droplet radius for radii up to ~1.5-2 μm is caused by the increase in the absorption cross section Cabs with droplet size. However, for even larger droplets, this increase in Cabs is offset by the faster increase of the denominator in Eq. (1), which is not only proportional to r, but also increases with r, which in turn increases with the square of the droplet’s radius. In total, this leads to a decrease of ΔT for droplets larger than ~1.5-2 μm.

The right panel in Fig. 6 shows that the maximum amplitude of size oscillations Δr lie in the sub-nanometre to nanometre range. Such small changes in particle radius could only be detected because of the high sensitivity of the MMS measurements to the droplet size. The changes in the droplet size during a PA cycle arise from two competing effects: mass flux and heat expansion. At higher temperatures, evaporation of water (mass flux) from the particle’s surface results in a decrease of the particle’s volume (Δv) while heat expansion causes an increase of the particle radius. Since most of the experimental values for Δr are positive (particle size increases with temperature), we can infer for our system that size oscillations are dominated by the heat expansion effect for most particle sizes and RHs. For all droplet sizes, Δr decreases with increasing RH as the increased contribution of the mass flux, and hence increasing water evaporation, effectively cancels out some of the heat expansion. We can also observe (predominantly at high RH and small particle sizes) negative Δr values, which indicates that the mass flux becomes the prevalent effect here. Overall, Δr increases with particle size for all particles, indicating a growing contribution from heat expansion. For particles bigger than ~2 μm, ΔT starts to decrease slightly with increasing radius, while Δr keeps increasing, although less steeply. At first sight, one should expect a decrease of ΔT to translate into a lower Δr, because the heat expansion is reduced. The fact that Δr still increases indicates that the balance between the counteracting contributions of heat expansion and mass flux to the size oscillations favours the former over the latter once ΔT starts to level off and even decrease for the larger particles. This reflects the different temperature dependence of the two contributions: while the heat expansion decreases linearly with ΔT, the mass flux contribution, whose temperature dependence is governed by the vapour pressure of water, decreases exponentially.

In the course of the PA cycle, the mass flux also alters the particle composition (Eq. (7)). Fig. 6 middle displays the amplitude ΔC of the corresponding oscillation in the water concentration, which is out of phase with the temperature oscillation. Water evaporation is more pronounced at higher RH where the mass flux is more important. For all RHs, we observe an increase of ΔC with increasing droplet size for droplets smaller than ~1.2 μm. This can be related to an increased evaporation originating from a strong increase in ΔT. For droplets bigger than ~1.2 μm, ΔC decreases with increasing droplet size for all relative humidities. As described in Ref. [49], αm of water on TEG surfaces decreases with increasing droplet temperature and thus with increasing droplet radius. This, accompanied by a decrease of ΔT for larger droplets, leads to a decrease in ΔC with increasing droplet size.

The analysis presented above highlights the complementarity of the MMS to the PA measurements. The combined PSPS method is thus a powerful tool for measuring close-to-equilibrium changes of the physical properties of aerosols. Retrieving ΔT, Δr and ΔC allows us to evaluate the corresponding contributions to the PA signal that arise from the heat flux, mass flux and from the change of the droplet volume.

3.3. Contributions to the photoacoustic signal

The PA signal is created through three physical processes – heat flux, mass flux and volume change of the droplet itself. As mentioned previously, the PA laser induces periodic size oscillations at 4 kHz that can be experimentally accessed using MMS (Fig. 6). These size oscillations create a pressure wave in the surrounding air because of the corresponding volume change of the aerosol droplet. This volume change contributes to the PA signal alongside the heat and mass flux. The retrieval of the experimental size change from the MMS measurements allows us now to quantify the volume change contribution to the PA signal.

Fig. 7 shows the various contributions to the PAA as a function of particle size and relative humidity. In these simulations we used the radius dependent average mass accommodation coefficient that changes with particle temperature but is constant throughout all concentrations that we retrieved in our previous work [49]. As the absorption cross section increases with particle radius, so does the heat flux contribution to the PAA (see Eq. (4) and Fig. 7 left). Increasing RH, by contrast, reduces the heat flux contribution as a result of the smaller averaged absorption cross section of wet particles. At larger particle radii the heat flux contribution starts to level off as a consequence of the limiting heat dissipation into the surrounding air [47] that was already evident in the size dependence of ΔT (Fig. 6 left). The mass flux
contribution (see Eq. (5)) also increases with increasing particle size (Fig. 7 middle), in line with the $\Delta T$ increase and levels off above $\sim 1.6 \, \mu m$, when $\Delta T$ starts to decrease (see above). We also observe that the mass flux contribution increases with increasing RH in line with the higher water content of the particles (see Fig. 3). This behaviour is correlated to that of $AC$. The particle volume change contribution (Fig. 7 right) shows an increase for most particle sizes, which directly follows from the behaviour of $\Delta V$ (Fig. 6 right). The mass flux is more pronounced at high RH and hence competes more efficiently with the heat expansion and as a result, the volume change of a particle decreases with increasing RH. For particles of $1.5 \, \mu m$ in radius we even observe negative values of $\Delta V$ (i.e. out of phase with $\Delta T$) at elevated RH. Under these conditions, the mass flux, rather than heat expansion, governs the volume change of the particle.

The numbers in Fig. 7 illustrate that the heat flux (HF) and mass flux (MF) contributions to the PAA are about $\sim 1000$ times higher than the contribution from the droplet volume change. The latter is thus insignificant. Overall, the heat flux represents the largest contribution and hence governs the size-dependence of the PAA measured. However, the PAA trends with RH for larger particles are dictated by the mass flux trend with RH. This is because the increase in the mass flux contribution with RH for large particles overcomes the observed decrease in the heat flux contribution to the PA signal. Furthermore, it is important to note that the heat and mass flux experience a phase shift from one another (shown in Supplementary Material Section S2), which impacts the measured PAA signal. This phase shift is radius and RH-dependent and varies between $0$ and $180^\circ$, decreasing the PAA signal by $\sim 10\%$. It is hence important to take this into account when comparing the experimental data with theory.

**Fig. 7.** Calculated PAA contributions from heat $Q$ (left), mass flux $I$ (middle) and particle volume change $\Delta V$ (right) plotted against average droplet radius $r$ at varying relative humidity RH. For the definitions of HF and MF see Eqs. (4) and (5) respectively.

4. Conclusion

We have presented complimentary photoacoustic (PA) and elastic light scattering studies (modulated Mie scattering, MMS) on single optically trapped aerosol droplets. We have analysed the dependencies of the PA signal on the particle size and the relative humidity, which have long been discussed in the literature. Building on our previous work [56,49] we have analysed the PA phase alongside the PA amplitude, using the MMS as an independent probe of the PA process occurring on aerosol particles. The combination of the PA measurements with MMS measurements provides more detailed insight into the heat and mass flux contributions to the PA signal and into particle size and concentration changes during PA measurements.

In the droplet size range considered, the PA phase shows higher sensitivity to the mass flux than the PA amplitude, making the PA phase a more suitable tool for studies of water transport to and from aerosol particles. Detailed simulations further reveal the influence of the mass flux and the mass accommodation coefficient on the RH-dependence of the PA phase. In the experimentally accessed droplet size range (0.7–3.5 $\mu m$ in radius), the PA phase shows a strong decrease with increasing RH. The simulations indicate that this trend could be reversed for droplet sizes below the range accessed experimentally. At constant droplet size, the dependence of the PA amplitude and phase on the relative humidity differ, which provides useful additional information in absorption studies.

Exploiting MMS as an independent probe of the PA signal generation process, we have demonstrated that it is possible to retrieve attolitre volume changes and subnanometre to nanometre size changes of particles. This enabled us to experimentally quantify the individual contributions of heat flux, mass flux and particle volume change to the PA signal emanating from a single trapped particle. We found heat and mass flux contributions to exceed the direct contribution from particle volume change by about a thousand times, so that the latter can be safely neglected. We used tetraethylene glycol as a proxy for organic aerosols that are ubiquitous in the atmosphere. The findings presented in this work represent general trends for such miscible organic aerosols.
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