ETH zürich

A phase-field approach to studying the temperature-dependent ferroelectric response of bulk polycrystalline PZT

Journal Article

Author(s): Indergand, Roman; Vidyasagar, A.; Nadkarni, Neel; <u>Kochmann, Dennis M.</u>

Publication date: 2020-11

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000429734

Rights / license: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

Originally published in: Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids 144, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2020.104098</u> Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jmps

A phase-field approach to studying the temperature-dependent ferroelectric response of bulk polycrystalline PZT



Roman Indergand^a, A. Vidyasagar^b, Neel Nadkarni^c, Dennis M. Kochmann^{a,*}

^a Mechanics & Materials Lab, Department of Mechanical and Process Engineering, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland ^b Graduate Aerospace Laboratories, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA ^c Department of Chemical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 March 2020 Revised 16 June 2020 Accepted 20 July 2020 Available online 22 July 2020

Keywords: Ferroelectricity Temperature Polycrystal Phase-field model Homogenization

ABSTRACT

Ferroelectric ceramics are of interest for engineering applications because of their electromechanical coupling and the unique ability to permanently alter their atomic-level dipole structure (i.e., their polarization) and to induce large-strain actuation through applied electric fields. Although the underlying multiscale coupling mechanisms have been investigated by modeling strategies reaching from the atomic level across the polycrystalline mesoscale to the macroscopic device level, most prior work has neglected the important influence of temperature on the ferroelectric behavior. Here, we present a phase-field (diffuse-interface) constitutive model for ferroelectric ceramics, which is extended to account for the effects of finite temperature by considering thermal lattice vibrations based on statistical mechanics and by modifying the underlying Landau-Devonshire potential to depend on temperature. Results indicate that the chosen interpolation of the Landau energy coefficients is a suitable approach for predicting the temperature-dependent spontaneous polarization accurately over a broad temperature range. Lowering the energy barrier at finite temperature by the aforementioned methods also leads to better agreement with measurements of the bipolar hysteresis. Based on a numerical implementation via FFT spectral homogenization, we present simulation results of single- and polycrystals, which highlight the effect of temperature on the ferroelectric switching kinetics. We observe that thermal fluctuations (at the phase-field level realized by a thermalized stochastic noise term in the Allen-Cahn evolution equation) promote the nucleation of needle-like domains in regions of high heterogeneity or stress concentration such as grain boundaries. This, in turn, leads to a faster polarization reversal at low electric fields and a simulated domain pattern evolution comparable to experimental observations, stemming from the competition between nucleation and growth of domains. We discuss the development, implementation, validation, and application of the temperature-dependent phase-field framework for ferroelectric ceramics with a focus on tetragonal lead zirconate titanate (PZT), which we demonstrate to admit reasonable model predictions and comparison with experiments.

> © 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

* Corresponding author. E-mail address: dmk@ethz.ch (D.M. Kochmann).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmps.2020.104098

0022-5096/© 2020 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Ferroelectric ceramics are among the most promising active, multi-functional materials. They demonstrate a variety of physical effects such as piezoelectricity (Curie and Curie, 1880), pyroelectricity (Brewster, 1824), electrocaloric (Olsen et al., 1985), electrooptic, and catalytic effects (Parravano, 1952), which have been studied and utilized in sensors, actuators, or micro- and nano-electro-mechanical systems. Their applications extend across aerospace, medicine, communication, auto-motive and military industries (Park et al., 2016; Uchino, 2009).

A key property of ferroelectric ceramics is the coupling of electric and mechanical fields. While primarily used in the linear regime (Taylor, 1985; Yang, 2006), ferroelectric ceramics under sufficiently large electric or mechanical loading enter a nonlinear regime, where a remnant polarization remains after the load is removed (Bhattacharya and Ravichandran, 2003; Chaplya and Carman, 2001). Such permanent changes in the atomic level dipole-structure offer avenues to adjust material properties (le Graverend et al., 2015), induce significant shape changes (Burcsu et al., 2004), or store information (Buck, 1952).

Since the discovery of ferroelectricity in 1921 (Valasek, 1921), numerous ferroelectric materials have been found, among which the family of perovskite oxides is the most technically relevant. At the Curie temperature, the crystallographic structure of perovskites exhibits a phase transition from a high-symmetry, cubic lattice to a lower-symmetry, tetrahedral or rhombohedral phase (Jaffe et al., 1971; Jona et al., 1957; Shirane and Hoshino, 1954). As a result, individual ions shift from their centrosymmetric positions, which leads to spontaneous polarization and spontaneous strains below the Curie temperature (Lines and Glass, 2001). Above the Curie temperature, crystals are centrosymmetric, hence the electric dipole vanishes in the absence of an applied electric field – a quality of the material referred to as paraelectricity. Below the Curie point, the atomic-level polarization of perovskite oxides is electrically alterable, and many are also mechanically alterable (Chaplya and Carman, 2001) – these properties are referred to as ferroelectricity and ferroelasticity, respectively. In this regime, any microstructural rearrangement is accommodated by the nucleation and growth of an intricate network of ferroelectric domains, involving (for reasons of compatibility) primarily 90°- and 180°-domain walls, whose temperature-dependent kinetics have remained a challenge to model.

Early studies (Abe, 1959; Drougard, 1960; Miller and Weinreich, 1960) suggested that the motion of a 180°-domain wall in a defect-free single crystal is driven by the nucleation and growth of triangular shaped domains in a staggered manner. Although such nucleation-driven domain wall motion explains certain experimental observations, it unfortunately fails to predict the required activation fields for nucleation (Paruch et al., 2006; Tybell et al., 2002) and the absolute wall velocity. To fill this gap, Hayashi (1972) proposed an analytical model to account for the kinetics of domain wall motion based on the theory of absolute reaction rates, whereas Logé and Suo (1996) described ferroelectric domain wall motion as a non-equilibrium thermodynamic process, deriving a kinetic model based on variational principles. While the quasistatic material response of idealized ferroelectric ceramics is generally well understood and captured by such models, the complex microstructural mechanisms in real materials – from oxygen vacancies on the atomic level to grain boundary (GB) mechanisms on the polycrystalline mesoscale to boundary conditions on the macroscopic device level – and their influence on the switching kinetics is far less established. As an example, consider the intricate effect of stress concentrations near defects, cracks, and GBs that promote switching and interfere with domain wall motion (Lambeck and Jonker, 1986; Marincel et al., 2015; Rodriguez et al., 2008).

Another open challenge is the rate- and temperature-dependent kinetics of ferroelectric switching (Arlt and Dederichs, 1980; Merz, 1956; Schultheiß et al., 2018; Wojnar et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2001), which emerges on the atomic level but is strongly influenced by the mesoscale defect network through its impact on domain wall motion and nucleation. This broad range of length and time scales involved presents a challenge for both experimental observation and computational modeling. For better accessibility using TEM imaging, experimental research has focused on ferroelectric switching in thin films (Chen, 2008; Lohse et al., 2001; Tagantsev et al., 2002). The thus observed response, however, does not necessarily capture the behavior of bulk ferroelectrics, since it involves both material and structural effects. Recent experiments by Schultheiß et al. (2018) studied bulk PZT using a fast high-voltage switch setup; the step response of polarization and strain was measured, providing insight into fast-switching kinetics and demonstrating not only clear rate dependence but also a dependence on grain size and texture.

The influence of temperature on the ferroelastic and ferroelectric material response has been assessed experimentally. For the ferroelectric case, the temperature dependence of the piezoelectric and dielectric coefficients of PZT has been measured by electric cycling from room temperature to (or close to) the athermal limit (Zhang et al., 1994). Hooker (1998) performed high-temperature experiments reaching up to 500 K. More recent measurements with co-doped soft PZT covered an even broader temperature range that approached the Curie temperature (Kaeswurm et al., 2018). The influence of temperature on the ferroelastic material properties has been measured in uniaxial compression experiments, e.g., by Ji and Kim (2013); Kaeswurm et al. (2018); Marsilius et al. (2010); Webber et al. (2009).

When modeling ferroelectric ceramics, three approaches are popular: phenomenological macroscale models, sharpinterface models, and diffuse-interface phase-field models; see, e.g., Vidyasagar et al. (2017) for a discussion and examples. Since we are interested in domain evolution at the mesoscale, we here follow the phase-field approach of Zhang and Bhattacharya (2005) and Su and Landis (2007), who first modeled ferroelectric domain structures at the mesoscale by solving the electro-mechanically coupled boundary value problem (BVP) based on a finite-element (FE) discretization. By contrast, we adopt Chen's (2008) spectral strategy to solve the BVP efficiently in Fourier space and specifically adopt the formulation of Vidyasagar et al. (2017). Our ferroelectric constitutive model is derived from the thermodynamic potentials of Völker et al. (2011), who used first-principles data based on density functional theory (DFT) and atomistic simulations to calibrate the (zero-temperature) electric enthalpy density. While those studies all neglected thermal effects, Woldman and Landis (2016, 2019) used phase-field methods to characterize the structure of ferroelectric-to-paraelectric phase boundaries near the Curie temperature and derived a thermodynamic framework that accounts for spatially heterogeneous temperature fields. Vopsaroiu et al. (2010) investigated thermally activated switching kinetics by using a non-equilibrium statistical model that describes the polarization switching of a nucleus. Liu et al. (2016) performed molecular dynamics simulations to investigate ferroelectric domain wall motion at finite temperature beyond Merz's law (Merz, 1956). Finite-temperature effects in the continuum phase-field framework, however, have remained a rare find.

In our approach presented here, temperature enters the phase-field description of ferroelectric ceramics in two ways. First, the underlying polarization potential is adjusted to depend on temperature by interpolating between the firstprinciples-informed energy landscape at zero temperature (Völker et al., 2011) and the convex energy potential at the Curie temperature - taking inspiration from the temperature dependence of the order parameter in continuous phase transitions close to the transition point being characterized by a power law and an associated critical exponent (Toda et al., 1983). Such interpolation models, have previously been proposed in the context of, e.g., lambda phase transitions of liquid helium (Ferrell et al., 1968), liquid-gas phase transitions in nuclear reactors (Panagiotou et al., 1984), glass transitions of amorphous oxides (Ojovan and Lee, 2006), paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase transitions (Mohan et al., 1998), and ferroelectric phase transitions in single-crystalline barium titanate (Li et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2010; Woldman and Landis, 2016). Second, we account for thermal lattice vibrations by a statistical mechanics-based thermalization of the Allen-Cahn evolution equation through temperature-dependent random noise (Funaki, 1995; Rolland et al., 2016; Shardlow, 2000). Related stochastic phase-field models haven been employed to model, e.g., the microstructure evolution in magnetic materials (Koyama, 2008), dendritic crystal growth (Karma and Rappel, 1999; Shang et al., 2016), confined nanoferroelectrics (Slutsker et al., 2008), solidification of austenitic nickel-chromium-based superalloys (Radhakrishnan et al., 2019), plasticity in Ti-alloys (Zhu et al., 2017), and GB motion (Baruffi et al., 2019). Here, we introduce thermal fluctuations to affect the polarization evolution. The resulting finite-temperature phase-field model is validated against experimental measurements (in terms of the ferro- and piezoelectric properties), and we discuss the predicted influence of temperature on ferroelectric microstructures and the associated switching kinetics.

2. Ferroelectric constitutive model and RVE-problem at zero temperature

We adopt and extend the constitutive model of Vidyasagar et al. (2017), which was introduced for zero-temperature simulations and which we here summarize briefly to present our modifications and extensions in the proper context. We consider tetragonal perovskite ceramics below their Curie temperature and use continuum mechanics to describe a body $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in *n*-dimensional space. The small strains in brittle ceramics allow the use of linearized kinematics with an infinitesimal strain tensor $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \text{sym}(\nabla \boldsymbol{u})$ derived from a (mechanical) displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}, t) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, depending on position $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$ and time t > 0. If inertial and body forces are neglected (as in our applications), the mechanical problem is govern by the balance of linear momentum, which requires

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{0},\tag{1}$$

with the infinitesimal Cauchy stress tensor σ (and appropriate Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions in terms of prescribed displacements and tractions, respectively). The governing equations for the *electric problem* are derived from Maxwell's equations. Gradients in the voltage potential $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ produce an electric field $\mathbf{e} = -\nabla \phi$, which is connected to the electrical displacement field $\mathbf{d} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and the polarization field $\mathbf{p} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ through $\mathbf{d} = \kappa_0 \mathbf{e} + \mathbf{p}$, where κ_0 is the permittivity in vacuum. By assuming that no free charges are present within the body Ω , Gauss' law reduces to

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{0},\tag{2}$$

again assuming appropriate Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions (in terms of prescribed voltages and surface charges, respectively).

In order to close the above system of equations, we require constitutive relations as well as a dissipative evolution equation for the polarization field. We derive all constitutive relations from the electric enthalpy density *W*, which for a ferroelectric perovskite decomposes as (Su and Landis, 2007; Zhang and Bhattacharya, 2005)

$$W(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{p}, \nabla \boldsymbol{p}) = \Psi_{\text{mech}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) + \Psi_{\text{coupl}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{p}) + \Psi_{\text{pol}}(\boldsymbol{p}) + \Psi_{\text{inter}}(\nabla \boldsymbol{p}) + \Psi_{\text{el}}(\boldsymbol{e}) - \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}, \tag{3}$$

such that

$$\sigma = \frac{\partial W}{\partial \varepsilon}$$
 and $d = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial e}$. (4)

The first two terms in (3) arise from decomposing the linear elastic strain energy density into a purely mechanical strain energy Ψ_{mech} and an electrostrictive coupling contribution Ψ_{coupl} . Writing $\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{e} + \varepsilon_{ij}^{r}$, where ε_{ij}^{e} denotes elastic strains

and ε_{ij}^{r} remnant strains, we have $\Psi_{\text{elastic}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\varepsilon_{ij} - \varepsilon_{ij}^{r} \right) \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \left(\varepsilon_{kl} - \varepsilon_{kl}^{r} \right)$ with fourth-order elasticity tensor \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} , such that the resulting mechanical energy density Ψ_{mech} reads

$$\Psi_{\text{mech}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ij} \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl},\tag{5}$$

whereas the anisotropic electro-mechanical coupling energy, according to Völker et al. (2011), is expressed as

$$\Psi_{\text{coupl}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{p}) = \varepsilon_{ij} \mathbb{B}_{ijkl} p_k p_l + p_i p_j \mathbb{A}_{ijkl} p_k p_l.$$
(6)

Higher-order coupling tensors \mathbb{F}_{ijklmn} and \mathbb{G}_{ijklmn} , introduced by Su and Landis (2007), are not here considered to enforce stress-free conditions on average (as described below). Here and in the following, we use classical index notation with Einstein's summation convention.

The non-convex polarization energy for tetragonal PZT is described by a Landau-Devonshire polarization potential Ψ_{pol} , which is assumed as a sixth-order polynomial. We here adopt the potential proposed by Völker et al. (2011), who calibrated the polynomial coefficients using first-principles DFT data at the athermal limit and exploiting the known crystal symmetries; see Vidyasagar et al. (2017) for a discussion. Finally, the energy contained in the domain walls and the electric energy in free space are, respectively,

$$\Psi_{\text{inter}}(\nabla \boldsymbol{p}) = \frac{G_0}{2} |\nabla \boldsymbol{p}|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi_{\text{el}}(\boldsymbol{e}) = -\frac{\kappa_0}{2} \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{e}, \tag{7}$$

where G_0 represents an interface energy. In polycrystals the energy density Ψ is rotated into the local coordinate system of each grain, transforming all vector- and tensor-valued fields according to the local rotation $\mathbf{R} \in SO(n)$.

For a fixed polarization p, (1) and (2) – along with constitutive relations (4) – provide an equilibrium solution of the unknown fields $u(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow u_{eq}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow \phi_{eq}(\mathbf{x})$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In reality, the polarization $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ evolves over time in a dissipative manner and requires a kinetic evolution law. The latter is usually modeled by the Allen-Cahn equation of a linear gradient flow (Su and Landis, 2007; Zhang and Bhattacharya, 2005):

$$\mu \dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \boldsymbol{p}} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial W}{\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{p}}$$
(8)

with an inverse mobility (or drag coefficient) $\mu > 0$. The unknown fields $u(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\phi(\mathbf{x}, t)$, and $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are now obtained from simultaneously solving linear momentum balance (1), Gauss' law (2), and the kinetic evolution law (8), based on the enthalpy (3) and constitutive relations (4). All material parameters are summarized in Appendix A.

We follow Vidyasagar et al. (2017) and compute the effective material response by solving the above equations within a representative volume element (RVE), using spectral homogenization to impose volume-average strains $\langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle$ and average electric fields $\langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle$, see Appendix C for details. By assuming elastic homogeneity (which is the case in (an)isotropic singlecrystals as well as in polycrystals when assuming elastic isotropy), we avoid an iterative FFT-based solution scheme and can impose average stresses directly: the average stress in the RVE is $\langle \sigma_{ij} \rangle = \langle \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \rangle + \langle \mathbb{B}_{ijkl} p_k p_l \rangle$, which for a spatially homogeneous \mathbb{C} -tensor can be inverted for $\langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle$ since $\langle \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \rangle = \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \langle \varepsilon_{kl} \rangle$. Because samples in our experiments are unconstrained (Tan et al., 2019; Vidyasagar et al., 2017), we assume a negligible average stress in the specimen, so that the average strain components to be imposed are obtained as

$$\langle \varepsilon_{kl} \rangle = \mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{-1} \left(\langle \sigma_{ij} \rangle - \langle \mathbb{B}_{ijmn} p_m p_n \rangle \right) = -\mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{-1} \langle \mathbb{B}_{ijmn} p_m p_n \rangle = \langle \varepsilon_{kl}^{\mathrm{r}} \rangle \qquad \text{with} \quad \langle \cdot \rangle = |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} (\cdot) \, \mathrm{d}V. \tag{9}$$

This allows us to solve for the mechanical strains, displacement field, electric voltage, electric displacements, and the incrementally updated polarization field, using constant time steps $\Delta t > 0$, in a staggered fashion based on the FFT scheme summarized in Appendix C; for numerical details see Vidyasagar et al. (2017).

In order to reduce computational costs and for ease of visualization, we conduct two-dimensional (2D) simulations in the $e_1 - e_3$ -plane in most numerical examples. Specifically, we assume vanishing out-of-plane components $e_2 = 0$, $p_2 = 0$, $d_2 = 0$, and $\sigma_{i2} = 0$ (i = 1, 2, 3), whereas $\varepsilon_{22} \neq 0$ in general. This allows us to use 3D material constants (as obtained from first principles) while simulating a planar RVE (thus allowing the out-of-plane strains to accommodate the remnant strains as in a bulk ferroelectric that is stress-free on average). The planar assumption allows for an inexpensive computation of the bulk material response, in which the mechanical and electric fields are restricted to the plane without thin-film effects (e.g., thickness-dependent material response, depolarization fields).

3. Extension to and effects of finite temperature

To account for temperature dependent material behavior, we modify the above constitutive model as follows: first, we render the *polarization potential* Ψ_{pol} temperature-dependent; second, we append the *Allen-Cahn evolution law* (8) by a stochastic noise term to mimic the effects of thermally induced lattice vibrations. We acknowledge that this is a first-order approximation; i.e., we modify those terms which, in our view, show the strongest influence on the resulting predicted material response. In principle, we could also account for temperature-dependent elastic and coupling coefficients as well as mobility. Further, one could consider heat conduction, thermal expansion, and thermal heating due to the dissipative evolution kinetics. Here, we assume that all these contributions have a marginal impact on the ferroelectric hysteresis and the

microstructural domain evolution compared to the two former aspects taken into account. We therefore assume a uniform known temperature across the RVE and simulate the material response at different temperatures. We note that, for more accurate predictions, the effects of thermal expansion should be included in the model in order to account for secondary pyroelectricity and for thermally induced stresses at GBs in the polycrystalline case. As we simulate unconstrained, elastically isotropic samples under isothermal conditions, we neglect thermal expansion.

3.1. Temperature-dependent polarization potential

We exploit our knowledge of the zero-temperature polarization potential of tetragonal PZT from Völker et al. (2011) as well as of the paraelectric phase implying a convex potential landscape at the Curie temperature $\theta_{\rm C}$. In general, the polarization potential at a finite temperature θ is unknown and must be modeled properly between $\theta = 0$ K and $\theta = \theta_{\rm C}$. Following Landau (1908–1968) and Devonshire (1949), we introduce a linear interpolation of the polarization potential with respect to temperature θ , such that the polarization enthalpy density in 3D becomes

$$W_{\text{pol}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{e}, \theta) = \Psi_{\text{pol}}(\boldsymbol{p}, \theta) - \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{p}$$

$$= \alpha_1 \frac{\theta_{\text{C}} - \theta}{\theta_{\text{C}}} (p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) + \alpha_{11} (p_1^4 + p_2^4 + p_3^4) + \alpha_{12} \frac{\theta_{\text{C}} - \theta}{\theta_{\text{C}}} (p_1^2 p_2^2 + p_2^2 p_3^2 + p_1^2 p_3^2)$$

$$+ \alpha_{111} (p_1^6 + p_2^6 + p_3^6) + \alpha_{112} [p_1^4 (p_2^2 + p_3^2) + p_2^4 (p_1^2 + p_3^2) + p_3^4 (p_1^2 + p_2^2)] + \alpha_{123} p_1^2 p_2^2 p_3^2 - \boldsymbol{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{p},$$
(10)

where $p_i = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i$ denotes the polarization component in the x_i -direction, and the Cartesian unit vectors \mathbf{e}_i (i = 1, 2, 3 in three dimensions) are chosen to align with the tetragonal crystal axes (100), (010), and (001). α_1 through α_{123} are material constants adopted from the DFT-based OK potential of Völker et al. (2011); see Appendix A.

Due to symmetry of the tetragonal unit cell, the polarization potential $\Psi_{pol}(\mathbf{p}, \theta)$ has six minima (and 2n minima in n dimensions in general). In those ground states, the polarization is aligned with one of the tetragonal crystal axes and $\mathbf{p}(\theta) = \pm p_0(\theta) \mathbf{e}_i$, where $p_0(\theta) > 0$ denotes the (now temperature-dependent) spontaneous polarization. Consider now a ferroelectric single-crystal forming a single, homogeneous domain, whose polarization is aligned with one of the tetragonal crystal axes. In the absence of any external mechanical or electrical loading ($\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$), minimizing the electric enthalpy (which is equivalent to minimizing (10)) with respect to the polarization and considering only positive and real solutions $p_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ identifies the temperature-dependent spontaneous polarization as

$$p_{0}(\theta) = \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{\alpha_{11}^{2} - 3\alpha_{1}\alpha_{111}\frac{\theta_{c} - \theta}{\theta_{c}}} - \alpha_{11}}{3\alpha_{111}}} \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \le \theta_{c}.$$
(11)

We note that (11) is identical to the theory of Devonshire (1949) only in the limit $|(\theta_c - \theta)/\theta_c| \ll 1$, in which case a Taylor expansion of (11) results in the classical relation $p_0^2 = \beta(\theta_c - \theta)/\alpha_{11}$ with a constant $\beta > 0$. By contrast, we here do not make this simplifying assumption since we aim to cover the full temperature range from OK to the Curie point (and we will demonstrate that retaining the exact form (11) is important to arrive at accurate predictions).

A further intrinsic ferroelectric property, which is predicted by Landau-Devonshire theory, is the coercive field e_c , which refers to the electric field required in a single-crystal for complete 180° polarization reversal. Considering a stress-free single-domain single-crystal with an applied electric field (aligned with a tetragonal crystal axis), we solve $\partial W_{pol}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}, \theta)/\partial p_i = 0$ using (10) with $\mathbf{p} = p\mathbf{e}_i$, $\mathbf{e} = e\mathbf{e}_i$, to find a relation between the electric field e and the equilibrium polarization p (at a given temperature θ), viz.

$$e(p,\theta) = 2\alpha_1 \frac{\theta_{\rm C} - \theta}{\theta_{\rm C}} p + 4\alpha_{11} p^3 + 6\alpha_{111} p^5.$$
(12)

This relation is visualized in Fig. 1 for various temperatures. The coercive field corresponds to the local maximum in the electric field (illustrated as dashed lines in Fig. 1) and hence follows from solving $\partial^2 W_{\text{pol}}/\partial p_i^2 = 0$ for $p^* = p^*(\theta)$ and inserting the solution into (12) so $e_c(\theta) = |e(p^*(\theta), \theta)|$. We omit the lengthy analytical solution here. For this 1D scenario, the temperature-dependent polarization potential and its corresponding electric field are plotted as functions of the polarization for various temperatures in Fig. 1. The minima in the polarization potential are located at $\pm p_0(\theta)$, whereas the coercive field is identified as the points of bifurcation in the electric hysteresis.

3.2. Thermal fluctuations via stochastic noise

While the above temperature-dependent potential reflects variations in the spontaneous polarization and coercive field, it affects the kinetics of ferroelectric switching only through changes in the driving force (due to changes in the energy landscape). This, however, neglects another important effect of temperature. In any ferroelectric sample, the abundant network of defects (including point defects such as oxygen vacancies as well as higher-dimensional defects such as GBs and existing domain walls) serves as nucleation sites for the heterogeneous nucleation of new domains, while also impeding domain wall motion through pinning and drag effects (Jo et al., 2009; Puchberger et al., 2017). Such mechanisms are generally



Fig. 1. Influence of temperature on the polarization potential $\Psi_{pol}(p, \theta)$ (left) and the corresponding electric field $e(p, \theta)$ (right) for a single-domain singlecrystal and an electric field parallel to the polarization. The minima of $\Psi_{pol}(p, \theta)$ are at $\pm p_0(\theta)$, whereas the local maxima/minima of the electric field represent the coercive field $\pm e_c(\theta)$ (indicated as dashed lines).

temperature-dependent, and one underlying causal mechanism are atomic lattice vibrations whose amplitude grows with temperature. Although generally being of small amplitude compared to the atomic unit cell rearrangements during ferroelectric switching, these small perturbations can be sufficient for promoting nucleation and growth of domains by helping the material to locally overcome the respective energy barriers. Simply put, not only do energy wells in the potential of Fig. 1 become shallower with increasing temperature, but also do atoms fluctuate at higher amplitude within those wells, which promotes switching to the respective other well and hence increases the escape rate, especially near lattice defects.

Because it is neither possible nor desirable to compute the motion of individual atoms inside the RVE, we here use a statistical mechanics-based approach to capture the influence of atomic vibrations by amending the polarization kinetics to include a term of Brownian motion at the RVE-/mesoscale. Specifically, we turn the Allen-Cahn Eq. (8) into the stochastic form

$$\mu \dot{\boldsymbol{p}} = -\frac{\delta W}{\delta \boldsymbol{p}} + \mu \boldsymbol{\eta} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial \boldsymbol{p}} + \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial W}{\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{p}} + \mu \boldsymbol{\eta}, \tag{13}$$

in which $\eta(\mathbf{x}, \theta)$ represents a random noise term that mimics the effect of lattice vibrations. To comply with the second law of thermodynamics, we consider only conditions of constant uniform temperature within the RVE.

We require that the noise term satisfies the following constraints (for a detailed derivation of the statistical mechanics considerations see Appendix D):

1. For a truly stochastic noise that does not bias the evolution of the polarization field p in any direction, the random noise must average to zero over time at any point within the simulated RVE:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \rangle_t = \int_0^t \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega, \text{ for any sufficiently large time window } \tau > 0.$$
 (14)

2. The random noise must average to zero over the RVE at any given time:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \rangle_{\Omega} = \int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x},t) \, \mathrm{d}V = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0.$$
 (15)

3. The random noise is uncorrelated in space and time, and its variance σ^2 depends on temperature θ and time increment Δt according to

$$\left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x},t), \boldsymbol{\eta}(\boldsymbol{x}',t') \right\rangle_{t,\Omega} = \frac{2k_{\mathrm{B}}\theta}{\mu V_{\mathrm{char}} \Delta t} \delta(t-t') \,\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}'),\tag{16}$$

where $V_{char} = a_{tetr}^2 c_{tetr}$ is the volume of the perovskite's atomic unit cell, which is used for normalization of the thermodynamic potential. We do not account for local variations in the lattice volume V_{char} , which could be important for a more accurate representation of GBs and multiple, low-symmetry phases. As detailed in Appendix D, the correlation constraint (16) stems from a statistical mechanics consideration, interpreting the random noise term analogous to a random walk whose overall effect, over sufficiently long times, obeys a Boltzmann-type equilibrium probability distribution. By solving the associated Fokker-Planck equation in the equilibrium limit, the above condition (16) emerges.



Fig. 2. The computed spontaneous polarization $p_0(\theta)$ from (11) (solid blue line) in comparison with Devonshire's theory (solid orange line) and measurements of PZT-5A (yellow asterisk), PZT-500 (violet open circle), PZT 52/48 (green open diamond) and PlC151 (blue open star). The spontaneous polarization $p_0(\theta)$ is normalized by its value at 0 K (reference values are listed in Table A2). The predicted normalized (single-crystal, single-domain) coercive field $e_c(\theta)$ is also included (dashed blue line). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

The modified Allen-Cahn Eq. (13) for the polarization field, along with the conditions (14)-(16), effects a kinetic evolution of the polarization that depends on temperature in a stochastic sense – and the thermal fluctuations grow with increasing temperature according to (16). To enforce the above conditions in practice, we pick real, uncorrelated random numbers out of a standard normal distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with mean $\mu = 0$ and variance $\sigma^2 = 1$. This is achieved, e.g., by the Muller-Box sampling (Muller, 1958) or the polar method of Marsaglia and Bray (1964). For 3D simulations, noise is generated by picking random numbers $\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ at each time step and for each point inside the RVE, so that rescaling gives the sought random noise (at each discrete time step and at each point) as

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\Delta t} = \sqrt{\frac{2k_{\rm B}\theta \ \Delta t}{\mu V_{\rm char}}} \sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i \boldsymbol{e}_i \qquad \text{with} \qquad \{x_1, x_2, x_3\} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$
(17)

We point out that the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation is assumed ill-posed for dimensions $n \ge 2$ (i.e., its continuum limit does not have a reasonable meaning), which may introduce mesh dependence (Ryser et al., 2012). In our scenario, however, there exists a natural, finite length scale, since the electric dipole within the atomic unit cell is the smallest unit exposed to lattice vibrations acting on the surrounding ions. Hence, the size of the atomic-level unit cell (of volume V_{char}) provides a physical length scale that relates the random noise to the numerical discretization Δx used in simulations. Choosing Δx at the level of the atomic unit cell hence provides a reasonable solution. (While shrinking the mesh size below the atomic unit cell is physically questionable, coarser grids generally underestimate the number of possible nucleation sites and therefore slow down the switching kinetics.) An alternative would be to regularize the noise with a correlation length that depends on the length scale of the dipole-dipole interactions (see, e.g., Kohn et al. (2007) for an investigation of the stochastic Allen-Cahn equation at the sharp-interface limit by using large-deviation theory). Here, the interface energy introduces a characteristic length scale for dipole-dipole interactions, which acts as a natural regularization by limiting the impact of a unit cell's noise on its neighbors.

4. Results: Ferroelectric switching

4.1. Influence of the temperature-dependent polarization potential

To assess the accuracy of the chosen linear interpolation of the polarization potential with temperature, Fig. 2 illustrates the spontaneous polarization p_0 vs. temperature – comparing computed results obtained from the linearly interpolated polarization potential (10) as well as from the approximation by Devonshire (1954) to experimental data for different types

of PZT. Unfortunately no complete set of data for a single type of PZT across the full temperature range is available to our knowledge. Hence, for an accurate comparison the temperature and spontaneous polarization are normalized by, respectively, the Curie temperature $\theta_{\rm C}$ and the extrapolated polarization at 0K, $p_0(0)$, for each material (see Table A2 for the exact reference values used for normalization). We note that the drop in the experimental data of Hooker (1998) at low temperatures is questionable in our view (one may question whether complete polarization reversal was achieved at those low temperatures, since all other data clearly report a different trend). If we ignore the low-temperature data of Hooker (1998), the normalized spontaneous polarization measurements in Fig. 2 coincide reasonably well with the prediction by our finite-temperature model for all shown PZT compositions (also demonstrating the continuous, second-order phase transition expected for PZT).

Important characteristics of ferroelectrics are their electric hysteresis and butterfly curve, which we extract from singlecrystal RVE simulations at different temperature levels, using bipolar electric field cycling. To this end, a triangular-shaped average electric field in the x_3 -direction with amplitude e_3 and cycling period *T* is applied; simultaneously, the average electric displacement parallel and the average strain perpendicular to the electric field, d_3 and ε_{11} , respectively, are recorded. Numerical results of the bipolar switching hysteresis at different temperatures, computed with a single-crystal 2D RVE of grid resolution 256×256 , are plotted in Fig. 3(a). Similar to the predicted temperature dependence of the coercive field in Fig. 2, we notice an approximately linear decrease of the coercive field coercive field $e_c = e|_{p=0}$ with increasing temperature. In Fig. 3(c) we plot the normalized polarization (i.e., the polarization normalized by its value $p_0 = p|_{e=0}$ for each temperature) vs. the normalized electric field (i.e., the applied electric fields normalized by the coercive field at each temperature). The normalized polarization at zero electric field is approximately 1 across the full temperature range tested, so the polarization converges to its equilibrium state, which implies that the simulation indeed captures the quasistatic material response at the chosen cycling rate. As the only exception, results for 600K reveal a polarization at zero electric field that is considerably higher than the spontaneous polarization at that temperature, so we observe a strong effect of temperature on the hysteresis. Also included in Fig. 3(c) are experimentally measured data for (polycrystalline) PZT-5A at room temperature (Tan et al., 2019), whose normalized curve agrees well with the simulated hystereses.

As a further characteristic of ferroelectric ceramics we compute the evolution of strain with electric field. Fig. 3(b) plots the negative lateral strain ε_{11} vs. the applied electric field as the classical butterfly curve. Analogous to the polarization hysteresis, an increase in temperature leads to a decrease of the electric field at maximum strain (e_c^{ε}) , which is slightly higher than the corresponding field from the polarization hysteresis curve (e_c) . Furthermore, we observe a decrease of the strain magnitude from polarization reversal with increasing temperature. The corresponding normalized curves are shown in Fig. 3(d), again indicating good qualitative agreement with experimental data.

From the bipolar switching hysteresis and the butterfly curves, small-signal properties such as the piezoelectric coefficients d_{31} , d_{33} and dielectric constants κ_{11} , κ_{33} can be determined as (no summation implied)

$$d_{ij} = \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{(jj)}}{\partial e_i} \bigg|_{\sigma=0} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{ij} = \left. \frac{\partial d_j}{\partial e_i} \right|_{e=0} \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$
(18)

The dielectric constant or relative permittivity κ_{33} (the slope of the polarization hysteresis at zero electric field) is a measure of the capacitance of a medium. The piezoelectric coefficients d_{31} and d_{33} (the slopes of the strain perpendicular and parallel to the switching direction, ε_{11} and ε_{33} , respectively, at zero electric field) provide a relation between the induced strain and the applied electric field and can be interpreted as a force sensitivity (i.e. charge released per Newton force). The temperature dependence of the piezoelectric coefficient and of the dielectric constant as obtained from our phase-field model is shown in Fig. 4 in comparison with experimental data. Since the bipolar switching hysteresis and the butterfly curve depend strongly on a particular material's microstructure and composition (i.e., its grain size and texture, defect distribution, titanium concentration, dopants, etc.) which are not considered in our model, all reported small-signal properties are normalized with respect to their value at 300 K. The overall trends of the temperature-dependent piezoelectric coefficients d_{31} and d_{33} are captured reasonably well, independent of the specific ferroelectric ceramic (and unbiased by microstructural variations). We note that the dielectric constant κ_{33} shows a stronger dependence on the particular material. Our model (based on the first-principles-informed 0K potential of Völker et al. (2011)) comes closest to Hooker's (1998) measurements of PZT-5A. However, effects at the polycrystalline mesoscale, such as domain wall motion and defect pinning, are known to have an impact on the large-signal and small-signal properties. Considering that we used a single-crystal in simulations, the agreement with measurements is reasonably good.

4.2. Influence of thermal fluctuations

To assess the impact of the thermalized random noise on the ferroelectric switching kinetics, we deliberately deactivate the temperature dependence of the polarization potential (discussed in the previous section) in order to isolate the effect of the stochastic noise (this ensures that varying the temperature does not alter the coercive field, so that a constant applied electric field is a legitimate test case for evaluating the influence of the introduced random noise for varying temperature levels). The combined effects of temperature-dependent potential and thermal noise will be investigated in the following Section 4.3. Subsequent numerical examples use a 2D RVE with 1024 \times 1024 grid points and resolve the ferroelectric microstructure down to the atomic level; i.e., as discussed before, every pixel mimics exactly one tetragonal atomic-level unit cell and exhibits temperature-dependent Brownian motion through the space-time random process.



Fig. 3. Influence of the temperature-dependent polarization potential $\Psi_{\text{pol}}(\boldsymbol{p},\theta)$ on the bipolar cycling hysteresis (a,c) and on the (negative) lateral strain ε_{11} (b,d) computed with a 2D model at 0 K, 150 K, 300 K, 450 K, and 600 K. A triangular wave with cycling period $T = 21 \mu / |\alpha_1|$ and amplitude $e_3 = 10^9$ V/m is applied. Experimental measurements on polycrystalline PZT-5A by Tan et al. (2019) are added for comparison.



Fig. 4. Influence of the temperature-dependent polarization potential $\Psi_{pol}(\boldsymbol{p}, \theta)$ on small-signal properties such as the piezoelectric constants d_{31}, d_{33} (left) and the dielectric constant κ_{33} (right) computed with a 2D model (line) in comparison with measurements of PZT-5A (open circles), PZT-500 (open diamonds) and PZT 52/48 (asterisks). The piezo-/dielectric constants are normalized with respect to their corresponding value at 300K.

As an instructive scenario, we use the well-defined environment of a single-crystal to study the kinetics of domain nucleation and growth under the influence of thermal noise. To initialize the nucleus, we seed an elliptically shaped a^+ -domain at the center of the RVE, as depicted in Fig. 5(a), and – for its stabilization – apply a constant electric field $e_3 = 10^8 \text{ V/m}$ significantly below the coercive field (which in this case is $e_c = 5 \cdot 10^8 \text{ V/m}$). At varying noise levels, we observe the isolated nucleus grow in two directions: in the longitudinal direction (spreading with the needle-tip speed v_{tip}) and in the transverse direction (accommodated by classical domain wall motion at a speed v_{wall}), see Fig. 5(a). As shown in Fig. 5(b) and (c), both velocities are strongly influenced by the thermal fluctuations, with the propagation speeds increasing approximately linearly with temperature and consistent with 0K results obtained at the athermal limit without thermal fluctuations. Independent of temperature, the needle-tip velocity v_{tip} is considerably higher (about a factor of 33) than the domain wall velocity v_{wall} , which consequently results in a slender, needle-like shape of the growing a^+ -domain. This predominant growth in the longitudinal direction is illustrated in Fig 5(d), showing the computed polarization in the vertical direction $p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$ after an elapsed time of $t = 170 \mu/|\alpha_1|$. Fig 5(f) reveals that the bulk of the nucleus occupies an equilibrium polarization state $(a^+$ -domain) with a low polarization energy, whereas the domain walls and the needle-tip are in a non-equilibrium polarization state with a locally high polarization energy. This high-energy polarization state makes the needle tip and walls prone to thermally-driven switching due to the lower energy barrier ΔE_{90} in the polarization energy $\Psi_{\text{pol}}(\boldsymbol{p},\theta)$ that stands in competition with the thermal energy $k_{\rm B}\theta$.

4.3. Combined effects of thermal fluctuations and temperature-dependent energetics

To understand the behavior observed when including both the temperature-dependent polarization potential (affecting the energetic switching barriers) and the thermal noise (causing fluctuations that help overcome those barriers), we illustrate in Fig. 6(a) a typical landscape of the polarization enthalpy density $W_{pol}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}, \theta)$ vs. the (normalized) polarization $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_3)$ in 2D, at a fixed applied electric field $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7$ V/m and temperature $\theta = 300$ K. Consider as the initial state $\mathbf{p} = (0, -p_0)^T$. Under the applied field, switching from $\mathbf{p} = (0, -p_0)^T$ to $\mathbf{p} = (0, p_0)^T$ is most easily accommodated by two subsequent 90°-switching events. The minimum energy pathway (MEP) connecting those two polarization states is obtained by using the simplified string method (Sheppard et al., 2008) and is indicated as a magenta curve in Fig. 6(a). Plotting the polarization enthalpy density along this MEP reveals the energy barrier ΔE_{90} of a 90°-domain wall, see Fig. 6(b). (The barrier for 180°-switching is significantly higher.) As summarized in Fig. 6(c), the energy threshold ΔE_{90} , which separates two 90°-adjacent polarization potential $\Psi_{pol}(\mathbf{p}, \theta)$ introduced in Section 3.1. Data in Fig. 6(c) indicates that increasing the temperature reduces the energy barrier for 90°-switching, so that maintaining a constant applied electric field induces domain switching more readily with increasing temperature.



Fig. 5. Simulation results for a single-crystalline RVE with periodic boundary conditions and an isolated nucleus at its center, kept at a constant electric field of $e_3 = 10^8$ V/m (considering only thermal fluctuations). (a) The initial polarization distribution $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, 0)/p_0$ is indicated by small white arrows, starting from which the nucleus grows in two directions at speeds v_{tip} and v_{wall} of the nucleus, as indicated in red. (b) Needle-tip velocity $v_{tip}(e, \theta)$ and (c) domain wall velocity $v_{wall}(e, \theta)$ were computed for various temperatures θ , and the corresponding estimate based on a linear regression, is indicated as a red line (speeds are normalized by $v_0 = 2.93|\alpha_1|a_{cub}/\mu$). Snapshots of the computed growth of the ferroelectric nucleus at $t = 170\mu/|\alpha_1|$ illustrate (d) polarization component $p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$, (e) polarization potential $\Psi_{pol}(\mathbf{p}, \theta)$, and (f) elastic energy density $\Psi_{mech}(e)$ at room temperature $\theta = 300$ K. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 6. (a) 2D landscape of the polarization enthalpy density $W_{pol}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{e}, \theta)$ vs. the (normalized) polarization $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_3)$ at a fixed applied electric field $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7 \text{ V/m}$ and temperature $\theta = 300 \text{ K}$. (b) Polarization enthalpy density along the minimum energy pathway (MEP) shown in (a), between the two polarization states $\mathbf{p} = (0, -p_0)^T$ and $\mathbf{p} = (0, p_0)^T$. (c) Energy barrier ΔE_{90} of a 90°-domain wall as a function of temperature θ and electric field e_3 . The two shaded regions refer to different growth mechanisms of an initial domain nucleus as observed in simulations: light blue indicates pure growth of the existing nucleus, red indicates simultaneous growth and branching of the nucleus plus nucleation of new domains, white implies the disappearance of an unstable nucleus. Markers (A), (B), and (C) denote specific thresholds of importance in Fig. 7. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)



Fig. 7. Snapshots of a simulated evolving ferroelectric domain microstructure in a single-crystal at $t = 51 \mu/|\alpha_1|$, showing polarization component $p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$ for an applied electric field $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7 \text{ V/m}$ at temperatures (a) $\theta = 275 \text{ K}$, (b) 325 K, and (c) 375 K. The corresponding energy threshold of the 90°-domain walls for the three depicted ferroelectric microstructures is indicated in Fig. 6(c) as A, B, C.

This effect becomes apparent in Fig. 7, which shows the same single-crystal example from Fig. 5 but this time at the three temperatures $\theta = 275$ K, 325 K, and 375 K, while applying the same electric field $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7$ V/m. We observe three distinct switching mechanisms: (a) growth of the nucleus predominantly as a needle in the longitudinal direction at 275 K, (b) branching of the existing a^+ -domain into multiple a^- -domains at 325 K, and (c) nucleation of mainly a^+ -domains at randomly distributed locations inside the c^- -domain at 375 K. For the given choice of temperature and electric field, classical domain wall motion perpendicular to the wall plays only a minor role¹, which is in agreement with experimental observations and analytical considerations (Ayoub et al., 2017; Hayashi, 1972; Meng et al., 2015; Merz, 1956). The temperature dependence of the polarization potential hence globally reduces the energy barrier at elevated temperatures and stimulates thermally-driven polarization reversal by branching of existing domains and nucleation of new ones.

The conditions of the three snapshots in Fig. 7 correspond to points A, B, C highlighted in Fig. 6(c). By more broadly covering the space of electric fields and temperatures, numerical simulations were used to identify regions in Fig. 6(c) in which polarization switching occurs primarily by growth only as in Fig. 7(a) (blue shaded area), growth, branching, and nucleation as in Fig. 7(c) (red area), or shrinkage and extinction of the nucleus (white area). This illustrates the competing microstructural mechanisms and the influence of temperature and electric field. Other fluctuation fields (not considered in this work, caused, e.g., by thermally-driven migration of oxygen vacancies or free charges) are expected to have a similar effect as lattice vibrations. On the other hand, microstructural imperfections such as GBs, lattice defects, cracks and voids result in localized high-energy spots, leading to heterogeneous nucleation instead of at random locations as seen in the single-crystalline RVE in Fig. 7(c).

To probe the impact of heterogeneity, we simulate a polycrystalline RVE of PZT with randomly-oriented grains, whose orientations are assigned based on a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and 22° standard deviation. The sample is poled initially in the negative vertical direction, resulting in a single c^- -domain. After equilibration, the polarization adjusts slightly according to the preferred orientation of each grain. Finally, an electric field is applied and kept constant during the domain evolution, comparable to the experimental step-load procedure described by Schultheiß et al. (2018) (this step-response loading shows the system kinetics in a clean fashion without dependence on, e.g., the frequency during bipolar electric cycling).

Fig. 8 shows various snapshots of the same simulated ferroelectric microstructure, showing the normalized polarization $p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$ in the vertical direction, the polarization energy density $\Psi_{\text{pol}}(\mathbf{p}, \theta)$, and the elastic energy density $\Psi_{\text{mech}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon})$. The grain orientations within the RVE are shown schematically in Fig. 9. Analogous to the single-crystal example, we observe that nucleation of new *a*-domains inside a *c*⁻-domain lowers the polarization potential in the bulk, but the domain wall and the needle-tip of the nucleus remain in a non-equilibrium polarization state and are therefore energetically unfavorable. The red spots in the polarization energy map indicate locations where the energy has reached the threshold of a 90°-domain wall (for a grain with zero misorientation); since these are unstable states, an immediate polarization switching can be expected. We also illustrate the elastic energy density, which highlights locations of high stress concentrations, such as grain triple junctions, mismatching domain interfaces caused by *c/a*-lattice distortion, and perpendicular branches

¹ We acknowledge that classical domain wall motion, i.e., the growing of a domain through wall motion perpendicular to the wall, is of course an important mechanism which, however, occurs at such low speeds that in the chosen scenario of a large applied electric field (20% of the coercive field) at finite temperature domains first and foremost grow along the longitudinal direction (in a needle-like fashion). Given the significant noise in the system, new needles nucleate and branch readily – especially at elevated temperature – which results in the characteristic laminate-type microstructural patterns.



Fig. 8. Snapshots of a simulated ferroelectric domain microstructure, showing polarization component $p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$ (left column), polarization energy density $\Psi_{\text{pol}}(\mathbf{p}, \theta)$ (central column), and elastic energy density $\Psi_{\text{mech}}(\mathbf{\varepsilon})$ (right column) (snapshots were taken at time $t = 136\mu/|\alpha_1|$ under a constant electric field of $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7 \text{ V/m}$ at $\theta = 300 \text{ K}$). Small arrows in the left column indicate the orientation of the polarization vector $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$. Top-row images show zoomed-in versions of the respective highlighted boxed areas in the bottom-row images.



Fig. 9. Influence of temperature on the ferroelectric step response (left) under a constant electric field $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7$ V/m at temperatures $\theta = 275$ K, 325 K, 375 K along with a schematic of the polycrystal (right). The dashed lines in the step response are results obtained without thermal fluctuations under otherwise same conditions. Equal average polarization levels $\langle p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0 \rangle = -0.5$, 0, 0.5 are indicated by capital letter A, B, C. Labels (a) through (i) indicate those states whose microstructures are shown in Fig. 10.



Fig. 10. Influence of temperature on the ferroelectric step response (top, left) and domain pattern formation, showing $p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0$ under a constant electric field $e_3 = 8 \cdot 10^7$ V/m at temperatures $\theta = 275$ K, 325 K, 375 K (columns) and switching states: A, B, C (rows), the latter corresponding to the polarization states defined in Fig. 9.

growing out of existing domains. The shown microstructure reveals primarily 90°-domain patterns arranged in laminate structures, including more complex domain patterns such as second-order laminates or (in the magnified view of the polarization distribution) a wedge-like microstructure along the horizontal GB, reminiscent of ferroelectric domain patterns observed experimentally; see, e.g., the TEM images of Schmitt et al. (2007); Woodward et al. (2005).

Figs. 9 and 10 visualize the influence of temperature on the evolution of such ferroelectric microstructures at the three temperatures $\theta = 275$ K, 325 K, and 375 K. Under a constant electric field of $e = 8 \cdot 10^7$ V/m, applied instantaneously at t = 0 s, the polarization evolves, whose average $\langle p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0 \rangle$ is shown in Fig. 9, while the corresponding microstructures at the strain polarization levels indicated as A through C, at three different temperatures, are illustrated in Fig. 10. That is, the shown microstructures within each row of Fig. 10 have the exact same average polarization $\langle p_3(\mathbf{x}, t)/p_0 \rangle$ (and the

15

same applied electric field) but the underlying microstructures differ significantly due to the three distinct temperature levels.

The domain pattern evolution, also shown in Movies S1 and S2 (see supporting online material), shows two distinct switching mechanisms: (i) various nucleation events of needle-like domains at GBs and triple junctions, followed by (ii) subsequent growth - predominantly in the longitudinal (needle-tip) direction. The ratio of the speeds of the aforementioned mechanisms is an important factor that determines the appearance of ferroelectric microstructures. Increasing the temperature generally leads to more detailed and finer domain structures. This is traced back to the competition between nucleation and growth, yet we reiterate that two competing effects are at play here. On the one hand, with increasing temperature the polarization energy landscape becomes shallower and the coercive field e_c is reduced, see Fig. 6; this increases the number of possible nucleation sites at a constant electric field with increasing temperature, so it becomes easier to overcome the energy barrier between adjacent spontaneous polarization states. On the other hand, the noise amplitude increases with temperature $(|\eta| \propto \sqrt{\theta})$, so the larger step size of the random walk enables statistically more locations to escape from local energy minima to energetically lower polarization states. These two effects explain the temperature dependence of the domain nucleation sites, which becomes apparent in Fig. 10: nucleation at low temperature occurs primarily at GBs, while at high temperature the formation of new domains is not restricted to locations with high stress concentrations. Instead, the shallow energy landscape (Fig. 6c) in combination with higher thermal fluctuations allows the random walk to overcome the energy barrier of the polarization potential, resulting in nucleation at random locations - similar to the single-crystal results in Fig. 7c. (Note that the chosen periodic boundary conditions of the computational domain do not affect the nucleation sites directly, but the propagation of growing domains across the boundaries can trigger the nucleation of new domains indirectly.) For completeness, Fig. 9 also includes (as dashed lines) results obtained without the stochastic noise (so the temperature dependence stems solely from the polarization potential), which highlights the impact of the fluctuations: with thermal noise, we observe a considerably faster response time, which is explained by the increasing nucleation rate of *a*-domains and, as a consequence, polarization reversal being dominated by nucleation as opposed to domain growth.

5. Conclusions

We have presented a finite-temperature continuum model for ferroelectric ceramics, which is based on a temperaturedependent Landau-Devonshire potential and on a temperature-dependent stochastic Allen-Cahn equation for the evolution of the total polarization. The former was shown to provide an accurate prediction of the spontaneous polarization, the coercive field, and the piezoelectric and dielectric constants across a broad temperature range in agreement with experimental data for PZT (after normalization of the electric field by the coercive field, the computed butterfly curve also showed convincing agreement with room-temperature measurements). Because of the large spread among measured data for different PZT compositions and the fact that we do not account for dopants in the model, the piezoelectric and dielectric constants required normalization for comparison. However, when considering that we compare measurements from different types of polycrystalline PZT at the macroscale with numerical results computed with a single-crystalline 2D model at the mesoscale, the presented framework captures the salient macroscopic temperature effects reasonably well.

Based on statistical mechanics, we introduced a temperature-dependent Gaussian noise into the evolution equation for the polarization, which mimics atomic-level lattice vibrations at the continuum scale. Typical for diffusive processes such as ferroelectric domain wall motion, the noise amplitude is proportional to the square root of temperature and time increment. Simulations revealed that the thermal noise has a considerably effect on the ferroelectric switching kinetics. First, superimposing random small perturbations onto the deterministic gradient-flow kinetics breaks the symmetry of the singlecrystalline polarization energy, such that 180°-switching becomes less probable. Instead, the utilization of pathways with lower energy barriers leads to switching predominantly by two consecutive 90°-rotations. Second, thermal noise leads to significantly faster growth of a domain nucleus at elevated temperature in both the longitudinal and transverse directions - whose relation $|v_{tip}| \gg |v_{wall}|$ is responsible for the characteristic needle-like shape of ferroelectric domains. In addition, thermal fluctuations promote the branching of existing domains and nucleation of new domains. While the nucleation spots are randomly distributed in a defect-free single-crystal, grain boundaries in a polycrystal (like any other location with stress or charge concentrations) act as natural sites for nucleation. The emerging simulated microstructures during polarization switching incorporate qualitatively various characteristic features known from experimental observation, including first- and higher-order laminates, and wedge-like structures. A detailed comparison with experiments is unfortunately out of reach since in-situ measurements of ferroelectric microstructures, especially over a broad temperature range and under applied electric fields, are a rare find. Our simulations capture general qualitative trends while a quantitative comparison will require further experimental data and may require a re-calibration of model parameters (specifically of the drag coefficient μ , which may also be assumed temperature-dependent in general). Yet, our model demonstrated the salient features of finitetemperature ferroelectric switching in a promising fashion (based on energetic potentials obtained from first principles). We have thus presented an approach to "thermalize" a OK first-principles-based model for finite-temperature phase-field simulations.

We close by pointing out that we linked the stochastic noise in our model to thermal lattice vibrations. By using as the normalization volume the primitive unit cell of the crystal lattice (known from DFT calculations), we ensure that the noise amplitude is intrinsically connected to material properties without any fitting parameter. As a downside, this restricts simulations to small length scales (effectively limiting the pixel or voxel size to that of an atomic unit cell), as demonstrated in the presented examples with RVEs at the nanoscale. This also results in realistic domain wall thicknesses in simulations, not achievable at considerably larger scales. Note that one may alternatively interpret the introduced fluctuation field at larger scales, e.g., as the joined impact of temperature and fluctuating point defects and charges on the mesoscale, in which case larger spatial simulation domains are feasible but at the cost of rendering the random noise phenomenological and its amplitude a fitting parameter. Irrespectively, we conclude that the presence of random fluctuations is key to achieving realistic predictions of ferroelectric microstructures not predictable in a perfect, noise-free system.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgments

D.M.K. and R.I. acknowledge the financial support from the Swiss National Science Foundation. R.I. thanks Dr. Abbas Tutcuoglu for his support with the computational implementation.

Appendix A. Material parameters

The anisotropic electro-mechanical coupling energy, according to Völker et al. (2011), is defined as

$$\Psi_{\text{coupl}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{p}) = q_{11} \left(\varepsilon_{11} p_1^2 + \varepsilon_{22} p_2^2 + \varepsilon_{33} p_3^2 \right) + q_{12} \left[\varepsilon_{11} (p_2^2 + p_3^2) + \varepsilon_{22} (p_1^2 + p_3^2) + \varepsilon_{33} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) \right] \\ + q_{44} (p_1 p_2 \varepsilon_{12} + p_1 p_3 \varepsilon_{13} + p_2 p_3 \varepsilon_{23}) + \beta_1 \left(p_1^4 + p_2^4 + p_3^4 \right) + \beta_2 (p_1^2 p_2^2 + p_1^2 p_3^2 + p_2^2 p_3^2),$$
(A.1)

with coefficients

$$\begin{split} q_{11} &= -C_{11}Q_{11} - 2C_{12}Q_{12}, \\ q_{12} &= -C_{12}(Q_{11} + Q_{12}) - C_{11}Q_{12}, \\ q_{44} &= -4C_{44}Q_{44}, \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{C_{11}Q_{11}^2}{2} + 2C_{12}Q_{11}Q_{12} + C_{11}Q_{12}^2 + C_{12}Q_{12}^2, \\ \beta_2 &= C_{11}Q_{12}(2Q_{11} + Q_{12}) + C_{12}(Q_{11}^2 + Q_{11}Q_{12} + 3Q_{12}^2) + 2C_{44}Q_{44}^2. \end{split}$$

For convenience, we make use of a more compact notation

$$\Psi_{\text{coupl}}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{p}) = \varepsilon_{ij} \mathbb{B}_{ijkl} p_k p_l + p_i p_j \mathbb{A}_{ijkl} p_k p_l, \tag{A.3}$$

which concentrates the electro-mechanical coupling coefficients q_{11} , q_{12} , q_{44} , and β_1 , β_2 in fourth-order coupling tensors \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{A} with components

$$\mathbb{B}_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} q_{11} & \text{if } i = j = k = l, \\ q_{12} & \text{if } i = j \neq k = l, \\ q_{44} & \text{if } i = k \neq j = l, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{A}_{ijkl} = \begin{cases} \beta_1 & \text{if } i = j = k = l, \\ \beta_2/6 & \text{if } i = j \neq k = l, \\ \text{or } i = k \neq j = l, \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$
(A.4)

The complete set of material and simulation parameters used in all examples presented here is summarized in Table A1.

Table A2 lists the spontaneous polarization at zero temperature, $p_0(0)$, and the Curie temperature θ_C used for normalization for the types of PZT shown in Fig. 2. In comparison to measured data, the spontaneous polarization from first-principle DFT calculations at zero temperature is noticeably higher, viz. $p_0^{DFT}(0) = 0.58 \text{ C/m}^2$ for Pb(Zr_{0.5}Ti_{0.5})O₃. This can be expected since simulations are based on an ideal, defect-free, single-crystal, whereas experiments deal with a polycrystalline sample with imperfections.

Table A1

Material constants for tetragonal PZT 50/50 at 0 K from Völker et al. (2011) and simulation parameters used in numerical examples.

material constants used for PZT			
parameter	value	units	source
G ₀	$7.0 \cdot 10^{-11}$	Vm ³ /C	this work
α_1	-8.499 · 10 ⁸	Vm/C	Völker et al. (2011)
α_{11}	$1.950 \cdot 10^{8}$	Vm ⁵ /C ³	Völker et al. (2011)
α_{12}	-9.750 · 10 ⁸	Vm ⁵ /C ³	Völker et al. (2011)
α_{111}	2.117 · 10 ⁹	Vm ⁹ /C ⁵	Völker et al. (2011)
α_{112}	$1.687 \cdot 10^{10}$	Vm ¹³ /C ⁷	Völker et al. (2011)
α_{123}	$4.823 \cdot 10^{9}$	Vm ⁹ /C ⁵	Völker et al. (2011)
Q ₁₁	$-2.3386 \cdot 10^{10}$	Vm/C	Völker et al. (2011)
Q ₁₂	-3.1528 · 10 ⁹	Vm/C	Völker et al. (2011)
Q44	$-1.892 \cdot 10^{10}$	Vm/C	Völker et al. (2011)
μ_{e}	123	GPa	Völker et al. (2011)
λe	115	GPa	Völker et al. (2011)
a _{cub.}	$4.0119 \cdot 10^{-10}$	m	Völker et al. (2011)
a _{tetr.}	$4.0047\cdot 10^{-10}$	m	Völker et al. (2011)
C _{tetr.}	$4.0602\cdot 10^{-10}$	m	Völker et al. (2011)
κ ₀	$8.854 \cdot 10^{-12}$	F/m	(Haynes, 2014)
$k_{\rm B}$	$1.380 \cdot 10^{-23}$	J/K	(Haynes, 2014)
θ_{C}	650	K	(Jaffe et al., 1971)
μ	$1.0 \cdot 10^{9}$	kg m ³ /C ² s	this work
Δx	$4.0047 \cdot 10^{-10}$	m	this work
Δy	$4.0047 \cdot 10^{-10}$	m	this work

Table A2

Material properties of PZT ceramics used for normalization. The spontaneous polarization at 0K, $p_0(0K)$, is obtained by extrapolation from the cited experiments.

material constants used for normalization			
composite [–]	spontaneous polarization $p_0(0K)$ [C/m ²]	Curie temperature $\theta_{\rm C}$ [K]	
PZT-5A	0.32 (Hooker, 1998)	643 (CeramTec, 2020)	
PZT-500	0.43 (Zhang et al., 1994)	650 (CeramTec, 2020)	
PZT-52/48	0.34 (Zhang et al., 1994)	640 (Jaffe et al., 1971)	
PIC 151	0.35 (Kaeswurm et al., 2018)	523 (PICeramic, 2020)	

Appendix B. Homogenization Problem

The constitutive material law introduced in Section 2 describes the behavior of a ferroelectric perovskite at the singlecrystal, single-domain level. The transition from that scale to the macroscale is accomplished by computing the effective response of a representative volume element (RVE), as is customary in classical first-order homogenization (see e.g. Miehe et al. (2002); Schröder (2009)). For a sample with an approximately statistically homogeneous microstructure, we hence define an effective property as the volume average over the RVE, writing

$$\langle \cdot \rangle = \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} (\cdot) \, \mathrm{d}V, \tag{B.1}$$

where $|\Omega|$ denotes the volume of the RVE. We solve the balance of linear momentum (1) and Gauss' law (2), while imposing periodic boundary conditions over the surface (or boundary in 2D) of the RVE. Technically, we decompose the RVE boundary into opposite parts such that $\partial \Omega = \partial \Omega^+ \cup \partial \Omega^-$, and we enforce

$$\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}^{+},t) - \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{x}^{-},t) = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{0}(\boldsymbol{x}^{+} - \boldsymbol{x}^{-}) \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{x}^{+},t) = -\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{x}^{-},t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$
(B.2a)

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}^+, t) - \phi(\mathbf{x}^-, t) = \mathbf{e}^0 \cdot \left(\mathbf{x}^+ - \mathbf{x}^-\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}^+, t) = -\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}^-, t) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \tag{B.2b}$$

where \mathbf{x}^+ and \mathbf{x}^- are pairs of opposing points on $\partial \Omega^+$ and $\partial \Omega^-$, respectively. The volume-averaged strain is denoted by $\mathbf{e}^0 = \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle$ and volume-averaged electric field is $\mathbf{e}^0 = \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle$. For homogenization to work, we assume a separation of scales and we postulate that body and inertial forces are negligible since we are interested in the quasistatic material behavior; hence the mechanical and electrical RVE problems are solved quasi-statically.

The only time-dependent governing equation, the modified Allen-Cahn Eq. (13), is solved by assuming the periodic boundary conditions

$$\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x}^+,t) = \boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{x}^-,t), \tag{B.3}$$

which does not impose an average but instead allows the polarization field to evolve freely (aside from periodicity on the RVE surfaces).

In our experiments (le Graverend et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2019; Wojnar et al., 2014), a uniform electric field $\bar{e} = \Delta \phi / h$ is applied over the specimen thickness h, with $\Delta \phi$ denoting the corresponding voltage differential. In this setup, the electric field is applied at the macroscale, thus we assume a separation of scales. The measured electric charge Q can be directly linked to the average electric displacement $\bar{d} = Q/A$, viz. (Vidyasagar et al., 2017)

$$\frac{Q}{A}\boldsymbol{z} = \frac{Q}{V}\boldsymbol{h}\boldsymbol{z} = \frac{1}{V}\int_{\partial\Omega}q_{s}\boldsymbol{x}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s} = \frac{1}{V}\int_{\Omega}\nabla\cdot(\boldsymbol{x}\otimes\boldsymbol{d})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{1}{V}\int_{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{x}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{d}+\boldsymbol{d})\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v} = \frac{1}{V}\int_{\Omega}\boldsymbol{d}\,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{v} = \langle\boldsymbol{d}\rangle,\tag{B.4}$$

where A is the area of the electrodes, z the unit vector pointing through the sample thickness and q_s the charge density. We emphasize that our study is dedicated to the bulk response of ferroelectric ceramics and not to thin films exhibiting considerable free-surface effects. As a consequence, the depolarization field plays only a marginal role, such that the above relations hold and we may assume that the applied voltage differential can be directly interpreted as the average applied electric field at the RVE-level.

Finally, since both the electric field $\mathbf{\bar{e}} = \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle = \mathbf{e}^0$ and the electric displacement $\mathbf{\bar{d}} = \langle \mathbf{d} \rangle$ are related to their measured counterparts, the average polarization $\mathbf{\bar{p}}$ (based on an isotropic permittivity) is obtained as

$$\langle \boldsymbol{p} \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{d} \rangle - \kappa_0 \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle. \tag{B.5}$$

Appendix C. Spectral Solution Scheme

We follow the approach of Vidyasagar et al. (2017) and solve all governing equations in Fourier space, encouraged by the periodic homogenization scheme. To this end, we discretize the RVE into N grid points in each dimension, such that the position vector over all grid points becomes $\mathbf{x} = {\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_N}$. For any function f(x), we define its inverse discrete Fourier transform as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(\hat{f}\right) = \sum_{\mathbf{k}\in\mathcal{T}} \hat{f}(\mathbf{k}) \exp\left(-ih\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}\right), \qquad h = \frac{2\pi}{N} \qquad \text{and} \qquad i = \sqrt{-1},$$
(C.1)

where \mathbf{k} denotes the wave vector in the reciprocal lattice (the complete set being \mathcal{T}), and $\hat{f}(\mathbf{k})$ are the Fourier coefficients. We solve Gauss' law in Fourier space to obtain the complex voltage potential and electric field as, respectively,

$$\hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k}) = i \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{k})}{h\kappa_0 |\mathbf{k}|^2} \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \hat{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}) = ih \mathbf{k} \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{k})}{\kappa_0 |\mathbf{k}|^2} \mathbf{k}, & \text{if } \mathbf{k} \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ \mathbf{e}^0, & \text{if } \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$
(C.2)

Since we assume linearized kinematics and elastic isotropy, we can analogously solve the balance of linear momentum directly in Fourier space – without the need for stress perturbation terms as classically done for heterogeneous media (Lebensohn et al., 2012; Moulinec and Suquet, 1998; 2003). To avoid such an iterative solution scheme, we assume a homogeneous material and approximate an isotropic elastic material behavior with Voigt stiffness moduli C_{11} , C_{12} (as obtained from first principles by Völker et al. (2011)) and define $C_{44} = (C_{11} - C_{12})/2$, so that the components of the fourth-order elasticity tensor can be written as $\mathbb{C}_{ijkl} = \lambda_e \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl} + \mu_e (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk})$ with Lamé moduli λ_e and μ_e . Of course, this is a simplifying assumption and we admit that the elastic anisotropy may have an impact on the ferroelectric response (especially when considering, e.g., the elastic mismatch near GBs). However, given the variations of reported (experimental and computed) elastic moduli, especially for PZT near the morphotropic phase boundary, we are not in a position to quantify the exact influence of elastic anisotropy and therefore limit our study to isotropy.

Consequently, the total strains $\boldsymbol{e} = \boldsymbol{e}^e + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^r$ decompose additively into the elastic strains \boldsymbol{e}^e and the coupling strains \boldsymbol{e}^r , where the latter stems from the coupling energy density. Defining the associated stress tensor as $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^r = \partial \Psi_{\text{coupl}} / \partial \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ and applying the Fourier transform to the balance of linear momentum leads to

$$\hat{\varepsilon}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2} \left[A_{ni}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}) k_m k_j + A_{nj}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}) k_m k_i \right] \hat{\sigma}_{nm}^{\mathrm{r}}(\boldsymbol{k}), & \text{if } \boldsymbol{k} \neq \boldsymbol{0}, \\ \varepsilon_{ij}^0, & \text{if } \boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{0}, \end{cases}$$
(C.3a)

$$\hat{u}_k(\boldsymbol{k}) = -\frac{i}{h} A_{ik}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}^{\mathrm{T}}(\boldsymbol{k}) k_j, \qquad (C.3b)$$

where $A_{ik}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbb{C}_{ijkl}k_jk_l$ is the acoustic tensor, and $\hat{\sigma}_{nm}^{r}(\mathbf{k})$ represents the Fourier-transformed coupling stresses (which are computed in real space from the constitutive law (4)). Eq. (C.3a) can be solved directly in Fourier space without iterations, which enables fast and efficient simulations without the need for computing or storing a consistent tangent (hence enabling the presented high-resolution simulations).

Since samples in experiments were unconstrained, we assume a negligible average stress in the sample and hence inside the RVE:

$$\left\langle \sigma_{ij} \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbb{C}_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{kl} \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathbb{B}_{ijmn} p_m p_n \right\rangle = 0. \tag{C.4}$$

Exploiting the assumption of elastic isotropy, (C.4) allows us to compute the average strain in the RVE as

$$\varepsilon_{kl}^{0} = \langle \varepsilon_{kl} \rangle = \mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{-1} \left(\left\langle \sigma_{ij} \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathbb{B}_{ijmn} p_m p_n \right\rangle \right) = -\mathbb{C}_{ijkl}^{-1} \left\langle \mathbb{B}_{ijmn} p_m p_n \right\rangle = \left\langle \varepsilon_{kl}^r \right\rangle. \tag{C.5}$$

We discretize the modified Allen-Cahn equation by an implicit backward-Euler finite-difference scheme, based on constant time increments $\Delta t > 0$ such that $t^n = n \Delta t$. Thus, (8) is turned into

$$\mu \frac{p_i^{n+1} - p_i^n}{\Delta t} = \left[-\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_i} (\boldsymbol{p}^{n+1}) + \left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_{i,j}} (\boldsymbol{p}^{n+1}) \right)_{,j} \right]$$
(C.6)

for every grid point inside the RVE, where $\mathbf{p}^{n+1} = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, t^n + \Delta t)$ and $\mathbf{p}^n = \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{x}, t^n)$ denote the polarization at the current and previous time increment, respectively. For convenience, we define a thermodynamic driving force with components

$$g_i(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \boldsymbol{e}, \boldsymbol{p}) = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_i} = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial p_i} = \left[e_i - \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{coupl}}}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{pol}}}{\partial p_i} \right]$$
(C.7)

and the nonlocal gradient term, which is computed in Fourier space, is evaluated as

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{inter}}}{\partial p_{i,j}}\right)_{,j} = \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{inter}}}{\partial p_{i,j}}\right)_{,j} = G_0 p_{i,jj} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left\{ G_0 h^2 |\boldsymbol{k}|^2 \hat{p}_i \right\}.$$
(C.8)

The overall ferroelectric problem is solved in a time-incremental, staggered manner. We first solve for the electric field $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}^{n+1}$ and the strains $\hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{n+1}$ in Fourier space, using (C.3a) and (C.2) based on the polarization \boldsymbol{p}^n from the previous time step. Applying the inverse Fourier transform yields the real-space quantities \boldsymbol{e}^{n+1} and the strains $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{n+1}$ at all RVE grid points. Next, using implicit Euler time integration to solve

$$p_i^{n+1} = p_i^n + \frac{\Delta t}{\mu} \Big[g_i(\boldsymbol{e}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{e}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{p}^{n+1}) + \mathcal{F}^{-1} \Big\{ G_0 h^2 |\boldsymbol{k}|^2 \hat{p}_i^{n+1} \Big\} \Big]$$
(C.9a)

leads to the sought new polarization p^{n+1} . The time step size Δt was verified by numerical experiments to be sufficiently small to achieve convergence of this staggered scheme.

Appendix D. Derivation of the stochastic noise term

For a thorough discussion of the stochastic noise term introduced in the Allen-Cahn equation to mimic thermal fluctuations, we briefly revisit the random walk concept and Brownian motion, starting in 1D for simplicity. We start with the Langevin equation (Langevin, 1908), considering only the overdamped solution (inertial terms are neglected) with a polarization *p* that is attached to its equilibrium position p_0 through a potential W(p) and has an inverse mobility μ . With the added random-walk term $\eta(t)$, the equation of motion becomes

$$0 = -\frac{\partial W}{\partial p} - \mu \dot{p} + \mu \eta \qquad \Leftrightarrow \qquad \dot{p} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} + \eta. \tag{D.1}$$

The double-well potential W(p) keeps the polarization close to the spontaneous polarization p_0 and prevents it from drifting over time. Therefore, we expect that the variance of the polarization does not diffuse to zero over time but that the distance from the equilibrium position remains bounded, so that states far from p_0 (of high energy) become unlikely (even more so then before). The viscous damping slows down the polarization motion and we expect that over long times the polarization may not assume an equilibrium position (the random noise prevents this) but will attain an equilibrium distribution with constant mean and variance.

One may expect that over long times $(t \rightarrow \infty)$ this process attains a thermal equilibrium, for which the probability of finding a polarization *p* is given by a Boltzmann distribution (Boltzmann, 1868; Gibbs, 1902)

$$\rho(p) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{V(p)}{k_{\rm B}\theta}\right) \qquad \text{with} \qquad Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{V(p)}{k_{\rm B}\theta}\right) dp \tag{D.2}$$

with temperature θ , Boltzmann's constant k_B and the energy $V(p) = V_{char}W(p)$, where V_{char} denotes a characteristic volume used for normalization (since *W* is an energy density, V_{char} is required to arrive at an energy and may be interpreted as the volume of the material or grid point of interest). The question now is how to choose $\eta(t)$ such that we indeed attain thermal equilibrium in the long-term limit as $t \to \infty$.

Let us discretize the governing Eq. (D.1) in time with a constant step size $\Delta t > 0$, so that applying a first-order forward-Euler finite-difference stencil leads to

$$\frac{p(t+\Delta t)-p(t)}{\Delta t} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p} (p(t)) + \eta(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad p(t+\Delta t) = p(t) + v(p(t))\Delta t + \eta_{\Delta t}(t), \tag{D.3}$$

where we defined

$$v(p) = -\frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial W}{\partial p}(p) \tag{D.4}$$

and $\eta_{\Delta t}(t) = \Delta t \eta(t)$ is a short notation for the random fluctuation (whose amplitude needs to be found, so multiplication by the time step does not affect the final result).

If we look only at the stochastic contribution to the polarization change, then

$$p(t + \Delta t) = p(t) + \eta_{\Delta t}(t).$$

As for a random walk, we require the random noise term to have zero mean and to be uncorrelated, i.e., respectively,

$$\langle \eta_{\Delta t}(t) \rangle = \int_0^\infty \eta_{\Delta t}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = 0, \qquad \langle \eta_{\Delta t}(t) \eta_{\Delta t}(t') \rangle = \int_0^\infty \eta_{\Delta t}(\tau) \eta_{\Delta t}(\tau + t - t') \, \mathrm{d}\tau = 2D^* \delta(t - t') \tag{D.6}$$

(D.5)

with some constant diffusion coefficient $D^* \ge 0$ that captures the (yet to be determined) noise amplitude. After *n* time steps, the random noise has altered the solution by

$$p(t + n\Delta t) = p(t) + \eta_{\Delta t}(t) + \eta_{\Delta t}(t + \Delta t) + \dots + \eta_{\Delta t}\left(t + (n - 1)\Delta t\right) = p(t) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_{\Delta t}(t + i\Delta t).$$
(D.7)

By exploiting the uncorrelated nature of noise from distinct time steps, we conclude that the mean squared difference between the initial and final position over the above n steps is

$$\left\langle \left[p(t+n\Delta t) - p(t) \right]^2 \right\rangle = \left\langle \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \eta_{\Delta t}(t+i\Delta t) \right]^2 \right\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \eta_{\Delta t}^2(t+i\Delta t) \rangle = n \langle \eta_{\Delta t}^2(t) \rangle.$$
(D.8)

Assuming an unbiased random walk, this implies that, with the total elapsed time $n \Delta t$,

$$\left\langle \left[p(t+n\Delta t) - p(t) \right]^2 \right\rangle = 2Dn\,\Delta t,\tag{D.9}$$

so that a comparison of (D.8) and (D.9) yields

$$\langle \eta_{\Lambda t}^2(t) \rangle = 2D\Delta t. \tag{D.10}$$

Such a scenario is achieved by choosing a Gaussian noise of average 0 and amplitude $2D\Delta t$, whose probability distribution is (Gauss, 1809; Laplace, 1774)

$$\rho(\eta_{\Delta t}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D\Delta t}} \exp\left(-\frac{\eta_{\Delta t}^2}{4D\Delta t}\right). \tag{D.11}$$

Next, we consider the full governing Eq. (D.3), including the nonconvex potential, to identify the unknown constant *D*. We start with

$$p(t + \Delta t) = p(t) + \nu(p)\Delta t + \eta_{\Delta t}(t).$$
(D.12)

Using a generalized version of the time evolution of the probability distribution, we may write

$$\rho(p, t + \Delta t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_{\Delta t}(p, q) \rho(q, t) \,\mathrm{d}q,\tag{D.13}$$

where $P_{\Delta t}(p, q)$ is the probability that the particle moves from q at time t to the position p at time $t + \Delta t$, and we integrate over all possible positions q. (D.13) is known as the *Chapman-Kolmogorov equation* (Kampen, 2007). Moving from q to p in our scenario implies that $p = q + v(q)\Delta t + \eta_{\Delta t}(t)$, cf. (D.12). Simply speaking, the random noise term has the right magnitude to help move the particle from q to p (while the potential is also acting). The probability that the noise has exactly a magnitude of $\eta_{\Delta t} = p - q - v(q)\Delta t$ is defined by the Gaussian distribution (D.11):

$$P_{\Delta t}(p,q) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi D\Delta t}} \exp\left(-\frac{[p-q-\nu(q)\Delta t]^2}{4D\Delta t}\right).$$
(D.14)

A Kramers-Moyal expansion (Kramers, 1940; Moyal, 1949) of the master equation (Kampen, 2007) is used to finally derive the well-known Fokker-Planck equation (Fokker, 1914; Kolmogoroff, 1931; Planck, 1917)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\rho}{\mathrm{d}t}(p,t) = -\frac{\partial \nu(p)\rho(p,t)}{\partial p} + D\frac{\partial^2 \rho(p,t)}{\partial p^2} = \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\left[\frac{\partial W(p(t))}{\partial p}\rho(p,t)\right] + D\frac{\partial^2 \rho(p,t)}{\partial p^2},\tag{D.15}$$

where we inserted the definition of ν from (D.4).

If we assume that this probability distribution will - over long time - evolve into a steady state

$$\rho_{\rm eq}(p) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \rho(p, t), \tag{D.16}$$

then we may find that equilibrium distribution by solving

$$0 = \frac{1}{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \left[\frac{\partial W}{\partial p}(p) \rho_{\text{eq}}(p) \right] + D \frac{\partial^2 \rho_{\text{eq}}(p)}{\partial p^2}.$$
 (D.17)

It is straightforward to verify by substitution that the following presents a solution:

$$\rho_{\rm eq}(p) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{W(p)}{\mu D}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{W(p)}{\mu D}\right) dp. \tag{D.18}$$

Notice that solution (D.18) has exactly the form of the Boltzmann distribution (D.2) if we choose

$$D = \frac{k_{\rm B}\theta}{\mu V_{\rm char}}.$$
 (D.19)

Therefore, in the limit of long times, the probability distribution approaches the steady-state Boltzmann distribution

$$\rho_{\rm eq}(p) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{V(p)}{k_{\rm B}\theta}\right), \qquad Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{V(p)}{k_{\rm B}\theta}\right) dp, \tag{D.20}$$

if we choose the random noise (using (D.10)) according to

$$\langle \eta_{\Delta t}(t) \rangle = 0$$
 and $\langle \eta_{\Delta t}(t) \eta_{\Delta t}(t') \rangle = \frac{2k_{\rm B}\theta}{\mu V_{\rm char}} \Delta t \,\delta(t-t').$ (D.21)

This defines the temperature-dependent random noise term in 1D. Since the random noise term must satisfy these relations in each direction (and at every point inside the RVE), the generalization to 3D leads directly to the relations presented in Section 3.2.

Appendix E. Domain wall kinetics from the Allen-Cahn evolution equation

Let us consider an isolated 180°-domain wall in a infinite ferroelectric single-crystal, so that we may assume spatially uniform fields *p*, *e*, and *e* = $-\nabla\phi$ which are independent of the *x*₂-co-ordinate. In this case, Gauss' law in the presence of an applied field *e* = $e^0 e_2$ with $e^0 = \Delta \phi/L$ (see Fig. E.11) leads to

$$\phi_{,ii} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad e_{2,2} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad e_2 = e^0. \tag{E.1}$$

Similarly, linear momentum balance under the plane-stress assumption and using translational invariance in the e_2 -direction simplifies to

$$\sigma_{11,1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma_{11} = C_1 = 0,$$

$$\sigma_{21,1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sigma_{21} = C_2 = 0.$$
(E.2)

Both constants are zero because of stress-free boundary conditions. If we assume that the domain wall moves at a constant speed v, then we may express all fields of interest as a traveling wave solutions depending on the coordinate $\xi = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 - vt$. This turns the Allen-Cahn evolution equation for the polarization into

$$\begin{array}{ll}
-\nu\mu p_{1,\xi} = S_{11,1} + S_{12,2} - q_1 &\Rightarrow q_1 = 0, \\
-\nu\mu p_{2,\xi} = S_{21,1} + S_{22,2} - q_2 &\Rightarrow -\nu\mu p_{2,\xi} = S_{21,\xi} - q_2,
\end{array} \tag{E.3}$$

where we defined

$$S_{ij} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial p_{i,j}} = G_0 p_{i,j}, \qquad q_i = \frac{\partial W}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{coupl}}}{\partial p_i} + \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{pol}}}{\partial p_i} - e_i.$$
(E.4)

Multiplying the second equation in (E.3) by $p_{2,1}$ and integrating over the x_1 -axis gives

$$\nu \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu p_{2,\xi}^2 d\xi = -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S_{21,\xi} p_{2,\xi} d\xi + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_2 p_{2,\xi} d\xi - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_2 p_{2,\xi} d\xi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q_2 p_{2,\xi} d\xi,$$
(E.5)

where we exploited the fact that all fields are uniform at $x_1 \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Further note that

$$W_{\xi} = q_1 p_{1,\xi} + q_2 p_{2,\xi} + \sigma_{11} \varepsilon_{11,\xi} + \sigma_{12} \varepsilon_{12,\xi} + \sigma_{22} \varepsilon_{22,\xi} = q_2 p_{2,\xi}.$$
(E.6)



Fig. E1. Schematic representation of a ferroelectric single-crystal with a 180° domain wall being subject to an electric field in the e2-direction.

By substitution into (E.5), we finally obtain

$$\nu \int_{-\infty} \mu p_{2,\xi}^2 \,\mathrm{d}\xi = f \qquad \text{with} \qquad f = W(\xi \to \infty) - W(\xi \to -\infty) = \llbracket W \rrbracket, \tag{E.7}$$

where *f* represents the Eshelby traction acting on the domain wall and, for a constant domain wall shape, $C = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu p_{2,\xi}^2 d\xi$ is a constant characterizing the dissipation in the moving domain wall and depending on the shape of the domain wall. For a constant applied electric field (at a constant temperature), we have $f = \|W\| = \text{const.}$ for all times, so that we conclude

$$C v = f = \text{const.},$$
 (E.8)

so we expect a constant domain wall speed v emerging from the linear gradient descent kinetics (as one may expect). It is important to note that this holds true only for electric fields below the coercive field, so ferroelectric switching is accommodated purely by the motion of domain walls. We point out further that (E.7) hints at the influence of the evolution equation: if one replaces the Allen-Cahn evolution law by, e.g., a more complex dissipative mechanism (e.g., defining a power-law type dissipation potential as found in empirical macroscale models for ferroelectrics (Miehe and Rosato, 2011)), then this transforms the left-hand side of (E.7) accordingly and one can conclude the resulting relation between the domain wall speed v and the driving force f.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2020. 104098.

References

- Abe, R., 1959. Theoretical treatment of the movement of 180° domain in batio3 single crystal. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 14, 633–642. doi:10.1143/JPSJ.14.633.
- Arlt, G., Dederichs, H., 1980. Complex elastic, dielectric and piezoelectric constants by domain wall damping in ferroelectric ceramics. Ferroelectrics 29, 47–50. doi:10.1080/00150198008009006.
- Ayoub, M., Futterlieb, H., Imbrock, J., Denz, C., 2017. 3D imaging of ferroelectric kinetics during electrically driven switching. Adv. Mater. 29, 1603325. doi:10.1002/adma.201603325.
- Baruffi, C., Finel, A., Le Bouar, Y., Bacroix, B., Salman, O.U., 2019. Overdamped langevin dynamics simulations of grain boundary motion. Mater. Theory 3, 4. doi:10.1186/s41313-019-0016-1.
- Bhattacharya, K., Ravichandran, G., 2003. Ferroelectric perovskites for electromechanical actuation. Acta Mater. 51, 5941–5960. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2003. 08.001. The Golden Jubilee Issue. Selected topics in Materials Science and Engineering: Past, Present and Future
- Boltzmann, L., 1868. On the relationship between the second fundamental theorem of the mechanical theory of heat and probability calculations regarding the conditions for thermal equilibrium. 10.3390/e17041971
- Brewster, S.D., 1824. Observations on the pyro-Electricity of Minerals. William Blackwood.
- Buck, D. A., 1952. Ferroelectrics for digital information storage and switching. Technical Report, MASSACHUSETTS INST OF TECH CAMBRIDGE DIGITAL COMPUTER LAB.
- Burcsu, E., Ravichandran, G., Bhattacharya, K., 2004. Large electrostrictive actuation of barium titanate single crystals. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 52, 823–846. doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2003.08.001.
- CeramTec, 2020. Avanced electro ceramics datasheet. Website. https://www.ceramtec.com/ceramic-materials/soft-pzt/.
- Chaplya, P.M., Carman, G.P., 2001. Dielectric and piezoelectric response of lead zirconate-lead titanate at high electric and mechanical loads in terms of non-180° domain wall motion. J. Appl. Phys. 90, 5278–5286. doi:10.1063/1.1410330.
- Chen, L.Q., 2008. Phase-field method of phase transitions/domain structures in ferroelectric thin films: a review. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 91, 1835–1844. doi:10. 1111/j.1551-2916.2008.02413.x.
- Curie, J., Curie, P., 1880. Développement par compression de l'électricité polaire dans les cristaux hémièdres à faces inclinées. Bull. de minéralogie 3, 90–93. doi:10.1063/1.1735571.
- Devonshire, A., 1949. Xcvi. theory of barium titanate. Philos. Mag. Ser. 7 40, 1040-1063. doi:10.1080/14786444908561372.
- Devonshire, A., 1954. Theory of ferroelectrics. Adv. Phys. 3, 85-130. doi:10.1080/00018735400101173.
- Drougard, M., 1960. Detailed study of switching current in barium titanate. J. Appl. Phys. 31, 352-355. doi:10.1063/1.1735571.
- Ferrell, R., Menyhàrd, N., Schmidt, H., Schwabl, F., Szépfalusy, P., 1968. Fluctuations and lambda phase transition in liquid helium. Ann. Phys. (N Y) 47, 565-613. doi:10.1016/0003-4916(68)90214-5.
- Fokker, A.D., 1914. Die mittlere energie rotierender elektrischer dipole im strahlungsfeld. Ann. Phys. 348, 810–820. doi:10.1002/andp.19143480507.
- Funaki, T., 1995. The scaling limit for a stochastic pde and the separation of phases. Probab. Theory Relat. Fields 102, 221-288. doi:10.1007/BF01213390.
- Gauss, C.F., 1809. Theoria motvs corporvm coelestivm in sectionibvs conicis solem ambientivm. Sumtibus F. Perthes et IH Besser.
- Gibbs, J.W., 1902. Elementary principles in statistical mechanics: developed with especial reference to the rational foundation of thermodynamics. C. Scribner's sons.
- Hayashi, M., 1972. Kinetics of domain wall motion in ferroelectric switching. i. general formulation. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 33, 616–628. doi:10.1143/JPSJ.33.616. Haynes, W.M., 2014. CRC handbook of Chemistry and Physics. CRC press.
- Hooker, M. W., 1998. Properties of PZT-based piezoelectric ceramics between-150 and 250 celsius. https://ntrs.nasa.gov/search.jsp?R=19980236888. Technical Report. NASA.
- Jaffe, B., Cook, W., J., H., 1971. Piezoelectric Ceramics. Academic Press doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-379550-2.X5001-7.
- Ji, D.W., Kim, S.J., 2013. Temperature-dependent ferroelastic switching of ferroelectric ceramics and evolution of linear material properties. Acta Mater. 61, 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2012.08.050.
- Jo, J.Y., Yang, S.M., Kim, T., Lee, H.N., Yoon, J.G., Park, S., Jo, Y., Jung, M., Noh, T.W., 2009. Nonlinear dynamics of domain-wall propagation in epitaxial ferroelectric thin films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 045701. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.045701.
- Jona, F., Shirane, G., Mazzi, F., Pepinsky, R., 1957. X-Ray and neutron diffraction study of antiferroelectric lead zirconate, pbzr o 3. Phys. Rev. 105, 849. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.105.849.
- Kaeswurm, B., Schader, F., Webber, K., 2018. Ferroelectric, ferroelastic, piezoelectric, and dielectric properties of lead zirconate titanate from-150° c to 350° c. Ceram. Int. 44, 2358-2363. doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2017.10.204.
- Kampen, N.V., 2007. Chapter v the master equation. In: KAMPEN, N.V. (Ed.), Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (Third Edition). Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 96–133. North-Holland Personal Library doi: 10.1016/B978-044452965-7/50008-8.
- Karma, A., Rappel, W.J., 1999. Phase-field model of dendritic sidebranching with thermal noise. Phys. Rev. E 60, 3614–3625. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.60.3614.

r∞

Kohn, R.V., Otto, F., Reznikoff, M.G., Vanden-Eijnden, E., 2007. Action minimization and sharp-interface limits for the stochastic allen-cahn equation. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 60, 393–438. doi:10.1002/cpa.20144.

Kolmogoroff, A., 1931. Über die analytischen methoden in der wahrscheinlichkeitsrechnung. Math. Annalen 104, 415-458. doi:10.1007/BF01457949.

Koyama, T., 2008. Phase-field modeling of microstructure evolutions in magnetic materials. Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 9, 013006. doi:10.1088/1468-6996/9/1/ 013006.

Kramers, H.A., 1940. Brownian motion in a field of force and the diffusion model of chemical reactions. Physica 7, 284-304. doi:10.1016/S0031-8914(40) 90098-2.

Lambeck, P.V., Jonker, G.H., 1986. The nature of domain stabilization in ferroelectric perovskites. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 47, 453-461. doi:10.1016/ 0022-3697(86)90042-9.

Landau, L.D., 1908. Collected Papers of L.D. Landau, 1th Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Langevin, P., 1908. Sur la théorie du mouvement brownien. Compt. Rendus 146, 530-533.

Laplace, P.S., 1774. Mémoire sur la probabilité des causes par les événements. Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de Paris (Savants étrangers) 6, 621–656. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2245476.

Lebensohn, R.A., Kanjarla, A.K., Eisenlohr, P., 2012. An elasto-viscoplastic formulation based on fast fourier transforms for the prediction of micromechanical fields in polycrystalline materials. Int. J. Plast. 32–33, 59–69. doi:10.1016/j.ijplas.2011.12.005.

le Graverend, J.B., Wojnar, C.S., Kochmann, D.M., 2015. Broadband electromechanical spectroscopy: characterizing the dynamic mechanical response of viscoelastic materials under temperature and electric field control in a vacuum environment. J. Mater. Sci. 50, 3656–3685. doi:10.1007/s10853-015-8928-x.
 Li, Y., Cross, L., Chen, L., 2005. A phenomenological thermodynamic potential for ba ti o 3 single crystals. J. Appl. Phys. 98, 064101. doi:10.1063/1.2042528.
 Lines, M.E., Glass, A.M., 2001. Principles and Applications of Ferroelectrics and Related Materials. Oxford university press.

Liu, S., Grinberg, I., Rappe, A.M., 2016. Intrinsic ferroelectric switching from first principles. Nature 534, 360. doi:10.1038/nature18286.

Logé, R.E., Suo, Z., 1996. Nonequilibrium thermodynamics of ferroelectric domain evolution. Acta Mater. 44, 3429–3438. doi:10.1016/1359-6454(95)00425-4. Lohse, O., Grossmann, M., Boettger, U., Bolten, D., Waser, R., 2001. Relaxation mechanism of ferroelectric switching in pb (zr, ti) o 3 thin films. J. Appl. Phys. 89, 2332–2336. doi:10.1063/1.1331341.

Marincel, D.M., Zhang, H., Jesse, S., Belianinov, A., Okatan, M.B., Kalinin, S.V., Rainforth, W.M., Reaney, I.M., Randall, C.A., Trolier-McKinstry, S., 2015. Domain wall motion across various grain boundaries in ferroelectric thin films. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 98, 1848–1857. doi:10.1111/jace.13535.

Marsaglia, G., Bray, T.A., 1964. A convenient method for generating normal variables. SIAM Rev. 6, 260-264. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2027592.

- Marsilius, M., Webber, K.G., Aulbach, E., Granzow, T., 2010. Comparison of the temperature-dependent ferroelastic behavior of hard and soft lead zirconate titanate ceramics. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 93, 2850–2856. doi:10.1111/j.1551-2916.2010.03801.x.
- Meng, Q., Han, M.G., Tao, J., Xu, G., Welch, D.O., Zhu, Y., 2015. Velocity of domain-wall motion during polarization reversal in ferroelectric thin films: beyond merz's law. Phys. Rev. B 91, 054104. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.91.054104.
- Merz, W.J., 1956. Switching time in ferroelectric batio3 and its dependence on crystal thickness. J. Appl. Phys. 27, 938-943. doi:10.1063/1.1722518.

Miehe, C., Rosato, D., 2011. A rate-dependent incremental variational formulation of ferroelectricity. Int. J. Eng. Sci. 49, 466–496. Theoretical-Read 05/14/13 doi: 10.1016/j.ijengsci.2010.11.003.

Miehe, C., Schröder, J., Becker, M., 2002. Computational homogenization analysis in finite elasticity: material and structural instabilities on the micro- and macro-scales of periodic composites and their interaction. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 191, 4971–5005. doi:10.1016/S0045-7825(02)00391-2.

Miller, R.C., Weinreich, G., 1960. Mechanism for the sidewise motion of 180 domain walls in barium titanate. Phys. Rev. 117, 1460. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.117. 1460.

- Mohan, C., Seeger, M., Kronmüller, H., Murugaraj, P., Maier, J., 1998. Critical behaviour near the ferromagnetic-paramagnetic phase transition in la0.8sr0.2mno3. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 183, 348-355. doi:10.1016/S0304-8853(97)01095-0.
- Moulinec, H., Suquet, P., 1998. A numerical method for computing the overall response of nonlinear composites with complex microstructure. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 157, 69–94. doi:10.1016/S0045-7825(97)00218-1.
- Moulinec, H., Suquet, P., 2003. Comparison of FFT-based methods for computing the response of composites with highly contrasted mechanical properties. Physica B 338, 58-60. doi:10.1016/S0921-4526(03)00459-9.
- Moyal, J., 1949. Stochastic processes and statistical physics. J. R. Stat. Soc. 11, 150-210. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2984076.
- Muller, M.E., 1958. An inverse method for the generation of random normal deviates on large-scale computers. Math. Table. Other Aid. Comput. 12, 167–174. http://www.jstor.org/stable/2002017.

Ojovan, M.I., Lee, W.E., 2006. Topologically disordered systems at the glass transition. J. Phys. 18, 11507-11520. doi:10.1088/0953-8984/18/50/007.

Olsen, R.B., Bruno, D.A., Briscoe, J.M., 1985. Pyroelectric conversion cycles. J. Appl. Phys. 58, 4709-4716.

Panagiotou, A.D., Curtin, M.W., Toki, H., Scott, D.K., Siemens, P.J., 1984. Experimental evidence for a liquid-gas phase transition in nuclear systems. Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 496-499. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.52.496.

Park, B.E., Ishiwara, H., Okuyama, M., Sakai, S., Yoon, S.M., 2016. Ferroelectric-Gate field effect transistor memories. Springer.

Parravano, G.d., 1952. Ferroelectric transitions and heterogenous catalysis. J. Chem. Phys. 20, 342-343. 10.10632F1.1700412.

- Paruch, P., Giamarchi, T., Tybell, T., Triscone, J.M., 2006. Nanoscale studies of domain wall motion in epitaxial ferroelectric thin films. J. Appl. Phys. 100, 051608. doi:10.1063/1.2337356.
- PICeramic, 2020. Pi ceramic datasheet. Website https://www.piceramic.com/en/service/downloads/.
- Planck, M., 1917. Uber einen satz der statistischen dynamik and seine erweiterung in der quantetheorie. Sitzber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss 45, 324. https://biodiversitylibrary.org/page/29213319.
- Puchberger, S., Soprunyuk, V., Schranz, W., Tröster, A., Roleder, K., Majchrowski, A., Carpenter, M.A., Salje, E.K.H., 2017. The noise of many needles: jerky domain wall propagation in pbzro3 and laalo3. APL Mater. 5, 046102. doi:10.1063/1.4979616.
- Radhakrishnan, B., Gorti, S.B., Turner, J.A., Acharya, R., Sharon, J.A., Staroselsky, A., El-Wardany, T., 2019. Phase field simulations of microstructure evolution in in718 using a surrogate ni-fe-nb alloy during laser powder bed fusion. Metals (Basel) 9, 14. doi:10.3390/met9010014.
- Rodriguez, B.J., Chu, Y.H., Ramesh, R., Kalinin, S.V., 2008. Ferroelectric domain wall pinning at a bicrystal grain boundary in bismuth ferrite. Appl. Phys. Lett. 93. doi:10.1063/1.2993327.

Rolland, J., Bouchet, F., Simonnet, E., 2016. Computing transition rates for the 1-d stochastic ginzburg–landau–allen–cahn equation for finite-amplitude noise with a rare event algorithm. J. Stat. Phys. 162, 277–311. doi:10.1007/s10955-015-1417-4.

- Ryser, M.D., Nigam, N., Tupper, P.F., 2012. On the well-posedness of the stochastic allen-cahn equation in two dimensions. J. Comput. Phys. 231, 2537–2550. doi:10.1016/j.jcp.2011.12.002.
- Schmitt, L.A., Schönau, K.A., Theissmann, R., Fuess, H., Kungl, H., Hoffmann, M.J., 2007. Composition dependence of the domain configuration and size in pb (zr 1- x ti x) o 3 ceramics. J. Appl. Phys. 101, 074107. doi:10.1063/1.2715476.
- Schröder, J., 2009. Derivation of the localization and homogenization conditions for electro-mechanically coupled problems. Comput. Mater. Sci. 46, 595-599. Proceedings of the 18th International Workshop on Computational Mechanics of Materials IWCMM-18 doi: 10.1016/j.commatsci.2009.03.035.
- Schultheiß, J., Liu, L., Kungl, H., Weber, M., Venkataraman, L.K., Checchia, S., Damjanovic, D., Daniels, J.E., Koruza, J., 2018. Revealing the sequence of switching mechanisms in polycrystalline ferroelectric/ferroelastic materials. Acta Mater. 157, 355–363. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2018.07.018.
- Shang, S., Guo, Z., Han, Z., 2016. On the kinetics of dendritic sidebranching: a three dimensional phase field study. J. Appl. Phys. 119, 164305. doi:10.1063/ 1.4947450.

Shardlow, T., 2000. Stochastic perturbations of the allen-cahn equation. Electron. J. Diff. Equs. 1-19. https://digital.library.txstate.edu/handle/10877/9141.

Sheppard, D., Terrell, R., Henkelman, G., 2008. Optimization methods for finding minimum energy paths. J. Chem. Phys. 128, 134106. doi:10.1063/1.2841941. Shirane, G., Hoshino, S., 1954. X-Ray study of phase transitions in pbzro3 containing ba or sr. Acta Crystallogr. 7, 203–210. doi:10.1107/S0365110X54000552.

- Slutsker, J., Artemev, A., Roytburd, A., 2008. Phase-field modeling of domain structure of confined nanoferroelectrics. Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 087602. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevLett.100.087602.
- Su, Y., Landis, C.M., 2007. Continuum thermodynamics of ferroelectric domain evolution: theory, finite element implementation, and application to domain wall pinning. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 55, 280–305. doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2006.07.006.
- Tagantsev, A.K., Stolichnov, I., Setter, N., Cross, J.S., Tsukada, M., 2002. Non-kolmogorov-avrami switching kinetics in ferroelectric thin films. Phys. Rev. B 66, 214109. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.66.214109.
- Tan, W.L., Faber, K.T., Kochmann, D.M., 2019. In-situ observation of evolving microstructural damage and associated effective electro-mechanical properties of pzt during bipolar electrical fatigue. Acta Mater. 164, 704–713. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2018.10.065.
- Taylor, G., 1985. Piezoelectricity. Ferroelectricity and related phenomena. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers. https://books.google.com/books?id= 7b00AAAAQAAJ.

Toda, M., Kubo, R., Saitô, N., 1983, Statistical Physics I, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg doi:10.1007/978-3-642-96698-9.

- Tybell, T., Paruch, P., Giamarchi, T., Triscone, J.M., 2002. Domain wall creep in epitaxial ferroelectric p b (z r 0.2 t i 0.8) o 3 thin films. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 097601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.097601.
- Uchino, K., 2009. Ferroelectric devices. CRC Press.
- Valasek, J., 1921. Piezo-electric and allied phenomena in rochelle salt. Phys. Rev. 17, 475. doi:10.1103/PhysRev.17.475.
- Vidyasagar, A., Tan, W., Kochmann, D., 2017. Predicting the effective response of bulk polycrystalline ferroelectric ceramics via improved spectral phase field methods. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 106, 133–151. doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2017.05.017.
- Völker, B., Marton, P., Elsässer, C., Kamlah, M., 2011. Multiscale modeling for ferroelectric materials: atransition from the atomic level to phase-field modeling. Continuum. Mech. Thermodyn. 23, 435–451. doi:10.1007/s00161-011-0188-7.
- Vopsaroiu, M., Blackburn, J., Cain, M.G., Weaver, P.M., 2010. Thermally activated switching kinetics in second-order phase transition ferroelectrics. Phys. Rev. B 82, 024109. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.82.024109.
- Wang, J., Wu, P., Ma, X., Chen, L., 2010. Temperature-pressure phase diagram and ferroelectric properties of batio 3 single crystal based on a modified landau potential. J. Appl. Phys. 108, 114105. doi:10.1063/1.3504194.
- Webber, K., Aulbach, E., Key, T., Marsilius, M., Granzow, T., Rödel, J., 2009. Temperature-dependent ferroelastic switching of soft lead zirconate titanate. Acta Mater. 57, 4614–4623. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2009.06.037.
- Wojnar, C.S., Le Graverend, J.B., Kochmann, D.M., 2014. Broadband control of the viscoelasticity of ferroelectrics via domain switching. Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 1–5. doi:10.1063/1.4899055.
- Woldman, A.Y., Landis, C.M., 2016. Phase-field modeling of ferroelectric to paraelectric phase boundary structures in single-crystal barium titanate. Smart Mater. Struct. 25, 035033. doi:10.1088/0964-1726/25/3/035033.
- Woldman, A.Y., Landis, C.M., 2019. Thermo-electro-mechanical phase-field modeling of paraelectric to ferroelectric transitions. Int. J. Solids Struct. doi:10. 1016/j.ijsolstr.2019.06.012.
- Woodward, D.I., Knudsen, J., Reaney, I.M., 2005. Review of crystal and domain structures in the pbzr_xti_{1-x}o₃ solid solution. Phys. Rev. B 72, 104110. doi:10. 1103/PhysRevB.72.104110.
- Yang, J., 2006. An introduction to the theory of piezoelectricity. Advances in Mechanics and Mathematics. Springer US. https://books.google.com/books?id= pt7A_4CKpTEC.
- Zhang, Q., Wang, H., Kim, N., Cross, L., 1994. Direct evaluation of domain-wall and intrinsic contributions to the dielectric and piezoelectric response and their temperature dependence on lead zirconate-titanate ceramics. J. Appl. Phys. 75, 454–459. doi:10.1063/1.355874.
- Zhang, W., Bhattacharya, K., 2005. A computational model of ferroelectric domains. part i: model formulation and domain switching. Acta Mater. 53, 185-198. doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2004.09.016.
- Zhou, D., Kamlah, M., Munz, D., 2001. Rate dependence of soft pzt ceramics under electric field loading. Proc. SPIE 4333, 64–70. doi:10.1117/12.432740.
- Zhu, J., Wu, H., Wang, D., Gao, Y., Wang, H., Hao, Y., Yang, R., Zhang, T.Y., Wang, Y., 2017. Crystallographic analysis and phase field simulation of transformation plasticity in a multifunctional β-ti alloy. Int. J. Plast. 89, 110–129. doi:10.1016/j.jiplas.2016.11.006.