

UTD19

Understanding traffic capacity of urban networks

Dataset**Author(s):**

[Loder, Allister](#) ; [Ambühl, Lukas](#) ; [Menendez, Monica](#); [Axhausen, Kay W.](#) 

Publication date:

2020-08

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000437802>

Rights / license:

[Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International](#)

UTD19: Urban traffic data from 40 cities

Allister Loder

Lukas Ambühl

Monica Menendez

Kay W. Axhausen

Institute for Transport Planning and Systems

August 2020

Contents

1	Study description	1
1.1	General	1
1.2	Funding and acknowledgements	1
2	Detector location information: File description	3
3	Detector location information: Variables	3
4	Detector link information: File description	4
5	Detector link information: Variables	4
6	Traffic measurements: File description	5
7	Traffic measurements: Variables	5

UTD19: Urban traffic data from 40 cities

August 2020

Abstract

This document provides the dataset used for the analysis of *Understanding traffic capacity of urban networks* published in Scientific Reports written by Loder et al. The mentioned reference should be cited when using this dataset. The publicly accessible dataset only provides the data from 40 out of 41 cities.

Keywords

Traffic flow data, MFD, congestion

Preferred citation style

Loder, A., Ambühl, L., Menendez, M. and Axhausen, K.W. (2020) UTD19: Urban traffic data from 40 cities, , , Institute for Transport Planning and Systems (IVT), ETH Zurich, Zurich.

1 Study description

1.1 General

The empirical data for MFD estimation is collected from stationary traffic sensors, namely inductive loop detectors, supersonic detectors, cameras, bluetooth detectors or similar. From these sources, we collected for each city at least two out of the three fundamental traffic variables speed, flow and density. In case of density, the sensors usually report occupancy levels, the fraction of time a detector is occupied, during an observation period.

For most of the cities, the flow-occupancy datasets are received directly from the local authorities, while for other cities APIs or the OpenData access points have been used. We processed all data in such a way that the final datasets are similar across cities. Additionally, we received information from the cities about the whereabouts of each single detector. We verified the location using aerial photography and images of the street publicly and freely available on the internet. We marked the location of each detector in a GIS application. The detector information dataset and the actual are measurements are linked with the variable `detid`.

We enriched location information of sensors with further attributes describing the location of the sensor with respect to the road network. In detail, we marked the monitored lane of the detector in a GIS application to measure the total lane length (variable `length`) and the distance to the downstream signal (variable `pos`). The lane is drawn in driving direction from upstream to downstream intersection. Lane and detectors are linked with the variable `linkid`. Note that several detectors can be located on a single lane. With the given geographic information of each detector, OpenStreetMap attributes are queried from the closest link: the road name for attribute (variable `road`), the functional road class for attribute (variable `fclass`) and the speed limit (variable `limit`) when available.

1.2 Funding and acknowledgements

This work was supported by ETH Research Grants ETH-04 15-1 and ETH-27 16-1. The data has been provided via the following agencies, where we have to thank the listed individuals. Augsburg: Markus Furnier, Uwe Strakosch; Basel: Clemens Huber and Thomas Riedel; Bern: Roland Jutzi and Martin Howald; Bordeaux: Claire Bernard; Bremen: Hans Georg Teich; Constance: Daniel Meyer; Darmstadt: Ralf Tank; Duisburg: Sandra Terporten, Matthias Poerschke; Frankfurt: Volker Kanngiesser; Essen: Frank Spies and Volker Gronau; Graz: Martin

Fellendorf and Bernd Cagran; Groningen and Rotterdam: Mark Lodder; Hamburg: Andre Schwark and David Henning; Kassel: Thorsten Miltner; Innsbruck: Roland Feichter; London: Ashley Turner and Andy Emmonds; Los Angeles: Kali Fogel and Chelsea Ursaner; Lucerne: Thomas Karrer and Milena Scherrer; Madrid: Maria Guadalupe Hernandez; Marseille: Marc Jouvenne; Manchester: Richard Dolphin; Melbourne: Jessica O’Keefe, VicRoads Traffic and Transport Data Team; Munich: Ralf Träger; Speyer: Markus Rauch; Strasbourg: Francoise Lobstein; Stuttgart: Markus Friedrich, Simon Rittig and Dirk Herrmann; Taipeh: Tien-Pen Hsu and Che-Ning Chang; Tokyo: Daisuke Fukuda, Takao Dantsuji and Shin Hirabayashi; Torino: Marco Bono, Matteo Antoniola, Massimo Coccozza, Paolo Cassinelli, Darja Tommasi; Toronto: Raphael Dumas, Jesse Coleman, and Aakash Harpalani; Toulouse: Christine Buisson and Cyril Ladier; Utrecht: Jonathan de Vries and Coen van Tooren; Wolfsburg: Markus Rauch; Zurich: Gian Dönier, Urs Birchmeier and Karl Weberruss;

2 Detector location information: File description

Title: detectors.csv

Contents: Geospatial information about detector location with OpenStreetMap attributes and lat-long coordinates. Linked to measurements via detid and to links via linkid.

Unit of analysis: Detector

Number of cases: 23577. Variables per record: 11.

File format: comma-separated values (csv)

3 Detector location information: Variables

detid: Detector identification.

citycode: Name of the city.

length: Length of the monitored lane in km.

pos: Distance to downstream traffic signal (or major intersection) in km.

long: Longitude of detector location.

lat: Latitude of detector location.

lanes: Number of lanes monitored.

linkid: Link id of the monitored lane.

fclass: OpenStreetMap's functional road class classification

road: Road name.

limit: Speed limit, if available.

4 Detector link information: File description

Title: links.csv

Contents: Spatial lines object for each monitored traffic lane or link converted to a text file. Linked to detectors via linkid. Detectors not matched to a link or lane have a missing value for the linkid. Order of points in direction of traffic.

Unit of analysis: Lane or link.

Number of cases: 140858. Variables per record: 7.

File type: comma-separated values (csv)

5 Detector link information: Variables

citycode: Name of the city.

linkid: Link id of the monitored lane.

order: Order of waypoint sequence.

piece: Spatial feature number of that link id (should be one).

group: Group number of that spatial feature.

long: Longitude of waypoint.

lat: Latitude of waypoint.

6 Traffic measurements: File description

Title: UTD19.csv

Contents: Traffic measurements with original and filtered data. Note that not all detectors report occupancy, speed and flow, i.e., where no data was recorded or is available, missing values are stored. Detectors do not provide all variables at every interval. Some detectors that only provide flow and occupancy while others report flow and speed. In some cases (e.g., Melbourne), loops provide either flow *or* speed.

Unit of analysis: Detector. Number of cases: 169032955. Variables per record: 8.

File type: comma-separated values (csv)

7 Traffic measurements: Variables

city: Name of the city.

detid: Detector identification.

day: Day of recording.

interval: Beginning of recording interval in seconds from midnight.

flow: Flow in vehicles per hour for that detector. A reporting detector can span several lanes. The flow given in the data set is adjusted for this, i.e. it gives flow per hour and lane (veh/h-lane)).

occ: Detector occupancy.

speed: Average speed in recording interval in km per hour.

error: identified or reported error if a non-missing value is reported.