

# Polynomial chaos expansions in 90 minutes

Other Conference Item

Author(s): Sudret, Bruno

Publication date: 2021-10-07

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000508852

Rights / license: In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted

### ETHzürich



# **Polynomial Chaos Expansions**

B. Sudret

Chair of Risk, Safety and Uncertainty Quantification, ETH Zurich, Switzerland

4th Nat. Conf on Multidisciplinary Design, Analysis and Optimization

# How to cite?

This presentation has been delivered during a master class organized at the 4th National Conference on Multidisciplinary Design, Analysis and Optimization of India, October 7-9, 2021 (https://www.ncmdao.org/).

### How to cite

Sudret, B. *Polynomial chaos expansions* (2021), Master class, 4th National Conference on Multidisciplinary Design, Analysis and Optimization, Indian Institute of Technology Madras (India), October 7.



# Chair of Risk, Safety and Uncertainty quantification

The Chair carries out research projects in the field of uncertainty quantification for engineering problems with applications in structural reliability, sensitivity analysis, model calibration, and reliability-based design optimization

### **Research topics**

- Uncertainty modelling for engineering systems
- Structural reliability analysis
- Surrogate models (polynomial chaos expansions, Kriging, support vector machines)
- Bayesian model calibration and stochastic inverse problems
- · Global sensitivity analysis
- Reliability-based design optimization



http://www.rsuq.ethz.ch



Polynomial Chaos Expansions

# Computational models in engineering

Complex engineering systems are designed and assessed using computational models, a.k.a simulators

### A computational model combines:

• A mathematical description of the physical phenomena (governing equations), *e.g.* mechanics, electromagnetism, fluid dynamics, etc.

- Discretization techniques which transform continuous equations into linear algebra problems
- Algorithms to solve the discretized equations

 $\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{div} \, \boldsymbol{\sigma} + \boldsymbol{f} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ & \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{\mathsf{D}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \\ & \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nabla \boldsymbol{u} + {}^{\mathsf{T}} \nabla \boldsymbol{u} \right) \end{aligned}$ 





# Computational models in engineering

Computational models are used:

- To explore the design space ("virtual prototypes")
- To optimize the system (e.g. minimize the mass) under performance constraints
- To assess its robustness w.r.t uncertainty and its reliability
- Together with experimental data for calibration purposes











# Computational models: the abstract viewpoint

A computational model may be seen as a black box program that computes quantities of interest (QoI) (a.k.a. model responses) as a function of input parameters





# Real world is uncertain

- Differences between the designed and the real system:
  - Dimensions (tolerances in manufacturing)
  - Material properties (*e.g.* variability of the stiffness or resistance)



• Unforecast exposures: exceptional service loads, natural hazards (earthquakes, floods, landslides), climate loads (hurricanes, snow storms, etc.), accidental human actions (explosions, fire, etc.)











# Global framework for uncertainty quantification



B. Sudret, Uncertainty propagation and sensitivity analysis in mechanical models – contributions to structural reliability and stochastic spectral methods (2007)



# Monte Carlo simulation in UQ

 Monte Carlo simulation allows one to assess the performance of a large number of virtual systems featuring different realizations of the input parameters



Source: www.monaco.mc

- The input random variables are sampled according to their joint PDF  $f_{X}(x)$
- For each sample  $x^{(i)}$ , the response  $\mathcal{M}(x^{(i)})$  is computed (possibly time-consuming)
- The response sample set  $\mathbf{M} = \{\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)})\}^{\mathsf{T}}$  is used to compute statistical moments, probabilities of failure or estimate the response distribution (histograms, kernel densities)



# Monte Carlo simulation in UQ





# Monte Carlo simulation

### Advantages

- It is a universal method, *i.e.* it does not depend on the type of model  $\mathcal{M}$
- It is statistically well defined: convergence, confidence intervals, etc.
- It is non intrusive, *i.e.* it is based on repeated runs of the computational model as a black box
- It is suited to distributed computing (clusters of PCs)

### Drawbacks

- The "scattering" of Y is investigated point-by-point: if two samples  $x^{(i)}, x^{(j)}$  are almost equal, two independent runs of the model are carried out
- The convergence rate is low ( $\propto N^{-1/2}$ )



# Surrogate models for uncertainty quantification

A surrogate model  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  is an approximation of the original computational model  $\mathcal{M}$  with the following features:

- It is built from a limited set of runs of the original model  $\mathcal{M}$  called the experimental design  $\mathcal{X} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, \, i = 1, \ldots, N \right\}$
- It assumes some regularity of the model  ${\mathcal M}$  and some general functional shape

Name	Shape	Parameters
Polynomial chaos expansions	$ ilde{\mathcal{M}}(oldsymbol{x}) = \sum a_{oldsymbol{lpha}} \Psi_{oldsymbol{lpha}}(oldsymbol{x})$	$a_{lpha}$
	$R \qquad \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$	
Low-rank tensor approximations	$ ilde{\mathcal{M}}(oldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{l=1} b_l \left( \prod_{i=1} v_l^{(i)}(x_i) \right)$	$b_l,  z_{k,l}^{(i)}$
Kriging (a.k.a Gaussian processes)	$ ilde{\mathcal{M}}(oldsymbol{x}) = oldsymbol{eta}_m^T \cdot oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x}) + Z(oldsymbol{x},\omega)$	$oldsymbol{eta},\sigma_Z^2,oldsymbol{ heta}$
Support vector machines	$ ilde{\mathcal{M}}(oldsymbol{x}) = \sum a_i  K(oldsymbol{x}_i,oldsymbol{x}) + b$	$oldsymbol{a},b$
	i=1	



# Ingredients for building a surrogate model

- Select an experimental design  $\mathcal{X}$  that covers at best the domain of input parameters: Latin hypercube sampling (LHS), low-discrepancy sequences
- Run the computational model  ${\mathcal M}$  onto  ${\mathcal X}$  exactly as in Monte Carlo simulation



• Smartly post-process the data  $\{\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{X})\}$  through a learning algorithm

Name	Learning method
Polynomial chaos expansions	sparse grid integration, least-squares, compressive sensing
Low-rank tensor approximations	alternate least squares
Kriging	maximum likelihood, Bayesian inference
Support vector machines	quadratic programming



### Advantages of surrogate models

Usage

 $\mathcal{M}({m x}) ~pprox ~ ilde{\mathcal{M}}({m x})$ hours per run seconds for  $10^6$  runs

Advantages

- Non-intrusive methods: based on runs of the computational model, exactly as in Monte Carlo simulation
- Suited to high performance computing: "embarrassingly parallel"

### Challenges

- Need for rigorous validation
- Communication: advanced mathematical background

Efficiency: 2-3 orders of magnitude less runs compared to Monte Carlo



### ETHzürich

# Outline

Polynomial chaos expansions

Introduction

PCE basis

Isoprobabilistic transform and truncation

### Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Least-square minimization Statistical moments and distribution

Global sensitivity analysis

### Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Error estimation

Curse of dimensionality

Sparse solvers

### Application examples

Load bearing capacity Subsurface flow: global sensitivity analysis

# Polynomial chaos expansions in a nutshell

Ghanem & Spanos (1991; 2003); Xiu & Karniadakis (2002); Soize & Ghanem (2004)

- We assume here for simplicity that the input parameters are independent with  $X_i \sim f_{X_i}, \ i=1, \ldots, d$
- PCE is also applicable in the general case using an isoprobabilistic transform  $X\mapsto \Xi$

The polynomial chaos expansion of the (random) model response reads:

$$Y = \sum_{oldsymbollpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} y_{oldsymbollpha} \Psi_{oldsymbollpha}(oldsymbol X)$$

where:

- $\Psi_{\alpha}(X)$  are basis functions (multivariate orthonormal polynomials)
- $y_{\alpha}$  are coefficients to be computed (coordinates)

# Sampling (MCS) vs. spectral expansion (PCE)

Whereas MCS explores the output space /distribution point-by-point, the polynomial chaos expansion assumes a generic structure (polynomial function), which better exploits the available information (runs of the original model)

Example: load bearing capacity as a function of  $(c, \varphi)$ 



Thousands (resp. millions) of points are needed to grasp the structure of the response (resp. capture the rare events)



# Visualization of the PCE construction



= "Sum of coefficients × basic surfaces"



Visualization of the PCE construction



Polynomial Chaos Expansions

# Polynomial chaos expansion: procedure

$$Y^{\mathsf{PCE}} = \sum_{oldsymbol{lpha} \in \mathcal{A}} y_{oldsymbol{lpha}} \Psi_{oldsymbol{lpha}}(oldsymbol{X})$$

### Four steps

- How to construct the polynomial basis  $\Psi_{\alpha}(X)$  for given  $X_i \sim f_{X_i}$ ?
- How to compute the coefficients  $y_{\alpha}$ ?
- · How to check the accuracy of the expansion ?
- How to answer the engineering questions:
  - Mean, standard deviation
  - PDF, quantiles
  - Sensitivity indices





# Outline

### Polynomial chaos expansions

ntroduction

### PCE basis

Isoprobabilistic transform and truncation

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Application examples



# Univariate orthogonal polynomials

Suppose the input random vector has independent components:

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \prod_{i}^{M} f_{X_{i}}(x_{i})$$

• For each marginal distribution  $f_{X_i}(x_i)$ , we define the inner product:

$$\langle \phi_1(x_i), \phi_2(x_i) \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{D}_i} \phi_1(x_i) \phi_2(x_i) f_{X_i}(x_i) dx_i$$

• By classical algebra one can build a family of orthogonal polynomials  $\{P_k^{(i)}, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ :

$$\left\langle P_j^{(i)}(x_i), P_k^{(i)}(x_i) \right\rangle = \int P_j^{(i)}(x_i) P_k^{(i)}(x_i) f_{X_i}(x_i) dx_i = \gamma_j^{(i)} \delta_{jk}$$

*e.g.* using the Gram-Schmit orthogonalization procedure of  $\left\{1,\,x,\,x^2,\,x^3,\ldots
ight\}$ 



# **Classical orthogonal polynomials**

- Classical families of orthogonal polynomials have been discovered historically when solving various problems of physics, quantum mechanics, etc.
- The name of the researcher who first investigated their properties is attached to them.

Type of variable	Weight function	Orthogonal polynomials	PCE basis $\psi_k(x)$
Uniform	$[1]_{-1,1[(x)/2}$	Legendre $P_k(x)$	$P_k(x)/\sqrt{rac{1}{2k+1}}$
Gaussian	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-x^2/2}$	Hermite $He_{k}\left(x ight)$	$H_{e_{k}}(x)/\sqrt{k!}$
Gamma	$x^a e^{-x} 1_{\mathbb{R}^+}(x)$	Laguerre $L_{k}^{a}(x)$	$L_k^a(x)/\sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(k+a+1)}{k!}}$
Beta	$1_{]-1,1[}(x) \ \frac{(1-x)^a (1+x)^b}{B(a) \ B(b)}$	Jacobi $J_k^{a,b}(x)$	$J_k^{a,b}(x)/\mathfrak{J}_{a,b,k}$
		$\mathfrak{J}^{2}_{a,b,k} = \frac{2^{a+b+1}}{2k+a+b+1}$	$\frac{\Gamma(k+a+1)\Gamma(k+b+1)}{\Gamma(k+a+b+1)\Gamma(k+1)}$









See details in Appendix

### Legendre

Risk, Safety &

Hermite

Laguerre

Jacobi

Polynomial Chaos Expansions

### ETHzürich

# Multivariate polynomials

### Tensor product of 1D polynomials

- One defines the multi-indices  $\pmb{lpha}=\{lpha_1,\,\ldots\,,lpha_M\}$ , of degree  $|\pmb{lpha}|=\sum_{i=1}^m lpha_i$
- The associated multivariate polynomial reads:

$$\Psi_{oldsymbol{lpha}}(oldsymbol{x}) \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^M \Psi^{(i)}_{lpha_i}(x_i)$$

where  $\Psi_{\alpha_i}^{(i)}(x_i)$  is the univariate polynomial of degree  $\alpha_i$  from the orthonormal family associated to variable  $x_i$ 





# **Example:** multivariate polynomials in 2D (M = 2)





# Outline

### Polynomial chaos expansions

Introduction PCE basis Isoprobabilistic transform and truncation

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Application examples



### ETHzürich

### Dealing with complex input distributions

- Classical orthogonal polynomials are defined for reduced variables, e.g. :
  - Standard normal variables  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$
  - Standard uniform variables  $\mathcal{U}(-1,1)$
- In practical UQ problems the physical parameters are modelled by random variables that are:
  - Not necessarily reduced, e.g.  $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma), X_2 \sim \mathcal{U}(a, b)$ , etc.
  - Not necessarily from a classical family, e.g. lognormal variable
  - May show dependence modelled by a joint PDF

### How to handle these cases?



# Dealing with complex input distributions

### Independent variables

Risk, Safety &

Input parameters with given marginal CDFs  $X_i \sim F_{X_i}$ , i = 1, ..., M

• Arbitrary PCE: orthogonal polynomial computed numerically on-the-fly

Wan & Karniadakis (2006); Oladyshkin & Nowak (2012)

• Isoprobabilistic transform through a one-to-one mapping to reduced variables, e.g. :

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= F_{X_i}^{-1} \left( \frac{\xi_i + 1}{2} \right) & \text{if } \xi_i \sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1) \\ X_i &= F_{X_i}^{-1} \left( \Phi(\xi_i) \right) & \text{if } \xi_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

### General case: addressing dependence

Sklar's theorem (1959)

• The joint CDF is defined through its marginals and copula

$$F_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{C}\left(F_{X_1}(x_1), \ldots, F_{X_M}(x_M)\right)$$

Rosenblatt or Nataf isoprobabilistic transform is used

# Standard truncation scheme

Premise

- The infinite series expansion cannot be handled in pratical computations
- A truncated series must be defined

### Standard truncation scheme

Consider all multivariate polynomials of total degree  $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \alpha_i$  less than or equal to *p*:

$$\mathcal{A}^{M,p} = \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^M \ : \ |\boldsymbol{\alpha}| \le p \} \qquad P \equiv \operatorname{card} \mathcal{A}^{M,p} = \binom{M+p}{p} = \frac{(M+p)!}{M! \, p!}$$

M=2 input variables



26/88

# Mixed Legendre/Hermite polynomials

Computational model  $Y = \mathcal{M}(X_1, X_2)$ 

Probabilistic model

 $X_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma) \quad ; \quad X_2 \sim \mathcal{U}(a, b)$ 

Isoprobabilistic transform

$$X_1 = \mu + \sigma \xi_1 \qquad \xi_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$
  
$$X_2 = (a+b)/2 + (b-a)\xi_2/2 \qquad \xi_2 \sim \mathcal{U}(-1, 1)$$

### Univariate polynomials

- Hermite polynomials in  $\xi_1$ , *i.e.*  $\tilde{H}e_n(\xi_1)$
- Legendre polynomials in  $\xi_2$ , *i.e.*  $\tilde{P}_n(\xi_2)$

Multivariate polynomials

$$\Psi_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \tilde{H}e_{\alpha_1}(\xi_1) \cdot \tilde{P}_{\alpha_2}(\xi_2)$$



# **Truncation example**

### Third order truncation p = 3

All the polynomials of  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  that are product of univariate polynomials and whose total degree is less than 3 are considered

j	${}^{\alpha}$	$\Psi_{\alpha} \equiv \Psi_j$
0	[0, 0]	$\Psi_0 = 1$
1	[1,0]	$\Psi_1 = \xi_1$
<b>2</b>	[0,1]	$\Psi_2 = \sqrt{3}\xi_2$
3	[2, 0]	$\Psi_3 = (\xi_1^2 - 1)/\sqrt{2}$
4	[1,1]	$\Psi_4 = \xi_1 \sqrt{3}  \xi_2$
<b>5</b>	[0, 2]	$\Psi_5 = \sqrt{5/4} \left( 3\xi_2^2 - 1 \right)$
6	[3,0]	$\Psi_6 = (\xi_1^3 - 3\xi_1)/\sqrt{6}$
$\overline{7}$	[2, 1]	$\Psi_7 = \sqrt{3/2}  (\xi_1^2 - 1) \xi_2$
8	[1,2]	$\Psi_8 = \sqrt{5/4}(3\xi_2^2 - 1)\xi_1$
9	[0,3]	$\Psi_9 = \sqrt{7/4} (5\xi_2^3 - 3\xi_2)$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{Y} &\equiv \mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{PC}}(\xi_1, \xi_2) = a_0 + a_1 \, \xi_1 + a_2 \, \sqrt{3} \, \xi_2 \\ &+ a_3 \, (\xi_1^2 - 1) / \sqrt{2} + a_4 \, \sqrt{3} \, \xi_1 \xi_2 \\ &+ a_5 \, \sqrt{5/4} \, (3\xi_2^2 - 1) + a_6 \, (\xi_1^3 - 3\xi_1) / \sqrt{6} \\ &+ a_7 \, \sqrt{3/2} \, (\xi_1^2 - 1) \xi_2 + a_8 \, \sqrt{5/4} (3\xi_2^2 - 1) \xi_1 \\ &+ a_9 \, \sqrt{7/4} (5\xi_2^3 - 3\xi_2) \end{split}$$



# Conclusions

- Polynomial chaos expansions allow for an intrinsic representation of the random response as a series expansion
- The basis functions are multivariate orthonormal polynomials (based on the input distribution)
- Arbitrary PCE expansions can be computed numerically
- The input vector may also be transformed into independent reduced variables for which classical orthogonal polynomials are well-known
- A truncation scheme shall be introduced for pratical computations, *e.g.* by selecting the maximal degree of the polynomials
- Next step is the computation of the expansion coefficients



# Outline

### Polynomial chaos expansions

### Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Least-square minimization Statistical moments and distribution Global sensitivity analysis

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Application examples



# Various methods for computing the coefficients

### Intrusive approaches

- Historical approaches: projection of the equations residuals in the Galerkin sense Ghanem & Spanos, 1991, 2003
- Proper generalized decompositions

### Non intrusive approaches

- Non intrusive methods consider the computational model  $\mathcal M$  as a black box
- They rely upon a design of numerical experiments, *i.e.* a *n*-sample X = {x<sup>(i)</sup> ∈ D<sub>X</sub>, i = 1, ..., n} of the input parameters
- Different classes of methods are available:
  - Projection
  - Stochastic collocation
  - Least-square minimization
  - Compressive sensing

Nouv. 2007-2010

# Statistical approach: least-square minimization

### Principle

Berveiller et al. (2006)

The exact (infinite) series expansion is considered as the sum of a truncated series and a residual:

$$Y = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{X}) + \varepsilon_{P} \equiv \boldsymbol{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} \boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{X}) + \varepsilon_{P}(\boldsymbol{X})$$

where :  $\mathbf{Y} = \{y_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} \equiv \{y_0, \dots, y_{P-1}\}$  (*P* unknown coefficients)

$$oldsymbol{\Psi}(oldsymbol{x}) = \{\Psi_0(oldsymbol{x}), \, \ldots \,, \Psi_{P-1}(oldsymbol{x})\}$$

Residual

$$\varepsilon_P(\boldsymbol{X}) = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) - \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X})$$


# Least-squares minimization: continuous solution

#### Least-square minimization

The unknown coefficients are estimated by minimizing the mean square residual error:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}} = \arg\min\,\mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_P^2(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = \arg\min\,\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^2\right]$$

#### Analytical solution (continuous case)

The least-square minimization problem may be solved analytically:

$$\hat{y}_{oldsymbol{lpha}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(oldsymbol{X}) \, \Psi_{oldsymbol{lpha}}(oldsymbol{X})
ight] \qquad orall \, oldsymbol{lpha} \in \mathcal{A}$$

### Coefficient $\hat{y}_{\alpha}$ is the projection of the model onto polynomial $\Psi_{\alpha}(X)$

Risk, Selfety 6 Uncertainty Quantification

# Least-square minimization: discretized solution

### Principle

An estimate of the mean square error (sample average) is minimized:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \arg\min \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[ \left( \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{X}) - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{X}) \right)^{2} \right]$$
$$= \arg\min \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{\Psi}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right)^{2}$$
$$= \arg\min \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) - \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_{j} \Psi_{j}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right)^{2}$$

Notation

Risk, Safety &

- $\mathbf{A}_{ij} = \Psi_j \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right)$ : experimental matrix of size  $n \times P$
- $\mathbf{M}_i = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$ : output of the computational model
- $\mathbf{Y} = \{y_0, \ldots, y_{P-1}\}$ : unknown coefficients

#### ETHzürich

## Least-square minimization: discretized solution

- M AY is the vector containing the residuals
- The mean-square error is equal to  $(\mathbf{M} \mathbf{AY})^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot (\mathbf{M} \mathbf{AY})$

Solution

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}^{2} = (\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y})^{\mathsf{T}} \cdot (\mathbf{M} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y})$$
$$= \mathbf{M}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M} - 2 \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{Y}$$

• The mean-square error is minimized when its derivative w.r.t each unknown coefficient  $y_j$  vanishes:

$$\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \mathbf{Y}^{\mathsf{T}}} = -2 \, \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{M} + 2 \, \left( \mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{A} \right) \mathbf{Y} = 0$$

• This reduces to a linear system:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}
ight)^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}$$

## Least-square minimization in a nutshell

- Select an experimental design  $\mathcal{X} = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}^{\mathsf{T}}$  that covers at best the domain of variation of the parameters
- Evaluate the model response for each sample (exactly as in Monte carlo simulation)  $\mathbf{M} = \left\{ \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}) \right\}^{\mathsf{T}}$
- Compute the experimental matrix

$$\mathbf{A}_{ij} = \Psi_j \left( \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right) \quad i = 1, \dots, n \; ; \; j = 0, \dots, P-1$$

• Solve the resulting linear system

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = (\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{M}$$





## Choice of the experimental design

## Random designs

- Monte Carlo samples obtained by standard random generators
- Latin Hypercube designs that are both random and "space-filling"
- Quasi-random sequences (*e.a.* Sobol' sequence) ٠



# Outline

#### Polynomial chaos expansions

### Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Least-square minimization

### Statistical moments and distribution

Global sensitivity analysis

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Application examples



# Post-processing of polynomial chaos expansions

Polynomial chaos

$$Y = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{lpha} \in \mathbb{N}^M} y_{\boldsymbol{lpha}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{lpha}}(\boldsymbol{X})$$

**Truncated series** 

$$Y^{PC} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \, \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{X})$$

- The computed coefficients ("coordinates" of the random variable in the PCE basis) are not the quantities of interest
- Depending on the situation, the PDF, the statistical moments or quantiles of *Y* are of interest (*e.g.* low quantiles in structural reliability analysis)

The PC expansion must be post-processed in order to get relevant information on the model response



## Mean value and variance

From the orthonormality of the polynomial chaos basis one gets:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = 0 \qquad \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = 0 \qquad \alpha \neq \beta$$

### Mean value

 $\hat{\mu}_Y = y_0$  The mean value is the first coefficient of the series

### Variance

$$\hat{\sigma}_Y^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y^{PC} - \hat{\mu}_Y\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathbf{0}} y_\alpha \, \Psi_\alpha(\mathbf{X})\right)^2\right]$$

 $\hat{\sigma}_Y^2 = \sum_{oldsymbol{lpha} \in \mathcal{A} ackslash oldsymbol{0}} y_{oldsymbol{lpha}}^2$ 

The variance is the sum of the squares of the remaining coefficients



## Higher order statistical moments

Skewness coefficient  $\hat{\delta}_Y$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y^{PC} - \hat{\mu}_Y\right)^3\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^3\right]$$
$$= \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} y_{\boldsymbol{\beta}} y_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]$$

Kurtosis coefficient  $\hat{\kappa}_Y$ 

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(Y^{PC} - \hat{\mu}_{Y}\right)^{4}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}\right)^{4}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} y_{\alpha} y_{\beta} y_{\gamma} y_{\delta} \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\delta}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]$$

- Requires evaluating the expectation of products of 3, 4, etc. polynomials
- Analytical formulæ exist only in case of Hermite polynomials. Otherwise the expectation may be computed exactly using sparse quadrature rules

# **Probability density function**

- The polynomial series expansion may be considered as a stochastic response surface, *i.e.* an analytical function of the input variables ξ (after some isoprobabilistic transform), which may be sampled easily using Monte Carlo simulation.
- A large sample set  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  of reduced variables is drawn, say of size  $n_{sim} = 10^5 10^6$ :

$$\mathcal{X}_{sim} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{\xi}_j, \ j = 1, \ldots, n_{sim} \right\}$$

• The truncated series is evaluated onto this sample:

$$\mathcal{Y}_{sim} = \left\{ \mathfrak{y}_j = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j), \ j = 1, \dots, n_{sim} \right\}$$

• The obtained sample set is plotted using histograms or kernel density smoothing



#### ETHzürich

# **Probability density function**

Response sample set

$$\mathcal{Y}_{sim} = \left\{ \mathfrak{y}_j = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}_j), \ j = 1, \dots, n_{sim} \right\}$$

Kernel smoothing

$$\hat{f}_Y(y) = \frac{1}{n_{sim} h} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{sim}} K\left(\frac{y - \mathfrak{y}_j}{h}\right)$$

- Kernel function :  $K(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2}$
- Bandwidth:

$$h = 0.9 n_{sim}^{-1/5} \min\left(\hat{\sigma}_{\mathcal{Y}}, (Q_{0.75} - Q_{0.25})/1.34\right)$$

where  $(Q_{0.75} - Q_{0.25})$  is the inter-quartile range computed from the sample



Risk, Safety 6 Uncertainty Quantification

# Outline

#### Polynomial chaos expansions

### Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Least-square minimization Statistical moments and distributior Global sensitivity analysis

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Application examples



# Sensitivity analysis

Goal

Sobol' (1993); Saltelli et al. (2008)

Global sensitivity analysis aims at quantifying which input parameter(s) (or combinations thereof) influence the most the response variability (variance decomposition)

Hoeffding-Sobol' decomposition

$$(\boldsymbol{X} \sim \mathcal{U}([0,1]^M))$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{M}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^M \mathcal{M}_i(x_i) + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le M} \mathcal{M}_{ij}(x_i, x_j) + \dots + \mathcal{M}_{12\dots M}(\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= \mathcal{M}_0 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}} \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}}) \qquad (\boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}\})$$

• The summands satisfy the orthogonality condition:

$$\int_{[0,1]^M} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \, \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{v}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{v}}) \, d\boldsymbol{x} = 0 \qquad \forall \, \mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{v}$$



# Sobol' indices

Total variance:

$$D \equiv \operatorname{Var} \left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = \operatorname{Var} \left[\sum_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}} \mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}})\right] = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}} \subset \{1, \dots, M\}} \operatorname{Var} \left[\mathcal{M}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\boldsymbol{\mathsf{u}}})\right]$$

• Sobol' indices:

$$S_{\mathbf{u}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} rac{\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{X}_{\mathbf{u}})
ight]}{D}$$

• First-order Sobol' indices:

$$S_i = \frac{D_i}{D} = \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathcal{M}_i(X_i)\right]}{D}$$

Quantify the additive effect of each input parameter separately

• Total Sobol' indices:

Risk, Safety 6

$$S_i^T \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \sum_{\mathbf{u} \supset i} S_{\mathbf{u}}$$

Quantify the total effect of  $X_i$ , including interactions with the other variables.

# Link with PC expansions

#### Sobol decomposition of a PC expansion

Sudret, CSM (2006); RESS (2008)

Obtained by reordering the terms of the (truncated) PC expansion  $\mathcal{M}^{PC}(\mathbf{X}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} y_{\alpha} \Psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{X})$ 

Interaction sets

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{For a given } \mathbf{u} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}: & \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{u}} = \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A} \, : \, k \in \mathbf{u} \Leftrightarrow \alpha_k \neq 0 \} \\ \mathcal{M}^{\text{PC}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{M}_0 + \sum_{\mathbf{u} \subset \{1, \ldots, M\}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) & \text{where} & \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{u}}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{u}}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \, \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{array}$$

PC-based Sobol' indices

$$S_{\mathbf{u}} = D_{\mathbf{u}}/D = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{u}}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^2 / \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathbf{0}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^2$$

The Sobol' indices are obtained analytically, at any order from the coefficients of the PC expansion



# Outline

Polynomial chaos expansions

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

### Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Error estimation Curse of dimensionality Sparse solvers

#### Application examples



## Validation of the PC expansion

- The truncated series expansions are convergent in the mean-square sense. However one does not know in advance where to truncate (problem-dependent)
- Most people truncate the series according to the total maximal degree of the polynomials, say p = 2, 3, 4, etc. Several values of p are tested until some kind of convergence is "empirically" observed
- Recent research deals with the development of error estimates through cross-validation in the least-square minimization approach



#### ETHzürich

# **Error estimators**

#### Coefficient of determination

• The least-squares technique is based on the minimization of the mean-square error. The generalization error is defined as:

$$E_{gen} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{PC}}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^{2}\right] \qquad \qquad \mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{PC}}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}} y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \Psi_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}(\boldsymbol{X})$$

• It may be estimated by the empirical error using the already computed response quantities  $(\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}), i = 1, \dots, n\})$ :

$$E_{emp} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{PC}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \right)^{2}$$

• The coefficient of determination  $R^2$  is often used as an error estimator:

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{E_{emp}}{\operatorname{Var}\left[\mathcal{Y}\right]} \qquad \operatorname{Var}\left[\mathcal{Y}\right] = \frac{1}{n} (\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \bar{\mathcal{Y}})^{2}$$

This error estimator leads to overfitting



#### ETHzürich

## **Overfitting – Illustration of the Runge effect**



- If the degree of the polynomial model is equal to the size of the experimental design, one gets an interpolating approximation
- The empirical error is zero whereas the approximation gets worse and worse



## Leave-one-out cross validation

### Principle

- In statistical learning theory, cross validation consists in splitting the experimental design  $\mathcal{Y}$  into two parts, namely a training set (which is used to build the model) and a validation set
- The leave-one-out cross validation technique consists in using each point of the experimental design as a single validation point for the meta-model built from the remaining (n 1) points
- *n* different meta-models are built, and for each of them the empirical error is estimated on the remaining point. The resulting *n* errors are finally mean-square averaged



#### ETHzürich

## Leave-one-out cross validation



- An experimental design  $\mathcal{X} = \{ \pmb{x}^{(j)}, \ j = 1, \dots, n \}$  is selected
- For each  $x^{(i)}$ , a polynomial chaos expansion is built using the following experimental design:

$$\mathcal{X} \setminus \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} = \{ \boldsymbol{x}^{(j)}, \ j = 1, \dots, n, \ j \neq i \}$$
, denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^{PC \setminus i}(.)$ 

• The predicted residual is computed in point  $x^{(i)}$ :

$$\Delta_i = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{PC \setminus i}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$$

• The procedure is used for each sample point in  $\mathcal{X}$  and the results are averaged in the PRESS coefficient (*predicted residual sum of squares*):

$$PRESS = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_i^2$$



Polynomial Chaos Expansions

## Leave-one-out error estimation

#### Reminder

The relative generalization error  $\varepsilon_{gen}$  reads:

$$\varepsilon_{gen} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathcal{M}^{\mathsf{PC}}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^{2}\right] / \operatorname{Var}\left[Y\right]$$

#### Leave-one-out error

$$E_{\text{LOO}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{PC \setminus i}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \right)^{2}$$
$$\varepsilon_{\text{LOO}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{PC \setminus i}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \right)^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mu_{\mathcal{Y}} \right)^{2}} \qquad \mu_{\mathcal{Y}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$$

Problem: Do we really need a new meta-model based on  $\mathcal{X} \setminus x^{(i)} = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(i-1)}, x^{(i+1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$  to compute  $\Delta_i^2$ ?

Polynomial Chaos Expansions

## Leave-one-out: practical implementation

In practice one does not need to explicitly derive the *n* different models  $\mathcal{M}^{PC\setminus i}(.)$ 

• In contrast, a single least-square analysis using  $\mathcal{X}$  is carried out. The predicted residual reads:

$$\Delta_i = \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{PC \setminus i}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{PC}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})}{1 - h_i}$$

where  $h_i$  is the *i*-th diagonal term of matrix  $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}$ , where:

$$oldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}_{ij} = \Psi_j(oldsymbol{x}^{(i)})$$

Thus:

$$E_{\text{LOO}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}^{PC}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})}{1 - h_i} \right)^2$$



# Conclusion

Given a truncation set  $\mathcal{A}$  and an experimental design  $\mathcal{X} = \left\{ x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)} 
ight\}$ :

• A polynomial chaos expansion can be computed, provided:

$$|\mathcal{X}| \ge k \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \qquad k = 2;3$$

• An a posteriori error estimator allows one to check the accuracy of the approximation in the mean-square sense

#### Adaptive polynomial chaos expansions

- Assume a prescribed tolerance, *e.g.*  $TOL = 10^{-3}$  is chosen
- An iterative algorithm may be run, increasing the candidate basis A until  $\varepsilon_{LOO} < TOL$ , e.g. with different  $A^{M,p}$  with p = 1, 2, 3, ...



#### ETHzürich

### Algorithm 1: Ordinary least-squares

1: Input: Computational budget n

#### 2: Initialization

3: Experimental design  $\mathcal{X} = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$ 4: Run model  $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{M}(x^{(1)}), \dots, \mathcal{M}(x^{(n)})\}$ 

### 5: PCE construction

- 6: for  $p = p_{\min} : p_{\max}$  do 7: Select candidate basis  $\mathcal{A}^{M,p}$
- 8: Solve OLS problem
- 9: Compute  $\varepsilon_{LOO}(p)$
- 10: **end**
- 11:  $p^* = \arg\min \varepsilon_{\mathsf{LOO}}(p)$
- 12: **Return** Best PCE of degree  $p^*$



# Outline

Polynomial chaos expansions

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

## Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Error estimation Curse of dimensionality

Sparse solvers

Application examples



## **Classical truncation scheme**

#### Classical truncation scheme

• Polynomials  $\Psi_{\alpha}$  with a total degree  $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_M \leq p$  are usually selected



• The cardinality of such a truncated basis reads:

$$\operatorname{card} \mathcal{A}^{M,p} = \binom{M+p}{p} = \frac{(M+p)!}{M! \, p!}$$



# Curse of dimensionality: example

Size of the truncated PC basis $F =  \mathcal{A} ^{-1}$					
$M \backslash p$	2	3	5	7	10
2	6	10	21	36	66
3	10	20	56	120	286
5	21	56	252	792	3,003
10	66	286	3,003	19,448	184,756
50	1,326	23,426	3,478,761	264,385,836	75,394,027,566
100	5,151	176,851	96,560,646	26,075,972,546	46,897,636,623,981

Size of the truncated PC basis  $P \stackrel{\mathsf{def}}{=} \left| \mathcal{A}^{M,p} \right|$ 

• Using the least-square approach the computational cost is related to the size of the experimental design:

$$n = k P$$
 where  $k = 2 - 3$ 



# Why are sparse representations relevant?

Example: Ishigami function

$$\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sin(x_1) + 7\,\sin^2(x_2) + 0.1\,x_3^4\,\sin(x_1)$$



• M = 3 input variables  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \sim \mathcal{U}(-\pi, \pi)$ 

• 
$$p = 12$$

• P = 455 coefficients

## Low-rank truncation schemes

### Sparsity-of-effects principle

In most practical problems, only low-order interactions between the input variables are relevant. One shall select PC approximations using low-rank monomials

#### Definition

The rank of a multi-index  $\alpha$  is the number of active variables of  $\Psi_{\alpha}$ , *i.e.* the number of non-zero terms in  $\alpha$ :

$$||oldsymbol{lpha}||_0 = \sum_{i=1}^M \mathbf{1}_{\{lpha_i > 0\}}$$

Example: M = 5, p = 5, Legendre polynomial chaos

${oldsymbol lpha}$	$\Psi_{oldsymbol{lpha}}$	Rank
$[0\ 0\ 0\ 3\ 0]$	$ ilde{P}_3(x_4)$	1
$[2\ 0\ 0\ 0\ 1]$	$ ilde{P}_2(x_1)\cdot  ilde{P}_1(x_5)$	2
$[1\ 1\ 2\ 0\ 1]$	$ ilde{P}_1(x_1) \cdot  ilde{P}_1(x_2) \cdot  ilde{P}_2(x_3) \cdot  ilde{P}_1(x_5)$	4



# Low-rank truncation set

Definition

$$\mathcal{A}^{M,p,r} = \{ oldsymbol{lpha} \in \mathbb{N}^M \; : \; |oldsymbol{lpha}| \leq p, ||oldsymbol{lpha}||_0 \leq r \} \qquad r \leq p \; , \; r \leq M$$







# Hyperbolic truncation sets

### Definition

• The q-norm of a multi-index  $\alpha$  is defined by:

$$||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_q \equiv \left(\sum_{i=1}^M \alpha_i^q\right)^{1/q}, \quad 0 < q < 1$$

• The hyperbolic truncation sets read:

$$\mathcal{A}_q^{M,p} = \{ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^M : ||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_q \leq p \}$$

#### Limit cases

- q = 1: standard truncation scheme (all polynomials of maximal total degree p)
- $q \rightarrow 0$  : additive model (no interaction)



Blatman (2009), Blatman & Sudret, J. Comp. Phys (2011)



2 3 4 5 <sub>Ω1</sub>

# Size of hyperbolic truncation sets

• For a given value of  $0 < q \le 1$ , the index of sparsity tends to zero when M and p increase





# Conclusions

- For practical computations PC expansions have to be truncated
- The classical truncation scheme selects all polynomials up to a certain total degree, which leads to:

$$P = rac{(M+p)!}{M! \, p!}$$
 terms

- This number blows up when M>10 and / or p>5
- The sparsity-of-effect principle allows one to select a priori truncation schemes with low-order interaction terms
- This can be achieved by limiting the rank of the polynomials or using an hyperbolic truncation scheme



# Outline

Polynomial chaos expansions

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

## Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

Error estimation Curse of dimensionality

Sparse solvers

Application examples



#### ETHzürich

# Introduction



- Even when selecting a reduced set of polynomials a priori, most coefficients are negligible
- How to compute only the relevant basis function and associated coefficients?

### Sparse polynomial chaos expansions



Polynomial Chaos Expansions
### How to get sparse expansions?

Blatman & Sudret, JCP (2011)

- · Finding the significant coefficients in the PC expansion is a variable selection problem
- It can be addressed by regularized regression techniques:

$$\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} = \arg\min\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Y}}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{\Psi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) - \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right)^{2} + \boldsymbol{\lambda} \parallel \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \parallel_{\boldsymbol{m}}$$

Interpretation

Risk, Safety 6

• The regularization term:

$$\parallel oldsymbol{y}_{oldsymbol{lpha}} \parallel_{m} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} |y_{j}|^{m}$$

corresponds to solving the least-square minimization under the constraint that the coefficients are "not too big"

• This avoids overfitting

### Regularized regression: LASSO and least-angle regression

• Lasso corresponds to a  $L_1$ -norm (m = 1) penalization term:

$$\parallel oldsymbol{y}_{oldsymbol{lpha}} \parallel_{1} = \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} |y_{j}|$$

- By selecting L<sub>1</sub> penalization, sparse solutions are favoured, *i.e.* solutions in which most of the coefficients in {y<sub>α</sub>, α ∈ A} are zero
- Least Angle Regression (LAR) is an efficient algorithm that solves the Lasso problem for different values of the penalty constant in a single run
- Various PC expansions are constructed with  $1, 2, \ldots, \min(n, |\mathcal{A}|)$  terms
- Among those models the best one is retained by comparing the leave-one-out cross validation error



#### ETHzürich

#### Algorithm 2: LAR-based Sparse polynomial chaos expansion

- 1: Input: Computational budget n
- 2: Initialization

3: Sample experimental design 
$$\mathcal{X} = \{ x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(n)} \}$$

- 4: Evaluate model response  $\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{M}(\pmb{x}^{(1)}), \ldots, \mathcal{M}(\pmb{x}^{(n)}\})$
- 5: PCE construction
- 6: for  $p=p_{\min}:p_{\max}$  do
- 7: for  $q \in \mathcal{Q}$  do
- 8: Select candidate basis  $\mathcal{A}_{a}^{M,p}$
- 9: Run LAR for extracting the optimal sparse basis  $\mathcal{A}^*(p,q)$
- 10: Compute coefficients  $\{y_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \ \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}^*(p,q)\}$  by OLS
- 11: Compute  $\varepsilon_{\text{LOO}}(p,q)$

12: **end** 

#### 13: **end**

14:  $(p^*, q^*) = \arg\min \varepsilon_{\mathsf{LOO}}(p, q)$ 

15: Return Optimal sparse basis  $\mathcal{A}^*(p,q),$  PCE coefficients,  $\varepsilon_{\mathsf{LOO}}(p^*,q^*)$ 



## Conclusions

 Sparse PC expansions can be computed from a given experimental design using appropriate sparse solvers

Lüthen, Marelli & Sudret, Sparse polynomial chaos expansions: Literature survey and benchmark, SIAM/ASA J. Unc. Quant., 2021.

Lüthen, Marelli & Sudret, A benchmark of basis-adaptive sparse polynomial chaos expansions for engineering regression problems, Int. J. Uncertainty Quantification 2021.

- Problems with up to  $\mathcal{O}(100)$  variables can be solved nowadays with 100 1000 model runs
- Fully automated algorithms allow to get "the best PCE surrogate" given the data, and a fair estimate of the mean-square error
- Values of  $\varepsilon_{\text{LOO}} \leq 10^{-2}$  are sufficient in most engineering applications



# Outline

Polynomial chaos expansions

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

#### Application examples

Load bearing capacity Subsurface flow: global sensitivity analysis



#### **ETH** zürich

# **Example: strip foundation**

Load bearing capacity

$$P_{cr} = B \, \sigma_{cr} = B \left[ c \, N_c + \gamma t \, N_q + \frac{1}{2} \gamma \, B N_\gamma \right]$$

with the load bearing factors:

$$N_q = e^{\pi \tan \varphi} \frac{1 + \sin \varphi}{1 - \sin \varphi}$$
$$N_c = (N_q - 1) / \tan \varphi$$
$$N_\gamma = 2 (N_q - 1) \tan \varphi$$





# Strip foundation – probabilistic model

Variable	Description	Distribution	Moments
$\gamma$	Self-weight	Gaussian	$\mu_{\gamma} = 21 \ kN/m^3, \ COV_{\gamma} = 5\%$
c	Cohesion	Lognormal	$\mu_c = 5 \ kPa, \ COV_c = 30\%$
arphi	Effective friction angle	Lognormal	$\mu_{arphi} = 30^{\circ}, \ COV_{arphi} = 8\%$
B	Width	Deterministic	3 m
t	Depth	Gaussian	$\mu_t = 0.5 \ m, \ COV_t = 20\%$



#### **ETH** zürich

## Load bearing capacity

- A sparse polynomial chaos expansion is built from an experimental design of size  $N_{\text{ED}} = 100$
- Mean, standard deviation and PDF are computed

% Polynomial	chaos output%
Number of input variables:	5
Maximal degree:	4
q-norm:	1.00
Size of full basis:	70
Size of sparse basis:	33
Full model evaluations:	100
Leave-one-out error:	1.8327657e-05
Mean value:	3123.5136
Standard deviation:	1168.5662
Coef. of variation:	37.412%
%	%



## Distribution

The (kernel smoothing) density of the polynomial chaos expansion is plotted and compared to the one obtained from the original model ( $10^5$  points)



 $N_{\rm ED} = 100 \text{ points}$ 

#### PDF



### PCE vs. Monte Carlo simulation (moments)

#### Reminder

N <sub>MCS</sub>	100	1,000	10,000	100,000	1,000,000
Mean	3216	3082	3121	3125	3124
$95\%~{ m Cl}$	[2942 - 3378]	[3057 - 3201]	[3105 - 3150]	[3115 - 3133]	[3122 - 3127]
Std. dev	1109	1080	1188	1173	1174
$95\%~{ m Cl}$	[966 - 1565]	[1099 - 1313]	[1145 - 1207]	[1163 - 1185]	[1171 - 1178]

#### Polynomial chaos expansion

Experimental design of size $N_{\rm ED}=100$				
Mean	3123			
$95\%~{ m Cl}$	[3121 - 3125]			
Std. dev	1169			
$95\%~{ m Cl}$	[1162 - 1171]			



## PCE vs. Monte Carlo simulation: Sobol' indices

\_

$N_{\rm MCS}$	100	1,000	10,000	100,000	1,000,000
$\gamma$	[0.007 - 0.020]	[0.013 - 0.017]	[0.014 - 0.015]	[0.015 - 0.015]	[0.015 - 0.015]
c	[0.006 - 0.018]	[0.013 - 0.019]	[0.013 - 0.015]	[0.014 - 0.015]	[0.015 - 0.015]
$\varphi$	[0.917 - 1.201]	[0.872 - 1.014]	[0.965 - 1.003]	[0.958 - 0.969]	[0.963 - 0.966]
t	[0.004 - 0.012]	[0.009 - 0.013]	[0.011 - 0.012]	[0.011 - 0.012]	[0.012 - 0.012]
$N_{TOT}$	600	6,000	60,000	600,000	6,000,000

Experimental design of size $N_{\rm ED}=100$				
$\gamma$	[0.015 - 0.016]			
c	[0.014 - 0.014]			
$\varphi$	[0.962 - 0.964]			
t	[0.011 - 0.012]			
$N_{\rm TOT}$	100			



# Outline

Polynomial chaos expansions

Computing and post-processing the PCE coefficients

Sparse polynomial chaos expansions

#### Application examples

Load bearing capacity Subsurface flow: global sensitivity analysis



### Example: sensitivity analysis in hydrogeology



Source: http://www.futura-sciences.com/



- Source: http://lexpansion.lexpress.fr/

- When assessing a nuclear waste repository, the Mean Lifetime Expectancy MLE(x) is the time required for a molecule of water at point x to get out of the boundaries of the system
- Computational models have numerous input parameters (in each geological layer) that are difficult to measure, and that show scattering



## **Geological model**

Deman, Konakli, Sudret, Kerrou, Perrochet & Benabderrahmane, Reliab. Eng. Sys. Safety (2016)

- Two-dimensional idealized model of the Paris Basin (25 km long / 1,040 m depth) with  $5 \times 5$  m mesh ( $10^6$  elements)
- Steady-state flow simulation with Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{K} \cdot \nabla H) = 0$$

- 15 homogeneous layers with uncertainties in:
  - Porosity (resp. hydraulic conductivity)
  - Anisotropy of the layer properties (inc. dispersivity)
  - Boundary conditions (hydraulic gradients)

78 input parameters



#### **ETH** zürich

## Sensitivity analysis



Geometry of the layers

Conductivity of the layers

#### Question

What are the parameters (out of 78) whose uncertainty drives the uncertainty of the prediction of the mean life-time expectancy?



Polynomial Chaos Expansions

### Sensitivity analysis: results



#### Conclusions

- Only 200 model runs allow one to detect the 10 important parameters out of 78
- Uncertainty in the porosity/conductivity of 5 layers explain 86% of the variability
- Small interactions between parameters detected



### **Bonus: univariate effects**

The univariate effects of each variable are obtained as a straightforward post-processing of the PCE

$$\mathcal{M}_i(x_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) | X_i = x_i\right], \ i = 1, \dots, M$$





## Conclusions

- Polynomial chaos expansions are a mature, powerful technique for uncertainty propagation
- Nonintrusive methods are based on repeated runs of the computational model over an experimental design (similar to Monte Carlo simulation)
- Coefficients may be computed by least-square minimization, which has opened the path to sparse solvers
- Post-processing the coefficients gives the mean, variance, higher moments and global sensitivity indices. The output PDF is obtained by sampling the PC expansion
- All the algorithms described in this talk are available in UQLab (www.uqlab.com) !

Thank you very much for your attention



# **Questions ?**



#### Chair of Risk, Safety & Uncertainty Quantification

www.rsuq.ethz.ch

#### The Uncertainty Quantification Software

www.uqlab.com



### The Uncertainty Quantification Community

www.uqworld.org





Polynomial Chaos Expansions

# **APPENDIX**



# Legendre polynomials

Legendre polynomials are defined over [-1, 1] so as to be orthogonal with respect to the uniform distribution:

$$w(x) = 1/2$$
  $x \in [-1, 1]$ 



- Notation:  $P_n(x), n \in \mathbb{N}$
- 3-term recurrence

Risk, Safety &

$$P_0(x) = 1 \quad ; \quad P_1(x) = x$$
$$(n+1)P_{n+1}(x) = (2n+1)xP_n(x) - nP_{n-1}(x)$$

•  $P_n$  is solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$\left[ (1 - x^2) P'_n(x) \right]' + n(n+1) P_n(x) = 0$$

#### **ETH** zürich

### First Legendre polynomials

• The norm of the *n*-th Legendre polynomial reads:

$$||P_n||^2 = \langle P_n, P_n \rangle \int_{-1}^{1} P_n^2(x) \cdot \frac{1}{2} dx = \frac{1}{2n+1}$$

• The orthonormal Legendre polynomials read:

n	$P_n(x)$	$\parallel P_n \parallel^2$	$\tilde{P}_n(x)$
0	1	1	1
1	x	1/3	$\sqrt{3} P_1$
2	$\frac{1}{2}(3x^2-1)$	1/5	$\sqrt{5} P_2$
3	$\frac{1}{2}(5x^3-3x)$	1/7	$\sqrt{7} P_3$
4	$\frac{1}{8}(35x^4 - 30x^2 + 3)$	1/9	$\sqrt{9} P_4$
5	$\frac{1}{8}(63x^5 - 70x^3 + 15x)$	1/11	$\sqrt{11} P_5$

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = \sqrt{2n+1} \, P_n(x)$$



# First Legendre polynomials





Polynomial Chaos Expansions

# Hermite polynomials

Hermite polynomials are defined over  ${\mathbb R}$  so as to be orthogonal with respect to the Gaussian distribution:

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

- Notation:  $He_n(x), n \in \mathbb{N}$
- 3-term recurrence:

$$He_0(x) = 1$$
;  $He_1(x) = x$   
 $He_{n+1}(x) = x He_n(x) - n He_{n-1}(x)$ 

Normalization

$$\| He_n \|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} He_n^2(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = n! \qquad n! = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \dots n!$$





#### ETHzürich

## Hermite polynomials

•  $He_n$  is solution of the ordinary differential equation:

$$He_{n}^{''}(x) - x He_{n}^{'}(x) + n He_{n}(x) = 0$$

and satisfies:

$$He_n(x) = (-1)^n e^{x^2/2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} \left( e^{-x^2/2} \right)$$
$$He'_n(x) = n He_{n-1}(x)$$

#### Important remark

In the literature, two families of Hermite polynomials (HP) are known:

- The "physicist" HP are orthogonal w.r.t  $e^{-x^2}$
- The "probabilistic" HP are orthogonal w.r.t the standard normal PDF  $e^{-x^2/2}/\sqrt{2\pi}$



# First "probabilistic" Hermite polynomials

n	$He_n(x)$	$\parallel He_n \parallel^2$	$\tilde{H}e_n(x)$
0	1	1	$He_0$
1	x	1	$He_1$
2	$x^2 - 1$	2	$He_2/\sqrt{2}$
3	$x^3 - 3 x$	6	$He_3/\sqrt{6}$
4	$x^4 - 6 x^2 + 3$	24	$He_4/\sqrt{24}$
5	$x^5 - 10 x^3 + 15 x$	120	$He_5/\sqrt{120}$



# **First Hermite polynomials**





### Orthonormality of multivariate polynomials

Thus:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{X}}} \prod_{i=1}^{M} \left[P_{\alpha_{i}}^{(i)}(x_{i})P_{\beta_{i}}^{(i)}(x_{i}) f_{X_{i}}(x_{i})\right] \, d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{M} \left[\int_{\mathcal{D}_{X_{i}}} P_{\alpha_{i}}^{(i)}(x_{i})P_{\beta_{i}}^{(i)}(x_{i}) f_{X_{i}}(x_{i}) dx_{i}\right] \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{M} \delta_{\alpha_{i}\beta_{i}} \qquad \text{where } \delta_{\alpha_{i}\beta_{i}} = 1 \text{ if } \alpha_{i} = \beta_{i} \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{split}$$

As a consequence the orthogonality of the univariate polynomials propagates to the multivariate ones:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{oldsymbollpha}(oldsymbol{X})\Psi_{oldsymboleta}(oldsymbol{X})
ight]=\delta_{oldsymbollphaoldsymboleta}$$



# PCE coefficients as a projection

$$\begin{split} \varepsilon_P^2(\boldsymbol{X}) &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j \, \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X}) - \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^2 \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j \, \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X})\right)^2 + \mathcal{M}^2(\boldsymbol{X}) - 2 \, \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) \, \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j \, \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} y_j \, y_k \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X}) \, \Psi_k(\boldsymbol{X}) + \mathcal{M}^2(\boldsymbol{X}) - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j \, \mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) \, \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X}) \end{split}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_{P}^{2}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} \sum_{k=0}^{P-1} y_{j} y_{k} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Psi_{k}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]}_{\mathbb{E}\left[\Psi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Psi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]} + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}^{2}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Psi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_{j}^{2} - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_{j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Psi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}^{2}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]$$



Polynomial Chaos Expansions

### PCE coefficients as a projection (cont')

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_P^2(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j^2 - 2 \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} y_j \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X})\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}^2(\boldsymbol{X})\right]$$

This is a quadratic function of the unknowns  $\{y_j, j = 0, ..., P-1\}$ 

• The mean-square error is minimized when its derivative w.r.t each unknown coefficient  $y_j$  vanishes:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\left[\varepsilon_{P}^{2}(\boldsymbol{X})\right]}{\partial y_{j}} = 2 y_{j} - 2 \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X})\Psi_{j}(\boldsymbol{X})\right] = 0$$

which reduces to:

$$\hat{y}_j = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathcal{M}(\boldsymbol{X}) \Psi_j(\boldsymbol{X})\right] \quad \forall j = 0, \dots, P-1$$

