


Towards standardised evaluation tools

Other Journal Item**Author(s):**

de Bruin, Eling 

Publication date:

2012-11

Permanent link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000058428>

Rights / license:

[In Copyright - Non-Commercial Use Permitted](#)

Originally published in:

Age and Ageing 41(6), <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afs155>

28. Stuck AE, Siu AL, Wieland GD, Rubenstein LZ, Adams J. Comprehensive geriatric assessment: a meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Lancet* 1993; 342: 1032–36.
29. Baztan JJ, Suarez-Garcia FM, Lopez-Arrieta J, Rodriguez-Manas L, Rodriguez-Artalejo F. Effectiveness of acute geriatric units on functional decline, living at home, and case fatality among older patients admitted to hospital for acute medical disorders: meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2009; 338: b50.
30. Hyde C, Robert I, Sinclair A. The effects of supporting discharge from hospital to home in older people. *Age Ageing* 2000; 29: 271–79 (systematic review).
31. Courtney M, Edwards H, Chang A, Parker A, Finlayson K, Hamilton K. Fewer emergency readmissions and better quality of life for older adults at risk of hospital readmission: a randomized controlled trial to determine the effectiveness of a 24-week exercise and telephone follow-up program. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009; 57: 395–402.
32. Graves N, Courtney M, Edwards H, Chang A, Parker A, Finlayson K. Cost-effectiveness of an intervention to reduce emergency re-admissions to hospital among older patients. *PLoS ONE* 2009; 4: e7455 (electronic resource).

Towards standardised evaluation tools

If you can not measure it, you can not improve it.

Sir William T. Kelvin

Increased ageing of society is common in many countries. Life expectancy includes all years of expected life, regardless of whether these years are enjoyed in good health or with significant disability. The primary public health goal is to increase the number of years of good health and, therefore, to maintain independence and quality of life as long as possible. Healthy ageing is characterised by the avoidance of disease and disability, the maintenance of high physical and cognitive function, and sustained engagement in social and productive activities [1].

The health status is an important indicator of the quality of life among older persons [2, 3]. It appears that especially various components of health-related fitness and functional performance, or serious, chronic conditions and diseases that directly influence the components of fitness and performance are related to perceived health among middle-aged and older adults [3–5]. Even in the absence of overt pathology, motor functioning [cf. International Classification of Functioning (ICF) by the World Health Organisation, Geneva (see <http://www.who.int/classification/icf>)] can deteriorate, as is illustrated by the incidence and impact of falls in ageing populations [6]. Because the functional status is by far the most important factor affecting the quality of life and healthcare utilisation in old age [7], valid, reliable and responsive outcome measures for the assessment of physical activity and/or physical functioning in aged individuals are of utmost importance.

For both researchers and clinicians addressing health issues in the ageing population, however, it still is a major challenge to effectively monitor physical functioning. Mobility disability or disability in activities of daily living (ADLs) is usually assessed with self-reports, where participants are asked to report whether they have difficulties or need help in performing basic ADLs or mobility-related tasks [8]. Objective, performance-based measures of physical function have the disadvantage, as Freiberger *et al.* [9] nicely summarise in their systematic review, that little information about their psychometric properties is available. A recent development is the use of body fixed sensor technology for studying human movement. Based on the use of miniaturised motion sensors, methods are available for long-term monitoring of daily physical activity and the assessment of motor functioning under real-life conditions. These methods are highly relevant for studying motor functioning in older people; however, currently available literature does not present a wealth of information about long-term monitoring of movements in older subjects [10, 11] and does not provide recommendations of a standardised set of outcomes which researchers and clinicians should use.

There are, thus, numerous tools that can be used to assess various conditions in the elderly. Agreement on which tools should be used consistently would help facilitate multicentre trials and the development of benchmarks in geriatric rehabilitation [12]. Consensus on assessment and outcome tools would, furthermore, facilitate multicentre comparative studies. One method of achieving these goals would be through a consensus conference, e.g. [13]. The paper of Freiberger *et al.* [9] could be a starting point

for coordinated collection and synthesis of outcomes from clinical practice, and for prospective outcomes studies in research centres that use similar methodology. It is up to the healthcare practitioners and researchers to take up this challenge.

ELING D. DE BRUIN*

Department Health Sciences and Technology, Institute of Human
Movement Sciences and Sport, ETH Zurich, Switzerland
Tel: +41 44 632 40 18; Fax: +41 44 632 11 42

Email: eling.debruin@hest.ethz.ch

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

References

1. Rowe JW, Kahn RL. Successful aging. *Gerontologist* 1997; 37: 433–40.
2. Spirduso WW, Cronin DL. Exercise dose-response effects on quality of life and independent living in older adults. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2001; 33(6 Suppl): S598–608; discussion S609–10.
3. Johnson RJ, Wolinsky FD. The structure of health status among older adults: disease, disability, functional limitation, and perceived health. *J Health Soc Behav* 1993; 34: 105–21.
4. Malmberg JJ, Miilunpalo SI, Vuori IM, Pasanen ME, Oja P, Haapanen-Niemi NA. A health-related fitness and functional performance test battery for middle-aged and older adults: feasibility and health-related content validity. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2002; 83: 666–77.
5. Malmberg J, Miilunpalo S, Pasanen M, Vuori I, Oja P. Characteristics of leisure time physical activity associated with risk of decline in perceived health—a 10-year follow-up of middle-aged and elderly men and women. *Prev Med* 2005; 41: 141–50.
6. Moreland J, Richardson J, Chan DH *et al.* Evidence-based guidelines for the secondary prevention of falls in older adults. *Gerontology* 2003; 49: 93–116.
7. Ferrucci L, Baldasseroni S, Bandinelli S *et al.* Disease severity and health-related quality of life across different chronic conditions. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000; 48: 1490–5.
8. Ferrucci L, Guralnik JM, Studenski S, Fried LP, Cutler GB, Jr., Walston JD. Designing randomized, controlled trials aimed at preventing or delaying functional decline and disability in frail, older persons: a consensus report. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2004; 52: 625–34.
9. Freiburger E, de Vreede P, Schoene D *et al.* Performance-based physical function in older community-dwelling persons: a systematic review of instruments. *Age Ageing* 2012; 41: 712–21.
10. de Bruin ED, Hartmann A, Uebelhart D, Murer K, Zijlstra W. Wearable systems for monitoring mobility related activities in older people; a systematic review. *Clin Rehabil* 2008; 22: 878–95.
11. Allet L, Knols RH, Shirato K, de Bruin ED. Wearable systems for monitoring mobility-related activities in chronic disease: a systematic review. *Sensors* 2010; 10: 9026–52.
12. Wells JL, Seabrook JA, Stolee P, Borrie MJ, Knoefel F. State of the art in geriatric rehabilitation. Part I: review of frailty and comprehensive geriatric assessment. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2003; 84: 890–7.
13. Jamour M, Becker C, Bachmann S *et al.* Recommendation of an assessment protocol to describe geriatric inpatient rehabilitation of lower limb mobility based on ICF: an interdisciplinary consensus process. *Zeitschrift fur Gerontologie und Geriatrie* 2011; 44: 429–36.