RUSSIA AND THE NORTH CAUCASUS

■ ANALYSIS
Nationalism and Islam in Russia’s North Caucasus
Sufian Zhemukhov, Washington

■ ANALYSIS
The Insurgency in the North Caucasus: Putting Religious Claims into Context
Jean-François Ratelle, Washington
Nationalism and Islam in Russia’s North Caucasus

Sufian Zhemukhov, Washington

Abstract

The North Caucasus has long been one of the most turbulent regions in Eurasia. Events such as the secessionist conflicts in Chechnya in the 1990s, the growing radicalization and the spread of insurgency groups across the region, and most recently discussions over the prospects for, and the security of, the hosting of the 2014 Winter Olympics alongside rising local Circassian nationalism on the ground in Sochi have grabbed headlines both in Russia and internationally. These events are set against a background of a general rise in nationalism and ongoing anti-Caucasian sentiment in Russia. This paper investigates the roots causes of these complex developments, and the impact that this region is likely to have on Russian and regional politics in the next decade.

In the 1990s, following the break-up of the USSR, the North Caucasus region followed a similar pattern of nationalist ideologies and movements as was seen elsewhere in the former Soviet Union. This culminated in the first conflict in Chechnya (1994–96) and the participation of North Caucasus groups in the Georgian–Abkhaz War (1992–94). A less studied form of separatism however centered on nationalist movements in dual-autonomous republics across the North Caucasus, in which minority national groups made separatist demands from the larger, often more dominant, groups. This form of separatism was the driving factor in Ingushetia’s successful efforts to separate itself from the Checheno-Ingushetian Republic, and likewise Adygea from Krasnodar krai; two unsuccessful separatist attempts are also notable: Cherkessia from Karachaevo-Cherkessia and Balkaria from Kabardino-Balkaria (see Table 1 on p. 4). A similar pattern and process of separatism also took place in Georgia, with Abkhazia and South Ossetia seeking independence from the Georgian state. These two cases are particularly pertinent to this study both due to their close ties and proximity to the North Caucasus region, as well as their involvement in regional politics, most recently Russia’s recognition of their independence. Furthermore, a more comparative perspective that includes similar movements in other post-Soviet regions, such as Transnistria, Nagorno-Karabakh, Gagauzia, Tatarstan, and Crimea, provides a deeper understanding of the different processes underlying, and at the heart of, this particular form of separatism in the wider region.

In the 1990s, there was little diversity between the various separatist processes outlined above, with most following a similar ideological pattern. On the one hand, the region needed time to recover following the eradication of religious and ethnic institutions during the Stalinist period; on the other, nationalism represented the most easily available ideology in order to overcome the disastrous Soviet ethnic and territorial experiments carried out upon most of the Caucasian groups. Most of the separatist movements in the 1990s were thus driven by a nationalist ideology. The conflicts that emerged in the region occurred as a result of a general political destabilization and a dramatic worsening in the socio-economic situation following the collapse of the socialist system established in the USSR. Similar processes took place not only in the former-Soviet Union, but also for example in the former-Czechoslovakia, and the former-Yugoslavia. A number of these separatist movements and organizations sought inclusion in larger international and regional organizations, with the Unrepresented Peoples and Nations Organization (UNPO) the largest of these. The UNPO has tried to position itself as an alternative to the UN. At a regional level, in 1992, a Commonwealth of Unrecognized States (CUS) was created as an alternative to the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS).

By the 2000s, a new set of dynamics began to emerge within separatist movements in the post-Soviet space, with a number of separatist groups shifting their ideological basis from nationalism to regionalism and from ethnic to ethno-confessional identities. In this regard, separatist movements in the post-Soviet space were not unique to other groups and causes across the globe, such as the ethno-confessional conflicts between Catholic and Protestant groups (North Ireland, Quebec), Catholics and Orthodox Christians, Muslims and Orthodox Christians (Kosovo, North Cyprus), Muslims and Catholics (Bosnia and Herzegovina, South Philippines), Hindus and Muslims (Kashmir). In Russia, the development of a more ethno-confessional basis to nationalism and separatism centered on a dual set of ideological processes. Whilst nationalist sentiment grew in Russia’s regions (with the center in Moscow), and in the northwestern Caucasus, simultaneously the role of Islam grew in importance in the Volga region and the northeastern Caucasus.

Over the last decade, developments in the North Caucasus have highlighted once again the diversity...
of processes within the region, divided along an east–west axis. Alongside the rising nationalism, three other major ideological developments have also emerged in the North Caucasus often in contradiction to each other. The most well-known of these ideological movements is the spread of radical Islam. Though followers of radical Islam are not inherently extremists, in recent times some splinter groups have developed into jihadi movements that conduct insurgency campaigns in the region and elsewhere. Secondly, the region has witnessed the revival of more moderate Islam, whose religious leaders oppose radical Islam and regard its followers as heretics. They openly support, and are supported by, the Russian state authorities. Indeed, state authorities regard the development of moderate Islam as one of their main measures against Islamic radicalization and the recruitment of young people into the insurgency. As regional leaders publically declare their support for moderate Islam and Imams, the insurgents react by labeling these moderates as “traitorous imams.” Moderate Islam seeks to broaden its followers by including even those groups who identify themselves as Muslims, regardless of whether or not they exercise the Five Pillars of Islam. Indeed, the leader of moderate Muslims in Kabardino-Balkaria, Anas Pshikhachev, has argued that, “everybody who acknowledges Allah, Koran, Sunna, and the Prophet is a Muslim even if he does not exercise any practices.” The third and final trend in the region is represented by many local scholars and intellectuals as those groups that see traditional Islam as an ideology that embodies both local traditions and Islamic practices. This movement is deeply embedded in the historical roots and the moral code of local customs in the North Caucasus.

The ethno-confessional division of the North Caucasus reveals two main trends. The first highlights the shift from nationalism to Islam among certain groups, particularly in Dagestan, Chechnya, Ingushetia, Karachai, and Balkaria. The second, by contrast, is a rise in nationalism among the predominately Orthodox Christian or Muslim-Christian ethnicities in Kabarda, Cherkessia, Adygea, North Ossetia and among the Russian population in the Caucasus, including Cossacks, as well as in Abkhazia and South Ossetia. A specific development has taken place in Kabardino-Balkaria, Karachaevo-Cherkessia, North Ossetia, and Ingushetia. Here the rise in nationalism amongst the Kabardian, Cherkessian, and North Ossetian communities has tempered the ideological shift form nationalism to Islamic ideology amongst the Balkar, Karachai, and Ingush communities, at the same time as the rise in radical Islam has in turn impacted on the development of Islam in North Ossetia and Kabardian areas. Thus, while in the east of the North Caucasus nationalism has now merged with an Islamic religious identity, in the west this ideological fusion has not taken place. In places such as Kabardino-Balkaria, Karachaevo-Cherkessia, Adygea, North Ossetia, Islamists and nationalist ideologies have continued to evolve separately and often in direct competition to each other.

The structure of the Caucasus Emirate (CE) is a good example of the different ideological patterns currently at play in the North Caucasus. Many of the key figures in the CE that came from the northeastern North Caucasus, such as Doku Umarov, one of the founders of the organization, came from a more nationalistic background. By contrast, none of the leaders originally from the northwestern regions of the North Caucasus, such as Anzor Astemirov, another founder of the CE, have such a background. The ideological differences between the western and eastern parts of the North Caucasus and the creation of the Caucasus Emirate led the Kremlin to divide the region into two Federal Districts in 2010. In large part this was a move designed to guarantee the security of the 2014 Winter Olympics, set to be held in Sochi. Yet, the developments surrounding the hosting of the Olympics in Sochi have sharpened the ideological differences between the east and the west of the North Caucasus, with CE stepping up its terrorist activities, and the nationalist Circassian movement developing its own strategies in protest to the holding of the Olympics in Sochi, a historical capital of independent Circassia.

This east–west dichotomy in the North Caucasus has several causes. Firstly, deep-seated historical differences between the eastern and western regions of the North Caucasus, which have facilitated the development of very different approaches to Islam. Islam arrived first, and achieved its greatest influence, in the eastern North Caucasus, whilst the western parts have always adopted a more unique blend of national and religious identities. Furthermore, the eastern regions were dominated by the stricter Shafi school while the western parts were home to a milder Hanafi interpretation of Islam. Indeed, during the Russian–Caucasian war in the 19th century, the Imamate under the leadership of Imam Shamil was based on an Islamic ideology (1829–1859), while the Circassian state (1861–1864) under the leadership of Geranduk Berzek, was more nationalist in origin (see Table 2 on p. 5).

Secondly, the homogeneity of religious practice plays a major role in accounting for such regional differences. Historically, the groups populating the east of the North Caucasus, such as the Chechens, Ingush, and the mixed population of Dagestan primarily practiced Islam, in contrast, the western groups such as the Circassians, Ossetians, and Abkhaz followed a mixture of both Christianity and Islam; this mixed heritage tended
to promote the development of nationalism as a unifying ideology. Somewhere in-between are Karachais and Balkars who live with the Circassians (Kabardians and Cherkess) and are influenced by their nationalism; nevertheless, they are mono-religious and therefore are more affected by Islam than their neighbors.

Thirdly, the western nationalities of the North Caucasus have large diasporas that reside outside of Russia. These diasporas have had a growing impact on local developments. Some four-fifths of ethnic Circassians and Abkhaz live outside the Caucasus; North and South Ossetia is split between Russia and Georgia. By contrast, in the eastern stretches of the North Caucasus, local politics has taken on forms more similar to that in other Muslim parts of Russia, such as Tatarstan and Bashkortostan, in part because they lack the large diaspora communities abroad.

Finally, these ideological trends in the North Caucasus clash with Russian nationalism. In the 1990s, state policies targeted the so-called “persons of Caucasian nationality.” Today, Russian opposition groups call for the Russian state to “stop feeding the Caucasus.” Russian society enjoys nostalgia for the lost power of the Soviet Union and views the loss of the North Caucasus as the continuing decay of Russian power and influence. At the same time, Russian nationalists also see the North Caucasus as a separate and hostile region that defies integration into the modern Russian state.

The vast majority of contemporary analysis on the North Caucasus suggests that the main problem is terrorism, usually framed as a process resulting from the spillover effect of the two wars in Chechnya. This is, however, a Russo-centric perspective on the region, which is often shared by western scholars and analysts. Such a viewpoint is also an example of the intermixing of policy and academic approaches with one another. This, in turn, structures the methods and solutions put forward in order to resolve the situation in the region.

At the heart of Russia’s current policy in the region are two main approaches, both of which are not substantially challenged by the international community. The first approach centers on bringing peace to Chechnya, which is seen, in large part, as being realized by supporting the authoritarian regime of Ramzan Kadyrov. Whilst the international community supports the overall goal of pacifying Chechnya, they do not support the methods used by the Russian authorities, however they have failed to put forward an alternative vision for the future of the Chechen Republic. Russia’s other goal is to rid the region of insurgency groups by eradicating and killing as many of their members and supporters as possible. This approach is not succeeding in bringing stability to the region and only serves to motivate more and more young people to join the underground cells.

In contrast to this reductionist approach, the current situation in the North Caucasus should be analyzed through a variety of lenses—security, politics, economy, relations with the federal center, international affairs, religious extremism, and nationalism. As argued above, this more nuanced reading of the current trends in the region reveals a much more varied picture than the one usually presented of a region split between radical Islamists and everyone else.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nationalistic wars</th>
<th>Ossetian–Ingush conflict, 1992</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Georgian–Abkhaz war, 1992–1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russian–Chechen war, 1994–1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation of regions (successful)</td>
<td>Adygea from Krasnodar Krai, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chechnya and Ingushetia from Checheno-Ingushetia, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separation of regions (unsuccessful)</td>
<td>Cherkessia from Karachaevo-Cherkessia, 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Balkaria from Kabardino-Balkaria, 1992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2: Ideological Division in the North Caucasus in 2000s

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographical division</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>West</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chechnya</td>
<td>Adygea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dagestan</td>
<td>Kabardino-Balkaria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ingushetia</td>
<td>Karachaev-Cherkessia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>North Ossetia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major ideological trends</td>
<td>Radical Islam</td>
<td>Nationalism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate Islam</td>
<td>Radical Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Moderate Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Traditionalistic Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical differences in the approach to Islam</td>
<td>Islamic Imamate (1829–1859)</td>
<td>Islamic-nationalistic Circassian state (1861–1864)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practical differences in the approach to Islam</td>
<td>Shaafi school</td>
<td>Hanafi school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td>Islam</td>
<td>Christianity and Islam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External influence</td>
<td>No diasporas</td>
<td>Large diasporas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Abstract**

After the Boston bombings, the media has portrayed the insurgency in the North Caucasus as being part of the global Salafi jihad fighting against the West. This statement was quickly refuted by the leader of the Caucasus Emirate (CE) and the Dagestani insurgency. This report discusses the nature of the insurgent and terrorist groups in the North Caucasus in order to understand their links with global Salafi jihad, and the rationale behind their violent actions against the Russian state. It argues that the link between the CE and international jihadists has been overblown and that the insurgency is mainly driven by recurrent structural problems reinforced by a growing resurgence of radical Islam in the North Caucasus. In terms of international security, the conflict in the region remains mainly an internal Russian problem and the emphasis should not be put on the link between the Emirate and al-Qaeda, rather it should focus on events such as the upcoming Sochi Olympics.

**Ideological Features of the Insurgency: The Importance of Anti-Western Sentiment and Global Salafi Jihad**

In 2007, Doku Umarov proclaimed the establishment of the Caucasus Emirate, a pan-Caucasian Islamic structure, in order to replace the nationalist insurgent structure of the Chechen republic of Ichkeria. Umarov’s discourse started to integrate more references to Islam, including references to the importance of jihad against non-believers that oppose Muslims and the instauration of Sharia in the North Caucasus. Western countries and Israel were labelled as enemies of the Ummah and by the same token of the Emirate. At the same time, CE leaders always remained focused on the Russian state and its local proxies as their main targets. Therefore, the anti-western rhetoric never really materialized further than in its discursive form inspired by al-Qaeda.

At a more local level, the insurgent groups across the North Caucasus (Dagestan, Chechnya, Ingushetia, and Kabardino-Balkaria) have occasionally released state-
The willingness and the capacity to engage in suicide bombings in support of the global Salafi jihad and its anti-western nature. Nonetheless the main thrust of their targets and strategies has been on the immediate and local objectives. Due to the structural conditions inside the insurgency and the limited communication between the different cells, local rebel groups enjoy a great level of autonomy in their everyday operations. As a result of its lack of a strong hierarchical structure, the CE also cannot impose a single ideological platform onto its local cells across the region.

Therefore, the insurgency forms a loose confederation of insurgents and criminal groups fighting the local and federal governments for a diverse set of reasons. These range from religious grievances to simple greed. The role of the CE’s central command structure is to set out the main ideological platform for the movement which is then used by local insurgents to justify their struggle against the Russian troops and their proxy forces in the region. As a result of this loose ideological structure factors such as personal vendettas, criminal activities and local issues play a more significant role than ideological Islamic grievances in the daily dynamics of this insurgency movement. This also explains why we have not observed a greater degree of strategic coordination across the different terrorist attacks carried out by the insurgency in the North Caucasus since the establishment of the CE. In fact, at the operational and tactical level, the establishment of the Emirate did not have a great deal of impact on the strategies used by the different groups on the ground. For example, in Chechnya, the local insurgent groups have continued to engage in guerilla warfare against the Russian military forces and the pro-Russian Chechen forces. Similarly, these groups have continued to target military objectives rather than softer targets. Umarov’s stance on civilian casualties and the role of suicide bombings has however changed since April 2009 when the Russian administration announced the end of the counter-terrorist operation in Chechnya. Between 2009 and the beginning of 2012, the Caucasus Emirate was responsible for over 30 suicide bombings including the infamous attacks on the Moscow Metro system in March 2010 and Domodedovo airport in January 2011. The willingness and the capacity to engage in suicide bombings was not a strategic decision of the Emirate, rather it was driven by local cells and individual leaders in each republic. For example, Said Buryatsky was instrumental in launching the first wave of attacks following the creation of the CE mainly focused on targets in Ingushetia and Chechnya. After his death in 2010, Dagestan insurgents became the leading figures in the use of suicide bombings in the North Caucasus, and across Russia such as the bombing of the Moscow transit system.

In order to understand the development and dynamics of the insurgency, a closer analysis of the development of the insurgent groups outside of Chechnya is needed. Indeed, one can observe very different patterns of grievances, recruitment, and insurgency tactics across the different republics of the North Caucasus. This casts doubt on the narrative about the hierarchical nature of the Caucasus Emirate and the role played by its Chechen core leaders.

Realities on the Ground: A Loose Confederation of Insurgent Groups

Although the conflict is often portrayed as one mainly driven by Chechen insurgents, violence has moved away from Chechnya and towards Dagestan, Ingushetia, and Kabardino-Balkaria following the creation of the CE. In 2009, the level of violence, in terms of death and insurgent attacks per republic, was no longer topped by Chechnya, with Dagestan and Ingushetia replacing it in the rankings. This phenomenon is often attributed to Abdul-Khalim Sadulayev and Shamil Basayev’s spillover strategy which sought to fight the Russian forces across the region. However, when one looks into the local dynamics and strategies in each republic, a very different situation is observed. Each republic shares important structural problems, such as high unemployment, corruption, nepotism, and a lack of political and judicial accountability, which in turn fuels popular resentment against local and federal elites. However, the specific strategies deployed by the different insurgent groups develop in response to and relation with the particular policies adopted by the local elites for dealing with such groups. In this context, Salafism becomes not so much a brainwashing mechanism, but an alternative way for disenchanted young people, unhappy with the levels of corruption, limited social mobility and unemployment, to express their frustrations and grievances against the situation on the ground. Insurgent groups provide them with a sense of community, shared purpose, protection against the lawlessness of the state, and even a new social identity outside of the current confines of society.

In the case of the most unstable republic in the region, Dagestan, the insurgency is structured along sectarian cleavages between traditional Islam represented by the official clergy and Salafism. Rebels thus seek to establish parallel structures from the state, for example, through a form of unofficial taxation (racketeering) in the name of the Islamic tax (zakat), an underground religious structure, and the targeting of infidels (kafirs). In fact, although Umarov announced a lull in the targeting of civilians in the North Caucasus in February 2012, the Dagestan insurgency cells have remained committed to their struggle against the state framed along sectarian
At the same time, the level of coordination between the different insurgency cells remains very minimal making it extremely difficult for the government to eradicate the movement.

In Kabardino-Balkaria and Ingushetia, insurgent violence has emerged primarily as the result of the high level of state repression imposed on the ordinary population. In the case of Kabardino-Balkaria, the repression was aimed against those that followed a more radical practice of Islam often in response to the launch of the Second Chechen war. Indeed, the insurgency has remained largely reactive to the brutalization tactics and abuses perpetrated by the local regime. After a major uprising supported by Chechen rebels in Nalchik in 2005, radical Muslims have gone underground. However, in the last few years, rebel groups have once again revived up their activities by focusing their attacks on police forces, rather than civilian targets or the use of suicide attacks, as witnessed in Dagestan and Ingushetia. The religious factor for the insurgency groups in Kabardino-Balkaria is much stronger than in Ingushetia and Chechnya; at the same time violence is not solely structured along sectarian lines as in the case of Dagestan. The insurgency network in the republic remains very dependent on its key leaders, leaving its structure extremely vulnerable to major counter-terrorist operations.

In the case of Ingushetia, its ex-President, Murat Zyazikov (2002–2009), had instigated a major campaign of repression against his political opponents in the name of fighting against radical groups that supported Chechen insurgents. His approach was similar to the methods adopted by Kadyrov’s militia in neighbouring Chechnya, such as mop-up operations, extrajudicial killings and abductions. He targeted Chechen refugee camps in order to prevent them from becoming safe havens for insurgents and potential Islamic fighters. However, the force and level of brutality perpetrated by the regime has had an opposite effect by pushing more people into the insurgency camp. In turn, cycles of vendettas and revenge between the different clans and individuals have pushed the republic closer to the edge of catastrophe. Therefore, in Ingushetia, the role of Salafism in the emergence and continuation of this form of violence remains marginal as the rebels continue to frame the majority of their grievances along ethnic and kinship lines. The new administration under Yunus-Bek Yevkurov has in turn tried to engage in a peaceful dialogue with the opposition helping to limit rebel recruitment. Several successful counter-terrorist operations against high-value targets have also helped to weaken the vertical command structure of the insurgency in the republic.

Contrary to the depiction in some alarmist reports, the insurgency in the North Caucasus is not driven by the global Salafi jihad nor is the insurgency primarily made up of foreign Islamic mercenaries. Whilst one should not underestimate the threat posed by Salafism to the security of the North Caucasus and Russia in general, it is important to contextualize the deep-seated local nature of the insurgency in the region. What drives ordinary people to join insurgency movements and to challenge the government is not the will to establish a Caliphate but the need to challenge a corrupted and ossified society. Dagestan remains the only republic where the violence is unfolding along sectarian lines, and even here their recruitment primarily targets the local disenchanted youth. If the ideology of the CE is aimed at depicting the conflict as primarily part of the global jihadi movement, the reality on the ground demonstrates that the growing importance of Salafism is rather circumstantial and should not be seen as the cause of the problem. If the North Caucasus is not actually linked with the global salafi jihad, then what threat does it represent for international security?

**International Security and the Emirate: the Sochi Olympics and the Next International Jihad Front?**

As we have seen in the case of the Tsarnaev brothers, the North Caucasus remains rather indifferent to the far enemy (USA, Israel) as long as their struggle against the Russian state and its local proxies prevails. The main concern in terms of security remains the threat of terrorist attacks during the hosting of the Sochi Winter Olympics in 2014. Since the current focus of the CE leadership is on their struggle against the Russian state it would be surprising if they chose to target Western athletes during the event. Whilst such a scenario remains possible, it would probably involve a radical splinter cell loosely associated with the CE and most likely funded or supported by an al-Qaeda network, with the focus of the attack most likely to be on Moscow than during the Olympics itself. The Russian authorities have substantially increased the level of security around the Olympic complex and rightly control the access to the region; as a result this scenario remains unlikely. It would also be surprising to see a direct collaboration between the leaders of the Emirate and a foreign jihadist network as it would risk alienating foreign support, as in the case of the Beslan attack in 2004.

A more probable scenario is that the CE decides to attack a soft target outside of the North Caucasus region,
such as transport infrastructure or a symbol of the Russian state, in order to remind the world about their struggle against Moscow. The recent events at the Domodedovo airport and the Moscow metro demonstrate that the insurgency remains able to conduct major attacks outside of the North Caucasus.

At this point, the Caucasus Emirate, in its short existence, remains primarily a threat to Moscow’s local proxies in the region. Certain analysts have insisted that the North Caucasus will become a key battlefield for the global jihad movement after the departure of American troops from Afghanistan and Iraq. However, this scenario did not materialize with the Arab spring and the crisis in Mali taking most of the influx from foreign fighters. Although the CE leaders continue to present the conflict in the North Caucasus as part of the global jihad, in practice it remains primarily driven by local issues and is largely forgotten by the various Islamist networks. Whilst several members of the North Caucasian Diaspora in Europe and in North America have chosen to join jihadist groups in Syria, Afghanistan, and in North Africa, with some even plotting terrorist attacks in the West, this phenomenon remains mostly associated with home-grown radicalisation. The resources of several insurgent groups remain entirely focused on the struggle inside the North Caucasus. The risk to international security from the CE should not be exaggerated despite the inflammatory discourses from the CE leaders and their propaganda websites.

The actual social and political situation in the region, coupled with the growing tension in the Arab world and in Moscow, has however created some of the pre-conditions for a possible social uprising involving several divergent political forces in the North Caucasus. According to this scenario, the extremist factions associated with Salafist movements might try to capitalize on mass protests in order to establish an Islamic state; this could lead to an Egyptian/Syrian type scenario in Dagestan and maybe across the region. Until, and if, this scenario ever materialises or the Russian state initiates real structural reforms in the region, low-level insurgency will persist, without turning into a major conflict.
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