



Other Journal Item

Corrigendum to "Can current moisture responses predict soil CO₂ efflux under altered precipitation regimes? A synthesis of manipulation experiments"

Author(s):

Buchmann, Nina; Vicca, Sara; Kahmen, Ansgar; et al.

Publication Date:

2014-06

Permanent Link:

<https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000086098> →

Originally published in:

Biogeosciences 11(12), <http://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3307-2014> →

Rights / License:

[Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported](#) →

This page was generated automatically upon download from the [ETH Zurich Research Collection](#). For more information please consult the [Terms of use](#).



Corrigendum to

“Can current moisture responses predict soil CO₂ efflux under altered precipitation regimes? A synthesis of manipulation experiments” published in *Biogeosciences*, 11, 2991-3013, 2014

S. Vicca¹, M. Bahn², M. Estiarte^{3,4}, E. E. van Loon⁵, R. Vargas⁶, G. Alberti^{7,8}, P. Ambus⁹, M. A. Arain¹⁰, C. Beier^{9,11}, L. P. Bentley¹², W. Borken¹³, N. Buchmann¹⁴, S. L. Collins¹⁵, G. de Dato¹⁶, J. S. Dukes^{17,18,19}, C. Escobar²⁰, P. Fay²¹, G. Guidolotti¹⁶, P. J. Hanson²², A. Kahmen²³, G. Kröel-Dulay²⁴, T. Ladreiter-Knauss², K. S. Larsen⁹, E. Lellei-Kovacs²⁴, E. Lebrija-Trejos²⁵, F. T. Maestre²⁰, S. Marhan²⁶, M. Marshall²⁷, P. Meir^{28,29}, Y. Miao³⁰, J. Muhr³¹, P. A. Niklaus³², R. Ogaya^{3,4}, J. Peñuelas^{3,4}, C. Poll²⁶, L. E. Rustad³³, K. Savage³⁴, A. Schindlbacher³⁵, I. K. Schmidt³⁶, A. R. Smith^{27,37}, E. D. Sotta³⁸, V. Suseela^{17,39}, A. Tietema⁵, N. van Gestel⁴⁰, O. van Straaten⁴¹, S. Wan³⁰, U. Weber⁴², and I. A. Janssens¹

¹Research Group of Plant and Vegetation Ecology, Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 1, 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

²Institute of Ecology, University of Innsbruck, Sternwartestr. 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria

³CSIC, Global Ecology Unit, CREAM-CEAB-UAB, Cerdanyola del Vallés 08913, Catalonia, Spain

⁴CREAF, Cerdanyola del Vallés 08193, Catalonia, Spain

⁵Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁶Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Delaware Environmental Institute, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, USA

⁷University of Udine, via delle Scienze 206, Udine, Italy

⁸MOUNTFOR Project Centre, European Forest Institute, Via E. Mach 1, San Michele all'Adige (Trento), Italy

⁹Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

¹⁰McMaster Center for Climate Change and School of Geography and Earth Sciences, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

¹¹NIVA – Norwegian Institute for Water Research, Gaustadalléen 21, 0349 Oslo, Norway

¹²Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

¹³Soil Ecology, University Bayreuth, Dr.-Hans-Frisch-Str. 1–3, 95448 Bayreuth, Germany

¹⁴Department of Environmental Systems Science, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

¹⁵Department of Biology, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA

¹⁶Department for Innovation in Biological, Agro-food and Forest systems, University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy

¹⁷Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Purdue University, 715 West State Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2061, USA

¹⁸Department of Biology, University of Massachusetts, Boston, MA 02125, USA

¹⁹Department of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

²⁰Área de Biodiversidad y Conservación, Departamento de Biología y Geología, Escuela Superior de Ciencias Experimentales y Tecnología, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, C/Tulipán s/n, 28933 Móstoles, Spain

²¹USDA ARS Grassland Soil and Water Research Laboratory, Temple, TX 76502, USA

²²Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

²³Institute of Agricultural Sciences, ETH Zurich, 8092 Zurich, Switzerland

²⁴MTA Centre for Ecological Research, 2–4, Alkotmány u., 2163-Vácrátót, Hungary

²⁵Department of Molecular Biology and Ecology of Plants, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

²⁶Institute of Soil Science and Land Evaluation, Soil Biology, University of Hohenheim, Emil-Wolff-Str. 27, 70599 Stuttgart, Germany

²⁷Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Environment Centre Wales, Deiniol Road, Bangor LL57 2UW, UK

²⁸School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

²⁹Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

³⁰State Key Laboratory of Cotton Biology, College of Life Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng, Henan 475004, China

³¹Max Planck Institute of Biogeochemistry, Department of Biogeochemical Processes, 07701 Jena, Germany

³²Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zürich, Switzerland

³³USFS Northern Research Station, 271 Mast Road, Durham, NH 03824, USA

³⁴The Woods Hole Research Center, 149 Woods Hole Rd, Falmouth, MA 02540, USA

³⁵Department of Forest Ecology, Federal Research and Training Centre for Forests, Natural Hazards and Landscape – BFW, A-1131 Vienna, Austria

³⁶Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management, Copenhagen University, Denmark

³⁷School of the Environment, Natural Resources, and Geography, Bangor University, Gwynedd LL57 2UW, UK

³⁸Embrapa Amapá Caixa Postal 10, CEP 68906-970, Macapá AP, Brazil

³⁹School of Agricultural, Forest and Environmental Sciences, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29634, USA

⁴⁰Department of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX 79409, USA

⁴¹Buesgen Institute, Soil Science of Tropical and Subtropical Ecosystems, Georg-August- University of Goettingen, Buesgenweg 2, 37077 Goettingen, Germany

⁴²Department of Biogeochemical Integration (BGI), Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Hans-Knöll-Str. 10, 07745 Jena, Germany

Correspondence to: S. Vicca (sara.vicca@uantwerpen.be)

In the paper “Can current moisture responses predict soil CO₂ efflux under altered precipitation regimes? A synthesis of manipulation experiments” by S. Vicca et al. (Biogeosciences, 11, 2991–3013, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2991-2014, 2014) Fig. 1 was not correctly displayed. Please find here the corrected figure.




Figure 1. (a) Overview of the magnitude and direction of precipitation effect on soil CO₂ efflux (SCE) for the different experiments. Arrows point from control precipitation to treatment precipitation (averaged over different years in case of multi-year data). Crosses localize control conditions in terms of annual precipitation and mean annual temperature (MAT). Black arrows indicate a positive correlation between precipitation manipulation and SCE, i.e., an increase of SCE when precipitation increases, or a decrease of SCE when precipitation is reduced. Gray arrows indicate negative correlations (which could be considered to reflect somewhat unexpected results). Bold arrows represent significant differences between SCE treatment and SCE control ($p < 0.05$), while thin arrows reflect non-significant differences (repeated measures ANOVA). Panel (b) shows the biomes that are represented by our data set (biome figure adapted from Chapin et al., 2002).