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Abstract

We report a new occurrence of melt inclusions in polymetamorphic granulitic gneisses of the Jubrique unit, a complete though strongly thinned crustal section located above the Ronda peridotite slab (Betic Cordillera, S Spain). The gneiss sequence is composed of mylonitic gneisses at the bottom and in contact with the peridotites, and porphyroblastic gneisses on top. Mylonitic gneisses are strongly deformed rocks with abundant garnet and rare biotite. Except for the presence of melt inclusions, microstructures indicating the former presence of melt are rare or absent. Upwards in the sequence garnet decreases whereas biotite increases in modal proportion. Melt inclusions are present from cores to rims of garnets throughout the entire sequence. Most of the former melt inclusions are now totally crystallized and correspond to nanogranites, whereas some of them are partially made of glass or, more rarely, are totally glassy. They show negative crystal shapes and range in size from $\approx$5 to 200 micrometers, with a mean size of $\approx$30-40 micrometers. Daughter phases in nanogranites and partially crystallized melt inclusions include quartz, feldspars, biotite and muscovite; accidental minerals include kyanite, graphite, zircon, monazite, rutile and ilmenite; glass has a granitic composition. Melt inclusions are mostly similar throughout all the gneissic sequence. Some fluid inclusions, of possible primary origin, are spatially associated with melt inclusions, indicating that at some point during the suprasolidus history of these rocks granitic melt and fluid coexisted. Thermodynamic modeling and conventional thermobarometry of mylonitic gneisses provide peak conditions of $\approx$850
°C and 12-14 kbar, corresponding to cores of large garnets with inclusions of kyanite and rutile. Post-peak conditions of ≈800-850 °C and 5-6 kbar are represented by rim regions of large garnets with inclusions of sillimanite and ilmenite, cordierite-quartz-biotite coronas replacing garnet rims, and the matrix with oriented sillimanite. Previous conventional petrologic studies on these strongly deformed rocks have proposed that anatexis started during decompression from peak to post-peak conditions and in the field of sillimanite. The study of melt inclusions shows, however, that melt was already present in the system at peak conditions, and that most garnet grew in the presence of melt.
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1. Introduction

Melt inclusions (MI) are small droplets of liquid, commonly a few to tens of micrometers across, trapped by minerals that grow in the presence of melt. They were first described by Sorby (1858) in igneous rocks, where they constitute a wealth of information on melt chemistry (e.g. Webster et al., 1997; Gurenko et al., 2005; Wanless et al., 2014). Many of the assumptions concerning the interpretation of fluid inclusions (FI) have been applied to MI (Sorby, 1958; Roedder, 1984; Bodnar and Student, 2006). More recently, MI have also been reported in crustal crystalline rocks (Cesare et al., 1997; Hwang et al., 2001; Stöckhert et al., 2001). Most melting reactions during crustal anatexis are incongruent (e.g. Clemens, 2006), i.e. produce melt and peritectic minerals, providing the opportunity that these minerals trap inclusions of the coexisting silicate liquid. Hence, MI trapped during melting can supply the composition of the primary anatexitic melt (Cesare et al., 2009; 2011), in contrast with MI trapped during crystallization of cooling igneous rocks that provide the composition of fractionated (as opposed to primary) melts (Webster et al., 1997; Thomas and Davidson, 2013; see also discussion in Bartoli et al., 2014).

Most MI in anatexitic terranes appear partially or totally crystallized due to slow cooling at depth. Owing to the granitic phase assemblage made of micron to submicron quartz, feldspars and micas, crystallized MI have been named “nanogranites” (Cesare et al., 2009). With the recent development of in-situ and high spatial resolution microanalytical techniques, as well as appropriate methods to remelt and rehomogenize nanogranites (Bartoli et al., 2013a, 2014), it is possible to characterize precisely MI, in order to relate their information to the process of anatexis of the host rock. Hence MI
represent a new and powerful method to study anatexis, primarily because they can provide information on the parental melt compositions produced at the source region of crustal granites, including concentrations of H₂O and fluid regimes (Cesare et al., 2011; Ferrero et al., 2012; Bartoli et al., 2013b, 2014). This information can complement, and in some cases be more precise, than that provided by classical petrological andgeochemical studies of anatexic terrains, for instance regarding the composition of the primary anatectic melt, which has been traditionally approximated by the composition of anatectic leucosomes. This is particularly important in cases where deformation has partially or totally erased the primary anatectic macro- and micro-structures. In these cases, the presence of MI may be the only evidence remaining in the rock for the presence and nature of melt (Cesare et al., 2011).

The number of MI occurrences in anatexic terranes reported in the literature is quite modest and, among those cases, only a few provide bulk compositional data from the MI (Cesare et al., 2011; Ferrero et al., 2012; Bartoli et al., 2013b). This is due to the relatively recent discovery of MI in crustal anatectic rocks (Cesare et al., 1997, 2009, 2011; Hwang et al., 2001; Stöckhert et al., 2001, 2009; Korsakov and Hermann, 2006; Gao et al., 2012; Darling, 2013; Liu et al., 2013) and, more importantly, the very recent development of appropriate methodologies to recover the information encrypted within these former droplets of melt (Malaspina et al., 2006; Perchuck et al., 2008; Bartoli et al., 2013a, 2013b, 2014).

We report the presence and microstructures of MI in metasedimentary granulite-facies gneisses of the Jubrique unit, located in contact, and structurally above, the Ronda peridotite slab, in the hinterland of the Betic Cordillera (S Spain). Jubrique constitutes a complete though strongly thinned section (≤5 km) of upper to middle-lower continental crust. The studied gneisses, located at the bottom of the sequence, are strongly deformed and show a complex polymetamorphic history (Loomis, 1972; Torres-Roldán, 1981). Hence, Jubrique provides an exceptional opportunity to study partial melting in complex regional polymetamorphic and strongly deformed rocks by using the new approach of the MI (Cesare et al., 2009, 2011). In addition, crustal anatexis is a fundamental process that controls the differentiation of the continental crust (Sawyer et al., 2011), and this quite continuous section of continental crust offers the opportunity to characterize partial melting of middle-to-lower crustal levels, and study its potential effects on the compositional segregation of the crust. We start in this contribution by describing in detail the microstructures and phase assemblages of the
MI present throughout the entire sequence of gneisses, and discuss their bearing on the process of partial melting of the gneisses. The fundamental aims of this study consist of: (i) describing a new occurrence of MI in the granulitic gneisses of Jubrique, and their microstructural evolution along the prograde metamorphic sequence; and (ii) to shed light on the timing and nature of the anatectic processes that affected these strongly deformed and polymetamorphic rocks.

2. Geological setting

The Betic Cordillera in southern Spain and the Rif in northern Morocco constitute an arcuate orogen formed during the N-S collision between Eurasian and African plates and the westward migration of the Alborán lithospheric domain, from Early-Middle Eocene to Early Miocene times (Fig. 1) (Andrieux et al., 1971; Dewey et al., 1989; Platt et al., 2013). The Alborán domain represents the hinterland of this orogen, and is formed by a complex stack of nappes made of mostly supracrustal metamorphic rocks. Based on lithostratigraphic and metamorphic criteria, these nappes have been grouped into two major tectonic complexes which, in the Betic Cordillera, correspond to the Maláguide, on top, and the Alpujárride, at the bottom. In the highest-grade metamorphic areas of the Betics, the Alpujárride unit of Jubrique incorporates at its base a tectonic slab of subcontinental mantle peridotites, the Ronda peridotites (Lundeen, 1978; Obata, 1980; Tubía and Cuevas, 1986; Balanyá et al., 1997; Lenoir et al., 2001; Garrido et al., 2011).

The rocks studied in this contribution are granulite-facies gneisses pertaining to the Jubrique unit. This unit constitutes a complete though strongly thinned section ($\leq 5$ km) of upper to middle-lower continental crust, ranging from carbonates and low-grade phyllites at the top, to schists towards the middle, and to garnet-bearing gneisses at the bottom (Fig. 1). Rocks are affected by a penetrative foliation parallel to the lithological contacts. The gneisses are in contact with the underlying Ronda peridotites through a high temperature ductile shear zone; this contact is parallel to the mylonitic foliation of the crustal rocks. The peridotites constitute a slab of subcontinental mantle up to 5 km thick (Ludeen, 1978; Balanyá et al., 1997). Carbonates and phyllites are Permo-Triassic and were deformed and metamorphosed during the Alpine orogeny. Schist and gneisses are pre-Carboniferous and represent a polymetamorphic basement affected by at least the Variscan and Alpine orogenies. Rocks from all levels in the crustal section seem to record nearly isothermal decompression paths, from 14-12 kb to 4 kb at 750-800 °C in
the case of the gneisses located at the contact with the Ronda peridotites. The HP-HT event has been related to the thickening of the Alborán domain. The main foliation in the rocks postdate HP-HT assemblages and predate LP-HT minerals, and hence has been associated with the ductile thinning of the sequence. In this interpretation, Jubrique would represent a thinned and stretched remain of the Alpine collisional thickened crust (Torres-Roldán, 1981; Balanyá et al., 1997; Argles et al., 1999; Platt et al., 2003). The gneisses located at the bottom of the crustal sequence and in contact with the peridotites, were above their solidus during part of the metamorphic evolution. Previous studies have concluded that partial melting occurred during decompression and in the field of sillimanite (Platt et al., 2003). Recent studies of gneisses of apparently similar composition and structural position in the Rif have described the presence of diamond and coesite included in garnet, suggesting UHP conditions of 6-7 GPa at T>1100 ºC (Ruiz-Cruz and Sanz de Galdeano, 2012, 2013).

3. Analytical methods

The minimum amount of material collected in the field for chemical analyses was about 8 to 10 kg per sample. Powders with a grain size ≤25 µm were obtained by crushing and milling the samples using a crusher with hardened still jaws and an agate ring mill, respectively. Bulk rock major element analyses were conducted by X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry at the Instituto Andaluz de Ciencias de la Tierra (CSIC, Universidad de Granada), using a Bruker AXS S4 Pioneer instrument. The analyses were done on glass beads made by fusing the rock powder mixed with Li₂B₄O₇. The analytical detection limit and instrumental error were 0.1 % and <1 %, respectively.

Microstructures of MI were characterized using conventional microscope petrography and a QUANTA 400 environmental scanning electron microscope at the Centro de Instrumentación Científica (CIC), Universidad de Granada, equipped with EDAX EDS (ultrathin window) and Li(Si) detectors. Mineral compositions were determined using a Cameca SX100 electron microprobe at the CIC. Natural and synthetic silicate oxides were used for calibration and ZAF correction was applied.

4. Sampling, petrography and composition of minerals

Most of the previous petrologic studies have divided the gneissic sequence of Jubrique into two major gneiss types, based either on the structures or mineral assemblages. Although structures, mineralogy and microstructures indicate that these
rocks represent anatectic migmatites (see below), we have maintained the previous
terminology of gneisses though have also provided the corresponding migmatitic terms.
We have used field and petrographic criteria to distinguish two types of gneisses as
well: (i) mylonitic gneisses at the bottom of the sequence and in contact with the
underlying Ronda peridotites, and (ii) porphyroblastic gneisses on top of the mylonitic
gneisses and right below the schists. Mylonitic gneisses constitute a \( \approx 300-500 \) m-thick
sequence of rocks that, at the outcrop scale, appear as dark, Grt-rich rocks and rather
massive rocks, except for the presence of frequent mm-to-cm Grt-bearing leucocratic
bands that define a foliation and provides the rock with the appearance of a stromatic
metatexitic migmatite (Fig. 2a-b; see Sawyer, 2008) (mineral abbreviations are after
Whitney and Evans, 2010; except for silicate melt, Liq). This foliation is parallel to the
contact with the peridotites. Leucocratic bands or leucosomes may occasionally reach
up to several tens of cm in thickness and constitute tabular concordant Grt-rich
leucocratic bodies (Fig. 2c); in this case, a foliation parallel to that affecting the host
rock is clearly visible in the leucocratic bands at the outcrop scale. Some domains of the
mylonitic gneisses are less affected by the deformation that produced the stromatic
appearance, and appear to record a previous stage in the history of the rock,
characterized by a dilatant structure (Fig. 2a; Saywer, 2008). In these domains the
proportion of leucosomes increases, appearing as mm-to-cm layers roughly parallel to
the foliation, but also as veins or pods perpendicular to the foliation (Figs. 2a). These
observations suggest that some melt has escaped from this rock during deformation and
development of the stromatic migmatite. Garnet is always present within all the
described leucocratic bands, veins and pods. However, there are also thin Grt-absent
and Bt-Crd-bearing leucocratic dikes crosscutting the foliation at high angle, that in
contrast with previously described Grt-bearing bands and veins, seem to develop under
ductile-to-fragile conditions (Figs. 2a, 2d). The mylonitic gneisses are equivalent to the
lower part of the gneiss series described by Loomis (1972), rocks belonging to the
lower part of the Grt-Ky-Kfs zone of Torres-Roldán (1981), or the garnet gneiss of Platt
et al. (2003). Upper in the sequence and further away from the contact with the
peridotites, porphyroblastic gneisses are lighter, coarser-grained and more
heterogeneous rocks, showing a clear layering defined by alternating mm-to-dm
leucocratic and mesocratic-to-melanocratic bands (Fig. 2e-g). These bands are parallel
to the mylonitic foliation of the underlying gneisses. Compared with the mylonitic
gneisses, Grt decreases whereas Bt increases in abundance (Fig. 3). Biotite is aligned
and defines a schistosity parallel to the banding of the rock. Based on the structure, these rocks can be classified as stromatric metatexites (Figs. 2e-f) or schlieric diatexites (Fig. 2g). Leucocratic bands or leucosomes contain Grt and are separated from the paleosome by rather continuous mm-thick melanosomes. Occasionally leucosomes reach up to tens of cm in thickness and forms concordant tabular leucocratic bodies (Fig. 2f). As in the mylonitic gneisses, there are late Grt-absent and Crd-bearing thin dikes that seem to develop under ductile-to-fragile conditions (Fig. 2f). Porphyroblastic gneisses are likely equivalent to rocks belonging to the upper part of the Grt-Ky-Kfs zone of Torres-Roldán (1981) or the migmatites of Platt et al. (2003).

We have conducted a systematic sampling of the gneissic sequence of Jubrique and collected a total of 40 samples. Seven samples of mylonitic (JU-6, JU-7, JU-8, JU-10) and porphyroblastic (JU-16, JU-21, JU-25) gneisses were chosen to study in detail the microstructures and phase assemblages of the MI (JU-6, JU-7, JU-16), bulk rock compositions (JU-7, JU-21) and mineral major element compositions (JU-6, JU-7, JU-10, JU-21, JU-25). In addition, we have also conducted a thermodynamic modeling of the mylonitic gneiss JU-7, in order to shed light on the conditions of melt generation and entrapment. The location of these samples is shown in Figs. 1 and 3.

4.1 Petrography and mineral chemistry of mylonitic gneisses

Mylonitic gneisses are fine-grained rocks made of abundant to frequent Grt, Qz, Pl, Kfs, Ky, Sil and Crd, scarce to rare Bt, and accessory Spl, Gr, Ap, Rt, Ilm, Zrn, Mnz and rare Ep. These rocks show a mylonitic microstructure, with a fine-grained (≈20-200 μm) matrix formed mostly by Qz+Pl+Kfs+Als±Crd, and porphyroclasts of Grt and, less frequently, Ky and Kfs (Figs. 3, 4). In addition to the banding observed at the outcrop scale, the main foliation (S_p) is defined by oriented ribbons of Qz, elongate Grt and Ky porphyroclasts, prisms of Ky and prisms/needles of Sil. The intensity of deformation varies within the sequence, and some dm-to-m domains may appear highly deformed, to the point that leucocratic and melanocratic bands become hardly distinguishable (Fig. 3); it is in these domains where Sil develops the largest (hundreds of μm) crystals (Fig. 4a). In spite of the strong deformation, mineral lineations are apparently absent in the field and at the scale of hand specimen or thin section.

Garnet forms conspicuous rounded or elongated porphyroclasts ranging in size from ≤1 mm up to 2 cm, and are present within both leucocratic and melanocratic bands (Fig. 3). They often contain MI (Figs. 4b-f) as well as inclusions of Qz, Ky, Rt, Pl, Bt, Sil,
Ilm, Zrn, Mnz, Gr and Spl (Figs. 3, 4b-e, 4g). Melt inclusions have also been observed within Qz included in Grt (Fig. 4h). Some FI, of possible primary origin, are spatially associated with MI in clusters within Grt (Fig. 4e). Muscovite has never been observed. Considering large (≥ 4-5 mm) Grt porphyroclasts, MI, Ky and Rt are found both at cores and rims (Figs. 4b-c), whereas Sil and Ilm are only found at the rims (Fig. 4c, 4g). Mineral inclusions at the core are not oriented, whereas inclusions at rims (e.g. needles of Sil) may be oriented subparallel to $S_p$ (Fig. 4g). Rims of Grt are variably replaced by undeformed coronas of Crd, Qz, Bt, Ilm, Kfs, Spl and rare Pl (Fig. 4g) (see also Platt et al., 2003). Garnets are rich in Alm and Prp ($\approx$Alm$_{66}$, $\approx$Prp$_{28}$), have low to very low concentrations of Grs and Sps ($\approx$Grs$_{4}$, $\approx$Sps$_{2}$) (Table 1, Fig. 5a), and show up to three compositional domains depending on crystal size. Crystals ≤ 3 mm show a central plateau in all components and increases in Grs and decreases in Prp and $X_Mg$ at some hundreds of $\mu$m from, and towards the rim. The component spessartine is flat except at a few tens of $\mu$m from the rim where it increases. In addition to these compositional zones, larger crystals (≥5 mm) show a central domain with higher concentration of Grs with respect to the plateau (Fig. 5a).

Kyanite occurs both in Grt and in the matrix (Figs. 4b-d, 4g, 4i-j). Matrix Ky forms elongated porphyroclasts and small prisms, always parallel to $S_p$ and metastable, partially replaced by either a Spl+Pl±Kfs±Crd corona (Fig. 4j) or a fringe of Sil. Frequently, former Ky appears also as polycrystalline aggregates with undulose extinction, apparently pseudomorphosed by Sil (Fig. 4f). Kyanite in Grt may also be rimmed by Spl coronas (Fig. 4c-d); however, Ky included at the cores of large Grt appear stable (Fig. 4b). In addition to needles included at the rims of Grt or replacing rims of Ky, Sil appears as small oriented prisms in the matrix (including leucocratic bands), and is particularly abundant in highly deformed rocks (Figs. 4a, 4g, 4i-k).

Biotite occurs mostly in coronas ($Mg\# \approx 0.47; Mg\#_{mol.} = [MgO/(MgO+FeO_t)]$) around Grt (Fig. 4g), but also as inclusions within Grt ($Mg\# \approx 0.69$) (Figs. 4c, 4e) and, more rarely, in the matrix. Biotite included in Grt show the lowest Ti concentrations. Biotites of leucocratic and melanocratic bands are similar in composition ($Mg\# \approx 0.51$). Cordierite ($Mg\# \approx 0.61$) appears mostly in coronas partially replacing Grt, where it forms symplectic intergrowths with Qz; it may also appear in the fine-grained matrix of the rock. Plagioclase included in Grt ($\approx$An$_{50}$) is richer in An with respect to Pl in leucocratic and melanocratic bands, which shows similar compositions ($\approx$An$_{40}$). Plagioclase in leucocratic bands is either homogeneous or slightly zoned (inverse or
direct), whereas Pl in melanocratic bands show a slight inverse zoning. Plagioclase in coronas replacing matrix Ky shows intermediate compositions (≈An_{45}). K-feldspar shows a rather constant composition in all microstructural locations. Quartz appears frequently in the matrix as ribbons wrapping the porphyroclasts. Spinels are solid solutions between Spl, Hc and Ghn. Spinels included in Grt are closer to Spl and richer in Zn (Mg# ≈ 0.41; ZnO ≈ 6 wt%), whereas spinel in coronas around Grt is closer to Hc and has low concentrations of Zn (Mg# ≈ 0.19; ZnO ≈ 0.5 wt%).

Leucocratic bands are granitic and composed of Qz, Kfs, Pl (commonly Kfs>Pl; Kfs may appear with rod, string or patch perthites) and accessory Ky, Sil, Grt, Rt, Ilm and Gr (Fig. 4i). They are deformed but show larger grain size compared with the rest of the rock (Figs. 3, 4i); microstructures indicating the former presence of melt such as cusparate mineral terminations, melt films and subhedral microstructures (Fig. 4i; e.g. Sawyer, 2001; Vernon, 2011) are rare or absent, probably erased by deformation and high temperature annealing. Mesocratic-melanocratic bands are rich in Grt, Als and Pl.

Leucocratic concordant bodies are similar to leucocratic bands: they show a mylonitic microstructure and have a granitic mineral composition (Qz+Pl+perthitic Kfs), with frequent Grt and accessory Ky, Sil, Bt, Rt, Ilm and Spl (Fig. 4k). Garnets have abundant MI and show many microstructural features similar to Grt of the host rock, suggesting that they are entrained crystals from the residue. The late leucocratic dikes crosscutting S_p are tonalitic medium-grained rocks made of Qz, Pl, Bt and Crd. They are almost undeformed and show a typically igneous subhedral microstructure. Hence they are different in composition and microstructure with respect to the leucogranitic bands/bodies parallel to S_p.

Pre-kinematic minerals (with respect to S_p) include the cores of large Grt porphyroclasts, inclusions of Ky, Bt, Rt and Pl in these cores (Fig. 4b), and porphyroclasts of Ky and Kfs. Syn-kinematic phases are oriented Sil within the rims of large Grt, in small Grt (Fig. 4g) or in the matrix (Fig. 4a), rims of large Grt and small Grt, and oriented Ilm and Bt in the matrix (Figs. 4g, 4i-j). Post-kinematic minerals include Crd, Bt, Ilm, Kfs, Spl and Pl replacing rims of Grt and Ky (Figs. 4g, 4j) (see also Loomis, 1972; Torres-Roldán, 1981; Balanyá et al., 1997; Argles et al., 1999; Platt et al., 2003).

4.2 Petrography and mineral chemistry of porphyroblastic gneisses
Porphyroblastic gneisses are fine-to-medium grained rocks characterized by a compositional layering at the mm-cm scale (leucosome, paleosome and melanosome, see Fig. 2e), parallel to a schistosity ($S_p$) defined by Bt, Als and Gr. Compared to mylonitic ones, porphyroblastic gneisses show lower modal proportions of Grt and Als, and higher amounts of Bt (Figs. 3 and 4). They also display a decrease in Grt and increase in Bt modal proportions towards upper structural levels. This, together with the similarity in mineralogy and many microstructures in both types of gneisses (particularly at their contact, see below), indicates a petrologic continuity throughout the entire sequence. Porphyroblastic gneisses are constituted by a fine-to-medium-grained matrix ($\approx 0.5$–2 mm) made of Qz+Pl+Kfs+Als+Bt+Grt±Crd, that enclose Grt and Kfs porphyroblasts. Quartz shows undulose extinction and/or development of subgrains, and Garnet porphyroblasts reach in size up to 1.5 cm, and are in general smaller than in mylonitic gneisses. They also show replacement coronas of Crd+Qtz+Bt+Spl, and contain inclusions of melt (Fig. 4m), Qz, Ky, Sil, Pl, Bt, as well as Rt, Py, Gr, Zrn, Mnz, Ap and Ilm. Some FI seem primary as they appear regularly distributed throughout the entire Grt and spatially associated with MI (Fig. 4m); these may be filled with carbonates such as calcite and siderite. Garnets are rich in Alm and Prp ($\approx$Alm$_{66}$, $\approx$Prp$_{22}$) and show higher Grs and Sps ($\approx$Grs$_8$, $\approx$Sps$_4$) with respect to Grt in mylonitic gneisses. Crystals $\geq$5 mm show a central compositional plateau and, in contrast to mylonitic gneisses, a monotonic decrease in Sps and an irregular increase in Mg# towards the rims (Table 2, Fig. 5b); Grs also increases irregularly towards the rim. Crystals $\leq$2 mm lack the central plateau and show zoning patterns similar to rims of the large Grt.

Aluminosilicates are less abundant than in mylonitic gneisses, though their proportions vary throughout the sequence of porphyroblastic gneisses. Kyanite and Sil show similar microstructures to those in mylonitic gneisses. Besides included in Grt, Ky occurs in the matrix parallel to $S_p$ and frequently rimmed by symplectic coronas of Spl±Pl±Crd or by Sil (Fig. 4n). Sillimanite also appears as oriented inclusions at the rims of large Grt or throughout small Grt (Fig. 4n). Biotite occurs mostly as oriented crystals or crystal aggregates in the paleosome and melanosome, but also included within Grt porphyroblast ($Mg# \approx 0.44$), in coronas partially replacing Grt porphyroblasts ($Mg# \approx 0.51$), replacing small Grt in the matrix, and as individual crystals within leucosomes. Biotites in paleosome, leucosomes and replacing small Grt show similar compositions ($Mg# \approx 0.56$); Bt replacing Grt show the highest Ti concentrations,
whereas Ti contents in Bt included in Grt is higher than those in matrix Bt. Plagioclase of paleosome and leucosome are similar in composition (≈An\(_{44}\)), and either homogeneous or show slight inverse zoning (≈An\(_{38-44}\) at cores, An\(_{43-48}\) at rims). Plagioclase replacing small crystals of matrix Grt is richer in Ca (≈An\(_{58}\)). K-feldspar show similar composition (≈Ab\(_{13}\)Or\(_{86}\)) in all microstructural locations: as inclusions in Grt, in the paleosome, in leucosomes and as exsolutions within Pl of leucosomes.

Most of the observed leucosomes are granitic, composed of Qz, Pl, Kfs and accessory Ky, Sil, Grt, Rt and Ilm; Pl commonly shows patch to braid antiperthites (Fig. 4o). More rarely, leucocratic bands are tonalitic and composed of Qz, Pl, abundant subhedral to anhedral Crd and accessory Bt and Ilm (Fig. 4p). Anhedral Crd crystals include abundant aggregates of Sil needles and, more rarely, relics of Grt. All leucosomes commonly show igneous microstructures such as euhedral to subhedral feldspars and Crd against a Qz±feldspar matrix, and cuspatelike terminations, providing this domain with a subhedral microstructure (Fig. 4o-p); this indicates that they represent former melt-rich domains (Vernon, 2011). The strong orientation of leucosomes parallel to S\(_p\) (Figs. 2e-g), together with the presence of igneous microstructures (Figs. 4o-p), indicate pre-to-syn-kinematic melting with respect to S\(_p\). The paleosome is rich in Grt, Bt, Als and Pl, and show porphyroblastic (Grt, Kfs) and anhedral to xenoblastic microstructures. Porphyroblastic gneisses may appear strongly deformed, particularly towards the contact with mylonitic gneisses, with a reduction in the matrix grain size (≈50-200 µm) and development of Qz ribbons. Late Crd-bearing thin dikes perpendicular to foliation (Fig. 2f) are medium-to-coarse grained tonalitic rocks made of Qtz, Pl, Crd and Bt; they are similar in mineralogy and microstructures to the Crd leucosomes described above (Fig. 4p).

5. Microstructures of melt inclusions

Former MI have been observed within Grt present throughout the entire sequence of gneisses. Rarely, they also appear within Qz crystals included in Grt (Fig. 4h). Most of them correspond to nanogranites (i.e. totally crystallized polycrystalline inclusions), whereas only a few of them are partially crystallized and include some glass, or appear as totally glassy (Figs. 4, 6, 7). The abundance of MI varies between samples, even from the same outcrop. In gneisses with abundant MI (≈10-20 MI per mm\(^2\) of Grt), they appear scattered throughout the entire host crystals; occasionally MI form clusters, particularly in porphyroblastic gneisses. In samples with scarce MI, they are isolated...
and apparently with a random distribution within the host. In general, MI are more abundant and larger in mylonitic gneisses. Melt inclusions are isometric, often show negative crystal shapes, range in size from $\approx 5$ to $200 \mu m$, and show a mean size of $\approx 30-40 \mu m$. There is no pattern in the distribution of MI regarding their size, and small and large MI are observed next to each other. MI in the cores of large Grt occur in the vicinity of single inclusions of Ky and Rt, whereas MI at the rims of large Grt, or within small Grt, are often associated with inclusions of Ky, Sil, Rt and/or Ilm.

Nanogranites and partially crystallized MI from mylonitic and porphyroblastic gneisses are composed of daughter crystals of Qz, Kfs, Pl (albite to bytownite), ternary feldspar, Phl, Bt, Ms, rare calcite, and trapped crystals (see discussion) of Ky ($\pm$Spl), Gr, Phl, Zrn, Mnz, Rt, Ilm and Ap (Figs. 6 and 7). Crystals of Ky are present within most of the MI, and appear to be the main accidental mineral that favored the entrapment of the inclusions during Grt growth (Figs. 6a-e, 6g-h, 6k-l, 7a-b, 7e-j). These Ky crystals are mostly anhedral and, in inclusions at the rims of large Grt, commonly appear partially replaced by a low-Zn, hercynitic Spl. Occasionally, in MI located at the core-rim region of large Grt, accidental Ky appear rimmed by Spl rich in Zn (Zn$\approx$Fe from EDS spectrum, Figs. 6c, 7b). Other solid inclusions include Gr, Zrn and Mnz (e.g. Figs. 6a-b, 6g, 6l). Ilmenite occurs only in MI located at the rim of large Grt (Figs. 6j, 7b, 7l), whereas Rt, Zrn and Mnz have been found throughout the entire host (Figs. 6a-b, 6d, 6g, 7d, 7f-g, 7k-l). Glassy MI may show some minor daughter minerals nucleated on the MI walls, and/or a shrinkage bubble (Fig. 7f). The glass present in partially crystallized MI (Figs. 6b-c, 6f, 7d, 7i) and glassy MI show typical granitic EDS spectra.

Offshoots around MI have been observed in a few cases; they are filled with daughter minerals, do not necessarily show a radial distribution and, compared with the diameter of the MI, they have similar to smaller lengths (Figs. 6a, 6j-k, 7c-d, 7i). Conversely, MI are commonly affected by late fractures crosscutting the entire Grt that may produce the retrogression and partial replacement of the primary mineral assemblage of nanogranites to a cryptocrystalline and low temperature assemblage that includes Chl (Fig. 6l, 7c, 7h, 7l). Among the daughter minerals of MI, Bt and Ms form euhedral to subhedral crystals, are frequently intergrown, and appear to be among the first minerals that crystallize from the melt (Fig. 6g, 6j, 6k, 7c, 7i, 7k). Although some Bt crystals appear to nucleate and grow from irregular Grt surfaces (Fig. 6k), crystallization of most MI started after development of negative crystal shapes (e.g.
Figs. 6e, 7d), as found by Ferrero et al. (2012). In the MI, Qz and feldspars are subhedral to anhedral and are commonly intergrown (e.g. Figs. 6e, 6l, 7e, 7k). Some small cavities (e.g. Figs. 6f-g) can be interpreted as micro- to nano-porosity, and preliminary results of H analysis of remelted nanogranites (Bartoli et al., pers. com.) suggest that they were filled with the fluid dissolved in the former hydrous melt and exsolved upon crystallization (see also Fig. 4 in Bartoli et al., 2013a). One of the studied nanogranites contains calcite in an apparently primary context (i.e. either as a daughter or a trapped crystal; Fig. 6j). However, such rare, non-systematic occurrence prevents from allowing meaningful speculations. The microscopic observations show that MI in Grt of both types of gneisses have mostly similar characteristics regarding shape, size, degree of crystallization, mineralogy and distribution in the host, MI in porphyroblastic gneisses being slightly smaller (mean size of ≈30 µm) and less abundant.

6. Phase equilibria modeling and conventional thermobarometry

All gneiss samples contain microstructures corresponding to several metamorphic stages. Furthermore, these gneisses show clear microstructures indicative of anatexis, and melt may have escaped from these deformed rocks during its metamorphic evolution (see above). Hence, the bulk rock composition of a given gneiss sample might not correspond to that of the protolith, which would influence the phase equilibria relationships and chemical compositions of minerals in the early stages of the metamorphic evolution. Inferring precise P-T conditions for such complex samples requires the use of particular equilibration volumes for each metamorphic stage. Only based on such a careful work we would be able to compare calculated compositional isopleths with observed mineral compositions and estimate precise P-T conditions. Such a detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this work. Here we have used the mylonitic gneiss sample JU-7 only to illustrate generalized P-T conditions recorded by these rocks, based on the comparison of microstructural observations with results from phase equilibria modeling. In order to make the modeled P-T conditions robust, we accompanied the phase equilibria modeling with results from conventional thermobarometry on mylonitic gneisses JU-6 and JU-7.

The model chemical system Na$_2$O–CaO–K$_2$O–FeO–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$–H$_2$O–TiO$_2$ (NCKFMASHT) was used with the bulk rock composition obtained from XRF analysis. The bulk rock composition (in mol %) used for calculation is indicated in the upper left
inset of the calculated $P$-$T$ phase diagram pseudosection (Fig. 8). The amount of $H_2O$
component involved in the calculation for the bulk rock composition was assumed as
the loss of ignition of the XRF analysis. Graphite was neglected in the calculation due
to its minor effect on the phase relationships under the $P$-$T$ conditions of interest. All
calculations were done by the Gibbs energy minimization (Connolly, 2009) with the
thermodynamic database of Holland & Powell (1998, as revised in 2003). We used the
solution model of melt from White et al. (2007), garnet from Holland and Powell
(2001), biotite from Tajčmanová et al. (2009), white mica from Coggon and Holland
(2002) and ternary feldspar from Fuhrman and Lindsley (1988). An ideal model was
used to account for the solution of cordierite.

Phase equilibria modeling provides peak $P$-$T$ conditions of $\approx 850$ °C and $\approx 12$-14 kbar
(Grt-Bt-Fsp-Ky-Rt-Qz-Liq stability field; Fig. 8), recorded in the cores of large Grt
porphyroclasts and its inclusions of Ky, Pl, Bt, nanogranite and Rt. The post-peak $P$-$T$
conditions, recorded in the rims of large Grt and its Sil and Bt inclusions, in the Crd
coronas around Grt and in the matrix, are characterized by approximately similar $T$ but
much lower $P$, below the Rt-out reaction and in the cordierite stability field, at around
$\approx 5$-6 kbar (Fig. 8). Both of these $P$-$T$ conditions are at a higher temperature than the Ms
fluid-absent melting reaction, and at slightly lower temperatures than the Bt fluid-absent
melting reaction.

The GASP barometer (calibrations of Koziol and Newton, 1988; Koziol, 1989), and
the Grt-Bt (calibrations of Thompson, 1976; Ferry and Spear, 1978; Hodges and Spear,
1982) and Grt-Crd thermometers (calibrations of Thompson, 1976; Holdaway and Lee,
1977; Bhattacharya et al., 1988) have been used for thermobarometric calculations.
Peak $T$ of 740-840 °C (at 10-15 kbar) were calculated using cores of large (5-10 mm)
Grt and inclusions of Bt in contact with the analyzed Grt cores. Peak $P$, calculated from
cores of large Grt and inclusions of Pl in the cores, show a large variation between 8
and 12 kbar (at 750-850 °C). Post-peak $T$ of 740-870 °C or 650-770 °C (at 4-6 kbar;
Crd stability field in Fig. 8) were obtained using Grt rims and inclusions of Bt in the
rims, or Grt rims and Crd coronas, respectively.

7. Discussion

7.1 Primary nature and significance of the MI: comparison with previous studies

The study of MI in crustal anatectic rocks is a rather new subject and approach to the
investigation of partial melting of the continental crust. Melt inclusions were first
documented and studied in detail in partially melted metasedimentary enclaves in El Hoyazo dacite, where trapped melt solidified to glass due to rapid cooling upon ascent and extrusion of host magma (Cesare et al., 1997, 2003; Cesare and Mainieri, 1999; Cesare, 2008). The glassy nature and large size of these inclusions made possible its direct analysis by EMP and LA-ICP-MS. These MI represent primary anatectic melts generated during partial melting of the enclaves at depth, because: (i) they are trapped within minerals crystallized during anatexis at pressures of \(\approx 5-7\) kbar; (ii) they are primary inclusions based on their distribution in the host (following criteria from Roedder (1984); (iii) they have leucogranitic compositions similar to glasses generated in experimental studies of crustal anatexis, and close to the haplogranitic eutectics (Cesare et al., 1997, 2003, 2007; Acosta-Vigil et al., 2007, 2010).

Melt inclusions were also described in crustal crystalline rocks, such as UHP gneisses and eclogites associated with subduction of continental crust (Hwang et al., 2001; Stöcklert et al., 2001, 2009; Ferrando et al., 2005; Korsakov and Hermann, 2006; Lang and Gilotti, 2007; Zeng et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2012, 2013; Liu et al., 2013), and LP-to-MP anatectic terranes associated with crustal thickening (Cesare et al., 2009, 2011; Bartoli, 2012; Ferrero et al., 2012; Bartoli et al., 2013a; Darling, 2013). In the case of UHP rocks, inclusions have been named as melt inclusions, multiphase inclusions, or polynuclear inclusions, and have been interpreted as former melts or dense supercritical fluids. Several of these studies establish the primary nature of these inclusions based on either their random distribution (e.g. in Grt) or their preferred distribution along crystallographic directions (e.g. in Ky). Melt inclusions of LP-to-MP anatectic rocks contain either a polycrystalline granitic aggregate with typical igneous microstructures, or a polycrystalline granitic aggregate plus silicate glass, or only silicate glass, and hence have been named nanogranites, partially crystallized MI, and glassy MI, respectively (Ferrero et al., 2012). The primary nature of these inclusions was also established on the basis of their spatial distribution, as they show as rounded clusters of inclusions either in the center or randomly distributed throughout the host. The major elements concentrations of these MI have been precisely measured in some cases, either by the direct analysis of the glassy MI, or after the development of an appropriate experimental technique to remelt and homogenize nanogranites and partially crystallized MI (Bartoli et al., 2013b). In all cases these inclusions are leucogranitic in composition (Cesare et al., 2009, 2011; Bartoli, 2012; Ferrero et al., 2012; Bartoli et al., 2013a).
As in previous studies, we interpret MI in the gneisses of Jubrique as primary and representing primary melts generated during the anatexis of the host rock. This is based on their mostly random spatial distribution throughout the entire crystals (i.e. from core to rim) of a typical peritectic mineral such as Grt, as well as on the presence of a granitic mineral assemblage and/or glass with a typical granitic EDS spectrum (Figs. 6 and 7). Melt inclusions in these rocks may appear completely crystallized, partially crystallized, or constituted by glass without any crystalline phase. All these type of inclusions may be present in the same Grt crystal. The presence of glass in inclusions from deep crystalline rocks is a rather unexpected though apparently common feature, that has been attributed to, first, a pore size effect (crystallization is inhibited in the smaller inclusions) and, second, to factors that may inhibit nucleation such as the absence of preexisting nuclei or irregularities on the MI walls (Cesare et al., 2011; Ferrero et al., 2012). This study supports the hypothesis of the pore size effect, as glass has been found in relatively small (≤20 µm) MI. We interpret that Qz, Fsp, Kfs, Pl, Bt and Ms represent daughter minerals crystallized from the former melt, because: (i) they constitute either the major or common minor minerals that crystallize from granite melts; (ii) Qz and feldspars show intergrowth microstructures typical of simultaneous crystallization from a melt (Figs. 6h, 6l); (iii) they nucleate on and crystallize from the planar walls, or adapt their shape to the negative crystal shape of MI; (iv) they fill the offshots present in some MI (Fig. 7i). Conversely, Ky, Gr, Spl, Zrn, Rt, Ilm, Mnz and some crystals of Bt and Qz are interpreted as accidental minerals trapped with the melt, based on: (i) their relative large size compared with the size of MI and their low solubility in granitic melts [e.g. see Acosta-Vigil et al. (2003) for the case of aluminosilicates; Figs. 6a, 7b]; (ii) the presence of indentations of these minerals within the walls of MI (Fig. 6g); (iii) presence of inclusions of these minerals in Grt. In particular, Ky is present within most of the studied MI, both at the cores and rims of Grt and, in addition to the above observations, Ky in MI from rims of Grt appears rimmed by low-Zn Spl as Ky crystals present in the matrix of the rock (Figs. 6k-l, 7j). The most frequent mineral that favored the trapping of MI in these gneisses was Ky, followed by Gr and more rarely Zrn, Rt, Ilm and Mnz.

Melt inclusions in this study show two remarkable features: a large size (mean of ∼30-40 µm and maxima up to ∼200 µm) and the systematic presence of trapped Ky. This contrasts with MI in LP-to-MP anatectic rocks, which show a smaller size (mean and maximum diameters of ∼5-15 µm and ∼50 µm, respectively) and were trapped in
the P-T stability field of Sil. Sillimanite has not been observed as solid inclusion (trapped mineral) within MI at Jubrique. Instead, the main solid inclusion, in addition to Ky, are Gr, Zrn and Ilm. However, Sil has not been previously described as an accidental phase within MI. Instead, the main accidental phases that helped the entrapment of MI in LP-to-MP terranes are Gr and accessory minerals such as Zrn and Ilm. Melt inclusions in Jubrique show a few of the characteristics found in MI of UHP rocks. Reported MI in UHP terranes commonly show diameters of tens of micrometers, and some of them may reach up to 100-150 or even 200-250 µm. Also, some of the MI in UHP rocks contain Ky. However, our very detail study did not identify minerals such as diamond, phengite and paragonite within MI of Jubrique, minerals that have only been described in MI from UHP rocks. Although diamond and coesite have been reported in Grt from gneisses of apparently similar composition and structural location in the Moroccan Rif (the southern branch of this arcuate Alpine orogen; Ruiz-Cruz and Sanz de Galdeano, 2012, 2013), we have only identified graphite. Graphite occurs as euhedral lamellae, and never as octahedral or rounded aggregates, which may instead suggest the presence of former diamond.

7.2 P-T conditions of melting and implications of nanogranites

Previous studies on the P-T conditions of these gneisses have mostly reported isothermal or near-isothermal decompression P-T paths, from ≈12-14 kbar at 730-800 °C to ≈3-4 kbar at 700-800 °C (Torres-Roldán, 1981; Balanyá et al., 1997; Argles et al., 1999; Platt et al., 2003). Among these, only Platt et al. (2003) have placed the anatectic event in the P-T path: during decompression and growth of Grt rims in the field of Sil, at ≈6-7 kbar and 820 °C. For this, they have used the following arguments: (i) Grt rims, containing abundant Sil needles, show higher Grs contents than the cores, which they interpret to reflect partial melting in the matrix during this stage of growth; (ii) leucocratic bands, parallel to the main foliation, are assumed to represent anatectic leucosomes and to develop concomitantly to this main foliation, which is interpreted as decompressional. Partial melting has been associated with the breakdown of either white mica (Argles et al., 1999) or biotite (Platt et al., 2003).

The recent report of diamond and coesite in Grt from gneisses of the Rif (Ruiz-Cruz and Sanz de Galdeano, 2012, 2013) have led these authors to propose that the earliest metamorphic event recorded by these rocks corresponds to UHP/UHT conditions of P > 6 GPa and T > 1150 °C, and that a first stage of melting occurred during this UHP/UHT
event. During our detailed study, we have found no textural, mineralogical or petrologic evidence for UHP metamorphism in the investigated gneisses from the Betic Cordillera. In addition, pseudosection modeling and conventional thermobarometry place the peak \( P-T \) conditions of these rocks at \( \approx 12-14 \) kbar and \( \approx 800-850 \) °C, with post-peak conditions characterized by similar \( T \) and lower \( P \) of \( \approx 5-6 \) kbar. The low pressure estimate is based on the first appearance of Crd in Fig. 8. The \( P-T \) estimates are in accordance with petrographic observations (relationships among Grt porphyroclasts, Ky, Sil, Rt and Ilm, see below) and most of the previous thermobarometric studies.

To interpret the information provided by the nanogranites and include it in the history of the rock, it is necessary to determine the timing of its entrapment within the host Grt. Nanogranites appear throughout the entire Grt crystals, from core to rim, including the largest Grt present in the studied thin sections (up to \( \approx 1 \) cm in diameter). Peak and post-peak \( P-T \) conditions were obtained from the cores and rims of these largest Grt, and hence (i) nanogranites were trapped, and melt was present, during both peak and post-peak \( P-T \) conditions, and (ii) most Grt in the gneisses grew in the presence of melt. These conclusions are also supported by the following microstructural observations. Nanogranites present at the cores of large Grt frequently include Ky; they may also include accidental Rt (Fig. 6). In addition, single mineral inclusions of Ky and Rt have been observed nearby nanogranites present at Grt cores. Nanogranites present at the rims of large Grt crystals frequently include also accidental Ky, although in this case Ky appears commonly rimmed by low-Zn Spl (Fig. 6k-l); nanogranites may include Rt but also Ilm (Fig. 6j). In addition, single mineral inclusions of Ky, Sil, Rt, Rt partially transformed to Ilm, and Ilm, may appear in the vicinity of nanogranites present at the rims. This indicates that during growth of Grt rims in the field of Sil and Ilm, they trapped droplets of melt present in the rock, together with relict Ky and Rt. We have not observed Sil within nanogranites, suggesting that this phase does not favor the trapping of MI as Ky does.

The above conclusions contrast with the previous hypothesis, based solely on petrographic observations and mineral compositions, that melting started during decompression and in the field of Sil (Platt et al., 2003). This constitutes an example of the potential of the study of nanogranites in anatectic rocks. A preliminary study on the remelting of these nanogranites has provided leucogranitic compositions for the melt inclusions (Barich et al., 2014). Future detailed remelting studies will shed light on the precise compositions of the primary anatectic melts and the fluid regime during partial
melting at Jubrique. In this regard, the coexistence of MI and apparently primary carbonate-bearing FI in some of the investigated Grt (Figs. 4h, 4k) suggests that during some time in the history of these rocks granitic melts and CO₂-bearing fluids coexisted. This uncommon coexistence of granitic melt and CO₂-bearing fluids during generation of crustal magmas has been previously reported in rapidly cooled crustal anatectic enclaves present within volcanic rocks (Cesare et al., 2007; Ferrero et al., 2011) and, more recently, in metamorphic enclaves within granodiorites (Ferrero et al., 2014).

Many of the previous studies have linked the two main P-T stages of equilibration recorded by these gneisses through an isothermal or near-isothermal decompression path (e.g. Torres-Roldán, 1981; Balanyá et al., 1997; Argles et al., 1999; Platt et al., 2003). However, several Zrn and Mnz geochronological studies conducted on these polymetamorphic have associated the main mineral assemblages in the gneisses either to the Variscan, or the Alpine, or to both orogenic cycles (e.g. Michard et al., 1997; Montel et al., 2000; Whitehouse and Platt, 2003; Rossetti et al., 2010). In this contribution we caution over the possibility that Grt cores and Grt rims, and therefore their associated P-T conditions and included nanogranites, formed during two separated events in time (e.g. see Montel et al., 2000; Whitehouse and Platt, 2003). In relation with this, the reaction(s) responsible for the production of melt will depend on the P-T-t evolution of these rocks, as well as on the possibility that H₂O-rich fluids were present during anatexis. Pseudosection modeling and measured peak and post-peak conditions suggest, however, that Bt fluid-absent melting may have been important for the production of melt, as previously suggested by Argles et al. (1999) and Platt et al. (2003).
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Tables

**Table 1.** Bulk rock composition (JU-7) and electron microprobe mineral analyses (JU-6, JU-7, JU-10) of mylonitic gneisses (in wt %).
Table 2. Bulk rock composition (JU-21) and electron microprobe mineral analyses (JU-21, JU-25) of porphyroblastic gneisses (in wt %).

Figure captions

Figure 1. Geologic maps of the Betic-Rif orogen (a) and the western Betic Cordillera (b) (modified from Balanyá et al., 1997; including data from Martín-Algarra, 1987; Sanz de Galdeano and Andreo, 1995; Mazzoli and Martín-Algarra, 2011; Tubía et al., 2013), showing the location of the Jubrique unit and studied samples (see also Fig. 3).

Figure 2. Field appearance of the mylonitic (a-d) and porphyroblastic (e-g) gneisses. (a-b) Mylonitic gneisses appear commonly as dark, massive and Grt-rich rocks except for the presence of mm-to-cm leucocratic bands that alternate with cm-to-dm mesocratic-to-melanocratic bands, defining a foliation. Based on this structure, and referred to the nomenclature of migmatites, these rocks can be classified as stromatic metatexitic migmatites, where leucocratic bands correspond to leucosomes (L), melanocratic bands to melanosome (M), and mesocratic bands to paleosome (P). Some domains are less affected by the deformation –central part of (a), appear to record a previous stage in the history of the rock, and are classified as dilatant metatexitic migmatites. These domains show a higher proportion of leucosome, which appear distributed within layers parallel to foliation, but also in veins and pods at high angle or perpendicular to the foliation (a; white arrows). The hammer and pen are 29 cm and 14 cm long, respectively. (c) Thick concordant Grt-rich leucocratic body affected by a foliation marked by scarce melanocratic minerals, elongated Grt and schlierens (white arrows), parallel to that in the host rock. The coin is 25 mm across. (d) Thin Grt-absent and Bt-Crd-bearing leucocratic dikes crosscutting the foliation at high angle that seem to form under ductile-to-fragile conditions –see also red arrow in (a). (e) Porphyroblastic gneisses; based on the structure this rock can be classified as a stromatic metatexite. The foliation is defined by alternating mm-to-dm Grt-bearing leucosomes (L, leucocratic bands), paleosomes (P, mesocratic bands) and melanosomes (red arrows, melanocratic bands). Melanosomes around leucosomes are frequent and rather continuous. (f) Porphyroblastic gneiss showing a thick concordant Grt-bearing leucocratic body (white arrow), and a thin Grt-absent and Bt-Crd-bearing dike (red arrow) that crosscut the foliation at high angle and develop under ductile-to-fragile conditions. The hammer head is 12 cm across. (g).
Porphyroblastic gneisses; based on the structure this rock can be classified as a schlieren diatexite.

**Figure 3.** NW-SE cross-section of the contact between Ronda peridotites and Jubrique gneisses in the studied area (yellow star in Fig. 1), based on Olmo et al. (1980), Vander Wal and Vissers (1996), Balanyá et al. (1997), and data from this work. The cross-section shows the location of the studied samples and the microstructural evolution of gneisses as a function of distance to the top of the Ronda peridotite slab. Red arrows show the location of leucosomes at the thin-section scale. White lines in peridotites and black lines in gneisses show the traces of the mylonitic foliation. The traces of the schistosity in the porphyroblastic gneisses, parallel to the mylonitic foliation, have not been represented. See text for details.

**Figure 4.** Petrographic photomicrographs from mylonitic (a-l) and porphyroblastic (m-p) gneisses. (a) Prisms of Sil crystallized parallel to foliation in the matrix (hereafter marked by red line). (b) Core of large Grt (≈6 mm in diameter, center of the Grt is marked by red dot), showing mineral inclusions of Rt, Ky, Qz and Pl, and abundant MI (red arrows). (c) Rim of the same large Grt from (b), showing single mineral inclusions of Rt, Ilm, Bt, and abundant MI (red arrows). Notice the large MI that includes Ky rimmed by Spl. (d) Melt inclusions in Grt of mylonitic gneisses show a large range in size. Compare the large MI shown in this figure (red arrows) with those in (b-c) and (e-f). These large MI include in all cases Ky (sometimes rimmed bySpl), in addition to Qz, feldspars and Bt. (e) Garnet showing coexisting MI (red arrow) and apparently primary FI (white arrow). (f) Glassy MI with shrinkage bubble in Grt of mylonitic gneiss. (g) Garnet porphyroclasts in a matrix of Qz, feldspars and aluminosilicates (Ky and Sil). The matrix foliation is defined by Qz ribbons and oriented Ky and Sil. Inclusions of Sil needles (red arrows) appear throughout the entire smaller Grt (≈1 mm) but only at the rims of the larger Grt (≈3 mm). Garnets show replacement coronas of Crd+Qz+Bt+Spl+Ilm±Pl. (h) MI in Qz within Grt of mylonitic gneiss. (i) Deformed leucosome formed by a fine-grained quartzofeldspathic matrix, feldspar porphyroclasts and accessory Ky (white arrow), Sil, Grt and Rt. Kyanite is rimmed by a Spl+Pl±Crd±Kfs corona. Red arrow shows perthitic Kfs. The foliation in leucosomes is defined by oriented Qz ribbons, feldspar porphyroclasts, Ky and Sil. (j) Microstructures of aluminosilicates in the matrix of mylonitic gneisses. Relict Ky appears partially replaced by a corona of Spl+Pl±Crd±Kfs. Sillimanite appears mostly as pseudomorphs after former Ky,
constituting polycrystalline aggregates or single crystals with undulose extinction; it also forms small needles replacing former Ky (see fibers around Sil pseudomorphs). Matrix Ky and Sil are always oriented parallel or subparallel to foliation. (k) Concordant Grt-rich leucocratic body in mylonitic gneisses (see Fig. 2c). This rock has a granitic mineral composition and a mylonitic microstructure, with a fine-grained matrix (≈50-200 µm) composed of Qz+Pl+Kfs, that encloses abundant Qz ribbons and Kfs and Grt porphyroclast up to ≈1 cm in diameter. Kyanite appears rimmed and partially replaced by Spl or Sil fibers. The foliation (red line) is defined by Qz ribbons and accessory Bt, Ky, Sil, Rt and Ilm. (l) Igneous microstructures in leucosome of mylonitic gneiss, such as cuspatel mineral terminations, melt films and subhedral microstructures (white arrows). (m) Large elongated garnet (≈3 x 6 mm) of porphyroblastic gneiss showing abundant coexisting MI (red arrow) and apparently primary FI (white arrow). (n) Small elongated Grt in porphyroblastic gneiss showing abundant inclusions of Sil needles throughout the entire crystal. Sillimanite inclusions are mostly oriented parallel to the foliation in the matrix, defined by Ky prisms (red arrows), Sil pseudomorphs and Bt. (o) Granitic leucosome in porphyroblastic gneiss showing a subhedral to anhedral igneous microstructure and accessory Ky (red arrows), Sil, Grt and Bt. Notice Pl with antiperthites, and thin coronas around relict Ky. (p) Tonalitic leucosome in porphyroblastic gneiss with subhedral microstructure, formed by Qz, subhedral to anhedral Pl and Crd, and Bt. These leucosomes are oriented parallel to S_p; in spite of this, only Qz appears slightly deformed and shows undulose extinction, whereas subhedral Crd prisms are parallel to S_p.

Figure 5. Major element concentration profiles (from EMP analyses) measured through the apparent center of large garnets from mylonitic gneisses (a) and porphyroblastic gneisses (b). Concentration scale for Grs and Sps is four times (a) or three times (b) that for Alm, Prp and Mg#.

Figure 6. Backscattered electron images of selected nanogranites and partially crystallized melt inclusions in garnets of mylonitic gneisses JU-6 and JU-8, as a function of the region in the garnet (core, core-rim, and rim). See text for details.

Figure 7. Backscattered electron images of selected nanogranites, partially crystallized melt inclusions, and glassy melt inclusions in garnets of porphyroblastic gneiss JU-16, as a function of the region in the garnet (core, core-rim, and rim). See text for details.
Figure 8. $P$-$T$ section for the mylonitic gneiss JU-7 calculated in the system NCKFMASHT. Regions 1 and 2 indicate peak and post-peak $P$-$T$ conditions, respectively, calculated based on phase equilibria modeling, conventional thermobarometry and microstructural observations. Liquid-in, Ms-out, Bt-out and Rt-out reactions are also shown. See text for details.
Table 1: Bulk rock composition of mylonitic gneiss JU-7, and electron microprobe analyses (wt%) of minerals of mylonitic gneisses JU-6, JU-7 and JU-10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>JU-7</th>
<th>Grt mean</th>
<th>Crd</th>
<th>Bt inclusion</th>
<th>Bt matrix</th>
<th>Bt corona</th>
<th>Pl inclusion</th>
<th>Pl matrix</th>
<th>Pl corona</th>
<th>Kfs</th>
<th>Spl inclusion</th>
<th>Spl corona</th>
<th>Ilmenite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. analyses</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO2</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>37.69 (1.31)</td>
<td>48.75 (2.29)</td>
<td>36.55 (0.96)</td>
<td>34.96 (0.62)</td>
<td>35.21 (2.01)</td>
<td>54.39 (2.09)</td>
<td>57.84 (1.12)</td>
<td>56.99 (0.61)</td>
<td>63.69 (0.61)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al2O3</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.66 (0.88)</td>
<td>32.35 (1.42)</td>
<td>17.09 (0.91)</td>
<td>16.31 (0.29)</td>
<td>16.17 (2.49)</td>
<td>28.12 (1.36)</td>
<td>25.91 (0.68)</td>
<td>26.72 (0.37)</td>
<td>18.67 (0.27)</td>
<td>61.56</td>
<td>58.34 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO2*</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>4.67 (0.96)</td>
<td>5.10 (0.86)</td>
<td>5.26 (1.13)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09 (0.05)</td>
<td>53.38 (0.56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe2O3*</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>31.23 (2.44)</td>
<td>9.10 (0.86)</td>
<td>11.68 (1.36)</td>
<td>18.05 (0.83)</td>
<td>19.46 (1.79)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.41)</td>
<td>23.45</td>
<td>36.18 (0.32)</td>
<td>45.78 (1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.06 (0.23)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>7.51 (1.49)</td>
<td>7.96 (0.65)</td>
<td>14.87 (1.58)</td>
<td>10.48 (0.87)</td>
<td>9.59 (0.75)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.11)</td>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>4.60 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.72 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03)</td>
<td>10.62 (1.63)</td>
<td>8.00 (0.86)</td>
<td>8.80 (0.42)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na2O</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.02 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.25)</td>
<td>0.16 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.04)</td>
<td>5.33 (0.88)</td>
<td>6.76 (0.35)</td>
<td>6.45 (0.23)</td>
<td>1.73 (0.32)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K2O</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.02 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01)</td>
<td>9.03 (0.37)</td>
<td>9.37 (0.19)</td>
<td>8.89 (1.36)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.12)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.02)</td>
<td>13.77 (0.60)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr2O3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.41 (0.18)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.03 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>0.57 (0.14)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.14 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03)</td>
<td>1.97 (1.31)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.83)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.72)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.26 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>100.8 (1.8)</td>
<td>98.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>96.61 (1.38)</td>
<td>95.95 (1.69)</td>
<td>96.32 (0.98)</td>
<td>99.08 (0.37)</td>
<td>99.05 (0.52)</td>
<td>99.48 (0.20)</td>
<td>98.57 (0.71)</td>
<td>100.25</td>
<td>100.56 (0.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.30 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.61 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.69 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.47 (0.03)</td>
<td>4.60 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg#</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.65 (5.0)</td>
<td>28.1 (5.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>46.9 (7.7)</td>
<td>59.0 (4.3)</td>
<td>56.0 (2.1)</td>
<td>15.9 (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K#</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>51.7 (8.0)</td>
<td>38.7 (4.4)</td>
<td>42.4 (1.9)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.5 (0.5)</td>
<td>2.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>83.1 (4.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total Fe as FeO or Fe2O3
* Water by difference (100-EMP total)
Table 2 Bulk rock composition of porphyroblastic gneiss JU-21, and electron microprobe analyses (wt%) of minerals of porphyroblastic gneisses JU-21 and JU-25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral</th>
<th>JU-21</th>
<th>Grt mean</th>
<th>Grt core</th>
<th>Grt rim</th>
<th>Bt inclusion</th>
<th>Bt matrix</th>
<th>Bt corona</th>
<th>Pl matrix</th>
<th>Pl corona</th>
<th>Kfs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. analyses</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>36.98 (0.75)</td>
<td>36.24 (0.06)</td>
<td>37.08 (1.07)</td>
<td>34.19 (0.28)</td>
<td>34.99 (0.34)</td>
<td>34.76 (0.03)</td>
<td>55.94 (0.88)</td>
<td>52.30 (1.42)</td>
<td>63.02 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>21.18 (0.30)</td>
<td>20.93 (0.04)</td>
<td>21.24 (0.44)</td>
<td>17.54 (0.80)</td>
<td>16.61 (0.49)</td>
<td>15.56 (0.15)</td>
<td>26.43 (0.62)</td>
<td>28.88 (0.89)</td>
<td>18.27 (0.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.03 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>5.54 (0.52)</td>
<td>5.00 (0.50)</td>
<td>6.17 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO*</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeO⁺</td>
<td>30.18 (3.04)</td>
<td>33.96 (0.17)</td>
<td>29.02 (2.53)</td>
<td>18.88 (0.85)</td>
<td>15.96 (0.67)</td>
<td>17.40 (0.26)</td>
<td>0.10 (0.13)</td>
<td>0.35 (0.10)</td>
<td>0.08 (0.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.02 (0.97)</td>
<td>2.64 (0.07)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.76)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>5.63 (1.46)</td>
<td>3.94 (0.05)</td>
<td>6.16 (1.28)</td>
<td>8.35 (0.53)</td>
<td>11.30 (0.48)</td>
<td>10.31 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>3.02 (1.69)</td>
<td>1.48 (0.08)</td>
<td>3.76 (1.68)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00)</td>
<td>8.92 (0.72)</td>
<td>11.67 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.02 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.09)</td>
<td>0.20 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.00)</td>
<td>6.09 (0.42)</td>
<td>4.55 (0.61)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>0.02 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.05)</td>
<td>9.20 (0.21)</td>
<td>9.24 (0.16)</td>
<td>9.20 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.32 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.21 (0.04)</td>
<td>14.15 (0.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₂O₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>0.05 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.05)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.16 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.15 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.17)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.08)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.03)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.05 (0.03)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.22)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.30 (0.88)</td>
<td>99.47 (0.17)</td>
<td>99.04 (1.66)</td>
<td>95.27 (0.33)</td>
<td>94.12 (0.52)</td>
<td>94.31 (0.20)</td>
<td>97.90 (0.38)</td>
<td>98.04 (0.09)</td>
<td>97.26 (0.53)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASI</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgF²⁺</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07)</td>
<td>0.17 (0.00)</td>
<td>0.28 (0.06)</td>
<td>0.44 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.56 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K²⁺</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alm</td>
<td>65.5 (7.2)</td>
<td>74.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>62.7 (5.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prp</td>
<td>21.7 (5.5)</td>
<td>15.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>23.7 (4.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grs</td>
<td>8.3 (4.6)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>10.4 (4.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpS</td>
<td>4.5 (2.2)</td>
<td>6.0 (0.2)</td>
<td>3.4 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or</td>
<td>1.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0)</td>
<td>86.0 (2.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total Fe as FeO or Fe₂O₃