ETH zürich

Measurement of Long-Range Near-Side Two-Particle Angular Correlations in pp Collisions at √s = 13 TeV

Journal Article

Author(s):

CMS Collaboration; Khachatryan, Vardan; Bachmair, Felix; Bäni, Lukas; Bianchini, Lorenzo; Casal, Bruno; Dissertori, Günther; Dittmar, Michael; Donegà, Mauro; Eller, Philipp; Grab, Christoph; Heidegger, Constantin; Hits, Dmitry; Hoss, Jan; Kasieczka, Gregor; Lustermann, Werner; Mangano, Boris; Marionneau, Matthieu; Martinez Ruiz del Arbol, Pablo; Masciovecchio, Mario; Meister, Daniel; Micheli, Francesco; Musella, Pasquale; Nessi-Tedaldi, Francesca; Pandolfi, Francesco; Pata, Joosep; Pauss, Felicitas; Perrozzi, Luca; Quittnat, Milena; Rossini, Marco; Schönenberger, Myriam; Starodumov, Andrey; Takahashi, Maiko; Tavolaro, Vittorio R.; Theofilatos, Konstantinos; Wallny, Rainer; et al.

Publication date:

2016

Permanent link: https://doi.org/10.3929/ethz-b-000117201

Rights / license: Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported

Originally published in: Physical Review Letters 116(17), <u>https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172302</u>

Measurement of Long-Range Near-Side Two-Particle Angular Correlations in *pp* Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

V. Khachatryan et al.*

(CMS Collaboration)

(Received 11 October 2015; revised manuscript received 25 March 2016; published 27 April 2016)

Results on two-particle angular correlations for charged particles produced in pp collisions at a centerof-mass energy of 13 TeV are presented. The data were taken with the CMS detector at the LHC and correspond to an integrated luminosity of about 270 nb⁻¹. The correlations are studied over a broad range of pseudorapidity ($|\eta| < 2.4$) and over the full azimuth (ϕ) as a function of charged particle multiplicity and transverse momentum (p_T). In high-multiplicity events, a long-range ($|\Delta \eta| > 2.0$), near-side ($\Delta \phi \approx 0$) structure emerges in the two-particle $\Delta \eta - \Delta \phi$ correlation functions. The magnitude of the correlation exhibits a pronounced maximum in the range $1.0 < p_T < 2.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ and an approximately linear increase with the charged particle multiplicity, with an overall correlation strength similar to that found in earlier ppdata at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The present measurement extends the study of near-side long-range correlations up to charged particle multiplicities $N_{ch} \sim 180$, a region so far unexplored in pp collisions. The observed longrange correlations are compared to those seen in pp, pPb, and PbPb collisions at lower collision energies.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.172302

Studies of particle correlations in high-energy hadronhadron collisions provide valuable information on the underlying quantum chromodynamics processes leading to particle production. Measurements of two-particle angular correlations are typically performed in terms of twodimensional $\Delta \eta - \Delta \phi$ correlation functions, where η is the pseudorapidity and ϕ is the azimuthal angle. Of particular interest in studies of possible novel partonic collective effects is the long-range (e.g., $|\Delta \eta| > 2.0$) structure of twoparticle correlation functions, in which the effects of known sources such as resonance decays and fragmentation of high-momentum partons are known to be small. In most Monte Carlo (MC) event generators for proton-proton (pp)collisions, the typical sources of such long-range correlations are momentum conservation and away-side ($\Delta \phi \approx \pi$) jet correlations. Measurements in high-energy nucleusnucleus collisions have shown a long-range structure in the two-particle angular correlations functions, which has been attributed to the presence of the hot and dense matter formed [1]. Several novel features were observed in azimuthal correlations over large $\Delta \eta$ for intermediate particle transverse momenta, $p_{\rm T} \approx 1-5$ GeV/c [2,3]. These correlations are thought to arise from the response of a hydrodynamically expanding partonic medium to fluctuations of the initial collision geometry [4–9]. Measurements in pp collisions at a center-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV have also revealed the presence of long-range, near-side $(\Delta \phi \approx 0)$ correlations in events with very large final-state particle multiplicity [10]. Similar phenomena have also been observed in high-multiplicity proton-lead (*p*Pb) collisions [11–13], where they have been studied extensively [14–21].

A wide range of models have been suggested to explain the emergence of these correlations in pp [22] and pPb [23–27] collisions. While models based on a hydrodynamic approach can describe many aspects of the observed correlations [23,24], it has been proposed that initial-state correlations of gluon fields could also lead to similar effects [25–27].

The LHC at CERN has recently started to deliver pp collisions at a new energy regime at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, and there is renewed interest in investigating this phenomenon, especially its energy dependence. The first measurement of long-range two-particle correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV has been reported by the ATLAS collaboration [28]. In this Letter, studies of long-range correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the CMS detector are presented. The measurements are performed over a wide range in charged particle multiplicity and $p_{\rm T}$. The strength of long-range near-side correlations is quantified, and results for pp, pPb, and PbPb systems at various collision energies are compared.

The central feature of the CMS apparatus is a superconducting solenoid of 6 m internal diameter, providing a magnetic field of 3.8 T. Within the solenoid volume are a silicon pixel and strip tracker, a lead tungstate crystal electromagnetic calorimeter (ECAL, $|\eta| < 3$), and a brass and scintillator hadron calorimeter (HCAL, $|\eta| < 3$), each composed of a barrel and two endcap sections. Extensive

^{*}Full author list given at the end of the article.

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

forward calorimetry (HF, $3 < |\eta| < 5$) complements the coverage provided by the barrel and endcap detectors. Muons are measured in gas-ionization detectors embedded in the steel flux-return yoke outside the solenoid. The silicon tracker measures charged particles within the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. It consists of 1440 silicon pixel and 15148 silicon strip detector modules. For nonisolated particles of $1 < p_T < 10 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $|\eta| < 1.4$, the track resolutions are typically 1.5% in $p_{\rm T}$ and 25–90 $(45-150) \mu m$ in the transverse (longitudinal) impact parameter [29]. The first level (L1) of the CMS trigger system, composed of custom hardware processors, uses information from the calorimeters and muon detectors to select the most interesting events in a fixed time interval of less than 4 μ s. The high-level trigger (HLT) processor farm further decreases the event rate from around 100 kHz to less than 1 kHz, before data storage. A more detailed description of the CMS detector, together with a definition of the coordinate system used and the relevant kinematic variables, can be found in Ref. [30]. The MC simulation of the CMS detector response is based on GEANT4 [31].

The data used in this study were recorded under special running conditions in which the beams were separated at the CMS interaction point, resulting in an average of 1.3 pp interactions per bunch crossing. The integrated luminosity recorded was about 270 nb⁻¹. As the average number of *pp* interactions per bunch crossing is small in the present data, minimum bias (MB) pp events were selected online by simply requiring that two proton bunches collide near the center of the CMS detector. Only a small fraction $(\sim 10^{-3})$ of all MB pp events were recorded (i.e., the trigger was prescaled). In order to enhance the fraction of high-multiplicity events, additional samples were collected with a dedicated selection procedure that combined the CMS L1 and HLT systems. At L1, the total transverse energy summed over ECAL and HCAL was required to be greater than a given threshold (both 15 and 40 GeV thresholds were used). Only the lowest threshold trigger was prescaled. Track reconstruction for the HLT was based on the three layers of the pixel detectors, and required that the track originates within a cylindrical region centered on the nominal interaction point. This region has a length of 30 cm along the beam direction and a radius of 0.2 cm perpendicular to it. For each event, the vertex reconstructed with the highest number of tracks was selected. The number of tracks ($N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{online}}$) with $|\eta| < 2.4$, $p_{\text{T}} > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$, and a distance of closest approach of 0.12 cm or less from this vertex was determined for each event. Data were taken with thresholds $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm online} > 60$ or 85 (based on events selected with a L1 total energy larger than 15 GeV), and 110 (based on events selected with a L1 total energy larger than 40 GeV).

In the off-line analysis, hadronic collisions are selected by requiring at least one tower in each of the two HF calorimeters with more than 3 GeV energy to suppress diffractive interactions [32]. Events are also required to contain at least one reconstructed primary vertex with a position along the beam axis, z_{vtx} , within 15 cm of the nominal interaction point and within 0.15 cm of the beams in the transverse plane. In addition, at least two tracks must be associated with this vertex. As the data have an average of 1.3 *pp* interactions per bunch crossing, a substantial fraction of events have at least one additional interaction (pile-up). A procedure similar to that described in Ref. [14] is used for identifying and rejecting pile-up events. It is based on the number of tracks associated with each reconstructed vertex and the distance between multiple vertices. If the distance between the highest-multiplicity vertex and the closest additional vertex along the z direction is larger than 1 cm, the event is accepted. This is because the tracks used for the correlation analysis are always selected with respect to the highest-multiplicity vertex in the event. An additional vertex sufficiently far from the highest-multiplicity vertex has a negligible effect on the analysis. The MC studies carried out with the EPOS [33] and PYTHIA8 v208 [34] generators (with the CMS underlying event tune CUETP8M1 [35]) indicate that 94%–96% of the events satisfy the analysis selections; i.e., they have at least one stable particle from the *pp* interaction with energy E > 3 GeV in each of the η regions $-5 < \eta < -3$ and $3 < \eta < 5$.

The present analysis is based on a sample of events with high-purity primary tracks [29] originating from the ppinteraction. To obtain this sample, additional requirements are applied. The significance of the distance between the track and the primary vertex along the beam axis, d_z/σ_{d_z} , and the significance of the impact parameter relative to the best resolution of the vertex coordinates transverse to the beam, $d_{\rm T}/\sigma_{d_{\rm T}}$, must both be less than 3 in absolute value, and the relative $p_{\rm T}$ uncertainty, $\sigma(p_{\rm T})/p_{\rm T}$, must be less than 10%. To ensure high tracking efficiency and to reduce the rate of misreconstructed tracks, primary tracks with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_{\rm T} > 0.1 \text{ GeV}/c$ are used in the analysis (a $p_{\rm T}$ cutoff of 0.4 GeV/c is used in the track multiplicity determination to match the HLT requirement). Simulation studies based on PYTHIA8 are used to obtain the geometrical acceptance and efficiency for primary track reconstruction as well as the rate of misreconstructed tracks. The combined acceptance and efficiency is better than 60% for $p_{\rm T} > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$ and $|\eta| < 2.4$ and better than 90% in the $|\eta| < 1$ region for $p_{\rm T} > 0.6 {\rm ~GeV}/c$. For the track multiplicity range studied in this Letter, no dependence of the tracking efficiency on track multiplicity is found and the rate of misreconstructed tracks is 1%–2% according to simulations.

Following the procedure established in Refs. [11,14,15, 36,37], the data set is divided into classes of events with different track multiplicity, $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}}$, which is evaluated by counting primary tracks with $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 0.4 \text{ GeV}/c$. Details of the multiplicity classification in this analysis are provided in Table I, which also gives

TABLE I. Multiplicity classes used in the analysis, corresponding fraction of the full event sample, observed and corrected average charged particle multiplicities for $|\eta| < 2.4$ and $p_{\rm T} > 0.4 ~{\rm GeV}/c$. Systematic uncertainties are given for the corrected multiplicities.

Multiplicity class $(N_{trk}^{off-line})$	Fraction	$\langle N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}\rangle$	$\langle N_{\rm trk}^{\rm corrected} \rangle$
Minimum bias	1.0	20	23 ± 1
[2, 34]	0.82	13	16 ± 1
[35, 79]	0.15	47	58 ± 2
[80, 104]	0.02	88	107 ± 4
[105, 134]	3.3×10^{-4}	113	131 ± 5
≥135	$1.4 imes 10^{-5}$	145	168 ± 7

the fraction with respect to the full multiplicity distribution and the average number of primary tracks before and after correcting for detector effects. The minimum bias sample is used for the range $N_{trk}^{off-line} < 80$, while various highmultiplicity samples are used for $N_{trk}^{off-line}$ ranges above 80. For each track multiplicity class, "trigger" particles are

For each track multiplicity class, "trigger" particles are defined as charged particles originating from the primary vertex within a given $p_{\rm T}$ range. The number of trigger particles for each $p_{\rm T}$ range in the event is denoted by $N_{\rm trig}$. In this analysis, particle pairs are formed by associating every trigger particle with the remaining charged primary particles (associated particles) from the same $p_{\rm T}$ interval as the trigger particle. The per-trigger-particle associated yield is defined as

$$\frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N^{\text{pair}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta \eta \mathrm{d}\Delta \phi} = B(0,0) \frac{S(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)}{B(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)},\tag{1}$$

where $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ are the differences in η and ϕ of the pair. The symbol N^{pair} denotes the number of particle pairs. The signal distribution, $S(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$, is the per-trigger-particle yield of particle pairs from the same event,

$$S(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N^{\text{same}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\eta \mathrm{d}\Delta\phi}.$$
 (2)

The symbol N^{same} denotes the number of pairs taken from the same event. The mixed-event background distribution, used to account for random combinatorial background and pair acceptance effects,

$$B(\Delta\eta, \Delta\phi) = \frac{1}{N_{\text{trig}}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 N^{\text{mix}}}{\mathrm{d}\Delta\eta \mathrm{d}\Delta\phi},\tag{3}$$

is constructed by pairing the trigger particles in each event with the particles from 10 different random events within a 0.2 cm wide z_{vtx} range. The symbol N^{mix} denotes the number of pairs taken from the mixed event, while B(0,0)represents the mixed-event associated yield for both particles of the pair going in approximately the same direction and thus having full pair acceptance (with a bin width of 0.3 in $\Delta \eta$ and $\pi/16$ in $\Delta \phi$). Therefore, the ratio $B(0,0)/B(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ is the pair-acceptance correction factor used to derive the corrected per-trigger-particle associated yield distribution. The signal and background distributions are first calculated for each event, and then averaged over all the events within the track multiplicity class for each $p_{\rm T}$ range.

Each reconstructed track is weighted by the inverse of an efficiency factor, which accounts for the detector acceptance, the reconstruction efficiency, and the fraction of misreconstructed tracks (the same factor as used for correcting the average multiplicity in Table I).

The two-dimensional (2D) $\Delta \eta - \Delta \phi$ two-particle correlation functions for events with low and high multiplicities are shown in Fig. 1. As in our earlier papers, pairs of



FIG. 1. The 2D $(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi)$ two-particle correlation functions in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for pairs of charged particles both in the range $1 < p_{\rm T} < 3$ GeV/c. Results are shown for (a) low-multiplicity events ($N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line} < 35$) and for (b) a high-multiplicity sample ($N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line} \ge 105$). The sharp peaks from jet correlations around $(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi) = (0, 0)$ are truncated to better illustrate the long-range correlations.

charged particles both in the range $1 < p_T < 3 \text{ GeV}/c$ are used in this analysis. For the low-multiplicity sample $(N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} < 35)$, the dominant features are the peak near $(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi) = (0, 0)$ (truncated for better illustration of the long-range structures) for pairs of particles originating from the same jet. The elongated structure at $\Delta \phi \approx \pi$ corresponds to pairs of particles from back-to-back jets. In high-multiplicity pp events ($N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} \ge 105$), in addition to these jetlike correlation structures, a "ridge"-like structure is clearly visible at $\Delta \phi \approx 0$, extending over a range of at least 4 units in $|\Delta \eta|$. No such long-range correlations are predicted by PYTHIA.

To quantitatively investigate these long-range near-side correlations, and to provide a direct comparison to pp results at lower collision energy, one-dimensional (1D) distributions in $\Delta \phi$ are constructed by averaging the signal and background 2D distributions over $2 < |\Delta \eta| < 4$, as done in Refs. [10,11,14]. The correlated portion of the associated yield is estimated by using an implementation of the zero-yield-at-minimum (ZYAM) procedure [38].

The 1D $\Delta\phi$ correlation function is fitted with a truncated Fourier series up to the fifth term. The minimum value of the fit function, C_{ZYAM} , is then subtracted from the 1D $\Delta\phi$ correlation function as a constant background (containing no information about correlations) so that the minimum of the correlation function is zero. The location of the minimum of the function in this region is denoted as $\Delta\phi_{ZYAM}$. The ZYAM procedure is a straightforward way to quantify the magnitude of long-range near-side yield. However, it does not take into account potential biases introduced by away-side jet correlations leading to a nonflat distribution on the near side. Therefore, when performing data-theory comparisons, other sources of correlations, such as jets, should be included in the model calculation.

Figure 2 shows the resulting $\Delta \phi$ correlation functions for various selections in $p_{\rm T}$ and multiplicity $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}$. The results for pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV are also shown for comparison. The selected $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}$ ranges in the 7 and 13 TeV data do not match precisely because of slight



FIG. 2. Correlated yield obtained with the ZYAM procedure as a function of $|\Delta \phi|$, averaged over $2 < |\Delta \eta| < 4$ in different p_T and multiplicity bins for pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (filled circles) and 7 TeV (open circles). The p_T selection applies to both particles in the pair. Numbers in brackets indicate the multiplicity range of the 7 TeV data when different from that at 13 TeV. The statistical uncertainties are smaller than the marker size. The subtracted ZYAM constant is given in each panel (C_{ZYAM}).

differences in the multiplicity domains for which the high-multiplicity triggers used in 2010 and 2015 are fully efficient. Note that the previously published pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in Ref. [10] are obtained by means of a slightly different definition of the two-particle correlation functions and the 7 TeV data shown in Fig. 2 have therefore been reanalyzed. The difference has no impact on the associated yields for high-multiplicity events, and is only noticeable at very low multiplicity and high $p_{\rm T}$, where most of the particle pairs are localized around $(\Delta \eta, \Delta \phi) \sim (0, 0)$ due to jetlike correlations.

Nearly no center-of-mass energy dependence is observed for the correlations in any $p_{\rm T}$ or multiplicity range, as shown in Fig. 2. A clear evolution of the $\Delta\phi$ correlation function with both $p_{\rm T}$ and $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}$ is observed at both collision energies. For the lowest multiplicity sample, the correlation functions have a minimum at $\Delta\phi = 0$ and a maximum at $\Delta\phi = \pi$, reflecting the correlations from momentum conservation and the increasing contribution from back-to-back jetlike correlations at higher $p_{\rm T}$. For high-multiplicity pp events ($N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line} \ge 80$), a second local maximum near $|\Delta\phi| \approx 0$ becomes visible, reflecting near-side, long-range correlations that appear as a ridgelike structure. This near-side correlation signal is strongest in the $1 < p_{\rm T} < 2 \,{\rm GeV}/c$ range and increases with multiplicity.

Based on the studies in Ref. [29], the total systematic uncertainty of the tracking efficiency is 3.9%, which translates into a 3.9% systematic uncertainty of the associated yields. The systematic uncertainties related to the track quality requirements are studied by varying the track selections on d_z/σ_{d_z} and d_T/σ_{d_T} between 2 and 5. These changes produce effects on the associated yields smaller than 0.0006 in absolute value. In order to evaluate the uncertainty of the trigger efficiency, results from highmultiplicity data collected with two different triggers are compared. The results agree to better than 0.0015; this is taken as an estimate of the trigger efficiency contribution to the systematic uncertainty. The possible contamination of residual pile-up events is investigated by comparing the nominal results to those obtained without any pile-up rejection or with the requirement of only one reconstructed vertex. The corresponding effect on the associated yield is less than 0.0006 in absolute value. The sensitivity of the results to the vertex position along the beam direction (z_{vtx}) is quantified by comparing results for $|z_{vtx}| < 3$ cm and $3 < |z_{vtx}| < 15$ cm, which yields a contribution to the systematic uncertainty of less than 0.0010. Finally, an alternative choice of a second-order polynomial fit function for estimating C_{ZYAM} in the region $0.1 < |\Delta \phi| < 2.0$ gives an absolute systematic uncertainty of 0.0007 in the total correlated yield from the ZYAM procedure. The event multiplicity classification is not varied in the systematic studies. All the systematic effects studied yield contributions that are independent of $p_{\rm T}$ and multiplicity; their values are summarized in Table II.

TABLE II. Summary of systematic uncertainties on the long-range, near-side associated yields in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV.

Systematic uncertainty sources	Abs. uncertainty $(\times 10^{-3})$
Track quality requirements	0.6
Trigger efficiency	1.5
Correction for tracking efficiency	< 0.08
Effect of pile-up events	0.6
Vertex selection	1.0
ZYAM procedure	0.7
Total	2.1

The strength of the long-range, near-side correlations can be further quantified by integrating the correlated yields from Fig. 2 over $|\Delta \phi| < \Delta \phi_{ZYAM}$ for each p_T range and event multiplicity class. The resulting integrated near-side yield, divided by the width of the p_T interval, is plotted as a function of the particle p_T and the event multiplicity in Fig. 3 for the present data. Finer p_T and $N_{trk}^{off-line}$ ranges than in Fig. 2 are used for better illustrating the trend of the data. The previous results from $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in wider p_T and $N_{trk}^{off-line}$ ranges are also shown for comparison. The 7 TeV data obtained from Ref. [11] are multiplied by two, as their range in $\Delta \phi$ is $0-\Delta \phi_{ZYAM}$, half of the full near-side structure range.

Figure 3(a) shows that the associated yield of long-range near-side correlations for events with $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} \ge 105$ $(N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} \ge 110 \text{ for the 7 TeV data})$ peaks in the region $1 < p_{\rm T} < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ for both center-of-mass energies. The yield reaches a maximum around $p_{\rm T} \approx 1 \ {\rm GeV}/c$ and decreases with increasing $p_{\rm T}$. No center-of-mass energy dependence is visible. The multiplicity dependence of the associated yield for $1 < p_T < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ particle pairs is shown in Fig. 3(b). For low-multiplicity events, the associated yield determined with the ZYAM procedure is consistent with zero. This indicates that ridgelike correlations are absent or smaller than the negative correlations expected because of, for example, momentum conservation. At higher multiplicity the ridgelike correlation emerges, with an approximately linear rise of the associated yield with multiplicity for $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} \gtrsim 40$.

In the framework of gluon saturation models, a longrange correlation structure is predicted to arise from initial collimated gluon emissions [40–42]. The energy dependence of associated yields observed in the data is qualitatively in agreement with this model at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [39], as shown in Fig. 3(b). However, although the model calculation quantitatively describes the associated yields over the multiplicity range covered by the previous 7 TeV data, significant deviations are observed at the higher multiplicities probed by the present 13 TeV data. The associated yields predicted by this model exhibit a much faster increase with $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}}$ than that seen in the data,



FIG. 3. Associated yield for the near side of the correlation function averaged over $2 < |\Delta \eta| < 4$ and integrated over the region $|\Delta \phi| < \Delta \phi_{\text{ZYAM}}$ for pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (filled circles) and 7 TeV (open circles). Panel (a) shows the associated yield as a function of p_{T} for events with $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} \ge 105$. The p_{T} value for each p_{T} bin is the average p_{T} value. In panel (b) the associated yield for $1 < p_{\text{T}} < 2$ GeV/*c* is shown as a function of the multiplicity, $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}}$. The $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}}$ value at which the yield is plotted is the average $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}}$ value in the bin. The p_{T} selection applies to both particles in each pair. The error bars correspond to the statistical uncertainties, while the shaded areas and boxes denote the systematic uncertainties. Curves represent the predictions of the gluon saturation model [39].

suggesting that other mechanisms may be active in this region. Hydrodynamic models also predict no energy dependence: they reproduce the collective flow effect in heavy-ion collisions, which is nearly unchanged from the RHIC to the LHC center-of-mass energies, although they differ by more than an order of magnitude [43–45]. However, it remains to be seen whether hydrodynamic models can quantitatively describe the behavior of the observables presented here.

Long-range near-side yields have also been measured for *p*Pb and PbPb collisions by CMS [14]. Figure 4 compares the associated yields in *pp*, *p*Pb, and PbPb collisions for $1 < p_T < 2$ GeV/*c* as a function of the track multiplicity. The various data sets were collected at different center-of-mass energies, but this should have negligible effect on the results, as discussed above. In all three systems, the ridgelike correlations become significant at a multiplicity value of about 40, and exhibit a nearly linear increase for higher values. For a given track multiplicity, the associated yield in *pp* collisions is roughly 10% and 25% of those observed in PbPb and *p*Pb collisions, respectively. Clearly, there is a strong collision system size dependence of the long-range near-side correlations.

In summary, two-particle angular correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV have been measured by the CMS experiment at the LHC. The data correspond to an integrated luminosity of about 270 nb⁻¹. As first observed in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, two-particle azimuthal correlations in high-multiplicity pp collisions exhibit a long-range structure in the near side ($\Delta \phi \approx 0$) extending over at least 4 units in pseudorapidity separation. The effect is most evident in the intermediate transverse momentum region between 1 and 2 GeV/c. The near-side long-range

yield obtained with the ZYAM procedure is found to be consistent with zero in the low-multiplicity region, with an approximately linear increase with multiplicity for $N_{\text{trk}}^{\text{off-line}} \gtrsim 40$. The new 13 TeV data presented in this Letter significantly extends the multiplicity coverage achieved by previously data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Finally, a



FIG. 4. Associated yield of long-range near-side two-particle correlations for $1 < p_{\rm T} < 2$ GeV/*c* in *pp* collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ and 7 TeV, *p*Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, and PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV. Associated yield for the near side of the correlation function is averaged over $2 < |\Delta \eta| < 4$ and integrated over the region $|\Delta \phi| < \Delta \phi_{ZYAM}$. The $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}$ value for each $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}$ bin is the average $N_{\rm trk}^{\rm off-line}$ value. The error bars correspond to the statistical uncertainties, while the shaded areas denote the systematic uncertainties. Note that there are PbPb points above the upper vertical scale, which are not shown for clarity.

strong collision system size dependence is observed when comparing data from pp, pPb, and PbPb collisions. Comparing the pp data at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 13 TeV, no collision energy dependence of the near-side associated yields is observed.

We congratulate our colleagues in the CERN accelerator departments for the excellent performance of the LHC and thank the technical and administrative staffs at CERN and at other CMS institutes for their contributions to the success of the CMS effort. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the computing centers and personnel of the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid for delivering so effectively the computing infrastructure essential to our analyses. Finally, we acknowledge the enduring support for the construction and operation of the LHC and the CMS detector provided by the following funding agencies: BMWFW and FWF (Austria); FNRS and FWO (Belgium); CNPq, CAPES, FAPERJ, and FAPESP (Brazil); MES (Bulgaria); CERN; CAS, MoST, and NSFC (China); COLCIENCIAS (Colombia); MSES and CSF (Croatia); RPF (Cyprus); MoER, ERC IUT and ERDF (Estonia); Academy of Finland, MEC, and HIP (Finland); CEA and CNRS/IN2P3 (France); BMBF, DFG, and HGF (Germany); GSRT (Greece); OTKA and NIH (Hungary); DAE and DST (India); IPM (Iran); SFI (Ireland); INFN (Italy); MSIP and NRF (Republic of Korea); LAS (Lithuania); MOE and UM (Malaysia); CINVESTAV, CONACYT, SEP, and UASLP-FAI (Mexico); MBIE (New Zealand); PAEC (Pakistan); MSHE and NSC (Poland); FCT (Portugal); JINR (Dubna); MON, RosAtom, RAS and RFBR (Russia); MESTD (Serbia); SEIDI and CPAN (Spain); Swiss Funding Agencies (Switzerland); MST (Taipei); ThEPCenter, IPST, STAR and NSTDA (Thailand); TUBITAK and TAEK (Turkey); NASU and SFFR (Ukraine); STFC (United Kingdom); DOE and NSF (USA).

- [1] B. Alver *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), System size dependence of cluster properties from two-particle angular correlations in Cu + Cu and Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 024904 (2010).
- [2] B. Alver *et al.* (PHOBOS Collaboration), High Transverse Momentum Triggered Correlations over a Large Pseudorapidity Acceptance in Au + Au Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 062301 (2010).
- [3] B. I. Abelev *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Three-Particle Coincidence of the Long Range Pseudorapidity Correlation in High Energy Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 022301 (2010).
- [4] B. Alver and G. Roland, Collision geometry fluctuations and triangular flow in heavy-ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 81, 054905 (2010).
- [5] B. H. Alver, C. Gombeaud, M. Luzum, and J.-Y. Ollitrault, Triangular flow in hydrodynamics and transport theory, Phys. Rev. C 82, 034913 (2010).

- [6] B. Schenke, S. Jeon, and C. Gale, Elliptic and Triangular Flow in Event-by-Event D = 3 + 1 Viscous Hydrodynamics, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 042301 (2011).
- [7] H. Petersen, G.-Y. Qin, S. A. Bass, and B. Müller, Triangular flow in event-by-event ideal hydrodynamics in Au + Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200$ A GeV, Phys. Rev. C 82, 041901 (2010).
- [8] J. Xu and C. M. Ko, Effects of triangular flow on dihadron azimuthal correlations in relativistic heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 83, 021903 (2011).
- [9] D. Teaney and L. Yan, Triangularity and dipole asymmetry in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 83, 064904 (2011).
- [10] CMS Collaboration, Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in proton-proton collisions at the LHC, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2010) 091.
- [11] CMS Collaboration, Observation of long-range near-side angular correlations in pPb collisions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 718, 795 (2013).
- [12] ALICE Collaboration, Long-range angular correlations on the near and away side in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **719**, 29 (2013).
- [13] ATLAS Collaboration, Observation of Associated Near-Side and Away-Side Long-Range Correlations in $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV Proton-Lead Collisions with the ATLAS Detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 182302 (2013).
- [14] CMS Collaboration, Multiplicity and transverse momentum dependence of two- and four-particle correlations in pPb and PbPb collisions, Phys. Lett. B 724, 213 (2013).
- [15] CMS Collaboration, Long-range two-particle correlations of strange hadrons with charged particles in pPb and PbPb collisions at LHC energies, Phys. Lett. B 742, 200 (2015).
- [16] CMS Collaboration, Evidence for Collective Multiparticle Correlations in *p*-Pb Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 012301 (2015).
- [17] CMS Collaboration, Evidence for transverse momentum and pseudorapidity dependent event plane fluctuations in PbPb and pPb collisions, Phys. Rev. C 92, 034911 (2015).
- [18] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement with the ATLAS detector of multi-particle azimuthal correlations in p + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **725**, 60 (2013).
- [19] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of long-range pseudorapidity correlations and azimuthal harmonics in $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV proton-lead collisions with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Rev. C **90**, 044906 (2014).
- [20] ALICE Collaboration, Multiparticle azimuthal correlations in *p*-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider, Phys. Rev. C 90, 054901 (2014).
- [21] ALICE Collaboration, Long-range angular correlations of π , K and p in p-Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 5.02$ TeV, Phys. Lett. B **726**, 164 (2013).
- [22] W. Li, Observation of a ridge correlation structure in high multiplicity proton-proton collisions: A brief review, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 27, 1230018 (2012).
- [23] P. Bożek, Collective flow in *p*-Pb and *d*-Pb collisions at TeV energies, Phys. Rev. C 85, 014911 (2012).
- [24] P. Bożek and W. Broniowski, Correlations from hydrodynamic flow in pPb collisions, Phys. Lett. B 718, 1557 (2013).

- [25] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, Evidence for BFKL and saturation dynamics from dihadron spectra at the LHC, Phys. Rev. D 87, 051502 (2013).
- [26] A. Dumitru and V. Skokov, Anisotropy of the semiclassical gluon field of a large nucleus at high energy, Phys. Rev. D 91, 074006 (2015).
- [27] B. Schenke, S. Schlichting, and R. Venugopalan, Azimuthal anisotropies in p + Pb collisions from classical Yang–Mills dynamics, Phys. Lett. B **747**, 76 (2015).
- [28] ATLAS Collaboration, preceding Letter, Observation of Long-Range Elliptic Anisotropies in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ and 2.76 TeV *pp* Collisions with the ATLAS Detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 172301 (2016).
- [29] CMS Collaboration, Description and performance of track and primary-vertex reconstruction with the CMS tracker, J. Instrum. 9, P10009 (2014).
- [30] CMS Collaboration, The CMS experiment at the CERN LHC, J. Instrum. **3**, S08004 (2008).
- [31] S. Agostinelli *et al.* (Geant4), Geant4—a simulation toolkit, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 506, 250 (2003).
- [32] CMS Collaboration, Transverse momentum and pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2010) 041.
- [33] T. Pierog, Iu. Karpenko, J. M. Katzy, E. Yatsenko, and K. Werner, Epos lhc: Test of collective hadronization with data measured at the CERN large hadron collider, Phys. Rev. C 92, 034906 (2015).
- [34] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna, and P. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, Comput. Phys. Commun. 178, 852 (2008).
- [35] CMS Collaboration, CMS Physics Analysis Summary CMS-PAS-GEN-14-001, 2014, http://cdsweb.cern.ch/ record/1697700.
- [36] CMS Collaboration, Long-range and short-range dihadron angular correlations in central PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV, J. High Energy Phys. 07 (2011) 076.

- [37] CMS Collaboration, Centrality dependence of dihadron correlations and azimuthal anisotropy harmonics in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, Eur. Phys. J. C **72**, 2012 (2012).
- [38] N. N. Ajitanand, J. M. Alexander, P. Chung, W. G. Holzmann, M. Issah, R. A. Lacey, A. Shevel, A. Taranenko, and P. Danielewicz, Decomposition of harmonic and jet contributions to particle-pair correlations at ultra-relativistic energies, Phys. Rev. C 72, 011902 (2005).
- [39] K. Dusling, P. Tribedy, and R. Venugopalan, Energy dependence of the ridge in high multiplicity proton-proton collisions, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 014034 (2016).
- [40] A. Dumitru, K. Dusling, F. Gelis, J. Jalilian-Marian, T. Lappi, and R. Venugopalan, The ridge in proton-proton collisions at the LHC, Phys. Lett. B 697, 21 (2011).
- [41] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, Azimuthal Collimation of Long Range Rapidity Correlations by Strong Color Fields in High Multiplicity Hadron-Hadron Collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 262001 (2012).
- [42] K. Dusling and R. Venugopalan, Comparison of the color glass condensate to dihadron correlations in proton-proton and proton-nucleus collisions, Phys. Rev. D 87, 094034 (2013).
- [43] ALICE Collaboration, Elliptic Flow of Charged Particles in Pb-Pb Collisions at 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 252302 (2010).
- [44] CMS Collaboration, Measurement of the elliptic anisotropy of charged particles produced in PbPb collisions at nucleonnucleon center-of-mass energy = 2.76 TeV, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 014902 (2013).
- [45] ATLAS Collaboration, Measurement of the pseudorapidity and transverse momentum dependence of the elliptic flow of charged particles in lead-lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}}$ = 2.76 TeV with the ATLAS detector, Phys. Lett. B **707**, 330 (2012).

V. Khachatryan,¹ A. M. Sirunyan,¹ A. Tumasyan,¹ W. Adam,² E. Asilar,² T. Bergauer,² J. Brandstetter,² E. Brondolin,² M. Dragicevic,² J. Erö,² M. Flechl,² M. Friedl,² R. Frühwirth,^{2,b} V. M. Ghete,² C. Hartl,² N. Hörmann,² J. Hrubec,² M. Jeitler,^{2,b} V. Knünz,² A. König,² M. Krammer,^{2,b} I. Krätschmer,² D. Liko,² T. Matsushita,² I. Mikulec,² D. Rabady,^{2,c} B. Rahbaran,² H. Rohringer,² J. Schieck,^{2,b} R. Schöfbeck,² J. Strauss,² W. Treberer-Treberspurg,² W. Waltenberger,² C.-E. Wulz,^{2,b} V. Mossolov,³ N. Shumeiko,³ J. Suarez Gonzalez,³ S. Alderweireldt,⁴ T. Cornelis,⁴ E. A. De Wolf,⁴ X. Janssen,⁴ A. Knutsson,⁴ J. Lauwers,⁴ S. Luyckx,⁴ M. Van De Klundert,⁴ H. Van Haevermaet,⁴ P. Van Mechelen,⁴ N. Van Remortel,⁴ A. Van Spilbeeck,⁴ S. Abu Zeid,⁵ F. Blekman,⁵ J. D'Hondt,⁵ N. Daci,⁵ I. De Bruyn,⁵ K. Deroover,⁵ N. Heracleous,⁵ J. Keaveney,⁵ S. Lowette,⁵ L. Moreels,⁵ A. Olbrechts,⁵ Q. Python,⁵ D. Strom,⁵ S. Tavernier,⁵ W. Van Doninck,⁵ P. Van Mulders,⁵ G. P. Van Onsem,⁵ I. Van Parijs,⁵ P. Barria,⁶ H. Brun,⁶ C. Caillol,⁶ B. Clerbaux,⁶ G. De Lentdecker,⁶ G. Fasanella,⁶ L. Favart,⁶ A. Grebenyuk,⁶ G. Karapostoli,⁶ T. Lenzi,⁶ A. Léonard,⁶ T. Maerschalk,⁶ A. Marinov,⁶ L. Perniè,⁶ A. Randle-conde,⁶ T. Seva,⁶ C. Vander Velde,⁶ P. Vanlaer,⁶ R. Yonamine,⁶ F. Zenoni,⁶ F. Zhang,^{6,d} K. Beernaert,⁷ L. Benucci,⁷ A. Cimmino,⁷ S. Crucy,⁷ D. Dobur,⁷ A. Fagot,⁷ G. Garcia,⁷ M. Gul,⁷ J. Mccartin,⁷ N. Zaganidis,⁷ S. Basegmez,⁸ C. Beluffi,^{8,e} O. Bondu,⁸ S. Brochet,⁸ G. Bruno,⁸ A. Caudron,⁸ L. Ceard,⁸ G. G. Da Silveira,⁸ C. Delaere,⁸ D. Favart,⁸ L. Forthomme,⁸ A. Giammanco,^{8,f} J. Hollar,⁸ A. Jafari,⁸ P. Jez,⁸ M. Komm,⁸ V. Lemaitre,⁸ A. Mertens,⁸ M. Musich,⁸ C. Nuttens,⁸ L. Perrini,⁸ A. Pin,⁸ K. Piotrzkowski,⁸ A. Popov,^{8,g} L. Quertenmont,⁸ M. Selvaggi,⁸ M. Vidal Marono,⁸ N. Beliy,⁹ G. H. Hammad

M. Correa Martins Junior,¹⁰ M. Hamer,¹⁰ C. Hensel,¹⁰ A. Moraes,¹⁰ M. E. Pol,¹⁰ P. Rebello Teles,¹⁰ E. Belchior Batista Das Chagas,¹¹ W. Carvalho,¹¹ J. Chinellato,^{11,h} A. Custódio,¹¹ E. M. Da Costa,¹¹ D. De Jesus Damiao,¹¹ C. De Oliveira Martins,¹¹ S. Fonseca De Souza,¹¹ L. M. Huertas Guativa,¹¹ H. Malbouisson,¹¹ D. Matos Figueiredo,¹¹ C. De Onvera Maruns, S. Fonseca De Souza, L. M. Huertas Guativa, H. Malbouisson, D. Matos Figueiredo, C. Mora Herrera, ¹¹ L. Mundim, ¹¹ H. Nogima, ¹¹ W. L. Prado Da Silva, ¹¹ A. Santoro, ¹¹ A. Sznajder, ¹¹
E. J. Tonelli Manganote, ^{11,h} A. Vilela Pereira, ¹¹ S. Ahuja, ^{12a} C. A. Bernardes, ^{12b} A. De Souza Santos, ^{12b} S. Dogra, ^{12a} T. R. Fernandez Perez Tomei, ^{12a} E. M. Gregores, ^{12b} P. G. Mercadante, ^{12b} C. S. Moon, ^{12a,i} S. F. Novaes, ^{12a} Sandra S. Padula, ^{12a} D. Romero Abad, ^{12a} J. C. Ruiz Vargas, ^{12a} A. Aleksandrov, ¹³ R. Hadjiiska, ¹³ P. Iaydjiev, ¹³
M. Rodozov, ¹³ S. Stoykova, ¹³ G. Sultanov, ¹³ M. Vutova, ¹³ A. Dimitrov, ¹⁴ I. Glushkov, ¹⁴ L. Litov, ¹⁴ B. Pavlov, ¹⁴ P. Petkov, ¹⁴
M. Ahmad, ¹⁵ J. G. Bian, ¹⁵ G. M. Chen, ¹⁵ H. S. Chen, ¹⁵ M. Chen, ¹⁵ T. Cheng, ¹⁵ R. Du, ¹⁵ C. H. Jiang, ¹⁵ R. Plestina, ^{15,j} F. Romeo, ¹⁵ S. M. Shaheen, ¹⁵ A. Spiezia, ¹⁵ J. Tao, ¹⁵ C. Wang, ¹⁵ Z. Wang, ¹⁵ H. Zhang, ¹⁵ C. Asawatangtrakuldee, ¹⁶ Y. Ban, ¹⁶ Q. Li, ¹⁶ S. Liu, ¹⁶ Y. Mao, ¹⁶ S. J. Qian, ¹⁶ D. Wang, ¹⁶ Z. Xu, ¹⁶ C. Avila, ¹⁷ A. Cabrera, ¹⁷ L. F. Chaparro Sierra, ¹⁷ C. Florez, ¹⁷ J. P. Gomez,¹⁷ B. Gomez Moreno,¹⁷ J. C. Sanabria,¹⁷ N. Godinovic,¹⁸ D. Lelas,¹⁸ I. Puljak,¹⁸ P. M. Ribeiro Cipriano,¹⁸ Z. Antunovic,¹⁹ M. Kovac,¹⁹ V. Brigljevic,²⁰ K. Kadija,²⁰ J. Luetic,²⁰ S. Micanovic,²⁰ L. Sudic,²⁰ A. Attikis,²¹ G. Mavromanolakis,²¹ J. Mousa,²¹ C. Nicolaou,²¹ F. Ptochos,²¹ P. A. Razis,²¹ H. Rykaczewski,²¹ M. Bodlak,²² M. Finger,^{22,k} M. Finger Jr.,^{22,k} E. El-khateeb,^{23,1,1} T. Elkafrawy,^{23,1} A. Mohamed,^{23,m} E. Salama,^{23,n,1} B. Calpas,²⁴ M. Kadastik,²⁴ M. Murumaa,²⁴ M. Raidal,²⁴ A. Tiko,²⁴ C. Veelken,²⁴ P. Eerola,²⁵ J. Pekkanen,²⁵ M. Voutilainen,²⁵ J. Härkönen,²⁶ V. Karimäki,²⁶ R. Kinnunen,²⁶ T. Lampén,²⁶ K. Lassila-Perini,²⁶ S. Lehti,²⁶ T. Lindén,²⁶ P. Luukka,²⁶ T. Peltola,²⁶
E. Tuominen,²⁶ J. Tuominiemi,²⁶ E. Tuovinen,²⁶ L. Wendland,²⁶ J. Talvitie,²⁷ T. Tuuva,²⁷ M. Besancon,²⁸ F. Couderc,²⁸ M. Dejardin,²⁸ D. Denegri,²⁸ B. Fabbro,²⁸ J. L. Faure,²⁸ C. Favaro,²⁸ F. Ferri,²⁸ S. Ganjour,²⁸ A. Givernaud,²⁸ P. Gras,²⁸ G. Hamel de Monchenault,²⁸ P. Jarry,²⁸ E. Locci,²⁸ M. Machet,²⁸ J. Malcles,²⁸ J. Rander,²⁸ A. Rosowsky,²⁸ M. Titov,²⁸ A. Zghiche,²⁸ I. Antropov,²⁹ S. Baffioni,²⁹ F. Beaudette,²⁹ P. Busson,²⁹ L. Cadamuro,²⁹ E. Chapon,²⁹ C. Charlot,²⁹ O. Davignon,²⁹ N. Filipovic,²⁹ R. Granier de Cassagnac,²⁹ M. Jo,²⁹ S. Lisniak,²⁹ L. Mastrolorenzo,²⁹ P. Miné,²⁹ I. N. Naranjo,²⁹ M. Nguyen,²⁹ C. Ochando,²⁹ G. Ortona,²⁹ P. Paganini,²⁹ P. Pigard,²⁹ S. Regnard,²⁹ R. Salerno,²⁹ J. B. Sauvan,²⁹ Y. Sirois,²⁹ T. Strebler,²⁹ Y. Yilmaz,²⁹ A. Zabi,²⁹ J.-L. Agram,^{30,0} J. Andrea,³⁰ A. Aubin,³⁰ D. Bloch,³⁰ J.-M. Brom,³⁰ M. Buttignol,³⁰ E. C. Chabert,³⁰ N. Chanon,³⁰ C. Collard,³⁰ E. Conte,^{30,0} X. Coubez,³⁰ J.-C. Fontaine,^{30,0} D. Gelé,³⁰ U. Goerlach,³⁰ C. Goetzmann,³⁰ A.-C. Le Bihan,³⁰ J. A. Merlin,^{30,c} K. Skovpen,³⁰ P. Van Hove,³⁰ S. Gadrat,³¹ S. Beauceron,³² C. Bernet,³² G. Boudoul,³² E. Bouvier,³² C. A. Carrillo Montoya,³² R. Chierici,³² D. Contardo,³² B. Courbon,³² P. Depasse,³² H. El Mamouni,³² J. Fan,³² J. Fay,³² S. Gascon,³² M. Gouzevitch,³² B. Ille,³² F. Lagarde,³² I. B. Laktineh,³² M. Lethuillier,³² L. Mirabito,³² A. L. Pequegnot,³² S. Perries,³² J. D. Ruiz Alvarez,³² D. Sabes,³² L. Sgandurra,³² V. Sordini,³² M. Vander Donckt,³² P. Verdier,³² S. Viret,³² T. Toriashvili,^{33,p} Z. Tsamalaidze,^{34,k} C. Autermann,³⁵ S. Beranek,³⁵ L. Feld,³⁵ A. Heister,³⁵ M. K. Kiesel,³⁵ K. Klein,³⁵ M. Lipinski,³⁵ A. Ostapchuk,³⁵ M. Preuten,³⁵ F. Raupach,³⁵ S. Schael,³⁵ J. F. Schulte,³⁵ T. Verlage,³⁵ H. Weber,³⁵ V. Zhukov,^{35,g} M. Ata,³⁶ M. Brodski,³⁶ E. Dietz-Laursonn,³⁶ D. Duchardt,³⁶ M. Endres,³⁶ M. Erdmann,³⁶ S. Erdweg,³⁶ T. Esch,³⁶ R. Fischer,³⁶ A. Güth,³⁶ T. Hebbeker,³⁶ C. Heidemann,³⁶ K. Hoepfner,³⁶ S. Knutzen,³⁶ P. Kreuzer,³⁶ M. Merschmeyer,³⁶ A. Meyer,³⁶ P. Millet,³⁶ S. Mukherjee,³⁶ M. Olschewski,³⁶ K. Padeken,³⁶ P. Papacz,³⁶ T. Pook,³⁶ M. Radziej,³⁶ H. Reithler,³⁶ M. Rieger,³⁶ F. Scheuch,³⁶ L. Sonnenschein,³⁶ D. Teyssier,³⁶ S. Thüer,³⁶ V. Cherepanov,³⁷ Y. Erdogan,³⁷ G. Flügge,³⁷ H. Geenen,³⁷ M. Geisler,³⁷ F. Hoehle,³⁷ B. Kargoll,³⁷ T. Kress,³⁷ Y. Kuessel,³⁷ A. Künsken,³⁷ J. Lingemann,³⁷ A. Nehrkorn,³⁷ A. Nowack,³⁷ I. M. Nugent,³⁷ C. Pistone,³⁷ O. Pooth,³⁷ A. Stahl,³⁷ M. Aldaya Martin,³⁸ I. Asin,³⁸ N. Bartosik,³⁸ O. Behnke,³⁸ U. Behrens,³⁸ A. J. Bell,³⁸ K. Borras,^{38,q} A. Burgmeier,³⁸ A. Campbell,³⁸ F. Costanza,³⁸ C. Diez Pardos,³⁸ G. Dolinska,³⁸ S. Dooling,³⁸ T. Dorland,³⁸ G. Eckerlin,³⁸ D. Eckstein,³⁸ T. Eichhorn,³⁸ G. Flucke,³⁸ E. Gallo,^{38,r} J. Garay Garcia,³⁸ A. Geiser,³⁸ A. Gizhko,³⁸ P. Gunnellini,³⁸ J. Hauk,³⁸ M. Hempel,^{38,s} H. Jung,³⁸ A. Kalogeropoulos,³⁸ O. Karacheban,^{38,5} M. Kasemann,³⁸ P. Katsas,³⁸ J. Kieseler,³⁸ C. Kleinwort,³⁸ I. Korol,³⁸ W. Lange,³⁸ J. Leonard,³⁸ K. Lipka,³⁸ A. Lobanov,³⁸ W. Lohmann,^{38,5} R. Mankel,³⁸ I. Marfin,^{38,5} I.-A. Melzer-Pellmann,³⁸ A. B. Meyer,³⁸ G. Mittag,³⁸ J. Mnich,³⁸ A. Mussgiller,³⁸ S. Naumann-Emme,³⁸ A. Nayak,³⁸ E. Ntomari,³⁸ H. Perrey,³⁸ D. Pitzl,³⁸ R. Placakyte,³⁸ A. Raspereza,³⁸ B. Roland,³⁸ M. Ö. Sahin,³⁸ P. Saxena,³⁸ T. Schoerner-Sadenius,³⁸ C. Seitz,³⁸ S. Spannagel,³⁸ K. D. Trippkewitz,³⁸ R. Walsh,³⁸ C. Wissing,³⁸ V. Blobel,³⁹ M. Centis Vignali,³⁹ A. R. Draeger,³⁹ J. Erfle,³⁹ E. Garutti,³⁹ K. Goebel,³⁹ D. Gonzalez,³⁹ M. Görner,³⁹ J. Haller,³⁹ M. Hoffmann,³⁹ R. S. Höing,³⁹ A. Junkes,³⁹ R. Klanner,³⁹ R. Kogler,³⁹ N. Kovalchuk,³⁹ T. Lapsien,³⁹ T. Lenz,³⁹ I. Marchesini,³⁹ D. Marconi,³⁹ M. Meyer,³⁹ D. Nowatschin,³⁹ J. Ott,³⁹ F. Pantaleo,^{39,c} T. Peiffer,³⁹ A. Perieanu,³⁹ N. Pietsch,³⁹ J. Poehlsen,³⁹ D. Rathjens,³⁹ C. Sander,³⁹ C. Scharf,³⁹ H. Schettler,³⁹

P. Schleper,³⁹ E. Schlieckau,³⁹ A. Schmidt,³⁹ J. Schwandt,³⁹ V. Sola,³⁹ H. Stadie,³⁹ G. Steinbrück,³⁹ H. Tholen,³⁹
D. Troendle,³⁹ E. Usai,³⁹ L. Vanelderen,³⁹ A. Vanhoefer,³⁹ B. Vormwald,³⁹ C. Barth,⁴⁰ S. Baur,⁴⁰ C. Baus,⁴⁰ J. Berger,⁴⁰
C. Böser,⁴⁰ E. Butz,⁴⁰ T. Chwalek,⁴⁰ F. Colombo,⁴⁰ W. De Boer,⁴⁰ A. Descroix,⁴⁰ A. Dierlamm,⁴⁰ S. Fink,⁴⁰ F. Frensch,⁴⁰
R. Friese,⁴⁰ M. Giffels,⁴⁰ A. Gilbert,⁴⁰ D. Haitz,⁴⁰ F. Hartmann,^{40,c} S. M. Heindl,⁴⁰ U. Husemann,⁴⁰ I. Katkov,^{40,g}
A. Kornmayer,^{40,c} P. Lobelle Pardo,⁴⁰ B. Maier,⁴⁰ H. Mildner,⁴⁰ M. U. Mozer,⁴⁰ T. Müller,⁴⁰ Th. Müller,⁴⁰ M. Plagge,⁴⁰
G. Quast,⁴⁰ K. Rabbertz,⁴⁰ S. Röcker,⁴⁰ F. Roscher,⁴⁰ M. Schröder,⁴⁰ G. Sieber,⁴⁰ H. J. Simonis,⁴⁰ F. M. Stober,⁴⁰ R. Ulrich,⁴⁰
J. Wagner-Kuhr,⁴⁰ S. Wayand,⁴⁰ M. Weber,⁴⁰ T. Weiler,⁴⁰ S. Williamson,⁴⁰ C. Wöhrmann,⁴⁰ R. Wolf,⁴⁰ G. Anagnostou,⁴¹
G. Daskalakis,⁴¹ T. Geralis,⁴¹ V. A. Giakoumopoulou,⁴¹ A. Kyriakis,⁴¹ D. Loukas,⁴¹ A. Psallidas,⁴¹ I. Topsis-Giotis,⁴¹
A. Agapitos,⁴² S. Kesisoglou,⁴² A. Panagiotou,⁴² N. Saoulidou,⁴² E. Tziaferi,⁴² I. Evangelou,⁴³ G. Flouris,⁴³ C. Foudas,⁴³
P. Kokkas ⁴³ N. Loukas ⁴³ I. Panadopoulos ⁴³ F. Paradas ⁴³ I. Strologas ⁴³ G. Bencze ⁴⁴ C. Haidu ⁴⁴ A. Hazi ⁴⁴ A. Agapitos,⁴² S. Kesisoglou,⁴² A. Panagiotou,⁴² N. Saoulidou,⁴² E. Tziaferi,⁴² I. Evangelou,⁴³ G. Flouris,⁴³ C. Foudas,⁴³ P. Kokkas,⁴³ N. Loukas,⁴³ N. Manthos,⁴³ I. Papadopoulos,⁴³ E. Paradas,⁴³ J. Strologas,⁴³ G. Bencze,⁴⁴ C. Hajdu,⁴⁴ A. Hazi,⁴⁴ P. Hidas,⁴⁴ D. Horvath,^{44,1} F. Sikler,⁴⁴ V. Veszpremi,⁴⁴ G. Vesztergombi,^{44,1} A. J. Zsigmond,⁴⁴ N. Beni,⁴⁵ S. Czellar,⁴⁵ J. Karancsi,^{45,v} J. Molnar,⁴⁵ Z. Szillasi,^{45,c} M. Bartók,^{46,w} A. Makovec,⁴⁶ P. Raics,⁴⁶ Z. L. Trocsanyi,⁴⁶ B. Ujvari,⁴⁶ S. Choudhury,^{47,x} P. Mal,⁴⁷ K. Mandal,⁴⁷ D. K. Sahoo,⁴⁷ N. Sahoo,⁴⁷ S. K. Swain,⁴⁷ S. Bansal,⁴⁸ S. B. Beri,⁴⁸ V. Bhatnagar,⁴⁸ R. Chawla,⁴⁸ R. Gupta,⁴⁸ U. Bhawandeep,⁴⁸ A. K. Kalsi,⁴⁸ A. Kaur,⁴⁸ M. Kaur,⁴⁸ R. Kumar,⁴⁸ A. Mehta,⁴⁸ M. Mittal,⁴⁸ J. B. Singh,⁴⁸ G. Walia,⁴⁸ Ashok Kumar,⁴⁹ A. Bhardwaj,⁴⁹ B. C. Choudhary,⁴⁹ R. B. Garg,⁴⁹ S. Malhotra,⁴⁹ M. Naimuddin,⁴⁹ N. Nishu,⁴⁹ K. Ranjan,⁴⁹ R. Sharma,⁴⁹ V. Sharma,⁴⁹ S. Bhattacharya,⁵⁰ K. Chatterjee,⁵⁰ S. Dey,⁵⁰ S. Dutta,⁵⁰ S. Jain,⁵⁰ N. Majumdar,⁵⁰ A. Modak,⁵⁰ K. Mondal,⁵⁰ S. Mukhopadhyay,⁵⁰ A. Roy,⁵⁰ D. Roy,⁵⁰ S. Roy Chowdhury,⁵⁰ S. Sarkar,⁵⁰ M. Sharan,⁵¹ A. Topkar,⁵¹ T. Aziz,⁵² S. Banerjee,⁵² S. Bhowmik,^{52,y} R. M. Chatterjee,⁵² R. K. Dewanjee,⁵² S. Dugad,⁵² S. Ganguly,⁵² S. Ghosh,⁵² M. Guchait,⁵² A. Gurtu,^{52,z} G. Kole,⁵² S. Kumar,⁵² B. Mahakud,⁵² M. Maity,^{52,y} G. Majumder,⁵² S. Ganguly,⁵² S. Dube,⁵³ A. Kapoor,⁵³ K. Kothekar,⁵³ S. Sharma,⁵⁴ H. Behnamian,⁵⁴ S. Muertang,^{54,dc} R. Goldouzian,⁵⁴ M. Khakzad,⁵⁴ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,⁵⁴ M. Naseri,⁵⁴ S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi,⁵⁴ F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁵⁴ B. Safarzadeh,^{54,dd} M. Zeinali,⁵⁴ M. Felcini,⁵⁵ M. Grunewald,⁵⁵ S. M. Etesami,^{34,00} A. Fahim,^{34,00} R. Goldouzian,³⁴ M. Khakzad,³⁴ M. Mohammadi Najafabadi,³⁴ M. Naseri,³⁴
S. Paktinat Mehdiabadi,⁵⁴ F. Rezaei Hosseinabadi,⁵⁴ B. Safarzadeh,^{54,dd} M. Zeinali,⁵⁴ M. Felcini,⁵⁵ M. Grunewald,⁵⁵ M. Abbrescia,^{56a,56b} C. Calabria,^{56a,56b} C. Caputo,^{56a,56b} A. Colaleo,^{56a} D. Creanza,^{56a,56c} L. Cristella,^{56a,56b}
N. De Filippis,^{56a,56c} M. De Palma,^{56a,56b} L. Fiore,^{56a} G. Iaselli,^{56a,56c} G. Maggi,^{56a,56c} M. Maggi,^{56a} G. Miniello,^{56a,56b}
S. My,^{56a,56c} S. Nuzzo,^{56a,56b} A. Pompili,^{56a,56b} G. Pugliese,^{56a,56c} R. Radogna,^{56a,56b} A. Ranieri,^{56a} G. Selvaggi,^{56a,56b} L. Silvestris,^{56a,c} R. Venditti,^{56a,56b} G. Abbiendi,^{57a} C. Battilana,^{57a,c} A. C. Benvenuti,^{57a} D. Bonacorsi,^{57a,57b}
S. Braibant-Giacomelli,^{57a,57b} L. Brigliadori,^{57a,57b} R. Campanini,^{57a,57b} P. Capiluppi,^{57a,57b} A. Castro,^{57a,57b} F. R. Cavallo,^{57a} S. Marcellini,^{57a} G. Masetti,^{57a} A. Montanari,^{57a}
D. Fasanella,^{57a,57b} P. Giacomelli,^{57a} C. Grandi,^{57a} T. Paculi,^{57a,57b} S. Marcellini,^{57a} G. Masetti,^{57a} A. Montanari,^{57a} S. S. Chilbra, G. Coulspolt, M. Cuman, G. M. Dahavale, F. Faboli, A. Fahnan, D. Fasanella, ^{57a}, 57b P. Giacomelli, ^{57a} C. Grandi, ^{57a} L. Guiducci, ^{57a,57b} S. Marcellini, ^{57a} G. Masetti, ^{57a} A. Montanari, ^{57a} G. Cappello, ^{58a} A. Perrotta, ^{57a} A. M. Rossi, ^{57a,57b} T. Rovelli, ^{57a,57b} G. P. Siroli, ^{57a,57b} N. Tosi, ^{57a,57b} R. Travaglini, ^{57a,57b} G. Cappello, ^{58a} M. Chiorobil, ^{58a,58b} S. Costa, ^{58a,58b} A. Di Mattia, ^{58a} F. Giordano, ^{58a,58b} R. Potenza, ^{58a,58b} A. Tricomi, ^{58a,58b} G. Barbagli, ^{59a} V. Ciulli, ^{59a,59b} C. Civinini, ^{59a} R. D'Alessandro, ^{59a,59b} E. Focardi, ^{59a,59b} V. Gori, ^{59a,59b} P. Lenzi, ^{59a,59b} M. Meschini, ^{59a} S. Paoletti, ^{59a} G. Sguazzoni, ^{59a} L. Viliani, ^{59a,59b} E. Focardi, ⁶⁰ F. Fabbri, ⁶⁰ D. Piccolo, ⁶⁰ F. Primavera, ⁶⁰ V. Calvelli, ^{61a,61b} F. Ferro, ^{61a} M. Lo Vetere, ^{61a,61b} M. R. Monge, ^{61a,61b} E. Robutti, ^{61a} S. Tosi, ^{61a,61b} L. Brianza, ^{62a} M. E. Dinardo, ^{62a,62b} S. Fiorendi, ^{62a,62b} D. Menasce, ^{62a} L. Moroni, ^{62a} M. Paganoni, ^{62a,62b} D. Pedrini, ^{62a} S. Ragazzi, ^{62a,62b} N. Redaelli, ^{62a} T. Tabarelli de Fatis, ^{62a,62b} D. Menasce, ^{63a} L. Lista, ^{63a} S. Meola, ^{63a,63c} S. Di Guida, ^{63a,63d,c} M. Esposito, ^{63a,63b} F. Fabozzi, ^{63a,63b} F. Thyssen, ^{63a} P. Azzi, ^{64a,64b} C. S. Lacapa^{64a} U. Dosselli, ^{64a} A. Boletti, ^{64a,64b} A. Branca, ^{64a,64b} R. Carlin, ^{64a,64b} P. Checchia, ^{64a} M. Dall'Osso, ^{64a,64b,c} T. Dorigo, ^{64a} U. Dosselli, ^{64a} F. Gasparini, ^{64a,64b} M. Zanetti, ^{64a} P. Zotto, ^{64a,64b} A. Brance, ^{64a,64b} M. Zanetti, ^{64a} P. Zotto, ^{64a,64b} A. Brance, ^{65a,65b} S. P. Ratti, ^{65a,65b} V. Re, ^{65a} C. Riccardi, ^{65a,65b} P. Salvini, ^{65a} I. Vai, ^{65a,65b} P. Vitulo, ^{65a,65b} S. P. Ratti, ^{65a,65b} V. Re, ^{65a} C. Riccardi, ^{65a,65b} P. Salvini, ^{65a} I. Vai, ^{65a,65b} P. Vitulo, ^{65a,65b} S. P. Ratti, ^{65a,65b} V. Re, ^{65a} C. Riccardi, ^{65a,65b} P. Salvini, ^{65a} I. Vai, ^{65a,65b} P. Vitulo, ^{65a,65b} S. P. Ratti, ^{65a,65b} V. Re, ^{65a} C. Riccard M. T. Grippo, ^{67a,ee} F. Ligabue, ^{67a,67c} T. Lomtadze, ^{67a} L. Martini, ^{67a,67b} A. Messineo, ^{67a,67b} F. Palla, ^{67a} A. Rizzi, ^{67a,67b} A. Savoy-Navarro, ^{67a,ff} A. T. Serban, ^{67a} P. Spagnolo, ^{67a} R. Tenchini, ^{67a} G. Tonelli, ^{67a,67b} A. Venturi, ^{67a} P. G. Verdini, ^{67a} L. Barone, ^{68a,68b} F. Cavallari, ^{68a} G. D'imperio, ^{68a,68b,c} D. Del Re, ^{68a,68b,c} M. Diemoz, ^{68a} S. Gelli, ^{68a,68b} C. Jorda, ^{68a}
 E. Longo, ^{68a,68b} F. Margaroli, ^{68a,68b} P. Meridiani, ^{68a} G. Organtini, ^{68a,68b} R. Paramatti, ^{68a} F. Preiato, ^{68a,68b} S. Rahatlou, ^{68a,68b} C. Rovelli, ^{68a} F. Santanastasio, ^{68a,68b} P. Traczyk, ^{68a,68b,c} N. Amapane, ^{69a,69b} R. Acreidiacono, ^{69a,69b} S. Rahatlou, ^{68a,68b} M. Arneodo, ^{69a,69b} C. Biino, ^{69a} N. Cartiglia, ^{69a} M. Costa, ^{69a,69b} R. Covarelli, ^{69a,69b} A. Degano, ^{69a,69b} N. Demaria, ^{69a} L. Finco, ^{69a,69b} C. Biino, ^{69a,69b} N. Pastrone, ^{69a} M. Pelliccioni, ^{69a} G. L. Pinna Angioni, ^{69a,69b} E. Monteil, ^{69a,69b} M. M. Obertino, ^{69a,69b} L. Pacher, ^{69a,69b} N. Pastrone, ^{69a} M. Pelliccioni, ^{69a,69b} A. Staiano, ^{69a, 69a} S. Belforte, ^{70a} Y. Candelise, ^{70a,70b} M. Casarsa, ^{70a} F. Cossutti, ^{70a} G. Della Ricca, ^{70a,70b} B. Gobbo, ^{70a} C. La Licata, ^{70a,70b} M. Marone, ^{70a,70b} A. Schizzi, ^{70a,70b} A. Zanetti, ^{70a} A. Kropivnitskaya, ⁷¹ S. K. Nam, ⁷¹ D. H. Kim, ⁷² G. N. Kim, ⁷² N. S. Kim, ⁷² D. J. Kong, ⁷² Y. Go, ⁷⁵ D. Gyun, ⁷⁵ B. Hong, ⁷⁵ H. Kim, ⁷⁵ Y. Kim, ⁷⁵ B. Lee, ⁷⁵ K. Lee, ⁷⁵ S. Lee, ⁷⁵ S. K. Park, ⁷⁵ Y. Roh, ⁷⁵ H. D. Yoo, ⁷⁶ M. Choi, ⁷⁷ H. Kim, ⁷⁷ J. H. Kim, ⁷⁷ J. S. H. Lee, ⁷⁷ I. C. Park, ⁷⁷ G. Ryu, ⁷⁷ M. S. Ryu, ⁷⁷ Y. Choi, ⁷⁸ J. Goh, ⁷⁸ E. Komaragiri, ⁸⁰ M. A. B. Md Ali, ^{80,gg} F. Mohamad Idris, ^{80,hh} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah, ⁸⁰ M. N. Yusli, ⁸⁰ J. R. Komaragiri, ⁶ M. A. B. Md Ali, ^{69,85} F. Mohamad Idris, ^{61,11} W. A. T. Wan Abdullah, ⁶⁵ M. N. Yush, ⁶⁵
E. Casimiro Linares, ⁸¹ H. Castilla-Valdez, ⁸¹ E. De La Cruz-Burelo, ⁸¹ I. Heredia-De La Cruz, ^{81,ii} A. Hernandez-Almada, ⁸¹ R. Lopez-Fernandez, ⁸¹ A. Sanchez-Hernandez, ⁸¹ S. Carrillo Moreno, ⁸² F. Vazquez Valencia, ⁸² I. Pedraza, ⁸³
H. A. Salazar Ibarguen, ⁸³ A. Morelos Pineda, ⁸⁴ D. Krofcheck, ⁸⁵ P. H. Butler, ⁸⁶ A. Ahmad, ⁸⁷ M. Ahmad, ⁸⁷ Q. Hassan, ⁸⁷
H. R. Hoorani, ⁸⁷ W. A. Khan, ⁸⁷ T. Khurshid, ⁸⁷ M. Shoaib, ⁸⁷ H. Białkowska, ⁸⁸ M. Bluj, ⁸⁸ B. Boimska, ⁸⁸ T. Frueboes, ⁸⁸
M. Górski, ⁸⁸ M. Kazana, ⁸⁸ K. Nawrocki, ⁸⁸ K. Romanowska-Rybinska, ⁸⁸ M. Szleper, ⁸⁸ P. Zalewski, ⁸⁹ G. Brona, ⁸⁹
K. Bunkowski, ⁸⁹ A. Byszuk, ^{89,ij} K. Doroba, ⁸⁹ A. Kalinowski, ⁸⁹ M. Konecki, ⁸⁹ J. Krolikowski, ⁸⁹ M. Misiura, ⁸⁹
M. Olszewski, ⁸⁹ M. Walczak, ⁸⁹ P. Bargassa, ⁹⁰ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva, ⁹⁰ A. Di Francesco, ⁹⁰ P. Faccioli, ⁹⁰
P. C. Ferming Particular Pa M. Olszewski,⁸⁹ M. Walczak,⁸⁹ P. Bargassa,⁹⁰ C. Beirão Da Cruz E Silva,⁹⁰ A. Di Francesco,⁹⁰ P. Faccioli,⁹⁰ P. G. Ferreira Parracho,⁹⁰ M. Gallinaro,⁹⁰ N. Leonardo,⁹⁰ L. Lloret Iglesias,⁹⁰ F. Nguyen,⁹⁰ J. Rodrigues Antunes,⁹⁰ J. Seixas,⁹⁰ O. Toldaiev,⁹⁰ D. Vadruccio,⁹⁰ J. Varela,⁹⁰ P. Vischia,⁹⁰ S. Afanasiev,⁹¹ P. Bunin,⁹¹ M. Gavrilenko,⁹¹ I. Golutvin,⁹¹ I. Gorbunov,⁹¹ A. Kamenev,⁹¹ V. Karjavin,⁹¹ A. Lanev,⁹¹ A. Malakhov,⁹¹ V. Matveev,^{91,kk,ll} P. Moisenz,⁹¹ V. Palichik,⁹¹ V. Perelygin,⁹¹ S. Shmatov,⁹¹ S. Shulha,⁹¹ N. Skatchkov,⁹¹ V. Smirnov,⁹¹ A. Zarubin,⁹¹ V. Golovtsov,⁹² Y. Ivanov,⁹² V. Kim,^{92,mm} E. Kuznetsova,⁹² P. Levchenko,⁹² V. Murzin,⁹² V. Oreshkin,⁹² I. Smirnov,⁹² V. Sulimov,⁹² L. Uvarov,⁹² S. Vavilov,⁹² A. Vorobyev,⁹² Yu. Andreev,⁹³ A. Dermenev,⁹³ S. Gninenko,⁹³ N. Golubev,⁹³ A. Karneyeu,⁹³ M. Kirsanov,⁹³ N. Krasnikov,⁹³ A. Pashenkov,⁹³ D. Tlisov,⁹³ A. Toropin,⁹³ V. Epshteyn,⁹⁴ V. Gavrilov,⁹⁴ N. Lychkovskaya,⁹⁴ V. Popov,⁹⁴ I. Pozdnyakov,⁹⁴ G. Safronov,⁹⁴ A. Spiridonov,⁹⁴ E. Vlasov,⁹⁴ A. Zhokin,⁹⁴ A. Bylinkin,⁹⁵ V. Andreev,⁹⁶ M. Azarkin,^{96,II} I. Dremin,^{96,II} M. Kirakosyan,⁹⁶ A. Leonidov,^{96,II} G. Mesyats,⁹⁶ S. V. Rusakov,⁹⁶ A. Baskakov,⁹⁷ A. Belyaev,⁹⁷ E. Boos,⁹⁷ A. Ershov,⁹⁷ A. Gribushin,⁹⁷ L. Khein,⁹⁷ V. Klyukhin,⁹⁷ O. Kodolova,⁹⁷ I. Lokhtin,⁹⁷ O. Lukina,⁹⁷ I. Myagkov,⁹⁸ A. Kalinin,⁹⁸ D. Konstantinov,⁹⁸ A. Verychkine,⁹⁸ V. Petrov,⁹⁸ R. Ryutin,⁹⁸ A. Sobol,⁹⁸ L. Tourtchanovitch,⁹⁸ S. Troshin,⁹⁸ N. Tyurin,⁹⁸ A. Uzunian,⁹⁸ A. Volkov,⁹⁸ P. Adzic,^{99,nn} P. Cirkovic,⁹⁹ J. Milosevic,⁹⁹ V. Rekovic,⁹⁹ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹⁰⁰ E. Calvo,¹⁰⁰ M. Cerrada,¹⁰⁰ M. Chamizo Llatas,¹⁰⁰ N. Colino,¹⁰⁰ B. De La Cruz,¹⁰⁰ S. Troshin,⁹⁸ N. Tyurin,⁹⁸ A. Uzunian,⁹⁸ A. Volkov,⁹⁸ P. Adzic,^{99,nn} P. Cirkovic,⁹⁹ J. Milosevic,⁹⁹ V. Rekovic,⁹⁹ J. Alcaraz Maestre,¹⁰⁰ E. Calvo,¹⁰⁰ M. Cerrada,¹⁰⁰ M. Chamizo Llatas,¹⁰⁰ N. Colino,¹⁰⁰ B. De La Cruz,¹⁰⁰
A. Delgado Peris,¹⁰⁰ A. Escalante Del Valle,¹⁰⁰ C. Fernandez Bedoya,¹⁰⁰ J. P. Fernández Ramos,¹⁰⁰ J. Flix,¹⁰⁰ M. C. Fouz,¹⁰⁰
P. Garcia-Abia,¹⁰⁰ O. Gonzalez Lopez,¹⁰⁰ S. Goy Lopez,¹⁰⁰ J. M. Hernandez,¹⁰⁰ M. I. Josa,¹⁰⁰ E. Navarro De Martino,¹⁰⁰
A. Pérez-Calero Yzquierdo,¹⁰⁰ J. Puerta Pelayo,¹⁰⁰ A. Quintario Olmeda,¹⁰⁰ I. Redondo,¹⁰⁰ L. Romero,¹⁰⁰ J. Santaolalla,¹⁰⁰
M. S. Soares,¹⁰⁰ C. Albajar,¹⁰¹ J. F. de Trocóniz,¹⁰¹ M. Missiroli,¹⁰¹ D. Moran,¹⁰¹ J. Cuevas,¹⁰² J. Fernandez Menendez,¹⁰²
S. Folgueras,¹⁰² I. Gonzalez Caballero,¹⁰² E. Palencia Cortezon,¹⁰² J. M. Vizan Garcia,¹⁰² I. J. Cabrillo,¹⁰³ A. Calderon,¹⁰³
J. R. Castiñeiras De Saa,¹⁰³ P. De Castro Manzano,¹⁰³ M. Fernandez,¹⁰³ J. Garcia-Ferrero,¹⁰³ G. Gomez,¹⁰³
A. Lopez Virto,¹⁰³ J. Marco,¹⁰³ R. Marco,¹⁰³ C. Martinez Rivero,¹⁰³ F. Matorras,¹⁰³ J. Piedra Gomez,¹⁰³ T. Rodrigo,¹⁰³
A. Y. Rodríguez-Marrero,¹⁰⁴ A. Ruiz-Jimeno,¹⁰³ L. Scodellaro,¹⁰⁴ N. Trevisani,¹⁰³ I. Vila,¹⁰³ R. Vilar Cortabitarte,¹⁰³
D. Abbaneo,¹⁰⁴ E. Auffray,¹⁰⁴ G. Auzinger,¹⁰⁴ M. Bachtis,¹⁰⁴ P. Baillon,¹⁰⁴ A. Bocci,¹⁰⁴ A. Bonato,¹⁰⁴ C. Botta,¹⁰⁴
J. Bendavid,¹⁰⁴ L. Benhabib,¹⁰⁴ J. F. Benitez,¹⁰⁴ G. M. Berruti,¹⁰⁴ P. Bloch,¹⁰⁴ A. Bocci,¹⁰⁴ A. Bonato,¹⁰⁴ C. Botta,¹⁰⁴
V. Daponte,¹⁰⁴ A. David,¹⁰⁴ M. De Gruttola,¹⁰⁴ F. De Guio,¹⁰⁴ A. De Roeck,¹⁰⁴ S. De Visscher,¹⁰⁴ E. Di Marco,^{104,00} <page-header><page-header>

 PRL 116, 172302 (2016)
 PMYSTAL REVIEW LETTERS
 20% APRL 2016

 J. Heilman, ¹²⁷ M. Ivova PANEVA, ¹²⁷ P. Jandir, ¹²⁷ E. Kennedy, ¹²⁷ F. Lacroix, ¹²⁷ O. R. Long, ¹²⁷ A. Luthra, ¹²⁷ M. Malberti, ¹²⁸
 M. Olmedo Negrete, ¹²⁷ A. Shrinivas, ¹²⁹ H. Wei, ¹²⁷ S. Wimpenny, ¹²⁷ B. R. Yates, ¹²⁷ J. G. Branson, ¹²⁸ G. B. Cerati, ¹²⁸

 S. Citolin, ¹²⁸ R. D. Yagnolo, ²⁸ M. Derdzinski, ¹²⁸ A. Holzner, ¹²⁸ R. Kelley, ¹²⁸ D. Klein, ¹²⁸ J. Letts, ¹²⁸ I. Macneill, ¹²⁸
 D. Olivito, ¹²⁸ S. Padhi, ¹²⁸ M. Yatak, ¹²⁸ A. Sanai, ¹²⁸ V. Sharma, ¹²⁹ S. Simon, ¹²⁸ M. Tadel, ¹²⁹ J. Katak, ¹²⁸ S. Wasserbaech, ¹²⁸ M.

 Welke, ¹²⁸ F. Winthwein, ¹²⁸ A. Yagii, ¹²⁸ G. Zevi Della Porta, ¹²⁹ J. Suare, ¹²⁹ C. Campagarani, ¹²⁰ A. Diskawa, ¹²⁹ V. Dutta, ¹²⁹ K. Flowers, ¹²⁹ M. Franco Sevilla, ¹²⁹ P. Geffert, ¹²⁹ C. Goroge, ¹²⁹ F. Golf, ¹²⁹ L. Gouskos, ¹²⁹ J. Gran, ¹²⁰

 J. Incandela, ¹²⁹ N. Mcoll, ¹²⁹ S. D. Mullin, ¹⁹ J. Richman, ¹²⁰ D. Suare, ¹²⁹ C. Westw, ¹³¹ A. Calamba, ¹³¹ D. Carlson, ¹³⁰

 A. Apresyan, ¹³⁰ A. Bornheim, ¹³⁰ J. Bunn, ¹³⁰ Y. Chen, ¹³⁰ J. Duarte, ¹³⁰ A. Mott, ¹³¹ H. Suewanai, ¹³⁰ S. Carlson, ¹³¹

 Terguson, ¹³¹ M. Pauluni, ¹³¹ J. Kuss, ¹³¹ M. Sun, ¹³¹ H. Vogell, ¹³¹ J. Vazolini, ¹³¹ A. Calamba, ¹³³ G. Kicolas Kaufman, ¹³³

 J. Ackarader, ¹³³ A. Chatterjee, ¹³³ J. Chaves, ¹³³ J. Chul, ¹³³ S. Dittmer, ¹³³ N. Sun, ¹³³ S. M. Tan, ¹³³ W. D. Teo, ¹³³ J. Thompson, ¹³³ J. Tucker, ¹³⁴ Y. Veng, ¹³⁴ P. Cibhat, ¹⁴⁴ G. Bolla, ¹⁴⁴ K. Barbow, ¹⁴⁴ G. Apollinari, ¹⁴⁴

 J. Alexander, ¹⁴⁴ A. J. Banerryki, ¹⁴⁴ N. J. Bareryki, ¹⁴⁴ S. Colarlanceschi, ¹³⁹ M. Hofminnin, H. Katakhety, D. Noonan, T. Koy, F. Hufficeva, M. K. Adalis, L. Apanasevich, ¹³⁹ D. Berry, ¹³⁹ R. R. Betts, ¹³⁹ I. Bucinskaite, ¹³⁹ R. Cavanaugh, ¹³⁹ O. Evdokimov, ¹³⁹ L. Gauthier, ¹³⁰ C. E. Gerber, ¹³⁹ D. J. Hofman, ¹³⁹ P. Kurt, ¹³⁰ C. O'Brien, ¹³⁰ I. D. Sandoval Gonzalez, ¹³⁹ P. Turner, ¹³⁹ N. Varelas, ¹³⁹ Z. Wu, ¹³⁰ M. Zakaria, ¹³⁹ D. J. Hofman, ¹⁴⁰ P. Co'Brien, ¹⁴⁰ I. D. Sandoval Gonzalez, ¹³⁹ P. Turner, ¹³⁰ N. Varelas, ¹³⁹ Z. Wu, ¹³⁰ M. Zakaria, ¹³⁰ J. P. Merlo, ¹⁴⁰ H. Mermerkaya, ¹⁴⁰ M. S. Durgut, ¹⁴⁰ R. P. Gandrajula, ¹⁴⁰ M. Haytmyradov, ¹⁴⁰ V. Khristenko, ¹⁴¹ J.-P. Merlo, ¹⁴⁰ C. Snyder, ¹⁴⁰ E. Tiras, ¹⁴⁰ J. Wetzel, ¹⁴⁰ K. Yi, ¹⁴⁰ I. Anderson, ¹⁴¹ B. A. Barnett, ¹⁴¹ B. Blumenfeld, ¹⁴¹ N. Eminizer, ¹⁴¹ D. Fehling, ¹⁴¹ L. Feng, ¹⁴¹ A. V. Gritsan, ¹⁴¹ P. Maksimovic, ¹⁴¹ C. Martin, ¹⁴¹ B. Burnenfeld, ¹⁴¹ J. Roskes, ¹⁴¹ A. Sady, ¹⁴¹ U. Sarica, ¹⁴¹ M. Swartz, ¹⁴¹ M. Xiao, ¹⁴¹ Y. Xin, ¹⁴¹ C. You, ¹⁴¹ P. Baringer, ¹⁴² A. Bean, ¹⁴² G. Benelli, ¹⁴² C. Bruner, ¹⁴² R. P. Kenny III, ¹⁴² D. Majumder, ¹⁴² M. Malek, ¹⁴³ M. Murray, ¹⁴² S. Sanders, ¹⁴² R. Stringer, ¹⁴² Q. Wang, ¹⁴² A. Ivanov, ¹⁴³ S. Chali, ¹⁴³ D. Lange, ¹⁴⁴ F. Rebassoo, ¹⁴⁴ D. Wright, ¹⁴⁴ C. Anelli, ¹⁴⁵ A. Baden, ¹⁴⁵ O. Baron, ¹⁴⁵ A. Belloni, ¹⁴⁵ B. Calvert, ¹⁴⁵ S. C. Eno, ¹⁴⁵ C. Ferraioli, ¹⁴⁵ J. A. Gomez, ¹⁴⁵ N. J. Hadley, ¹⁴⁵ S. Jabeen, ¹⁴⁵ C. Bruner, ¹⁴⁵ S. C. Eno, ¹⁴⁵ C. Ferraioli, ¹⁴⁵ J. A. Gomez, ¹⁴⁵ N. J. Hadley, ¹⁴⁵ M. B. Tonjes, ¹⁴⁵ S. C. Tonwar, ¹⁴⁶ A. Barbieri, ¹⁴⁶ G. Gomez Ceballos, ¹⁴⁶ M. Goncharov, ¹⁴⁶ S. Brandt, ¹⁴⁶ W. Busza, ¹⁴⁶ I. A. Cali, ¹⁴⁶ Z. Demiragli, ¹⁴⁶ L. Di Matteo, ¹⁴⁶ G. Gomez Ceballos, ¹⁴⁶ M. Goncharov, ¹⁴⁶ S. Brandt, ¹⁴⁶ W. Busza, ¹⁴⁶ I. A. Cali, ¹⁴⁶ G. M. Innocenti, ¹⁴⁶ G. S. F. Stephans, ¹⁴⁶ K. Sumorok, ¹⁴⁶ M. Varma, ¹⁴⁶ Y. J. Lee, ¹⁴⁶ A. Levin, ¹⁴⁶ P. D. Luckey, ¹⁴⁶ A. C

J. Turkewitz,¹⁴⁷ J. G. Acosta,¹⁴⁸ S. Oliveros,¹⁴⁸ E. Avdeeva,¹⁴⁹ K. Bloom,¹⁴⁹ S. Bose,¹⁴⁹ D. R. Claes,¹⁴⁹ A. Dominguez,¹⁴⁹ C. Fangmeier,¹⁴⁹ R. Gonzalez Suarez,¹⁴⁹ R. Kamalieddin,¹⁴⁹ D. Knowlton,¹⁴⁹ I. Kravchenko,¹⁴⁹ F. Meier,¹⁴⁹ J. Monroy,¹⁴⁹ D. HIRCWIZ, J. G. Acosta, S. Oliveros, E. Avdeeva, K. Blooh, S. Boke, D. K. Claes, A. Dolminguez, C. Fangmeier, ¹⁴⁹ R. Gonzalez Suarez, ¹⁴⁹ R. Kamalieddin, ¹⁴⁹ D. Knowlton, ¹⁴⁹ I. Kravchenko, ¹⁴⁹ F. Meier, ¹⁴⁹ J. Monroy, ¹⁴⁹ F. Ratnikov, ¹⁴⁹ J. E. Siado, ¹⁴⁹ G. R. Snow, ¹⁴⁹ M. Alyari, ¹⁵⁰ J. Dolen, ¹⁵⁰ J. George, ¹⁵⁰ A. Godshalk, ¹⁵⁰ C. Harrington, ¹⁵⁰ I. Iashvili, ¹⁵⁰ J. Kaisen, ¹⁵⁰ A. Kharchilava, ¹⁵⁰ A. Kumar, ¹⁵⁰ S. Rappoccio, ¹⁵⁰ B. Roozbahani, ¹⁵⁰ G. Alverson, ¹⁵¹ E. Barberis, ¹⁵¹ D. Baumgartel, ¹⁵¹ M. Chasco, ¹⁵¹ A. Hortiangtham, ¹⁵¹ A. Massironi, ¹⁵¹ D. M. Morse, ¹⁵¹ D. Nash, ¹⁵¹ T. Orimoto, ¹⁵¹ R. Teixeira De Lima, ¹⁵¹ D. Trocino, ¹⁵¹ R.-J. Wang, ¹⁵¹ D. Wood, ¹⁵¹ J. Zhang, ¹⁵¹ S. Bhattacharya, ¹⁵² K. A. Hahn, ¹⁵² A. Kubik, ¹⁵² J. F. Low, ¹⁵² N. Mucia, ¹⁵² N. Odell, ¹⁵² B. Pollack, ¹⁵² M. Schmitt, ¹⁵³ S. Bottacharya, ¹⁵³ M. Velasco, ¹⁵³ A. Beinskerhoff, ¹⁵³ N. Dev, ¹⁵³ M. Hildreth, ¹⁵³ C. Jessop, ¹⁵³ D. J. Karmgard, ¹⁵³ N. Kellams, ¹⁵³ G. Smith, ¹⁵³ S. Taroni, ¹⁵³ N. Valls, ¹⁵³ M. Wayne, ¹⁵³ M. Wolf, ¹⁵³ A. Woodard, ¹⁵³ L. Antonelli, ¹⁵⁴ J. Brinson, ¹⁵⁴ B. Bylsma, ¹⁵⁴ S. Stowers, ¹⁵⁴ A. Hart, ¹⁵⁴ C. Hill, ¹⁵⁴ M. Hudges, ¹⁵⁴ M. Ji, ¹⁵⁴ T. Y. Ling, ¹⁵⁴ B. Liu, ¹⁵⁴ D. Puigh, ¹⁵⁴ M. Rodenburg, ¹⁵⁴ B. L. Winer, ¹⁵⁴ H. W. Wulsin, ¹⁵⁴ O. Driga, ¹⁵⁵ P. Elmer, ¹⁵⁵ J. Hardenbrook, ¹⁵⁵ P. Hebda, ¹⁵⁵ S. A. Koay, ¹⁵⁵ P. Lujan, ¹⁵⁵ D. Marlow, ¹⁵⁵ T. Medvedeva, ¹⁵⁵ M. Mooney, ¹⁵⁷ J. Olsen, ¹⁵⁷ D. Benedetti, ¹⁵⁷ D. Bortoletto, ¹⁵⁷ L. Gutay, ¹⁵⁷ M. Jones, ¹⁵⁷ A. W. Jung, ¹⁵⁷ A. Sung, ¹⁵⁷ A. Kumar, ¹⁵⁷ D. H. Miller, ¹⁵⁷ D. Bortoletto, ¹⁵⁷ L. Gutay, ¹⁵⁷ M. K. Jha, ¹⁵⁷ M. Jones, ¹⁵⁷ A. W. Jung, ¹⁵⁷ A. Suyatkovskiy, ¹⁵⁷ F. Wang, ¹⁵⁷ W. Xie, ¹⁵⁷ J. C. Rudburn-Smith, ¹⁵⁵ X. Shi, ¹⁵⁷ I. Shipsey, ¹⁵⁷ D. Silvers, ¹⁵⁷ J. Sun, ¹⁵⁷ A. Svyatkovskiy, ¹⁵⁷ F. Wang, ¹⁵⁷ W. Xie, ¹⁵⁷ L. Suy, ¹⁵⁷ N. Parashar, ¹⁵⁸ J. Stup A. Garcia-Bellido, ¹⁶⁰ J. Han, ¹⁶⁰ A. Harel, ¹⁶⁰ O. Hindrichs, ¹⁶⁰ A. Khukhunaishvili, ¹⁶⁰ G. Petrillo, ¹⁶⁰ P. Tan, ¹⁶⁰ M. Verzetti, ¹⁶⁰ S. Arora, ¹⁶¹ J. P. Chou, ¹⁶¹ C. Contreras-Campana, ¹⁶¹ E. Contreras-Campana, ¹⁶¹ D. Ferencek, ¹⁶¹ Y. Gershtein, ¹⁶¹ R. Gray, ¹⁶¹ E. Halkiadakis, ¹⁶¹ D. Hidas, ¹⁶¹ E. Hughes, ¹⁶¹ S. Kaplan, ¹⁶¹ R. Kunnawalkam Elayavalli, ¹⁶¹ A. Lath, ¹⁶¹ K. Nash, ¹⁶¹ S. Panwalkar, ¹⁶¹ M. Park, ¹⁶¹ S. Salur, ¹⁶¹ S. Schnetzer, ¹⁶¹ D. Sheffield, ¹⁶¹ S. Somalwar, ¹⁶¹ R. Stone, ¹⁶¹ S. Thomas, ¹⁶¹ P. Thomassen, ¹⁶¹ M. Walker, ¹⁶¹ M. Foerster, ¹⁶² G. Riley, ¹⁶² K. Rose, ¹⁶² S. Spanier, ¹⁶² O. Bouhali, ^{163,000} A. Castaneda Hernandez, ^{163,000} A. Celik, ¹⁶³ M. Dalchenko, ¹⁶³ M. De Mattia, ¹⁶³ A. Delgado, ¹⁶³ S. Dildick, ¹⁶³ R. Eusebi, ¹⁶³ J. Gilmore, ¹⁶³ T. Huang, ¹⁶³ T. Kamon, ^{163,ppp} V. Krutelyov, ¹⁶³ R. Mueller, ¹⁶⁴ I. Osipenkov, ¹⁶³ Y. Pakhotin, ¹⁶³ R. Patel, ¹⁶³ A. Perloff, ¹⁶³ A. Rose, ¹⁶³ A. Safonov, ¹⁶⁴ A. Tatarinov, ¹⁶³ K. A. Ulmer, ^{163,c} N. Akchurin, ¹⁶⁴ C. Cowden, ¹⁶⁴ J. Damgov, ¹⁶⁴ C. Dragoiu, ¹⁶⁴ P. R. Dudero, ¹⁶⁴ J. Faulkner, ¹⁶⁵ S. Greene, ¹⁶⁵ A. Gurrola, ¹⁶⁵ R. Janjam, ¹⁶⁵ M. Johns, ¹⁶⁵ C. Maguire, ¹⁶⁵ Y. Mao, ¹⁶⁵ A. Melo, ¹⁶⁵ H. Ni, ¹⁶⁵ P. Sheldon, ¹⁶⁵ S. Tuo, ¹⁶⁵ J. Velkovska, ¹⁶⁶ Q. Xu, ¹⁶⁶ M. W. Arenton, ¹⁶⁶ B. Cox, ¹⁶⁶ B. Francis, ¹⁶⁶ J. Goodell, ¹⁶⁶ R. Hirosky, ¹⁶⁶ A. Ledovskoy, ¹⁶⁶ H. Li, ¹⁶⁶ C. Lin, ¹⁶⁶ C. Neu, ¹⁶⁸ T. Sinthuprasith, ¹⁶⁶ S. Sun, ¹⁶⁸ B. Gomber, ¹⁶⁸ M. Grothe, ¹⁶⁸ R. Hall-Wilton, ¹⁶⁸ M. Herndon, ¹⁶⁸ A. Hervé, ¹⁶⁸ P. Klabbers, ¹⁶⁸ A. Lanaro, ¹⁶⁸ S. Duric, ¹⁶⁸ T. Sundy, ¹⁶⁷ D. A. Belknap, ¹⁶⁸ D. Carlsmith, ¹⁶⁸ M. Cepeda, ¹⁶⁸ S. Dasu, ¹⁶⁸ A. Lanaro, ¹⁶⁸ S. Duric, ¹⁶⁸ S. Asavin, ¹⁶⁸ A. Mohapatra, ¹⁶⁹ N

(CMS Collaboration)

¹Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia
 ²Institut für Hochenergiephysik der OeAW, Wien, Austria
 ³National Centre for Particle and High Energy Physics, Minsk, Belarus
 ⁴Universiteit Antwerpen, Antwerpen, Belgium
 ⁵Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussel, Belgium
 ⁶Université Libre de Bruxelles, Bruxelles, Belgium
 ⁷Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium
 ⁸Université Catholique de Louvain, Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium
 ⁹Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium
 ¹⁰Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 ¹¹Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
 ^{12a}Universidade Estadual Paulista, São Paulo, Brazil

172302-14

¹³Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁴University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria ¹⁵Institute of High Energy Physics, Beijing, China ¹⁶State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China ⁷Universidad de Los Andes, Bogota, Colombia ¹⁸University of Split, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mechanical Engineering and Naval Architecture, Split, Croatia ¹⁹University of Split, Faculty of Science, Split, Croatia ²⁰Institute Rudjer Boskovic, Zagreb, Croatia ²¹University of Cyprus, Nicosia, Cyprus ²²Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic ²³Academy of Scientific Research and Technology of the Arab Republic of Egypt, Egyptian Network of High Energy Physics, Cairo, Egypt ²⁴National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia ⁵Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland ²⁶Helsinki Institute of Physics, Helsinki, Finland ²⁷Lappeenranta University of Technology, Lappeenranta, Finland ²⁸DSM/IRFU, CEA/Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France ²⁹Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France ³⁰Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France ³¹Centre de Calcul de l'Institut National de Physique Nucleaire et de Physique des Particules, CNRS/IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France ³²Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS-IN2P3, Institut de Physique Nucléaire de Lyon, Villeurbanne, France ³³Georgian Technical University, Tbilisi, Georgia ⁴Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia ³⁵RWTH Aachen University, I. Physikalisches Institut, Aachen, Germany ³⁶RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany ³⁷RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut B, Aachen, Germany ³⁸Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron, Hamburg, Germany ³⁹University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany ⁴⁰Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruhe, Germany ⁴¹Institute of Nuclear and Particle Physics (INPP), NCSR Demokritos, Aghia Paraskevi, Greece ⁴²University of Athens, Athens, Greece ⁴³University of Ioánnina, Ioánnina, Greece ⁴⁴Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary ⁴⁵Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary ⁴⁶University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary ⁴⁷National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India ⁴⁸Panjab University, Chandigarh, India ⁴⁹University of Delhi, Delhi, India ⁵⁰Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India ⁵¹Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai, India ⁵²Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India ⁵³Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER), Pune, India ⁴Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), Tehran, Iran University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ^{56a}INFN Sezione di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{56b}Università di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{56c}Politecnico di Bari, Bari, Italy ^{57a}INFN Sezione di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{57b}Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy ^{58a}INFN Sezione di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{58b}Università di Catania, Catania, Italy ^{59a}INFN Sezione di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ^{59b}Università di Firenze, Firenze, Italy ⁶⁰INFN Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati, Italy ^{61a}INFN Sezione di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{61b}Università di Genova, Genova, Italy ^{62a}INFN Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

172302-15

^{62b}Università di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy ^{63a}INFN Sezione di Napoli, Roma, Italy 63bUniversità di Napoli 'Federico II', Roma, Italy ⁶³ Università della Basilicata, Roma, Italy ^{63d}Università G. Marconi, Roma, Italy ^{64a}INFN Sezione di Padova, Trento, Italy ^{64b}Università di Padova, Trento, Italy ^{64c}Università di Trento, Trento, Italy ^{65a}INFN Sezione di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{65b}Università di Pavia, Pavia, Italy ^{66a}INFN Sezione di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{66b}Università di Perugia, Perugia, Italy ^{67a}INFN Sezione di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{67b}Università di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ⁶⁷cScuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Pisa, Italy ^{58a}INFN Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy ^{68b}Università di Roma, Rome, Italy ^{69a}INFN Sezione di Torino, Novara, Italy ^{69b}Università di Torino, Novara, Italy ⁶⁹*c*Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy ^{70a}INFN Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ^{70b}Università di Trieste, Trieste, Italy ⁷¹Kangwon National University, Chunchon, Korea Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea ³Chonbuk National University, Jeonju, Korea ⁷⁴Chonnam National University, Institute for Universe and Elementary Particles, Kwangju, Korea ⁷⁵Korea University, Seoul, Korea ⁷⁶Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea ⁷⁷University of Seoul, Seoul, Korea ⁷⁸Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon, Korea ⁷⁹Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania ⁸⁰National Centre for Particle Physics, Universiti Malaya, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ⁸¹Centro de Investigacion y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Mexico City, Mexico ⁸²Universidad Iberoamericana, Mexico City, Mexico ⁸³Benemerita Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico ⁸⁴Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, San Luis Potosí, Mexico ⁸⁵University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand ⁸⁶University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand ⁸⁷National Centre for Physics, Quaid-I-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan ⁸⁸National Centre for Nuclear Research, Swierk, Poland ⁸⁹Institute of Experimental Physics, Faculty of Physics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland ²⁰Laboratório de Instrumentação e Física Experimental de Partículas, Lisboa, Portugal ⁹¹Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia ⁹²Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina (St. Petersburg), Russia ⁹³Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia ⁹⁴Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia ⁹⁵National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia ⁹⁶P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia ⁹⁷Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia ⁹⁸State Research Center of Russian Federation, Institute for High Energy Physics, Protvino, Russia ⁹⁹University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia ¹⁰⁰Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain ¹⁰¹Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Madrid, Spain ¹⁰²Universidad de Oviedo, Oviedo, Spain ¹⁰³Instituto de Física de Cantabria (IFCA), CSIC-Universidad de Cantabria, Santander, Spain ¹⁰⁴CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland ¹⁰⁵Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹⁰⁶Institute for Particle Physics, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹⁰⁷Universität Zürich, Zurich, Switzerland ¹⁰⁸National Central University, Chung-Li, Taiwan

172302-16

¹⁰⁹National Taiwan University (NTU), Taipei, Taiwan ¹¹⁰Chulalongkorn University, Faculty of Science, Department of Physics, Bangkok, Thailand ¹¹¹Cukurova University, Adana, Turkey ¹¹²Middle East Technical University, Physics Department, Ankara, Turkey ¹¹³Bogazici University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹¹⁴Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey ¹¹⁵Institute for Scintillation Materials of National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹¹⁶National Scientific Center, Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology, Kharkov, Ukraine ¹¹⁷University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom ¹¹⁸Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom Imperial College, London, United Kingdom ¹²⁰Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom ¹²¹Baylor University, Waco, Texas, USA ¹²²The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, USA ¹²³Boston University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹²⁴Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, USA ¹²⁵University of California, Davis, Davis, California, USA ¹²⁶University of California, Los Angeles, California, USA ¹²⁷University of California, Riverside, California, USA ¹²⁸University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California, USA ¹²⁹University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California, USA ³⁰California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA ¹³¹Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA ¹³²University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA ¹³³Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA ¹³⁴Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois, USA ¹³⁵University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, USA ¹³⁶Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA ¹³⁷Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida, USA ¹³⁸Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, Florida, USA ¹³⁹University of Illinois at Chicago (UIC), Chicago, Illinois, USA ¹⁴⁰The University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, USA ¹⁴¹Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA ¹⁴²The University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas, USA ¹⁴³Kansas State University, Manhattan, Kansas, USA ¹⁴⁴Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, USA ¹⁴⁵University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, USA ¹⁴⁶Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁴⁷University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA ¹⁴⁸University of Mississippi, Oxford, Mississippi, USA ¹⁴⁹University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska, USA ¹⁵⁰State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York, USA ¹⁵¹Northeastern University, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ¹⁵²Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA ¹⁵³University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, USA ¹⁵⁴The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio, USA ¹⁵⁵Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA ¹⁵⁶University of Puerto Rico, Mayaguez, Puerto Rico, USA ¹⁵⁷Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA ¹⁵⁸Purdue University Calumet, Hammond, Indiana, USA ¹⁵⁹Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA ¹⁶⁰University of Rochester, Rochester, New York, USA ¹⁶¹Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA ¹⁶²University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁶³Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, USA ¹⁶⁴Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA ¹⁶⁵Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee, USA ¹⁶⁶University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, USA ¹⁶⁷Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan, USA ¹⁶⁸University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

^aDeceased.

- ^bAlso at Vienna University of Technology, Vienna, Austria.
- ^cAlso at CERN, European Organization for Nuclear Research, Geneva, Switzerland.
- ^dAlso at State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing, China.

^eAlso at Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien, Université de Strasbourg, Université de Haute Alsace Mulhouse, CNRS/IN2P3, Strasbourg, France.

- ^fAlso at National Institute of Chemical Physics and Biophysics, Tallinn, Estonia.
- ^gAlso at Skobeltsyn Institute of Nuclear Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
- ^hAlso at Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil.
- ⁱAlso at Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)-IN2P3, Paris, France.
- ^jAlso at Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole Polytechnique, IN2P3-CNRS, Palaiseau, France.
- ^kAlso at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia.
- ¹Also at Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^mAlso at Zewail City of Science and Technology, Zewail, Egypt.
- ⁿAlso at British University in Egypt, Cairo, Egypt.
- ^oAlso at Université de Haute Alsace, Mulhouse, France.
- ^pAlso at Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.
- ^qAlso at RWTH Aachen University, III. Physikalisches Institut A, Aachen, Germany.
- ^rAlso at University of Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany.
- ^sAlso at Brandenburg University of Technology, Cottbus, Germany.
- ^tAlso at Institute of Nuclear Research ATOMKI, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^uAlso at Eötvös Loránd University, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^vAlso at University of Debrecen, Debrecen, Hungary.
- ^wAlso at Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
- ^xAlso at Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhopal, India.
- ^yAlso at University of Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan, India.
- ^zAlso at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.
- ^{aa}Also at University of Ruhuna, Matara, Sri Lanka.
- ^{bb}Also at Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.
- ^{cc}Also at University of Tehran, Department of Engineering Science, Tehran, Iran.
- ^{dd}Also at Plasma Physics Research Center, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.
- ee Also at Università degli Studi di Siena, Siena, Italy.
- ^{ff}Also at Purdue University, West Lafayette, USA.
- ^{gg}Also at International Islamic University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- ^{hh}Also at Malaysian Nuclear Agency, MOSTI, Kajang, Malaysia.
- ⁱⁱAlso at Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología, Mexico city, Mexico.
- ^{ij}Also at Warsaw University of Technology, Institute of Electronic Systems, Warsaw, Poland.
- ^{kk}Also at Institute for Nuclear Research, Moscow, Russia.
- ¹¹Also at National Research Nuclear University 'Moscow Engineering Physics Institute' (MEPhI), Moscow, Russia.
- ^{mm}Also at St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- ⁿⁿAlso at Faculty of Physics, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ⁰⁰Also at INFN Sezione di Roma, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- ^{pp}Also at National Technical University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{qq}Also at Scuola Normale e Sezione dell'INFN, Pisa, Italy.
- ^{rr}Also at University of Athens, Athens, Greece.
- ^{ss}Also at Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia.
- ^{tt}Also at Albert Einstein Center for Fundamental Physics, Bern, Switzerland.
- ^{uu}Also at Adiyaman University, Adiyaman, Turkey.
- ^{vv}Also at Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{ww}Also at Cag University, Mersin, Turkey.
- ^{xx}Also at Piri Reis University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{yy}Also at Gaziosmanpasa University, Tokat, Turkey.
- ^{zz}Also at Ozyegin University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- aaa Also at Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Turkey.
- bbb Also at Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{ccc}Also at Kafkas University, Kars, Turkey.
- ^{ddd}Also at Mimar Sinan University, Istanbul, Istanbul, Turkey.
- eee Also at Yildiz Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey.
- ^{fff}Also at Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey.

- ^{ggg}Also at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom. ^{hhh}Also at School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southampton, Southampton, United Kingdom.
- ⁱⁱⁱAlso at Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias, La Laguna, Spain.
- ^{jjj}Also at Utah Valley University, Orem, USA.
- kkk Also at University of Belgrade, Faculty of Physics and Vinca Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia.
- ^{III}Also at Facoltà Ingegneria, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.
- ^{mmm}Also at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, USA.
- ⁿⁿⁿAlso at Erzincan University, Erzincan, Turkey.
- ⁰⁰⁰Also at Texas A&M University at Qatar, Doha, Qatar.
- ppp Also at Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea.